# Strong Normalization of the Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus in Lean by Decomposition Into the Call-By-Need SK Combinators

### Dowland Aiello

# 5/26/25

# Contents

1	Abstract	1
2	A Type Discipline for the SK Combinators	2
3	Decomposition of the Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus into Dependently Typed SK Combinators  3.1 Type Expressivity & Equivalence	<b>2</b> 3
4	Proof 4.1 Comprehensiveness of the SK Mapping	3 3 4 4 5 5
	4.4 Encoding in Lean	5

# 1 Abstract

Proofs of strong normalization of the simply-typed lambda calculus have been exhaustively enumerated in the literature. A common strategy invented by W. W. Tait known as "Tait's method," (Robert Harper, 2022) interprets types as sets of "well-behaving" terms which are known to be strongly normalizing and composed of expressions in some such set. Strong normalization of the typed call-by-need SK combinator calculus has been

comparatively under-studied. Herein, I demonstrate that the typical proof of strong normalization using Tait's method holds for the typed SK combinator calculus. I also show that decomposition of the STLC into SK combinator expressions simplifies the typical proof of strong normalization.

# 2 A Type Discipline for the SK Combinators

I consider the usual SK combinator calculus defined as such:

$$Kxy = x \tag{1}$$

$$Sxyz = xz(yz) \tag{2}$$

A natural interpretation of the combinators as typed functions results in the dependent typing:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : K \ \Gamma, x : A \vdash B : L}{\Gamma \vdash (\forall x : A.B) : L}$$
 
$$\frac{\Gamma \alpha : T_n : T_{n+1}}{\Gamma T_n : T_{n+1}}$$
 
$$\frac{\Gamma \alpha : T_n, \beta : T_m, x : \alpha, y : \beta}{\Gamma \vdash K : (\forall x, y.\alpha)}$$
 
$$\underline{\Gamma \alpha : T_n, \beta : T_m, \gamma : T_o, x : (\forall x : \alpha, y : \beta.\gamma), y : (\forall x : \alpha.\alpha), z : \alpha}$$
 
$$\underline{\Gamma \vdash S : (\forall x, y, z.\gamma)}$$

# 3 Decomposition of the Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus into Dependently Typed SK Combinators

I utilize an SK compilation scheme outlined in "The Implementation of Functional Programming Languages" (Peyton Jones, Simon L., 1987):

$$(\lambda x.e_1 \ e_2) \ arg = S(\lambda x.e_1)(\lambda x.e_2) \ arg \tag{3}$$

$$(\lambda x.x) = SKK \tag{4}$$

$$(\lambda x.c) = Kc \tag{5}$$

I consider a generic simply-typed lambda calculus with base types B, a type constructor  $\rightarrow$  and the type universe:

$$T = \{t \mid t \in B\} \ \cup \ \{t \mid \exists \ t_1 \in T, t_2 \in T, t = t_1 \to t_2\}$$

## 3.1 Type Expressivity & Equivalence

I define a mapping  $(M_t)$  from the  $\to$  type constructor to  $\forall$ :  $(\alpha \to \beta) \mapsto \forall x$ :  $\alpha.\beta$ . I also assume the existence of a mapping  $(M_c)$  from the base types B to arbitrary objects in my dependently-typed SK combinator calculus. Type inference is trivially derived from the above inference rules:  $\forall c \in B, \exists t, t', c : t \implies t' = M_t t \implies M_c c : t$ .

It follows that every well-typed expression in our simply-typed lambda calculus has an equivalent well-typed SK expression:

Proof. Assume (1) that for all  $c \in B, \exists ! c' \in M_c, c' = M_c c$ . Assume (2) that for all  $\{t_1, t_2, t\} \subset T, t = (t_1 \to t_2), \exists ! t' \in M_t, t' = M_t t$ . Per above and induction on (1) there exists a mapping from every lambda expression to an SK combinator expression. It follows by induction on e : t, where e is well-typed per the inference rules that all  $t \in t$  the simply-typed T are in  $M_t$ . It suffices to conclude that all well-typed expressions have well-typed counterparts in the dependently-typed SK combinator calculus.

#### 4 Proof

In order to prove strong normalization of the STLC, it suffices to demonstrate that a) no well-typed lambda calculus expression is inexpressible in the dependently-typed SK combinator calculus; and b) all well-typed SK combinator expressions are strongly normalizing.

#### 4.1 Comprehensiveness of the SK Mapping

*Proof.* Suppose (1) there exists some well-typed expression e of type  $t \in T$  in the STLC which is not representible in the dependently-typed SK combinator calculus. By induction:

• If the expression is a constant, it must be contained in  $M_c$ , per the above lemma. **contradiction** 

- If the expression is a well-typed expression contained in  $M_c$  which is a dependently-typed SK expression, its type is inferred per the inference rules. The expression is thus representible. **contradiction**
- If the expression is a well-typed lambda expression, its type is of the form:  $\alpha \to \beta$ , where  $\{\alpha, \beta\} \subset T$ . An image must exist in  $M_t$  per above of the form  $\forall x : \alpha.\beta$ .
  - Its body is also well-typed, and has a valid type. Its body is thus representible by induction.
  - The expression is thus representible, per the decomposition rules.
     contradiction
- If the expression is a well-typed application  $e_1e_2$ , its left hand side is of type  $\alpha \to \beta$ , where  $\{\alpha, \beta\} \subset T$ . Its right hand side must be of type beta. The expression is thus of type t. By induction, the expression is representible. **contradiction**

Conclusion: no expression exists which has no image in the set of well-typed dependently-typed SK combinator expressions.  $\Box$ 

#### 4.2 Strong Normalization of the Typed SK Combinators

I assume the existence of a one-step reduction function:  $eval\_once$ I define strong-normalization inductively (where e is an SK combinator expression) as:

#### 4.2.1 Reducibility Candidates

The K combinator is trivially strongly normalizing. It invokes no function applications, although it may produce an expression which contains an application. For example:

$$K(KK)y = KK$$

Borrowing from Tait's method, I define a mapping R(t) where t is a type (expression) in our dependently-typed SK combinator calculus. The image of t is a set containing every well-typed expression of type t which is composed of expressions living in R(t') for their respective types t'. I constrain the set such that all one-step reduxes of K are in R.

$$\{K \mid \forall \alpha : T_n, \ \beta : T_m, \ x : \alpha, \ y : \alpha, \ K : (\forall x, y.\alpha), \ \forall arg_1 : \alpha, \ arg_2 : \beta,$$
eval\_once  $K \ arg_1 \ arg_2 \in R(\alpha)\}$  (6)

Or, more succinctly:

$$\{K \mid ..., arg_1 \in R(\alpha)\}$$

R(t) can be similarly extended to include the S combinator:

$$\{S \mid \forall \alpha: T_n, \ \beta: T_m, \ \gamma: T_o, \ T_x = (\forall x: \alpha, y: \beta.\gamma), \ T_y = (\forall x: \alpha.\alpha), \ T_z = \alpha,$$

$$x: T_x, \ y: T_y, \ z: T_z, \ S: (\forall x, y, z.\gamma), \forall arg_1: T_x, \ arg_2: T_z, \ arg_3: T_z,$$

$$arg_1 \in R(T_x) \land arg_2 \in R(T_y) \land arg_3 \in R(T_z) \}$$

#### 4.2.2 Inductive Proof

It suffices in order to prove strong normalization of this system that a) all reducibility candidates in R are strongly-normalizing; and b) all well-typed expression (e:t) can be expressed using only expressions in R(t).

1. Strong Normalization of Reducibility Candidates

**Lemma 4.1.** All expressions e which are well-typed with type t and occupy the set R(t) are strongly normalizing.

Proof. 
$$\Box$$

#### 4.3 Strong Normalization of the STLC

#### 4.4 Encoding in Lean

Peyton Jones, Simon L. (1987). The Implementation of Functional Programming Languages (Prentice-Hall International Series in Computer Science), Prentice-Hall, Inc..

Robert Harper (2022). How to (Re)Invent Tait's Method.