## RECEIVED by MCOA 2/15/2019 7:49:23 PM

## Michigan Court of Appeals

DOCKETING STATEMENT

Case No: Circuit: 16-001828 TT

Court of Appeals: 346894

## Please read before completing form.

- ➤ MCR 7.204(H) and 7.205(D)(3) require an appellant in a civil action to complete and file a docketing statement within 28 days after the claim of appeal is filed or the application for leave to appeal is granted. Failure to timely file this document may lead to dismissal of the appeal. An appellee may respond by filing a separate docketing statement.
- > This document will be used to screen the appeal for suitability and eligibility for the settlement conference program, and will be used to help resolve jurisdictional and transcript issues. It is important that you complete this form accurately and legibly.
- > The issues identified in the docketing statement do not limit appellant's presentation of the issues in appellant's brief. Omission of an issue in the docketing statement will not provide a basis for a motion to strike appellant's brief.

. Case Name: ☑ Appellant ☐ Appellee		City of Wayne	☐ Appellant ☑ Appellee
lame of first Plaintiff	V	Name of first Defendant	
Address: 3309 Solway, Knoxville TN 37931		Address: 3355 S Wayne Rd, V	Vayne MI 48184
Telephone No: (734) 274-9624		Telephone No. (734) 722-2000	
Attorney Name: Daniel Patru Bar No: <u>P7438</u>	<u> </u>	Attorney Name:	Bar No:
Address: 3309 Solway, Knoxville TN 37931		Address:	
Telephone No: (734) 274-9624		Telephone No:	
. ☑ There are pending or prior appeals in of the same transaction, lower court c			ourt which arose oເ
Specify case name, lower court number, appellate Patru v City of Wayne, Docket No. 337547			
I am aware of the following pending a the same or closely related issues.	appeals i	n the Court of Appeals or S	upreme Court raisin
Specify case name, lower court number, appellate	e court num	ber(s), and citation, if available.	
	1		

RECEIV	
ED	
5	
<   	
$\preceq$	
ICOA	
5	
$\Box$	
S	
[5/20]	
$\simeq$	
9	
7	
4	
9	
:23	
7	
~	

Identify <b>all</b> the lower court hea		
Type of proceeding (i.e. motion, trial, etc.)	Date(s) Occurred	Court Reporter
ax Tribunal Hearing	12/1/2016	None, see POJ and FOJ
ax Tribunal Rehearing	10/18/2018	None, see FOJ
Nature of case:  If the lower court case num circuit court case code (i.e.		s not include a suffix, please specify th
☐ interlocutory matter ☐	☐ bench trial ☐ post-judgn☐ jury trial ☐ summaryg (specify agency) <u>Tax Tribuna</u>	nent action □ declaratory judgmen disposition
		e trial court. Conclusory statements law" are unacceptable. Attach
• • •		ue per MCL 211.27(2). The Tax Tribunal
oniadis, akaka kian inassan ka ina s		
Briefly state the issues to be ra Did the Tax Tribunal fail in its duty CV because a) Appellant had the b	to independently determine the w	hen it refused to determine the before-repair
	MCL 211.27(2) when it ruled that r	normal repairs may be ignored if there is some
ll. Did the Tax Tribunal err when it o	gave the selling price of the proper n a) the fact thatthe seller was a go	ty no weight or credibility as to the market overnment entity and b) speculation that the
The amount and terms of the j ΓCV at issue is about \$18,000.	udgment appealed are:	
Settlement negotiations. (Ch☐ Settlement negotiations ha☐ Settlement is unlikely. ☐ Other		cheduled.
☐ Settlement negotiations ha		cheduled.

Provide the Proof of Service on a separate form.