

many measurements

$$M \approx Q^T \Sigma^{-1} Q$$

↑ sensitivity      ↖ covariance of measurements

for HFC/IC with only pressure measurements, assume

$$\Sigma = I \sigma^2$$

↑ identity      ↖ measurement covariance

simplification

$$M \approx Q^T (I \sigma^2)^{-1} Q$$

$$\approx Q^T (I \sigma^{-2}) Q$$

$$\approx \sigma^{-2} Q^T Q$$

for our pressure measurement, HFC/IC example

$$\sigma^2 : \text{MPa}^2 \qquad \sigma^{-2} : \text{MPa}^{-2}$$

$$Q : \frac{\text{MPa}}{\text{parameter units}}$$

what are the units of M?

$$M \approx \sigma^2 \cdot Q^T Q$$

$\sigma^2$  units:  $\text{MPa}^{-2}$   
 $Q$  units:  $\frac{\text{MPa}}{\text{param}}$   
 $Q^T Q$  units:  $\frac{\text{MPa}}{\text{param}}$

$$\Sigma_0 \approx M^{-1}$$

$\Sigma_0$  units:  $\text{param}_i^{-1} \cdot \text{param}_j^{-1}$

Why did changing pressure from Pa to bar (or MPa) change the FIM?

suspect accidentally assumed  $\sigma^2 = 1$

Take away: changing measurement units does NOT change FIM

How to improve FIM scaling? Only choice is to scale  $\theta$ .

How to scale  $\theta$ ?

↳ change units (possible changing  $P_i$  to bar changed units of  $\theta$ )

→ To avoid this, one possible option is to scale all of the measurements for regression to be dimensionless

original regression model

$$P_j = f(x_j, T_j, \theta)$$

output has units



$$P_j = P_{ref} \cdot f(x_j, T_j, \theta)$$

output is dimensionless

↳ scale  $\theta$  by the average value for FICM calculation

↳ log transform  $\theta$  (only really do this for models that require an order of magnitude change)

regressing  $k_{i,j} = f(T_j, \theta)$



dimensionless

check code

$$\beta_1 \left( \frac{T_j}{T_{ref}} \right)^1$$

$$\beta_2 \left( \frac{T_j}{T_{ref}} \right)^2$$

TODO: Bridgette check  $\sigma$  (or  $\beta$ ) are dimensions

TODO: Jinky check assumed  $\sigma^2$  in FIM calculation,  
update FIM calculation for 1 case, post to  
git Hub, agree, update everything

Question: does scaling objective in regression problems  
impact FIM estimate?

↳ if using paramest... yes! paramest gets the  
reduced Hessian of the opt. problem <sup>for covariance matrix / FIM</sup>

↳ if using Pyomo.DoE... no! Pyomo.DoE  
gets  $\sigma$  directly from the model

TODO: Estimate  $\sigma^2$  from data

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\mathbf{r}^T \cdot \mathbf{r}}{n - p}$$

$\mathbf{r}$ : residuals vector  
 $n$ : number of data points  
 $p$ : number of fitted parameters

For each case study, what is  $\hat{\sigma}^2$ ?

Hypothetical:

1000 Pa to 5000 Pa consistently across models  
↳ perhaps agree on 3000 Pa

What is  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  for the best fitting model for  
each system? } TODO:  
Bridgette

Time line:

1. Bridgette verifies  $\beta$  is dimensionless
2. " computes  $\hat{\sigma}^{-2}$  for all systems (best model),  
chooses <sub>one</sub> " number of  $\hat{\sigma}^{-2}$  to use for all FIU  
calcs
3. Jialu computes FIU, posts to GitHub, also double check all scaling options are consistent with magnitude  
gets feedback on order of magnitude for  $D$ ,  $A$ ,  $E$ -opt and eigen decomposition never
4. Jialu recomputes FIU for all cases