RECEIVED by MCOA 2/15/2019 7:38:15 PM

Michigan Court of Appeals

DOCKETING STATEMENT

Case No: Circuit: 16-001828 TT

Court of Appeals: 346894

Please read before completing form.

- MCR 7.204(H) and 7.205(D)(3) require an **appellant** in a civil action to complete and file a docketing statement within 28 days after the claim of appeal is filed or the application for leave to appeal is granted. Failure to timely file this document may lead to dismissal of the appeal. An appellee may respond by filing a separate docketing statement.
- ➤ This document will be used to screen the appeal for suitability and eligibility for the settlement conference program, and will be used to help resolve jurisdictional and transcript issues. It is important that you complete this form accurately and legibly.
- ➤ The issues identified in the docketing statement do not limit appellant's presentation of the issues in appellant's brief. Omission of an issue in the docketing statement will not provide a basis for a motion to strike appellant's brief.

1. Ca	se Name:	✓ Appellant			☐ Appellant
Patru		Appellee	V	City of Wayne	✓ Appellee
Name of first Plaintiff Name of first Defendant					
	ss: 3309 Solway, Knoxville TN	37931		Address: 3355 S Wayne Rd, Wayne I	MI 48184
Telephone No: (734) 274-9624			Telephone No: (734) 722-2000		
Attorney Name: Daniel Patru Bar No: P74387			Attorney Name:	Bar No:	
Address: 3309 Solway, Knoxville TN 37931			Address:		
Telephone No: (734) 274-9624			Telephone No:		
2. 🗆	A bankruptcy or other proappeal. Identify and explain.	oceeding has be	en file	ed which affects this Court's juriso	diction over this
3. 🗹	There are pending or prior of the same transaction, lo Specify case name, lower court no Patru v City of Wayne, Docket	ower court case	, or be	·	hich arose out
4. 🗆	I am aware of the following the same or closely related Specify case name, lower court not specify case name.	ed issues.		the Court of Appeals or Supremer(s), and citation, if available.	e Court raising

RECEIV
/ED by
by MCOA 2/15/2019
2/15/20
)19 7:38:1:
8:15 PN

5. Identify all the lower court hea	rings.	
Type of proceeding (i.e. motion, trial, etc.)	Date(s) Occurred	Court Reporter
Tax Tribunal Hearing	12/1/2016	None, see POJ and FOJ
Tax Tribunal Rehearing	10/18/2018	None, see FOJ
 Nature of case: a. If the lower court case number circuit court case code (i.e. l 		es not include a suffix, please specify the
	l bench trial □ post-judo l jury trial □ summar ı (specify agency) <u>Tax Tribu</u>	gment action
such as "the judgment of the tr additional pages as needed.	ial court is not supported b	the trial court. Conclusory statements by law" are unacceptable. Attach alue per MCL 211.27(2). The Tax Tribunal
TCV because a) Appellant had the b II. Did the Tax Tribunal misinterpret I evidence that the assessor does not III. Did the Tax Tribunal err when it g	to independently determine the urden of proof and 2) the Tribun MCL 211.27(2) when it ruled that need to consider repairs to justificate the selling price of the properation at the fact thatthe seller was a	when it refused to determine the before-repair nal disagreed with Appellants proof? at normal repairs may be ignored if there is some
The amount and terms of the just TCV at issue is about \$18,000.	udgment appealed are:	
Settlement negotiations. (Ch Settlement negotiations ha Settlement is unlikely. Other	,	scheduled.
2/15/19		

Provide the Proof of Service on a separate form.

Date

Signature