## CS281A/Stat241A Homework Assignment 3 (due October 15, 2015)

## 1. (Multiclass Classification)

Suppose that we wish to model an unordered discrete response variable Y (such as which folder should be suggested as the destination for a document), conditioned on a vector X of real variables (such as features of words in the document).

(a) (Logistic regression) We could model this kind of relationship using

$$\Pr(Y = y | X = x) = \frac{\exp(-\beta_y^{\top} x)}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \exp(-\beta_i^{\top} x)},\tag{1}$$

where  $y \in \{1, ..., k-1\}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\beta_y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and k is the number of distinct responses. Suppose that we have data  $(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n)$  generated i.i.d. from the model (1).

- i. Write down the log likelihood and its first and second derivatives.
- ii. Describe (in pseudocode) a Newton-Raphson algorithm for maximizing the log likelihood.
- (b) (Linear regression) We might also approach multiclass classification through a general linear model. This is a generalization of linear regression in which the response variable is in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ; thus, we have  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ . The probability model is

$$p(y|X = x, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \beta) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{k}{2}} |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(y - \beta^{\top}x)^{\top} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(y - \beta^{\top}x)\right\}.$$
 (2)

Given data  $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^k$ , derive the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\beta$  in the spherical case, where  $\Sigma = I$ .

- 2. (Comparison of multiclass classifiers) On the course website, there is a data set (hw3-2-train.data), consisting of 100 pairs,  $(v_1, y_1), \ldots, (v_{100}, y_{100})$ . Each  $v_i$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and each  $y_i$  is a number in  $\{1, \ldots, 4\}$ . Line i of the file contains the two components of  $v_i$ , followed by  $y_i$ . Use the covariates  $x_i = (1, v_{i1}, v_{i2})^{\top}$ .
  - (a) Implement the algorithm that you proposed in Question 1a, and use it to calculate the maximum likelihood estimate  $\hat{\beta}$  for the parameters of the model (1) for this data.
  - (b) Given the data  $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_{100}, y_{100}) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \{1, \ldots, 4\}$ , we can use the response variables  $\tilde{y}_i = e_{y_i}$  (where  $e_i$  is the *i*th standard basis vector in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ). Implement the algorithm that you proposed in Question 1b, and use it to calculate the maximum likelihood estimate  $\hat{\beta}$  for the parameters of the model (2) for the data  $(x_1, \tilde{y}_1), \ldots, (x_{100}, \tilde{y}_{100})$ .

In both cases:

- (i) Use the parameter estimates to predict the labels for the training data (in hw3-2-train.data) and the test data (in hw3-2-test.data, also on the course website), by predicting the index y that maximizes  $p(y|x, \hat{\beta})$ . Report the training and test misclassification rates.
- (ii) Plot the training data (with four different symbols for the y values) and the boundaries between the regions  $C_1, \ldots, C_4$ , where

$$C_y = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p(y|x, \hat{\beta}) > \max_{y' \neq y} p(y'|x, \hat{\beta}) \right\}.$$

(c) Why is logistic regression substantially better?

## 3. (Exponential Families)

Recall that a set of probability distributions is an exponential family if it takes on the following form:

$$\left\{ x \mapsto p(x; \eta) = h(x) \exp\left(\eta^{\top} T(x) A(\eta)\right) : \eta \in \Theta \right\},$$

for the natural parameter  $\eta$ , sufficient statistic T, log-normalization A, and reference measure h. Determine if each of the following sets of distributions is an exponential family. For those that are not, give a maximal subset that is an exponential family. In each case, write down  $\eta$ , T, A, and h.

(a) Geometric: parameters p > 0, support  $k = \{1, 2, ...\}$ 

$$p(k; p) = (1 - p)^k p.$$

(b) Pareto: Parameters  $x_m > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ 

$$p(x; x_m, \alpha) = \frac{\alpha x_{\rm m}^{\alpha}}{x^{\alpha+1}} 1[x \ge x_m].$$

(c) Inverse Gaussian: parameters  $\lambda > 0, \, \mu > 0$ 

$$p(x;\lambda,\mu) = \left\lceil \frac{\lambda}{2\pi x^3} \right\rceil^{1/2} \exp \frac{-\lambda (x-\mu)^2}{2\mu^2 x}.$$

(d) Weibull: parameters  $\lambda > 0, k > 0$ 

$$p(x; \lambda, k) = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{\lambda} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{k-1} e^{-(x/\lambda)^k} & x \ge 0, \\ 0 & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

## 4. (Deviation inequalities)

For a random variable X with support in the interval [a, b] and density f, consider the exponential family

$$\{p(x) = f(x) \exp(\eta x - A(\eta)) : \eta \in \mathbb{R}\},\$$
  
$$A(\eta) = \log \mathbb{E}e^{\eta X}.$$

In this question, we use properties of this exponential family to prove a deviation inequality for X.

(a) Show that, for any t > 0 and any  $\eta > 0$ ,

$$\log \Pr(X \ge t) \le A(\eta) - \eta t.$$

(b) Hence show that, for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\Pr(X \ge \mathbb{E}X + \epsilon) \le \exp\left(-\frac{2\epsilon^2}{(b-a)^2}\right).$$

(Hint: consider the second-order Taylor expansion of A about 0:

$$A(\eta) = A(0) + \eta \nabla A(0) + \frac{\eta^2}{2} \nabla^2 A(\xi),$$

for some  $\xi \in [0, \eta]$ .)