

# A Review of Cloud Computing Simulation Platforms and Related Environments

James Byrne<sup>1</sup>, Sergej Svorobej<sup>1</sup>, Konstantinos M. Giannoutakis<sup>2</sup>, Dimitrios Tzovaras<sup>2</sup>, P. J. Byrne<sup>1</sup>, Per-Olov Östberg<sup>3</sup>, Anna Gourinovitch<sup>1</sup> and Theo Lynn<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Irish Centre for Cloud Computing and Commerce, Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland*

<sup>2</sup>*Information Technologies Institute, Centre for Research and Technology Hellas, 6th km Xarilaou-Thermi, 57001 Thessaloniki, Greece*

<sup>3</sup>*Dept. Computing Science and HPC2N, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden*

**Keywords:** Cloud Computing, Cloud Simulation Tools, Data Centre, Fog Computing.

**Abstract:** Recent years have seen an increasing trend towards the development of Discrete Event Simulation (DES) platforms to support cloud computing related decision making and research. The complexity of cloud environments is increasing with scale and heterogeneity posing a challenge for the efficient management of cloud applications and data centre resources. The increasing ubiquity of social media, mobile and cloud computing combined with the Internet of Things and emerging paradigms such as Edge and Fog Computing is exacerbating this complexity. Given the scale, complexity and commercial sensitivity of hyperscale computing environments, the opportunity for experimentation is limited and requires substantial investment of resources both in terms of time and effort. DES provides a low risk technique for providing decision support for complex hyperscale computing scenarios. In recent years, there has been a significant increase in the development and extension of tools to support DES for cloud computing resulting in a wide range of tools which vary in terms of their utility and features. Through a review and analysis of available literature, this paper provides an overview and multi-level feature analysis of 33 DES tools for cloud computing environments. This review updates and extends existing reviews to include not only autonomous simulation platforms, but also on plugins and extensions for specific cloud computing use cases. This review identifies the emergence of CloudSim as a *de facto* base platform for simulation research and shows a lack of tool support for distributed execution (parallel execution on distributed memory systems).

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The definition of cloud computing is widely accepted to be "...a model for enabling ubiquitous, convenient, on-demand network access to a shared pool of configurable computing resources (e.g., networks, servers, storage, applications, and services) that can be rapidly provisioned and released with minimal management effort or service provider interaction" (Mell and Grance, 2009). While the reference architecture for cloud computing has evolved over time the essential characteristics, service models and deployment models have largely remained the same (Liu et al., 2011). The broad cross-domain applicability of cloud computing has led to the emergence of a variety of resource profiles and technological options, with a substantial degree of heterogeneity in

data centre resources and service offerings (Östberg et al., 2014). Recently, this trend has also been magnified by increasing demands for dependability and real-time low latency communication, which has driven integration of telecommunications and cloud infrastructure (edge computing), as well as development and integration of applications that make increased use of the capabilities of end-user devices and appliances (fog computing). A general inability to control and process the network environment and predict and control network conditions in hyperscale computing environments has necessitated the development of discrete event simulation (DES) platforms capable of supporting complex decision making within these environments (Jiang et al., 2012), (Tian et al., 2015). IDC (2016) predict rapid and substantial increases in enterprise cloud and the Internet of Things (IOT) adoption with

at least 40% of IoT-created data being stored, processed, analysed, and acted upon close to or at the edge of the network by 2019. These trends are increasing both the range of use cases and features that DES tools are required to support. An overview of the state of the art for such DES tools is presented in this paper.

Earlier efforts for DES in this domain focused on grid computing, whereby simulation tooling support was provided for uniformly aggregating and sharing distributed heterogeneous resources for solving large-scale applications, such as in the fields of science, engineering and commerce (Sulistio et al., 2008). Various grid computing simulators have been developed (Sulistio et al., 2008) and are presented in literature, such as *OptorSim* (Bell et al., 2002), *MONARC* (Legrand and Newman, 2000), *SimGrid* (Legrand et al., 2003), *GridSim* (Buyya and Murshed, 2002) and *MicroGrid* (Song et al., 2000). However, these alone do not provide an environment that can be directly used by the cloud computing community (W. Zhao et al., 2012); grid computing simulators assume compute jobs to be deterministic, non-interactive fixed duration whereas cloud simulators typically aim to analyse the behaviour of data centre resources that host virtual machines in multi-tenancy scenarios over non-deterministic timeframes, with highly variable user load taken into consideration. The work presented in this paper focuses on DES tools that support Infrastructure as a Service (IAAS) cloud computing use cases and the related Edge and Fog Computing paradigms.

There are a number of potential advantages to the use and development of such DES tools to support cloud computing. Experimentation in a simulated environment is typically far less expensive economically than using a real testbed. Furthermore, such experimentation is repeatable and potentially scalable in terms of addressing the simulation of larger-scale systems. In addition, experimentations can be performed in a timelier fashion, and risks with respect to stochastic inputs can be taken into account. However it is noted by (Sakellari and Loukas, 2013) that while simulation offers a number of advantages especially in terms of such scalability and experiment repeatability, it is still based on assumptions and simplifications that might not fully represent an actual cloud. For this reason, it still might be preferable in some circumstances to use real cloud testbeds in place of simulation or to validate results developed in simulated environments. Sakellari and Loukas (2013) provide an overview of such testbeds and software frameworks for setting up such cloud testbeds.

This paper gives an overview of current work in cloud computing simulation tool development. It categorizes and reviews DES tools for cloud computing, identifies application DES tools for cloud computing environments, and provides a multi-level feature comparison of identified simulation tools plugins and extensions. This multi-level comparison concerns a general high level comparison as well as comparing high level technical characteristics for classifying the tools.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 provides an overview related research to position the contribution of this work. Section 3 introduces the tools identified in the review. Section 4 presents a multi-level feature analysis of the tools. The paper concludes with a discussion of key findings and areas for future research.

## 2 RELATED WORK

There are a number of existing papers that provide overviews of DES tools to support cloud computing. Zhao et al. (2012) present a summary of tools to model and simulate cloud computing systems, including both software and hardware simulators. They give a feature description for 11 tools, and provide a comparison based on the underlying platform, programming language, and whether they are software or hardware-based. Sinha and Shekhar (2015) present a high level overview of 15 cloud simulation tools, and provide a tabular comparison of these based on graphical user interface support, platform used, language used, support of TCP/IP, whether they are software or hardware-based, and their availability (software license type). As part of their work, Sakellari and Loukas (2013) provide an overview of cloud simulation software. They present an overview of eight tools, and provide a tabular comparison based on whether they support energy efficiency modelling, performance/quality of service (QoS), programming language, availability (on the web), and license type. Malhotra and Jain (2013) provide an overview of five cloud simulation tools, and compare them based on underlying platform, programming language, networking support, the type of simulator (event versus packet based), and license type. Similarly, Mohana, Saroja, and Venkatachalam (2014) provide an overview of six cloud simulation tools and compares them by underlying platform, simulator type, language, networking, and availability. Ahmed and Sabyasachi (2014) give an overview of 12 cloud simulators and

compare these based on underlying platform, availability, programming language, whether or not they provide cost modelling, if they have a GUI, if they have communication models or energy models, the simulation time and whether they model federation policies.

The work presented in this paper builds on these previous related works by extending both the breadth and depth of analysis. 33 platforms, plugins and extensions are introduced and analysed including many which have not been analysed and compared previously e.g. *CactoSim* (Östberg et al., 2014), *DISSECT-CF* (Kecskemeti et al., 2014), *iFogSim* (Gupta et al., 2016) and *CloudEXP* (Jararweh et al., 2014). For each tool, a multi-level feature analysis is provided, for high-level comparison of the frameworks.

### 3 PLATFORMS FOR CLOUD COMPUTING SIMULATION

Table 1 lists current identified tools that support DES for cloud computing, in alphabetical order.

Table 1: Identified cloud computing-related DES tools.

<i>Bazaar Extension*</i>	<i>DISSECT-CF</i>
<i>CACTOSim</i>	<i>EMUSim*</i>
<i>CDOSim*</i>	<i>GDCSim</i>
<i>CEPSim*</i>	<i>GreenCloud</i>
<i>Cloud2Sim*</i>	<i>GroudSim</i>
<i>CloudAnalyst*</i>	<i>ICanCloud</i>
<i>CloudEXP*</i>	<i>iFogSim*</i>
<i>CloudNetSim++</i>	<i>MDCSim</i>
<i>CloudReports*</i>	<i>MR-CloudSim*</i>
<i>CloudSched</i>	<i>NetworkCloudSim*</i>
<i>CloudSim</i>	<i>SimGrid</i>
<i>CloudSimDisk*</i>	<i>SimIC</i>
<i>CloudSimSDN*</i>	<i>SPECI</i>
<i>CMCloudSimulator*</i>	<i>TeachCloud*</i>
<i>DartCSim*</i>	<i>Ucloud*</i>
<i>DCSim<sup>1</sup></i>	<i>WorkflowSim*</i>
<i>DCSim<sup>2</sup></i>	

\*Derivatives or extensions of *CloudSim*

<sup>1</sup> This refers to *DCSim* by Tighe, (2012)

<sup>2</sup> This refers to *DCSim* by Chen et al., (2012)

*Bazaar-Extension* (Pittl et al., 2016) is a *CloudSim* extension for simulating resource allocations by negotiation processes. The negotiation process is realised between provider and consumer using the offer-counteroffer negotiation protocol for resource allocation, while the authors simulate different negotiation strategies. The architecture of *Bazaar-Extension* (which is built on *CloudSim*) consists of

the *Datacenter* broker and the *Negotiation Manager* that handles the auctioning process for forming service level agreements.

*CactoSim* was developed as part of *CACTOS*, a European Union Framework 7 project (CACTOS Consortium, 2016). *CACTOS* aimed to deliver a set of integrated tools for analysing application behaviour and infrastructure performance data, mathematical models and their realization to determine the best fitting resource within a provider context, and a prediction and simulation environment for diverse application workloads (Östberg et al., 2014). To this end, *CactoSim* is a DES framework built on top of *Palladio* (Becker et al., 2009), and *SimuLizar* (Becker et al., 2013) which was developed as part of *CloudScale* (Brataas et al., 2013). It is used to evaluate the effectiveness of optimization strategies for the cloud, as well as for iterative resource planning and operations decision support.

*CDOSim* (Fittkau et al., 2012) is a simulation framework based on *CloudSim* and focuses on evaluating competing cloud deployment options. It simulates response times, SLA violations and costs of various deployment options. Its purpose is to assist cloud users to find the best ratio between high performance and low costs.

The *CEPSim* (Higashino et al., 2016) simulator is also an extension to *CloudSim* that focuses on supporting cloud-based *Complex Event Processing (CEP)* and *Stream Processing (SP)* systems that related to big data technologies. *CEPSim* transforms user queries into directed acyclic graph representations. The modelled queries can be simulated on different deployment models including private, public, hybrid and multi-clouds.

*Cloud2Sim* (Kathiravelu and Veiga, 2014) is a concurrent and distributed cloud and *MapReduce* simulator that is built on top of *CloudSim*, using the distributed shared memory from *Hazelcast* and the in-memory key-value data grid of *Infinispan*. The motivation for the development of this simulator was the long execution time and limited simulation size on uniprocessor systems. It provides the functionality to execute *CloudSim* in parallel and thus scale up simulations.

*CloudAnalyst* (Wickremasinghe et al., 2010) is a Cloud simulation tool developed on the Java platform for the simulation of large-scale cloud applications with the purpose to study and analyse the behaviour of such applications under various deployment scenarios. It extends the functionality of the *CloudSim* toolkit through the introduction of concepts that model the Internet and Internet

application behaviour. It allows description of application workloads including information on the geographic location of users generating traffic, the location of data centres, the number of users and data centres, and the number of resources in each data centre. Provided with this information, metrics such as the response and processing time of requests are generated. The main features of *CloudAnalyst* are: the easy to use Graphical User Interface, the ability to define a simulation with a high degree of configurability and flexibility, the repeatability of experiments, its graphical output, the use of consolidated technology, and ease of extension.

The *CloudExp* framework is Java-based and again is built on top of *CloudSim* (Jararweh et al., 2014). *CloudExp* can be used to evaluate cloud components such as processing elements, data centers, storage, networking, SLA constraints, web-based applications, Service Oriented Architecture (SOA), virtualization, management and automation, and Business Process Management (BPM) components. In addition, *CloudExp* introduces the Rain workload generator which emulates real workloads in cloud environments.

*CloudNetSim++* (Malik et al., 2014) is designed to allow researchers to incorporate their custom protocols and applications for analysis under realistic data centre architectures with various network traffic patterns. It provides a framework that allows users to define SLA policies, scheduling algorithms and models for different components of data centres. The energy utilization is computed in three components: servers, communication links and data centre infrastructures (such as routers and switches). It is built on top of OMNeT++ and provides a rich GUI to simplify analysis, debugging and addition of hardware components into the simulation.

*CloudReports* (Teixeira Sá et al., 2014) is an extensible simulation tool for energy-aware cloud computing environments to enable researchers to model multiple complex scenarios through a GUI. It provides four layers on top of the *CloudSim* simulation engine: Reports manager, Simulation manager, Extensions and Core entities. The main advantage of *CloudReports* is its modular architecture that allows the extension of its API for experimenting with new scheduling and provisioning algorithms.

*CloudSched* (Tian et al., 2015) is a simulation tool for the evaluation and modelling of cloud environments and applications with a focus on comparing different resource scheduling algorithms in IaaS with regards to both computing servers and

user workloads. *CloudSched* was introduced as a means to provide better cloud performance compared to *CloudSim* and *CloudAnalyst*. Unlike traditional scheduling algorithms that consider only one factor (such as CPU), which can cause hotspots or bottlenecks in many cases, *CloudSched* treats multi-dimensional resources such as CPU, memory and network bandwidth integrated for both physical machines and virtual machines for different scheduling objectives. The main *CloudSched* features are: its focus on infrastructure as a service (IaaS) layer, the provision of a uniform view of all resources, the lightweight design and scalability, its high extensibility and ease of use.

*CloudSim* (Calheiros, 2011) is an open source and extensible Java simulation platform for enabling continuous modelling, simulation, and experimentation of cloud computing and application services. *CloudSim* is the *de facto* platform of choice for open source simulation tool development; 18 of the tools analysed were derivatives or extensions of *CloudSim*. The *CloudSim* architecture follows a layered approach. At the fundamental layer, management of applications, hosts of VMs, and dynamic system states are provided. By extending the core VM provisioning functionality, the efficiency of different strategies at this layer can be studied. At the top layer, the User Code represents the basic entities for hosts, and through extending entities at this layer, one can enable the application to generate requests using a variety of approaches and configurations, model cloud scenarios, implement custom applications and so on. In the *CloudSim* implementation, there are no actual entities available for simulating network entities, such as routers or switches. Instead, network latency between two components is simulated based on the information stored in a latency matrix. The event management engine of *CloudSim* utilizes the inter-entity network latency information for inducing delays in transmitting message to entities. This delay is expressed in simulation time units such as milliseconds. The *CloudSim* framework provides basic models and entities to validate and evaluate energy-conscious provisioning of techniques/algorithms.

*CloudSimDisk* (Louis et al., 2015) is a *CloudSim* extension focusing on modelling and simulating energy aware storage hardware components in cloud infrastructures. The implementation of *CloudSimDisk* is based on analytical models that were tested against hard disk drive manufacturer specifications. It includes HDD power models, disk array management algorithms and energy-aware data



center storage. Experimentation with *CloudSimDisk* shows good results in terms of validation, while the scalability of the extension allows future implementations of more complex systems.

*CloudSimSDN* (Son et al., 2015) is a *CloudSim* extension for Software Defined Networking (SDN)-enabled cloud environments. It provides a lightweight and scalable simulation environment to evaluate the network allocation capacity policies. It simulates cloud data centres, physical machines, switches, network links and virtual topologies for measuring performance metrics, and energy consumption. It also provides a GUI for simplifying the simulation configuration.

*CMCloudSimulator* (Alves et al., 2016) focuses on simulating applications with various deployment configurations. It incurs the cost it would require when implemented in a cloud provider according to the cost model of any service provider. It is built as a *CloudSim* extension and supports various cost models that can be designed using XML. With *CMCloudSimulator*, one can estimate the total cost of the resulting simulation and compare the results with different cloud providers, by obtaining the best price from them dynamically.

*DartCSim* (Li et al., 2012) is a GUI layer on top of *CloudSim* providing a more user-friendly interface. This allows the user to configure all the simulation data easily including the configuration of network cloudlets, network topology, and the algorithms for managing the cloud data center.

*DCSim* (Tighe, 2012), (Keller et al., 2013) is an extensible framework for simulating a multi-tenant, virtualized data centre with special purpose of dynamically managing hardware resources. *DCSim* provides an application model that can simulate the interactions and dependencies between many VMs working together to provide a single service, such as in the case of a multi-tiered web application. *DCSim* simulates a virtualized data centre operating an Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) cloud. Virtual machine management operations, such as VM live migration and replication, are supported within *DCSim*. The resource needs of each VM in *DCSim* are driven dynamically by an application class component, which varies the level of resources required by the VM to simulate a real workload. *DCSim* reports a number of metrics in order to help determine the behaviour of the data centre during the simulation such as SLA violations, data centre utilization, active hosts, host-hours, active host utilization, number of migrations, and power consumption. A visualization tool is included with *DCSim* which automatically generates a set of

graphs based on the simulation log files.

There is an additional simulation platform also called DCSim (Data Centre Simulator) as referred to by Chen et al., (2012). They use this to model a small-scale operating system, HDD and SSD towards achieving a multi-layer heterogeneous system simulation.

*DISSECT-CF* (DIScrete event baSed Energy Consumption simulator for clouds and Federations) is a simulation framework capable of simulating the internal components and processes of cloud infrastructures allowing the evaluation of energy consumption, network behaviour and the effects of cross virtual machine CPU sharing (KecsKemeti et al., 2014). In their paper, (KecsKemeti et al., 2014) introduce techniques for unifying *DISSECT-CF* with *GroudSim*, thereby providing *GroudSim* with the ability to model the internals of infrastructure clouds (such as energy models and more complex networking), as *DISSECT-CF* is more focused on the internal organization and behaviour of IaaS systems. This improves the modelling of resource usage, network usage, power consumption and data centre configurations.

*EMUSIM* (Calheiros et al., 2013) is a tool built on top of *CloudSim* that automatically extracts information from application behaviour via emulation, and uses this information to generate a corresponding simulation model. This process is performed order to better predict the service's behaviour on cloud platforms; increased accuracy in an application behaviour model leads to higher accuracy in simulated system resource utilization estimation on cloud platforms.

*GDCSim* (Gupta et al., 2014) is a simulation tool for studying the energy efficiency of data centres under various data center geometries, workload characteristics, platform power management schemes, and scheduling algorithms. The main focus of *GDCSim* simulator is the energy efficiency analysis and its functional behaviour can be characterised by: automated processing, online analysis capability, iterative design analysis, thermal analysis capability, workload and power management and consideration of cyber-physical interdependency.

*GreenCloud* (Kliazovich et al., 2012) is an open-source cloud computing simulator, specifically designed for data centre simulation by implementing detailed modelling of communication aspects of the data centre. It is classified as a packet-level simulator, and, along with the workload distribution, the simulator is designed to capture details of the energy consumed by data centre components

(servers, switches, routers, and connection links between them) as well as packet-level communication patterns in realistic setups. *GreenCloud* also allows analysis of the load distribution through the network, as well as communication with high accuracy (TCP packet level). It implements a simplistic application model without any communicating tasks or limited network model within the data centre. *GreenCloud* simulator is an extension of ns-2 simulator, which is used in computer networking. Using ns-2 as the foundation, *GreenCloud* implements a full TCP/IP protocol reference model, which allows seamless integration of a wide variety of communication protocols including IP, TCP, and UDP with the simulation.

*GroudSim* (Ostermann et al., 2011) is an event-based Java-based simulation toolkit, mainly focused on scientific applications running on combined Grid and cloud infrastructures. *GroudSim* supports modelling of cloud compute and network resources, job submissions, file transfers, as well as integration of failure, background load, and cost models.

*iCanCloud* (Núñez et al., 2012) is aimed at simulating cloud resources as provided by the Amazon Elastic Compute Cloud (EC2), although its creators claim it can be extended to simulate other environments using the provided API. Its primary aim is to predict the trade-offs between cost and performance of a given application executed in a specific hardware. *iCanCloud* is based on various platforms: *OMNeT++*, *MPI*, and *C++*. The *iCanCloud* architecture follows a layered approach with four layers: *VMs repository*, *Application repository*, *Cloud hypervisor* and *Cloud system*. It provides configurations for storage systems, which include models for local storage systems, remote storage systems and parallel storage systems.

*iFogSim* (Gupta et al., 2016) is a simulator built on top of CloudSim specifically for supporting the modelling of IoT and Fog computing environments, in order to measure the impact of resource management techniques in terms of latency, network congestion, energy consumption and cost.

*MDCSim* (Lim, 2009) is a flexible and scalable simulation platform for in-depth analysis of multi-tier data centres. It implements all the important design specifics of communications, kernel level scheduling artefacts and application level interactions among the tiers of a three-tier data centre.

*MR-CloudSim* is primarily concerned with designing and implementing the *MapReduce* computing model on *CloudSim* (Jung and Kim, 2012), in order to provide an easier way to examine

a *MapReduce* model in a data centre.

*NetworkCloudSim* (Garg and Buyya, 2011) is an extension of *CloudSim* that supports a scalable network model of a data centre and generalized applications such as high-performance computing (HPC), e-commerce, social networks, and web applications. *NetworkCloudSim* can simulate a cloud data centre network and applications with communicating tasks with accuracy. It provides models to support realistic, multi-tier applications that comprise several tasks that communicate with each other. In the original *CloudSim* implementation, it was assumed subtly that each VM is connected with all other VMs. The drawback of this is that it fails to model a realistic data centre environment. To tackle this issue, *NetworkCloudSim* provides three types of switches in the corresponding levels: root, aggregate and edge level. Users can design customized types of switches and their ports according to the data centre environment they want to simulate.

*SimGrid* (Casanova et al., 2008) is a simulation toolkit for the study of scheduling algorithms for distributed applications. Originally designed for simulating grid computing, it has been extended to support a variety of cloud computing use cases including multi-purpose network representation (Bobelin et al., 2012); VM abstraction (Hirofuchi and Lebre, 2013); live migration (Hirofuchi et al., 2013); virtual machine support (Hirofuchi et al., 2015), and storage simulation (Lebre et al., 2015).

Sotiriadis et al. (2013) present *SimIC* (Simulating the Inter-Cloud) which is a DES toolkit based on the process oriented simulation package of *SimJava* (Howell and McNab, 1998). It aims to replicate an inter-cloud facility wherein multiple clouds collaborate with each other for distributing service requests with regard to the desired setup of the simulation.

According to (Sriram, 2009) *SPECI* (Simulation Program for Elastic Cloud Infrastructures) is a simulation tool that allows exploration of aspects of scaling as well as performance properties of future data centres. *SPECI* simulates the performance and behaviour of data centres given the size and middleware design policy as an input.

*TeachCloud* is a tool designed to overcome challenges in teaching cloud computing (Jararweh et al., 2013). Based on *CloudSim*, the authors developed a GUI for the toolkit. They also integrated the MapReduce framework, and added a *rain cloud workload generator*, modules relating to *SLA* and *BPM*, cloud network models, a monitoring outlet for most of the cloud system components, and an action

model to enable students to reconfigure the system and study impact on the total system performance.

*UCloud* (Sqalli et al., 2012) was also developed for educational purposes. Built on *CloudSim*, *UCloud*'s architecture is based on the hybrid cloud model and therefore supports both public and private clouds. It comprises two parts, the *Cloud Management System* and the *Hybrid Cloud*.

*WorkflowSim* (Chen and Deelman, 2012) extends *CloudSim* through the provision of a higher layer of workflow management. This enables researchers to evaluate their workflow optimisation techniques with more accuracy and support.

## 4 CLOUD COMPUTING DES FEATURE MATRICES

In order to compare the identified DES platforms presented in Section 3, a multi-level approach is employed. Two feature matrices have been produced: Table 2 presents a *general high level* feature matrix, whereas Table 3 presents a *high level technical* feature matrix.

Table 2 presents the following key features for comparison of *general high level* aspects:

- *Underlying Software Stack*. Any major 3rd party dependencies that are required for software to function.
- *License(s)*. The software license type of the simulation platform and the underlying software stack.
- *Initial Publication Year*. The year when the first academic publication became available describing features and usage scenarios of simulation platform.
- *Lines of Code (LOC)*. The number of lines of code, determined by using Cloc v1.64. Comments and empty lines are not included in this calculation. Also, the authors made the best judgement to exclude any 3rd party source code that also was distributed in a bundle. For example, for all CloudSim based simulators the actual CloudSim code (usually located in src\org\cloudbus) was removed from calculations.
- *Last Code Update*. The identified year that the last commit of the source code was carried out.
- *User Documentation Availability*. The identified availability of separate documentation that explains how to install and use the relative DES platform.
- *Source Code Availability*. The identified

availability of an online repository with the latest source code that can be downloaded and used by anyone.

- *Binary availability*. The availability of pre-compiled executable code.

Table 3 summarises the *high level technical* features as follows:

- *Language(s)*. The major identified programming language(s) that were used in the development of the simulation platform.
- *Platform Portability*. The ability to use the simulation platform under multiple operation systems (e.g. MS Windows, Linux) without significant effort and performance difference.
- *Distributed Architecture*. The ability of software to be executed on more than one host. This category includes a single simulation run being distributed among multiple hosts as well as scaling up for load balancing if the multiple simulation runs need to be executed at the same time.
- *Model Persistence Type*. The identified persistence format of the experiment scenarios that the simulation platform requires in order to execute simulation runs.
- *Web API Availability*. The identified availability of a web-based API for controlling the simulation platform remotely.
- *User Documentation Availability*. The identified availability of separate documentation that explains how to install and use the relative DES platform.
- *Graphical User Interface Availability*. The availability of a graphical user interface that enables the graphical modelling of experiments, simulation execution and the presentation of simulation results.
- *Headless Execution*. The identified ability to run the simulation platform without a user interface, using only command line arguments.
- *Format of Result Output*. The format which is used by the simulation platform to save simulation results once a simulation run(s) has been completed.

## 5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

This work provides an overview of 33 cloud simulation tools through an analysis of the available literature. This analysis not only focused on autonomous simulation platforms, but also includes plugins and extensions that many researchers have

Table 2: Identified cloud computing DES platform high-level feature matrix.

Simulation Platform	Underlying Stack	License(s)	Initial Publication Year	Lines of Code	Last Update Year	Documentation Available	Source Code Available	Binary
<i>Bazaar-Extension</i>	CloudSim, F(X)yz	Apache 2, BSD	2015	N/A	N/A	No	No	No
<i>CACTOSim</i>	DESMO-J, Palladio, Simulizar, EMF, Eclipse, CDO	GPL, Apache 2, EPL	2014	46914	2016	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>CDOSim</i>	CloudSim, CloudMIG Xpress, Eclipse, EMF	EPL, Apache 2	2012	15619	2012	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>CEPSim</i>	CloudSim	MIT	2015	5564	2015	No	Yes	Yes
<i>Cloud2Sim</i>	CloudSim, Hazelcast, Infinispan	GPL, Apache 2	2014	2994	2015	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>CloudAnalyst</i>	CloudSim	No data, Apache 2	2009	3277	2010	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>CloudEXP</i>	CloudSim	No data, Apache 2	2014	N/A	N/A	No	No	No
<i>CloudNetSim++</i>	Inet, Omnet++	GNU, Academic, GPL, LGPL	2014	2276	2014	No	Yes	No
<i>CloudReports</i>	CloudSim	GPL 3, Apache2	2011	19274	2015	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>CloudSched</i>	None	No data	2015	16681	2015	No	Yes	Yes
<i>CloudSim</i>	None	Apache 2	2009	28450	2016	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>CloudSimDisk</i>	CloudSim	LGPL3, Apache 2	2015	1901	2015	Yes	Yes	No
<i>CloudSimSDN</i>	CloudSim	GPL 2, Apache 2	2015	4006	2015	Yes	Yes	No
<i>CMCloudSimulator</i>	CloudSim	No data, Apache 2	2016	566	2016	No	Yes	No
<i>DartCSim</i>	CloudSim	No data, Apache 2	2012	N/A	N/A	No	No	No
<i>DCSim<sup>1</sup></i>	None	GPL 3	2012	7369	2014	Yes	Yes	No
<i>DCSim<sup>2</sup></i>	MicroC/os-II	No data, Comm.	2012	N/A	N/A	No	No	No
<i>DISSECT-CF</i>	Trove, Apache commons	GPL 3, LGPL, Apache 2	2015	9153	2016	Yes	Yes	No
<i>EMUSim</i>	CloudSim, Xen	GPL, Apache 2	2012	1369	2012	Yes	Yes	No
<i>GDCSim</i>	None	GPL 2	2011	3061	2001	No	Yes	No
<i>GreenCloud</i>	NS2	GPL	2010	6543	2016	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>GroudSim</i>	SSJ, DISSECT-CF	GPL, Apache, GPL 3	2010	8714	2010	Yes	Yes	No
<i>iCanCloud</i>	Inet, Omnet++	GPL 3, GNU, Academic	2011	38708	2015	No	Yes	No
<i>iFogSim</i>	CloudSim	No data, Apache 2	2016	8397	2016	No	Yes	No
<i>MDCSim</i>	CSIM	Commercial/Educational	2009	N/A	N/A	No	No	No
<i>MR-CloudSim</i>	CloudSim	No data, Apache 2	2012	N/A	N/A	No	No	No
<i>NetworkCloudSim</i>	-	-	2011	~	~	~	~	~
<i>SimGrid</i>	None	GPL	2001	94951	2016	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>SimIC</i>	SimJava, jFreeChart	Uni. Of Ed. Acad. Non-Comm., LGPL	2013	N/A	N/A	No	No	No
<i>SPECI</i>	No data	No data	2009	N/A	N/A	No	No	No
<i>TeachCloud</i>	CloudSim, Rain	GNU, Apache 2	2013	9891	2014	No	Yes	No
<i>Ucloud</i>	CloudSim	No data, Apache 2	2012	N/A	N/A	No	No	No
<i>WorkflowSim</i>	CloudSim	LGPL3, Apache 2	2015	5269	2015	Yes	Yes	No



Table 3: Identified cloud computing DES platform high level technical feature matrix.

Simulation Platform	Language(s)	Platform Portability	Distributed Architecture	Model Persistence Type	Web API Availability	GUI Availability	Headless Execution	Result Output Format
<i>Bazaar-Extension</i>	Java	Yes	No	Java classes	No	Yes	No data	Dashboard plots, F(X)yz 3D renders
<i>CACTOSim</i>	Java	Yes	No	Ecore	No	Yes	Yes	EDP2, CSV
<i>CDOSim</i>	Java	Yes	No	Ecore	No	Yes	No	PNG export
<i>CEPSim</i>	Scala, Java	Yes	No	Java classes	No	No	Yes	Text
<i>Cloud2Sim</i>	Java	Yes	Yes	Java classes	No	No	Yes	Text
<i>CloudAnalyst</i>	Java	Yes	No	XML	No	Yes	No	PDF
<i>CloudEXP</i>	Java	Yes	No	No data	No	Yes	No data	No data
<i>CloudNetSim++</i>	C++	Yes	No	NED	No	Yes	Yes	Text
<i>CloudReports</i>	Java, JS	Yes	No	SQLite DB	No	Yes	No	Javascript, text
<i>CloudSched</i>	Java	Yes	No	Text	No	Yes	No	XLS, Text
<i>CloudSim</i>	Java	Yes	No	Yaml	No	No	Yes	Text
<i>CloudSimDisk</i>	Java	Yes	No	Java classes	No	No	Yes	XLS, Text
<i>CloudSimSDN</i>	Java	Yes	No	CSV	No	No	Yes	CSV, JSON
<i>CMCloudSimulator</i>	Java	Yes	No	XML, Java classes	No	No	Yes	Text
<i>DartCSim</i>	Java, C++	No data	No	XML	No	Yes	No data	XML
<i>DCSim<sup>1</sup></i>	Java	Yes	No	Java classes	No	No	Yes	Text
<i>DCSim<sup>2</sup></i>	No data	No data	No	No data	No	No	No data	Text
<i>DISSECT-CF</i>	Java	Yes	No	Java classes	No	No	Yes	Text
<i>EMUSim</i>	Java	No	No	XML	No	No	Yes	Text
<i>GDOSim</i>	C/C++, Shell	No	No	C code	No	No	Yes	Text
<i>GreenCloud</i>	C++, TCL, JS, CSS, Shell	No	No	TCL	Yes	Yes	Yes	Dashboard plots
<i>GroudSim</i>	Java	Yes	No	XML	No	No	Yes	Java API, Tracer handlers, Filters
<i>iCanCloud</i>	C/C++, Shell	Yes	No	NED	No	Yes	Yes	Text
<i>iFogSim</i>	Java	Yes	No	JSON	No	Yes	No data	XLSX, PDF
<i>MDCSim</i>	No data	No data	No	No data	No	No	No	No data
<i>MR-CloudSim</i>	No data	No data	No	No data	No	N/A	No	No data
<i>NetworkCloudSim</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>SimGrid</i>	C/C++	Yes	No	XML, Java C++ classes	No	Yes	Yes	Text
<i>SimIC</i>	Java	Yes	No	text, Java classes	No	No	Yes	Text
<i>SPECI</i>	No data	No data	No	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data
<i>TeachCloud</i>	Java	Yes	No	Java classes	No	Yes	No	Java graphs
<i>Ucloud</i>	Java	Yes	No	No data	No	No	No data	No data
<i>WorkflowSim</i>	Java	Yes	No	Java Classes	No	No	Yes	Text

proposed and target to solve and support different aspects of cloud, edge and fog computing. These features have been presented and compared across these tools with respect to two main categories:

general high-level features and high-level technical features of the simulation platforms.

This review identifies the emergence of *CloudSim* as a *de facto* base platform for simulation

development and research. 18 of the platforms analysed were derivatives or extensions of *CloudSim*. This is not surprising given the early mover advantage *CloudSim* had, the eminence of the researchers involved, and the quality and timeliness of the release of the simulator platform. There are advantages and disadvantages to such dominance including code reuse, resource efficiencies and development of a wider knowledge base in the use of *CloudSim*. However, one might also argue that dominance of *CloudSim* may result in inherited limitations from drawbacks in the *CloudSim* design.

The multi-level analysis presented identifies some apparent gaps in the features of existing simulation tools. For example, the analysis highlights a gap in the capability of the simulators identified to support distributed execution, i.e. parallel execution on distributed memory systems. Due to the nature of the problem that simulators have to solve, execution and scalability are crucial and are limited by the sequential execution. Similarly, with a number of notable exceptions there are few simulators focussing on emerging cloud use cases e.g. HPC in the cloud, Edge and Fog computing, and IoT. This is unsurprising given the nascent level of these use cases compared to the public cloud IAAS use case.

This review is a significant extension of existing reviews of simulation tools for cloud computing both in terms of breadth and depth however it is not without limitations. Future work is recommended towards a deeper analysis of the tools against alternative real cloud computing scenarios with a focus towards heavy validation of simulated results. Moreover, further analysis can be performed by reviewing simulation models, VM allocation policies, supported cloud services and levels, and in general more cloud oriented specific characteristics. Similarly, whereas this review focuses on simulation tools for cloud computing, an additional survey on the uses to which such tools are employed is warranted and is worthy of investigation.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is partially funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 and FP7 Research and Innovation Programmes through CloudLightning (<http://www.cloudlightning.eu>) under Grant Agreement No. 643946, RECAP (<http://www.recap-project.eu>) under Grant Agreement No. 732667 and CACTOS (<http://www.cactosp7.eu>) under Grant Agreement No. 610711.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed, A. and Sabyasachi, A. S. (2014) 'Cloud computing simulators: A detailed survey and future direction', *2014 IEEE Int. Adv. Comput. Conf.*, pp. 866–872, Feb.
- Alves, D. C., Batista, B. G., Filho, D. M. L., Peixoto, M. L., Reiff-Marganiec, S. and Kuehne, B. T. (2016) 'CM Cloud Simulator: A Cost Model Simulator Module for Cloudsim', *2016 IEEE World Congress on Services (SERVICES)*, pp. 99–102.
- Becker, M., Becker, S. and Meyer, J. (2013) 'SimuLizar: Design-Time Modeling and Performance Analysis of Self-Adaptive Systems', *Software Engineering*, vol. 213, pp. 71–84.
- Becker, S., Koziol, H. and Reussner, R. (2009) 'The Palladio component model for model-driven performance prediction', *Journal of Systems and Software*, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 3–22.
- Bell, W., Cameron, D., Capozza, L., Millar, P., Stockinger, K. and Zini, F. (2002) 'Simulation of dynamic Grid replication strategies in OptorSim', *Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Grid Computing (GRID)*, Baltimore, U.S.A. IEEE CPress: Los Alamitos, CA, U.S.A., 18 November 2002.
- Bobelin, L., Legrand, A., Navarro, P., Quinson, M. and Suter, F. (2012) 'Scalable multi-purpose network representation for large scale distributed system simulation', *In 12th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud and Grid Computing (CCGrid)*, pp. 220–227.
- Brataas, G., Stav, E., Lehigh, S., Becker, S., Kopčák, G. and Huljenic, D. (2013) 'CloudScale: scalability management for cloud systems', *ICPE '13 Proceedings of the 4th ACM/SPEC International Conference on Performance Engineering*, pp. 335–338.
- Buyya, R. and Murshed, M. (2002) 'Gridsim: A toolkit for the modeling and simulation of distributed resource management and scheduling for grid computing', *Concurrency and computation: practice and experience*, vol. 14, pp. 1175–1220.
- CACTOS Consortium (2016) *CACTOS FP7 Project* [Online]. Available at <http://www.cactosp7.eu/> (Accessed 10 March 2016).
- Calheiros, R. N. (2011) 'CloudSim: a toolkit for modeling and simulation of cloud computing environments and evaluation of resource provisioning algorithms', *Software: Practice and Experience* 41.1 (2011): 23–50.
- Calheiros, R. N., Netto, M. A. S., De Rose, C. A. F. and Buyya, R. (2013) 'EMUSIM: an integrated emulation and simulation environment for modeling, evaluation, and validation of performance of cloud computing applications', *Software: Practice and Experience*, vol. 43, no. 5, p. 595–612.
- Casanova, H., Legrand, A. and Quinson, M. (2008) 'SimGrid: A Generic Framework for Large-Scale Distributed Experiments', *Tenth International*

- Conference on Computer Modeling and Simulation (uksim 2008)*, pp. 126–131.
- Chen, C. J., Liu, Y. S. and Chang, R. G. (2012) ‘DCSim: Design Analysis on Virtualization Data Center’, *In Ubiquitous Intelligence & Computing and 9th International Conference on Autonomic & Trusted Computing (UIC/ATC)*.
- Chen, W. and Deelman, E. (2012) ‘WorkflowSim: A Toolkit for Simulating Scientific Workflows in Distributed Environments’, *2012 IEEE Conference on E-Science (e-Science)*, Chicago, Oct 8-12, 2012.
- Fittkau, F., Frey, S. and Hasselbring, W. (2012) ‘CDOSim: Simulating cloud deployment options for software migration support’, *2012 6th International Workshop on the Maintenance and Evolution of Service-Oriented and Cloud-Based Systems (MESOCA)*, pp. 37–46.
- Garg, S. K. and Buyya, R. (2011) ‘Networkcloudsim: Modelling parallel applications in cloud simulations.’, *Utility and Cloud Computing (UCC)*, 2011 Fourth IEEE International Conference on, pp. 105–113.
- Gupta, H., Dastjerdi, A. V., Ghosh, S. K. and Buyya, R. (2016) ‘iFogSim: A Toolkit for Modeling and Simulation of Resource Management Techniques in Internet of Things, Edge and Fog Computing Environments’, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.02007*.
- Gupta, S. K. S., Banerjee, A., Abbasi, Z., Varsamopoulos, G., Jonas, M., Ferguson, J., Gilbert, R. R. and Mukherjee, T. (2014) ‘GDCCSim: A Simulator for Green Data Center Design and Analysis’, *ACM Trans. Model. Comput. Simul.*, New York, NY, USA, ACM, vol. 24, no. 1, p. 3:1–3:27.
- Higashino, W. A., Capretz, M. A. M. and Bittencourt, L. F. (2016) ‘CEPSim: Modelling and simulation of Complex Event Processing systems in cloud environments’, *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 65, pp. 122–139.
- Hirofuchi, T. and Lebre, A. (2013) ‘Adding virtual machine abstractions into SimGrid: A first step toward the simulation of infrastructure-as-a-service concerns’, *Third International Conference on Cloud and Green Computing (CGC)*, pp. 175–180.
- Hirofuchi, T., Lebre, A. and Pouilloux, L. (2015) ‘SimGrid VM: Virtual Machine Support for a Simulation Framework of Distributed Systems’, *IEEE Transactions on Cloud Computing*.
- Hirofuchi, T., Lèbre, A. and Pouilloux, L. (2013) ‘Adding a live migration model into simgrid: One more step toward the simulation of infrastructure-as-a-service concerns’, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cloud Computing Technology and Science, CloudCom*, pp. 96–103.
- Howell, F. and McNab, R. (1998) ‘SimJava: A discrete event simulation library for java’, *Simulation Series*, vol. 30, pp. 51–56.
- IDC (2016) ‘FutureScape: Worldwide IT Industry 2017 Predictions’.
- Jararweh, Y., Alshara, Z., Jarrah, M., Kharbutli, M. and Alsaleh, M. N. (2013) ‘TeachCloud: a cloud computing educational toolkit’, *International Journal of Cloud Computing*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 237–257.
- Jararweh, Y., Jarrah, M., Kharbutli, M., Alshara, Z., Alsaleh, M. N. and Al-Ayyoub, M. (2014) ‘CloudExp: A comprehensive cloud computing experimental framework’, *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, vol. 49, pp. 180–192 [Online]. Available at <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1569190X14001464> (Accessed 8 April 2015).
- Jiang, J. W., Lan, T., Ha, S., Chen, M. and Chiang, M. (2012) ‘Joint VM placement and routing for data center traffic engineering’, *2012 Proceedings IEEE INFOCOM*, Ieee, pp. 2876–2880 [Online]. Available at <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/lpdocs/epic03/wrapper.htm?arnumber=6195719>.
- Jung, J. and Kim, H. (2012) ‘MR-CloudSim: Designing and implementing MapReduce computing model on CloudSim’, *ICT Convergence (ICTC)*, 2012 International Conference on, pp. 504–509.
- Kathiravelu, P. and Veiga, L. (2014) ‘An Adaptive Distributed Simulator for Cloud and MapReduce Algorithms and Architectures’, *2014 IEEE/ACM 7th International Conference on Utility and Cloud Computing*, pp. 79–88.
- Keckskemeti, G., Ostermann, S. and Prodan, R. (2014) ‘Fostering Energy-Awareness in Simulations Behind Scientific Workflow Management Systems’, *Cloud Networking (CloudNet)*, 2014 IEEE 3rd International Conference on, pp. 149–154.
- Keller, G., Tighe, M., Lutfiyya, H. and Bauer, M. (2013) ‘DCSim: A data centre simulation tool’, *2013 IFIP/IEEE International Symposium on Integrated Network Management (IM 2013)*, pp. 1090–1091.
- Kliazovich, D., Bouvry, P. and Khan, S. U. (2012) ‘GreenCloud: a packet-level simulator of energy-aware cloud computing data centers’, *The Journal of Supercomputing*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 1263–1283.
- Lebre, A., Legrand, A., Suter, F. and Veyre, P. (2015) ‘Adding storage simulation capacities to the simgrid toolkit: Concepts, models, and api’, *15th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud and Grid Computing (CCGrid)*, IEEE., pp. 251–260.
- Legrand, A., Marchal, L. and Casanova, H. (2003) ‘Scheduling distributed applications: the simgrid simulation framework’, *Cluster Computing and the Grid*, 2003. Proceedings. CCGrid 2003. 3rd IEEE/ACM International Symposium on, pp. 138–145.
- Legrand, I. C. and Newman, H. B. (2000) ‘THE MONARC TOOLSET FOR SIMULATING LARGE NETWORK-DISTRIBUTED PROCESSING SYSTEMS’, *Proceedings of the 2000 Winter Simulation Conference*, pp. 1794–1801.
- Li, X., Jiang, X., Huang, P. and Ye, K. (2012) ‘DartCSim: An enhanced user-friendly cloud simulation system based on CloudSim with better performance’, *2012 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Cloud Computing and Intelligence Systems*, vol. 1, pp. 392–396.
- Lim, S.-H. (2009) ‘MDCSim: A multi-tier data center simulation, platform.’, *Cluster Computing and*

- Workshops, 2009. CLUSTER'09. IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2009.*
- Liu, F., Tong, J., Mao, J., Bohn, R., Messina, J., Badger, L. and Leaf, D. (2011) 'NIST Cloud Computing Reference Architecture', *NIST Special Publication*, vol. 500, p. 292.
- Louis, B., Mitra, K., Saguna, S. and Åhlund, C. (2015) 'CloudSimDisk: Energy-Aware Storage Simulation in CloudSim', *2015 IEEE/ACM 8th International Conference on Utility and Cloud Computing (UCC)*, pp. 11–15.
- Malhotra, R. and Jain, P. (2013) 'Study and Comparison of Various Cloud Simulators Available in the Cloud Computing', *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering*, vol. 3, no. 9, pp. 347–350.
- Malik, A. W., Bilal, K., Aziz, K., Kliazovich, D., Ghani, N., Khan, S. U. and Buyya, R. (2014) 'CloudNetSim++: A toolkit for data center simulations in OMNET++', *2014 11th Annual High Capacity Optical Networks and Emerging/Enabling Technologies (Photonics for Energy)*, pp. 104–108.
- Mell, P. and Grance, T. (2009) 'The NIST Definition of Cloud Computing', *National Institute of Standards and Technology*, Version 15, NIST, vol. 53, no. 6, p. 50 [Online]. Available at <http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/SNS/cloud-computing/cloud-def-v15.doc>.
- Mohana, S. J., Saroja, M. and Venkatachalam, M. (2014) 'Analysis and Comparison of Simulators to Evaluate the Performance of Cloud Environments', *Journal of NanoScience and NanoTechnology*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 739–742.
- Núñez, A., Vázquez-Poletti, J. L., Caminero, A. C., Castañé, G. G., Carretero, J. and Llorente, I. M. (2012) 'iCanCloud: A flexible and scalable cloud infrastructure simulator', *Journal of Grid Computing*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 185–209.
- Östberg, P.-O., Groenda, H., Wesner, S., Byrne, J., Nikolopoulos, D. S., Sheridan, C., Krzywda, J., Ali-Eldin, A., Tordsson, J., Elmroth, E., Stier, C., Krogmann, K., Domaschka, J., Hauser, C. B., Byrne, P., Svorobej, S., McCollum, B., Papazachos, Z., Whigham, D., Ruth, S. and Paurevic, D. (2014) 'The CACTOS Vision of Context-Aware Cloud Topology Optimization and Simulation', *Proceedings of the 6th IEEE International Conference on Cloud Computing Technology and Science (CloudCom 2014). 15-18 December. Singapore*.
- Ostermann, S., Plankensteiner, K., Prodan, R. and Fahringer, T. (2011) 'Groudsim: An event-based simulation framework for computational grids and clouds', in *Euro-Par 2010 Parallel Processing Workshops*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 305–313.
- Pittl, B., Mach, W. and Schikuta, E. (2016) 'Bazaar-Extension: A CloudSim Extension for Simulating Negotiation Based Resource Allocations', *2016 IEEE International Conference on Services Computing (SCC)*, pp. 427–434.
- Sakellari, G. and Loukas, G. (2013) 'A survey of mathematical models, simulation approaches and testbeds used for research in cloud computing', *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, vol. 39, pp. 92–103 [Online]. Available at <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1569190X13000658> (Accessed 17 February 2015).
- Sinha, U. and Shekhar, M. (2015) 'Comparison of Various Cloud Simulation tools available in Cloud Computing', *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*, vol. 4, no. 3.
- Son, J., Dastjerdi, A. V., Calheiros, R. N., Ji, X., Yoon, Y. and Buyya, R. (2015) 'CloudSimSDN: Modeling and Simulation of Software-Defined Cloud Data Centers', *2015 15th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud and Grid Computing*, pp. 475–484.
- Song, H. J., Liu, X., Jakobsen, D., Bhagwan, R., Zhang, X., Taura, K. and Chien, A. (2000) 'The microgrid: a scientific tool for modeling computational grids', *Supercomputing, ACM/IEEE 2000 Conference*.
- Sotiriadis, S., Bessis, N., Antonopoulos, N. and Anjum, A. (2013) 'SimIC: designing a new inter-cloud simulation platform for integrating large-scale resource management', in *Advanced Information Networking and Applications (AINA), 2013 IEEE 27th International Conference on*, pp. 90–97.
- Sqalli, M. H., Al-saeedi, M., Binbeshr, F. and Siddiqui, M. (2012) 'UCloud: A simulated Hybrid Cloud for a university environment', *2012 IEEE 1st International Conference on Cloud Networking (CLOUDNET)*, pp. 170–172.
- Sriram, I. (2009) 'SPECI, a simulation tool exploring cloud-scale data centres', *Cloud Computing. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009*. 381–392.
- Sulistio, A., Cibej, U., Venugopal, S., Robic, B. and Buyya, R. (2008) 'A toolkit for modelling and simulating data Grids: an extension to GridSim', *Cuncurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, vol. 20, no. 13, pp. 1591–1609.
- Teixeira Sá, T., Calheiros, R. N. and Gomes, D. G. (2014) 'CloudReports: An Extensible Simulation Tool for Energy-Aware Cloud Computing Environments', in *Cloud Computing: Challenges, Limitations and R&D Solutions*, Cham, Springer International Publishing, pp. 127–142 [Online]. Available at [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-10530-7\\_6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-10530-7_6).
- Tian, W., Zhao, Y., Xu, M., Zhong, Y. and Sun, X. (2015) 'A Toolkit for Modeling and Simulation of Real-Time Virtual Machine Allocation in a Cloud Data Center', *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 153–161.
- Tighe, M. (2012) 'DCSim: A data centre simulation tool for evaluating dynamic virtualized resource management.', *Network and service management (cnsm), 2012 8th international conference and 2012 workshop on systems virtualization management (svm). IEEE*.
- Wickremasinghe, B., Calheiros, R. N. and Buyya, R. (2010) 'CloudAnalyst: A CloudSim-Based Visual Modeller for Analysing Cloud Computing



Environments and Applications', *2010 24th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications*, Ieee, pp. 446–452.

Zhao, W., Peng, Y., Xie, F. and Dai, Z. (2012) 'Modeling and simulation of cloud computing: A review', *2012 IEEE Asia Pacific Cloud Comput. Congr.*, pp. 20–24, Nov.

Zhao, Wei, Peng, Y., Xie, F. and Dai, Z. (2012) 'Modeling and Simulation of Cloud Computing: A Review', *Proceedings of the 2012 IEEE Asia Pacific Cloud Computing Congress (APCloudCC)*, pp. 20–24.

