

# Coding Notes

Jeffrey Young

Feb 23, 2017

## Purpose

The Purpose of encodings is to systematically and formally codify real world, in the wild, explanations so that observing larger patterns becomes possible. The end goal of this is:

1. Generate a database of encodings
2. Analyze database to find patterns of explanation
3. 1 and 2 become formative work for exploring DSL possibilities in XOP

## Scope

The scope of the database is restricted to explanations of common Computer Science algorithms from Universities only. Restricting the scope in this manner provides two benefits:

1. All explanatory objects have a stated, intrinsic goal to communicate the mechanics, application, and implementation of similar things
2. There are numerous examples of different approaches to explain the same thing, and numerous examples of like approaches to explain different things

## Some Terminology

In our terminology an explanation is called an "explanation artifact", our working model of the process of explaining is a non-linear sequence of "steps", where each "step" denotes some progress in the understanding of the explanation artifact on the part of the information receiver. More formally:

**Explanatory Artifact** The whole explanation, including all the step taken in the explanation, the language used in the explanation etc.

**Step** The steps that are taken, in an explanatory artifact, that guide the reader from non-understanding to understanding.

## Syntax

The syntax of an encoding is given by  $\_ - \_ - \_ - \_ \subseteq \text{Location} \times \text{Level} \times \text{Role} \times \text{Notation}$  where

$$l \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$g \in \text{Level} ::= \text{Problem} \mid \text{Algorithm} \mid \text{Implementation}$$

$r \in \text{Role} ::= \text{Background} \mid \text{Definition} \mid \text{Constraint} \mid \text{Example} \mid \text{Application} \mid \text{Variant} \mid \text{Analogy} \mid \text{Idea} \mid \text{Proof} \mid \text{Performance} \mid \text{Properties}$

$n \in \text{Notation} ::= \text{English} \mid \text{Math} \mid \text{Diagram} \mid \text{List} \mid \text{Table} \mid \text{Sequence} \mid \text{Pseudocode} \mid \text{Code} \mid \text{Animation} \mid \text{Picture} \mid \text{Plot} \mid \text{Empty} \mid n/n$

## Semantics

### Location

a location  $l$ , specifies the location that the encoding is referring to, this could be a slide, a number line etc.

### Level

a Level  $g$ , Specifies the level of abstraction for a given step, a level can be one of:

**Problem**  $\triangleq$  the purpose of a given step is to elucidate the motivating problem of the algorithm, i.e the problem that the algorithm will solve

**Algorithm**  $\triangleq$  the purpose of a given step is to explain the algorithm at hand

**Implementation**  $\triangleq$  the purpose of a given step is to explain the implementation details of the algorithm, e.g. What data structures to use, What the form of the code that implements the algorithm should be

### Role

a Role  $r$ , specifies how the Level is trying to be reached, denotes the answer to question such as "What is the step trying to convey?":

In general the meaning of each role is:

**Background**  $\triangleq$  A given level is reached by a step that describes the history, creators, genealogy of the Algorithm

**Definition**  $\triangleq$  A given level is reached by a step that explicitly provides a formal definition.

**Constraint**  $\triangleq$  A given level is reached by a step that explicitly presents a limit or condition in which the level would cease to be valid, e.g. Dijkstra's only works on non-negative weighted graphs

**Example**  $\triangleq$  A given level is reached by a step that provides an Example

**Application**  $\triangleq$  A given level is reached by a step that explains what the algorithm is useful for

**Variant**  $\triangleq$  A given level is reached by a step that describes things that are similar but slightly different than the algorithm. For example, describing Prim's algorithm and its similarities to Dijkstra's or describing the similarities between a dog and a wolf

**Analogy**  $\triangleq$  A given level is reached by a step that provides an Analogy to explain the algorithm at hand. For example a visual analogy for Dijkstra's could be: If you have a physical model of a graph, and you pick it up by one vertex, then the vertex with the shortest path to the "source" vertex will be the one farthest from the ground.

**Idea**  $\triangleq$  A given level is reached by a step that adds an abstract idea to the explanation as a way to progress. For example, the statement "Well we have this, what if we did this?"

**Proof**  $\triangleq$  A given level is reached by a formal proof

**Performance**  $\triangleq$  A given level is reached by a step that explicitly describes the computational complexity of the level

**Properties**  $\triangleq$  A given level is reached by a step that explicitly describes the properties of that level. For Example, AVL Trees are both *balanced* and *ordered*.

Consider the following matrix of Level Role combinations of Dijkstra's algorithm. Not all of the cells will be orthogonal to each other. In this case we would have:

**Problem:** How to traverse the shortest path in a non-negative weighted graph

**Algorithm:** Dijkstra's Algorithm

**Implementation:** You should use a Priority Queue that has efficient lookup, mutate operations.

- $\perp$  used to denote cells which may be nonsensical

Role	Problem	Algorithm	Implementation
Definition	Mathematical definition of Problem	Mathematical Definition of Algorithm	$\perp$
Example	Display of a non-negative weighted graph	Showing the algorithms execution on the map	Showing requisite data structures etc.
Application	Real World Example of the problem	$\perp$	Triage System in a Hospital
Background	History of the Problem	History, Author, etc.	History of Priority Queues
Variant	Perhaps a teleporter exists, now what is shortest path	Description of Bellman-Ford	Description of slightly different Priority Queues
Analogy	$\perp$	Exposition of Prim's algorithm	$\perp$
Performance	$\perp$	Complexity	Complexity of requisite data structs
Idea	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$
Constraint	Depiction of the Constraints of the Problem	Depiction of domain where Algorithm lacks validity	Requirements of internal Data Structs
Proof	$\perp$	Explicit Proof of Algorithm correctness	Explicit Proof of some requisite part of the algorithm

## Notation

a Notation  $n$ , specifies the form of the role, and can be one of:

**English**  $\triangleq$  Human language to give explanations/statements.

**Diagram**  $\triangleq$  Diagram in the manner of data structures, such as graph, list.

**List**  $\triangleq$  List of similar items

**OrderedList**  $\triangleq$  Step by step items

**Math**  $\triangleq$  Formulas/math style symbols.

**Pseudocode**  $\triangleq$  Algorithm presented as pseudocode

**Code**  $\triangleq$  executable code to show the algorithm explicitly

**Table**  $\triangleq$  Explanatory information displayed in a table

**Animation**  $\triangleq$  a gif or animation of any type is used.

**Picture**  $\triangleq$  A photo/screenshot or picture is used.

**Sequence**  $\triangleq$  A conjunction of steps meant to show progress in a serial manner

**Plot**  $\triangleq$  A mathematically generated plot that adheres to some coordinate system

for example a definition might be described in English, followed by the same definition described by geometry. Notations can be combined for a single location like so:

$$\frac{n \in \text{Notation} \quad m \in \text{Notation}}{n/m \in \text{Notation}} \quad (1)$$