

A2.CET — Session #291 (Nova PRIMARY / ChatGPT CHALLENGER)

SESSION SETUP (paste once)

We are doing a **tight stress-test** of Synchronism Session #291: "Measurement as Sinusoidal Sampling."

Scope lock: #291 only. Not all Synchronism. No metaphysics. No narrative defense.

Roles

- **PRIMARY (Nova):** formalize the strongest defensible version of #291 **without adding new mechanisms not present in #291.**
- **CHALLENGER (ChatGPT / LVPTE stance):** enforce mapping, scope limits, falsifiers, and artifact output. No praise. No dunking.

Hard rule

If #291 does not define something, PRIMARY must write exactly:

"Not specified in #291 — Bridge Missing."

Success condition

We end with a **1-page experimental test card** (P291.3).

We do **not** end with "this is exciting."

ROUND 1 — CLAIM TIGHTENING (PRIMARY)

PRIMARY: Produce three items:

(A) Strong claim (≤6 lines):

The strongest *replacement-grade* claim that is still **honest within #291**.

(B) Minimal claim (≤6 lines):

The safest interpretive claim that still preserves a testable core.

(C) Five assumptions (exactly 5 bullets):

Each assumption must be **checkable** (in principle) and not rhetorical.

STOP.

ROUND 2 — MAPPING OBLIGATION (CHALLENGER → PRIMARY)

CHALLENGER asks these **four questions only**:

1. In a real qubit platform, what physical variable is **s(t)?**

2. What is **κ** physically (measurement coupling mechanism)?
3. How does **basis choice** (X vs Z etc.) map into the model?
4. For **P291.3**, what *exact scalar* is histogrammed in weak measurement?

PRIMARY must answer using this template:

Definitions → Mechanism → Expected signature

If missing:

"Not specified in #291 — Bridge Missing."

STOP.

ROUND 3 — STATISTICS + BINARY EMERGENCE (PRIMARY)

PRIMARY must answer explicitly:

1. **Why arcsine appears** (short derivation sketch; no handwaving)
2. **Binary emergence:** what turns continuous sampling into two outcomes?
 - If it requires binning/thresholding, say so.
3. **Three failure regimes** ("breaks if...")
4. One paragraph:
Does #291 reproduce QM measurement probabilities exactly / approximately / subset only?
(You must pick one.)

STOP.

ROUND 4 — KILL TESTS (CHALLENGER → PRIMARY)

PRIMARY: Provide **3 kill-tests**, one per prediction:

P291.1 — Autocorrelation

- **What to measure:**
- **Expected signature:**
- **Falsifies if:**
- **One confound control:**

P291.2 — Timing modulation

- **What to measure:**

- **Expected signature:**
- **Falsifies if:**
- **One confound control:**

P291.3 — Weak measurement histogram

- **What to measure:**
- **Expected signature:**
- **Falsifies if:**
- **One confound control:**

STOP.

ROUND 5 — OUTPUT ARTIFACT (BOTH)

Co-author a **1-page test card** for **P291.3** using this exact format:

TITLE: P291.3 — Arcsine Distribution in Weak Measurement

SYSTEM: (pick one plausible platform)

WEAK MEASUREMENT MODE: operational definition (how you know it's weak)

OBSERVABLE: what scalar is histogrammed

EXPECTED DISTRIBUTION: arcsine + parameter meanings

DISCRIMINATOR: arcsine vs Gaussian vs bimodal (explicit fit criterion)

MINIMUM DATA: order-of-magnitude sample size

KILL CONDITION: what result kills #291

NOTES: confounds + calibration requirements

STOP AFTER TEST CARD.