

# Anonymous credentials

Dmitry Khovratovich

June 21, 2016

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Concept

The concept of *anonymous credentials* allows users of certain web service (for example, online banking) to prove that their identity satisfy certain properties in uncorrelated way without revealing the other identity details. The properties can be raw identity attributes such as the birth date or the address, or a more sophisticated predicates such as “A is older than 20 years old”.

We assume three parties: Issuer, Prover, Verifier (Service). From the functional perspective, the Issuer gives a credential  $C$  based on identity  $X$ , which asserts certain property  $\mathcal{P}$  about  $X$ , to the Prover. The identity consists of attributes  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_l$ . The Prover then presents  $(\mathcal{P}, C)$  to the Verifier, which can verify that the Issuer had checked that Prover’s identity has property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

For compliance, another party Inspector is often deployed. Inspector is able to deanonymize the Prover given the transcript of his interaction with the Verifier.

### 1.2 Properties

First of all, credentials are *unforgeable* in the sense that no one can fool the Verifier with a credential not prepared by the Issuer.

We say that credentials are *unlinkable* if it is impossible to correlate the presented credential across multiple presentations. Technically it is implemented by the Prover *proving* with a zero-knowledge proof *that he has a credential* rather than showing the credential.

Unlinkability can be simulated by the issuer generating a sufficient number of ordinary unrelated credentials. Also unlinkability can be turned off to make credentials *one-show* so that second and later presentations are detected.

Credentials are *delegatable* if Prover  $A$  can delegate a credential  $C$  to Prover  $B$  with certain attributes  $X$ , so that Verifier would not learn the identity of  $A$  if  $B$  presents  $Y$  to him. The delegation may continue further thus creating a credential chain.

### 1.3 Pseudonyms

Typically a credential is bound to a certain pseudonym  $\text{nym}$ . It is supposed that Prover has been registered as  $\text{nym}$  at the Issuer, and communicated (part of) his identity  $X$  to him. After that the Issuer can issue a credential that couples  $\text{nym}$  and  $X$ .

The Prover may have a pseudonym at the Verifier, but not necessarily. If there is no pseudonym then the Verifier provides the service to users who did not register. If the pseudonym  $\text{nym}_V$  is required, it can be generated from a master secret  $m_1$  together with  $\text{nym}$  in a way that  $\text{nym}$  can not be linked to  $\text{nym}_V$ . However, Prover is supposed to prove that the credential he presents was issued to a pseudonym derived from the same master secret as used to produce  $\text{nym}_V$ .

## 2 Simple example

Government (Issuer  $G$ ) issues credentials with age and photo hash. Company ABC-Co (Issuer  $A$ ) issues a credential that includes start-date and employment status, e.g., ‘FULL-TIME’.

Prover establishes a pseudonym with both issuers. Then he got two credentials independently. After that he proves to Verifier that he has two credentials such that

- The same master secret is used in both credentials;
- The age value in the first credential is over 20;

- The employment status is 'FULL-TIME'.

Steps in Section 2.2 must be executed for each Issuer.

Issuer and Prover mutually trust each other in submitting values of the right format during credential's issuance. This trust can be eliminated at the cost of some extra steps.

## 2.1 Common parameters

Some parameters are common for all users and are generated as follows.

1. Generate random 256-bit prime  $\rho$  and a random 1376-bit number  $b$  such that  $\Gamma = b\rho + 1$  is prime and  $\rho$  does not divide  $b$ ;
2. Generate random  $g' < \Gamma$  such that  $g'^b \neq 1 \pmod{\Gamma}$  and compute  $g = g'^b \neq 1$ .
3. Generate random  $r < \rho$  and compute  $h = g^r$ .

Then  $(\Gamma, \rho, g, h)$  are public parameters.

## 2.2 Issuer setup

Let  $l$  be the number of attributes we work with. Let  $P$  be a description of the attribute set (types, number, length). Every credential is bind to a pseudonym, which is derived from the master secret  $m_1$ .

Steps to set up the issuer

1. Generate random 1024-bit primes  $p', q'$  such that  $p \leftarrow 2p' + 1$  and  $q \leftarrow 2q' + 1$  are primes too. Finally compute  $n \leftarrow pq$ .
2. Generate a random quadratic residue<sup>1</sup>  $S$  modulo  $n$ ;
3. Select random  $x_Z, x_{R_1}, \dots, x_{R_l} \in [2; p'q' - 1]$  and compute  $Z \leftarrow S^{x_Z} \pmod{n}, R_i \leftarrow S^{x_{R_i}} \pmod{n}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .

The issuer's public key is  $pk_I = (n, S, Z, R_1, R_2, \dots, R_l, P)$  and the private key is  $sk_I = (p, q)$ .

## 2.3 Pseudonym registration

For the master secret  $m_1$  and Issuer's public key  $pk_I$  Prover

1. Generates random  $r < \rho$  and computes  $\text{nym} = g^{m_1} h^r \pmod{\Gamma}$ .
2. Sends nym to the Issuer.

## 2.4 Issuance

Let  $m_2, m_3, \dots, m_l$  be integer attribute values, all 256-bit, known to the Issuer.

- 1.1 Prover generates random 2128-bit  $v'$  and loads Issuer's key  $pk_I$ .
- 1.2 Prover computes  $U \leftarrow S^{v'} R_1^{m_1} \pmod{n}$  taking  $S$  from  $pk_I$ .
- 1.4 Prover sends  $U$  to the Issuer.
- 2.1 Issuer generates random 2724-bit number  $v''$  with most significant bit equal 1 and random prime  $e$  such that

$$2^{596} \leq e \leq 2^{596} + 2^{119}.$$

- 2.2 Issuer computes

$$Q \leftarrow \frac{Z}{US^{v''}(R_2^{m_2} R_3^{m_3} \dots R_l^{m_l})} \pmod{n}.$$

and

$$A \leftarrow Q^{e^{-1}} \pmod{p'q'} \pmod{n}.$$

- 2.3 Issuer sends  $(A, e, v'')$  to the Prover.

- 3.0 Prover computes  $v \leftarrow v' + v''$ .

Prover stores credential  $(\{m_i\}, A, e, v)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>using the function *randomQR* from the Charm library.

## 2.5 Proof preparation

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all attribute identifiers present, of which  $\mathcal{A}_r$  are the identifiers of attributes that are revealed to the Verifier, and  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{r}}$  are those that are hidden.

0.0 Verifier sends nonce  $n_1$  to the Prover.

0.1 For each non-revealed attribute  $i \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{r}}$  generate random 592-bit number  $\widetilde{m}_i$ .

0.2 Initiate  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  as empty sets.

1. For each credential  $C = (\mathcal{I} = \{m_j\}, A, e, v)$  and Issuer's public key  $pk_I$ :

1.1 Choose random 2128-bit  $r$ ;

1.2 Take  $n, S$  from  $pk_I$  compute

$$A' \leftarrow AS^r \pmod{n} \text{ and } v' \leftarrow v - e \cdot r \text{ in integers.} \quad (1)$$

Also compute  $e' \leftarrow e - 2^{596}$ .

2.1 Generate random 456-bit number  $\tilde{e}$  and random 3060-bit number  $\tilde{v}$ .

2.2 Compute

$$T \leftarrow (A')^{\tilde{e}} \left( \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{r}} \cap \mathcal{I}} (R_i)^{\widetilde{m}_j} \right) (S^{\tilde{v}}) \pmod{n}.$$

2.3 Add  $T$  to  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $A'$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

不等式谓词证明

2. For each predicate  $p : m_j \geq z_j$ :

(a) Load  $Z, S$  from issuer's public key.

(b) Let  $\Delta \leftarrow m_j - z_j$  and find (possibly by exhaustive search)  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4$  such that

$$\Delta = (u_1)^2 + (u_2)^2 + (u_3)^2 + (u_4)^2;$$

(c) Generate random 2128-bit numbers  $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_\Delta$ , compute

$$T_1 \leftarrow Z^{u_1} S^{r_1} \pmod{n} \quad T_2 \leftarrow Z^{u_2} S^{r_2} \pmod{n} \quad (2)$$

$$T_3 \leftarrow Z^{u_3} S^{r_3} \pmod{n} \quad T_4 \leftarrow Z^{u_4} S^{r_4} \pmod{n} \quad (3)$$

$$T_\Delta \leftarrow Z^\Delta S^{r_\Delta} \pmod{n}; \quad (4)$$

and add these values to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

(d) Take  $\widetilde{m}_j$  generated at step 0.1, generate random 592-bit numbers  $\widetilde{u}_1, \widetilde{u}_2, \widetilde{u}_3, \widetilde{u}_4$ , generate random 672-bit numbers  $\widetilde{r}_1, \widetilde{r}_2, \widetilde{r}_3, \widetilde{r}_4, \widetilde{r}_\Delta$  compute

$$\overline{T}_1 \leftarrow Z^{\widetilde{u}_1} S^{\widetilde{r}_1} \pmod{n} \quad \overline{T}_2 \leftarrow Z^{\widetilde{u}_2} S^{\widetilde{r}_2} \pmod{n} \quad (5)$$

$$\overline{T}_3 \leftarrow Z^{\widetilde{u}_3} S^{\widetilde{r}_3} \pmod{n} \quad \overline{T}_4 \leftarrow Z^{\widetilde{u}_4} S^{\widetilde{r}_4} \pmod{n} \quad (6)$$

$$\overline{T}_\Delta \leftarrow Z^{\widetilde{m}_j} S^{\widetilde{r}_\Delta} \pmod{n}; \quad (7)$$

and add this values to  $\mathcal{T}$  in the order  $\overline{T}_1, \overline{T}_2, \overline{T}_3, \overline{T}_4, \overline{T}_\Delta$ .

(e) Generate random 2787-bit number  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and compute

$$Q \leftarrow T_1^{\widetilde{u}_1} T_2^{\widetilde{u}_2} T_3^{\widetilde{u}_3} T_4^{\widetilde{u}_4} S^{\tilde{\alpha}} \pmod{n}$$

Add  $Q$  to  $\mathcal{T}$ .

3 Compute

$$c \leftarrow H(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}, n_1)$$

4.1 For each credential  $C = (\mathcal{I} = \{m_j\}, A, e, v)$  and Issuer's public key  $pk_I$  compute

$$\widehat{e} \leftarrow \tilde{e} + c \cdot e';$$

$$\widehat{v} \leftarrow \tilde{v} + cv'.$$

4.2 For all  $j \in A_{\overline{r}}$  compute

$$\widehat{m}_j \leftarrow \widetilde{m}_j + cm_j.$$

The values  $Pr_C = (\widehat{e}, \widehat{v}, \widehat{m}_j, A')$  are the *sub-proof* for credential  $C$ .

4.3 For each predicate  $p : m_j \geq z_j$ :

- (a) For  $1 \leq i \leq 4$  compute  $\widehat{u}_i \leftarrow \widetilde{u}_i + cu_i$ .
- (b) For  $1 \leq i \leq 4$  compute  $\widehat{r}_i \leftarrow \widetilde{r}_i + cr_i$ .
- (c) Compute  $\widehat{r}_{\Delta} \leftarrow \widetilde{r}_{\Delta} + cr_{\Delta}$ .
- (d) Compute  $\widehat{\alpha} \leftarrow \widetilde{\alpha} + c(r_{\Delta} - u_1r_1 - u_2r_2 - u_3r_3 - u_4r_4)$ .

The values  $Pr_p = (\{\widehat{u}_i\}, \{\widehat{r}_i\}, \widehat{r}_{\Delta}, \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{m}_j)$  are the sub-proof for predicate  $p$ .

Then  $(c, \{Pr_C\}, \{Pr_p\}, \mathcal{C})$  is the full proof sent to the Verifier.

## 2.6 Verification

Verifier uses all Issuer public key  $pk_I$  involved into the credential generation and the received  $(c, \widehat{e}, \widehat{v}, \{\widehat{m}_i\}, A')$ . He also uses revealed  $m_i$  for  $i \in A_r$ . He initiates  $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$  as empty set.

1. For each credential  $C$  consider the attributes  $I$  used in  $C$  and take sub-proof  $(\widehat{e}, \widehat{v}, A')$ . Then compute

$$\widehat{T} \leftarrow \left( \frac{Z}{(\prod_{i \in A_r \cap I} (R_i)^{m_i}) (A')^{2^{596}}} \right)^{-c} (A')^{\widehat{e}} \left( \prod_{i \in A_{\overline{r}} \cap I} (R_i)^{\widehat{m}_i} \right) S^{\widehat{v}} \pmod{n}. \quad (8)$$

Add  $\widehat{T}$  to  $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ .

2. For each predicate  $p : m_j \geq z_j$

- (a) Using  $Pr_p$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  compute

$$\widehat{T}_i \leftarrow T_i^{-c} Z^{\widehat{u}_i} S^{\widehat{r}_i} \pmod{n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 4; \quad (9)$$

$$\widehat{T}_{\Delta} \leftarrow (T_{\Delta}^{z_j})^{-c} Z^{\widehat{m}_j} S^{\widehat{r}_{\Delta}} \pmod{n}; \quad (10)$$

$$\widehat{Q} \leftarrow (T_{\Delta})^{-c} T_1^{\widehat{u}_1} T_2^{\widehat{u}_2} T_3^{\widehat{u}_3} T_4^{\widehat{u}_4} S^{\widehat{\alpha}} \pmod{n}, \quad (11)$$

and add these values to  $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$  in the order  $\widehat{T}_1, \widehat{T}_2, \widehat{T}_3, \widehat{T}_4, \widehat{T}_{\Delta}$ .

3. Compute

$$\widehat{c} \leftarrow H(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{C}, n_1);$$

4. If  $c = \widehat{c}$  output VERIFIED else FAIL.

## 2.7 Implementation notice

The exponentiation, modulo, and inverse operations are implemented in the Charm library for the class *integer*.

## 2.8 Why it works

### 2.8.1 Signature proof

Suppose that the Prover submitted the right values. Then Equation (8) can be viewed as

$$\widehat{T} = Z^{-c} \left( \prod_{i \in A_r} (R_i)^{cm_i} \right) (A')^{\widehat{e} + c \cdot e' + c2^{596}} \left( \prod_{i \in A_{\overline{r}}} (R_i)^{\widetilde{m}_i + cm_i} \right) S^{\widetilde{v} + cv'}. \quad (12)$$

If we reorder the multiples, we get

$$\widehat{T} = Z^{-c} \left( \prod_{i \in A_r} (R_i)^{cm_i} \right) \left( \prod_{i \in A_{\overline{r}}} (R_i)^{cm_i} \right) (A')^{c \cdot (e' + 2^{596})} S^{cv'} \left( \prod_{i \in A_{\overline{r}}} (R_i)^{\widetilde{m}_i} \right) (A')^{\widetilde{e}} S^{\widetilde{v}} \quad (13)$$

The last three factors multiple to  $T$  so we get

$$\widehat{T} = \left( \frac{Z}{(A')^e S^{v'} \prod_i (R_i)^{m_i}} \right)^{-c} T \quad (14)$$

From Equation (1) we obtain that  $(A')^e = A^e S^{v-v'}$ , so we finally get

$$\widehat{T} = \left( \frac{Z}{A^e S^v \prod_i (R_i)^{m_i}} \right)^{-c} T \quad (15)$$

From the definition of  $A$  we get that

$$A^e S^v = \frac{Z}{\prod_i (R_i)^{m_i}}, \quad (16)$$

which implies

$$\widehat{T} = T.$$

### 2.8.2 Predicate proof

For the proof to be verified, the values  $\widehat{T}_i, \widehat{Q}$  derived in Equations (10),(9),(11) must coincide with  $\overline{T}_i, Q$  computed by Prover.

We have

$$\widehat{T}_\Delta = (T_\Delta Z^{z_j})^{-c} Z^{\widehat{m}_j} S^{\widehat{r}_\Delta} = Z^{-c\Delta - cz_j + \widehat{m}_j} S^{-cr_\Delta + \widehat{r}_\Delta} = Z^{\widetilde{m}_j} S^{\widetilde{r}_\Delta} = \overline{T}_\Delta. \quad (17)$$

We also have

$$\widehat{T}_i = T_i^{-c} Z^{\widehat{u}_i} S^{\widehat{r}_i} = Z^{-cu_i + \widehat{u}_i} S^{-cr_i + \widehat{r}_i} = Z^{\widetilde{u}_i} S^{\widetilde{r}_i} = \overline{T}_i. \quad (18)$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Q} &= (T_\Delta)^{-c} T_1^{\widehat{u}_1} T_2^{\widehat{u}_2} T_3^{\widehat{u}_3} T_4^{\widehat{u}_4} S^{\widehat{\alpha}} = \\ &= Z^{-c\Delta} S^{-cr_\Delta} \left( T_1^{\widehat{u}_1} T_2^{\widehat{u}_2} T_3^{\widehat{u}_3} T_4^{\widehat{u}_4} \right) (T_1^{cu_1} T_2^{cu_2} T_3^{cu_3} T_4^{cu_4}) S^{\widehat{\alpha} + c(r_\Delta - u_1 r_1 - u_2 r_2 - u_3 r_3 - u_4 r_4)} = \text{Equation (11)} = \\ &= Z^{-c\Delta} S^{-cr_\Delta} Q(T_1^{cu_1} T_2^{cu_2} T_3^{cu_3} T_4^{cu_4}) S^{c(r_\Delta - u_1 r_1 - u_2 r_2 - u_3 r_3 - u_4 r_4)} = \\ &= Q Z^{-c\Delta + cu_1^2 + cu_2^2 + cu_3^2 + cu_4^2} S^{-cr_\Delta + r_1 cu_1 + r_2 cu_2 + r_3 cu_3 + r_4 cu_4 + c(r_\Delta - u_1 r_1 - u_2 r_2 - u_3 r_3 - u_4 r_4)} = Q. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$