

## Linked Lists

There are many different implementations of sequences in Python. Today, we'll explore the linked list implementation.

A linked list is either an empty linked list, or a `Link` object containing a `first` value and the `rest` of the linked list.

To check if a linked list is an empty linked list, compare it against the class attribute `Link.empty`:

```
if link is Link.empty:
    print('This linked list is empty!')
else:
    print('This linked list is not empty!')
```

You can find an implementation of the `Link` class below:

```
class Link:
    """A linked list."""
    empty = ()

    def __init__(self, first, rest=empty):
        assert rest is Link.empty or isinstance(rest, Link)
        self.first = first
        self.rest = rest

    def __repr__(self):
        if self.rest:
            rest_repr = ', ' + repr(self.rest)
        else:
            rest_repr = ''
        return 'Link(' + repr(self.first) + rest_repr + ')'

    def __str__(self):
        string = '<'
        while self.rest is not Link.empty:
            string += str(self.first) + ' '
            self = self.rest
        return string + str(self.first) + '>'
```

### Q1: WWPB: Linked Lists

What would Python display?

Note: If you get stuck, try drawing out the box-and-pointer diagram for the linked list or running examples in 61A Code.

```
>>> link = Link(1, Link(2, Link(3)))
>>> link.first
```

```
>>> link.rest.first
```

```
>>> link.rest.rest.rest is Link.empty
```

```
>>> link.rest = link.rest.rest
>>> link.rest.first
```

```
>>> link = Link(1)
>>> link.rest = link
>>> link.rest.rest.rest.rest.first
```

```
>>> link = Link(2, Link(3, Link(4)))
>>> link2 = Link(1, link)
>>> link2.first
```

```
>>> link2.rest.first
```

```
>>> link = Link(1000, 2000)
```

```
>>> link = Link(1000, Link())
```

```
>>> link = Link(Link("Hello"), Link(2))
>>> link.first
```

```
>>> link = Link(Link("Hello"), Link(2))
>>> link.first.rest is Link.Empty
```

```
>>> link = Link(Link("Hello"), Link(2))
>>> link.rest is Link.Empty
```

## Q2: Convert Link

Write a function `convert_link` that takes in a linked list and returns the sequence as a Python list. You may assume that the input list is shallow; that is none of the elements is another linked list.

Try to find both an iterative and recursive solution for this problem!

Challenge: You may NOT assume that the input list is shallow, and we still want to return a flattened Python list as our output. Challenge Hint: Use the `type` built-in.

```
def convert_link(link):
    """Takes a linked list and returns a Python list with the same elements.

    >>> link = Link(1, Link(2, Link(3, Link(4))))
    >>> convert_link(link)
    [1, 2, 3, 4]
    >>> convert_link(Link.empty)
    []
    """
    "*** YOUR CODE HERE ***"
```

# You can use more space on the back if you want

**Q3: Duplicate Link**

Write a function `duplicate_link` that takes in a linked list `link` and a `value`. `duplicate_link` will mutate `link` such that if there is a linked list node that has a `first` equal to `value`, that node will be duplicated. **Note that** you should be mutating the original link list `link`; you will need to create new `Links`, but you should not be returning a new linked list.

**Note:** In order to insert a link into a linked list, you need to modify the `.rest` of certain links. We encourage you to draw out a doctest to visualize!

```
def duplicate_link(link, val):
    """Mutates `link` such that if there is a linked list
    node that has a first equal to value, that node will
    be duplicated. Note that you should be mutating the
    original link list.

    >>> x = Link(5, Link(4, Link(3)))
    >>> duplicate_link(x, 5)
    >>> x
    Link(5, Link(5, Link(4, Link(3))))
    >>> y = Link(2, Link(4, Link(6, Link(8))))
    >>> duplicate_link(y, 10)
    >>> y
    Link(2, Link(4, Link(6, Link(8))))
    >>> z = Link(1, Link(2, (Link(2, Link(3)))))
    >>> duplicate_link(z, 2) #ensures that back to back links with val are both
    duplicated
    >>> z
    Link(1, Link(2, Link(2, Link(2, Link(2, Link(3))))))
    """
    "*** YOUR CODE HERE ***"
```

# You can use more space on the back if you want

**Q4: Multiply Links**

Write a function that takes in a Python list of linked lists and multiplies them element-wise. It should return a new linked list.

If not all of the `Link` objects are of equal length, return a linked list whose length is that of the shortest linked list given. You may assume the `Link` objects are shallow linked lists, and that `lst_of_lns` contains at least one linked list.

```
def multiply_lns(lst_of_lns):
    """
    >>> a = Link(2, Link(3, Link(5)))
    >>> b = Link(6, Link(4, Link(2)))
    >>> c = Link(4, Link(1, Link(0, Link(2))))
    >>> p = multiply_lns([a, b, c])
    >>> p.first
    48
    >>> p.rest.first
    12
    >>> p.rest.rest.rest is Link.empty
    True
    """
    # Implementation Note: you might not need all lines in this skeleton code
    ----- = -----
    for -----:
        if -----:
            -----
            -----
    -----
    -----
    -----
```

**Q5: Flip Two**

Write a recursive function `flip_two` that takes as input a linked list `s` and mutates `s` so that every pair is flipped.

```
def flip_two(s):
    """
    >>> one_lnk = Link(1)
    >>> flip_two(one_lnk)
    >>> one_lnk
    Link(1)
    >>> lnk = Link(1, Link(2, Link(3, Link(4, Link(5))))))
    >>> flip_two(lnk)
    >>> lnk
    Link(2, Link(1, Link(4, Link(3, Link(5))))))
    """
    "*** YOUR CODE HERE ***"

    # For an extra challenge, try writing out an iterative approach as well below!
    "*** YOUR CODE HERE ***"

    # You can use more space on the back if you want
```

# Efficiency

When we talk about the efficiency of a function, we are often interested in the following: as the size of the input grows, how does the runtime of the function change? And what do we mean by *runtime*?

**Example 1:** `square(1)` requires one primitive operation: multiplication. `square(100)` also requires one. No matter what input `n` we pass into `square`, it always takes a *constant* number of operations (1). In other words, this function has a runtime complexity of  $\Theta(1)$ .

As an illustration, check out the table below:

input	function call	return value	operations
1	<code>square(1)</code>	<code>1*1</code>	1
2	<code>square(2)</code>	<code>2*2</code>	1
...	...	...	...
100	<code>square(100)</code>	<code>100*100</code>	1
...	...	...	...
n	<code>square(n)</code>	<code>n*n</code>	1

**Example 2:** `factorial(1)` requires one multiplication, but `factorial(100)` requires 100 multiplications. As we increase the input size of `n`, the runtime (number of operations) increases **linearly** proportional to the input. In other words, this function has a runtime complexity of  $\Theta(n)$ .

As an illustration, check out the table below:

input	function call	return value	operations
1	<code>factorial(1)</code>	<code>1*1</code>	1
2	<code>factorial(2)</code>	<code>2*1*1</code>	2
...	...	...	...
100	<code>factorial(100)</code>	<code>100*99*...*1*1</code>	100
...	...	...	...
n	<code>factorial(n)</code>	<code>n*(n-1)*...*1*1</code>	n

**Example 3:** Consider the following function: `def bar(n): for a in range(n): for b in range(n): print(a,b)`


`bar(1)` requires 1 print statements, while `bar(100)` requires `100*100 = 10000` print statements (each time `a` increments, we have 100 print statements due to the inner for loop). Thus, the runtime increases **quadratically** proportional to the input. In other words, this function has a runtime complexity of  $\Theta(n^2)$ .

input	function call	operations (prints)
1	<code>bar(1)</code>	1
2	<code>bar(2)</code>	4
...	...	...
100	<code>bar(100)</code>	10000
...	...	...
n	<code>bar(n)</code>	$n^2$



**Example 4:** Consider the following function: `def rec(n): if n == 0: return 1 else: return rec(n - 1) + rec(n - 1)`

`rec(1)` requires one addition, as it returns `rec(0) + rec(0)`, and `rec(0)` hits the base case and requires no further additions. but `rec(4)` requires  $2^4 - 1 = 15$  additions. To further understand the intuition, we can take a look at the recursive tree below. To get `rec(4)`, we need one addition. We have two calls to `rec(3)`, which each require one addition, so this level needs two additions. Then we have four calls to `rec(2)`, so this level requires four additions, and so on down the tree. In total, this adds up to  $1 + 2 + 4 + 8 = 15$  additions.



### Recursive Call Tree

As we increase the input size of  $n$ , the runtime (number of operations) increases **exponentially** proportional to the input. In other words, this function has a runtime complexity of  $\Theta(2^n)$ .

As an illustration, check out the table below:

input	function call	return value	operations
1	<code>rec(1)</code>	2	1
2	<code>rec(2)</code>	4	3
...	...	...	...
10	<code>rec(10)</code>	1024	1023
...	...	...	...
$n$	<code>rec(n)</code>	$2^n$	$2^n$

Here are some general guidelines for finding the order of growth for the runtime of a function:

- If the function is recursive or iterative, you can subdivide the problem as seen above:
  - Count the number of recursive calls/iterations that will be made in terms of input size  $n$ .

- Find how much work is done per recursive call or iteration in terms of input size  $n$ .
- The answer is usually the product of the above two, but be sure to pay attention to control flow!
- If the function calls helper functions that are not constant-time, you need to take the runtime of the helper functions into consideration.
- We can ignore constant factors. For example  $1000000n$  and  $n$  steps are both linear.
- We can also ignore smaller factors. For example if  $h$  calls  $f$  and  $g$ , and  $f$  is Quadratic while  $g$  is linear, then  $h$  is Quadratic.
- For the purposes of this class, we take a fairly coarse view of efficiency. All the problems we cover in this course can be grouped as one of the following:
  - Constant: the amount of time does not change based on the input size. Rule:  $n \rightarrow 2n$  means  $t \rightarrow t$ .
  - Logarithmic: the amount of time changes based on the logarithm of the input size. Rule:  $n \rightarrow 2n$  means  $t \rightarrow t + k$ .
  - Linear: the amount of time changes with direct proportion to the size of the input. Rule:  $n \rightarrow 2n$  means  $t \rightarrow 2t$ .
  - Quadratic: the amount of time changes based on the square of the input size. Rule:  $n \rightarrow 2n$  means  $t \rightarrow 4t$ .
  - Exponential: the amount of time changes with a power of the input size. Rule:  $n \rightarrow n + 1$  means  $t \rightarrow 2t$ .

**Q6: The First Order...of Growth**

What is the efficiency of `rey`?

```
def rey(finn):  
    poe = 0  
    while finn >= 2:  
        poe += finn  
        finn = finn / 2  
    return
```

Choose one of:

- Constant
- Logarithmic
- Linear
- Quadratic
- Exponential
- None of these

What is the efficiency of `mod_7`?

```
def mod_7(n):  
    if n % 7 == 0:  
        return 0  
    else:  
        return 1 + mod_7(n - 1)
```

Choose one of:

- Constant
- Logarithmic
- Linear
- Quadratic
- Exponential
- None of these


# Additional Practice: Trees

## Q7: Find Paths

**Hint:** This question is similar to [find\\_path](#) on Discussion 05.

Define the procedure `find_paths` that, given a Tree `t` and an `entry`, returns a list of lists containing the nodes along each path from the root of `t` to `entry`. You may return the paths in any order.

For instance, for the following tree `tree_ex`, `find_paths` should behave as specified in the function doctests.



```

def find_paths(t, entry):
    """
    >>> tree_ex = Tree(2, [Tree(7, [Tree(3), Tree(6, [Tree(5), Tree(11)])]), Tree(1, [
    Tree(5)])])
    >>> find_paths(tree_ex, 5)
    [[2, 7, 6, 5], [2, 1, 5]]
    >>> find_paths(tree_ex, 12)
    []
    """

    paths = []
    if _____:
        _____
    for _____:
        _____
        _____
    _____
  
```