

Privacy in Statistics and Machine Learning
In-class Exercises for Lecture 3 (Reconstruction Part 2)
January 28, 2025

Spring 2025

Adam Smith (based on materials developed with Jonathan Ullman)

Problems with marked with an asterisk () are more challenging or open-ended.*

1. (Reconstruction via linear programming.) Consider the reconstruction attack that takes as input query vectors $F_1, \dots, F_k \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and noisy answers $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and return the vector $\hat{s} \in [0, 1]^n$ that minimizes

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, k} |F_i \cdot \hat{s} - a_i| \quad (1)$$

Show how to write a linear program of the form introduced in the notes whose solution is the optimal vector \hat{s} .

2. (Preventing reconstruction with subsampling) Consider a dataset $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Now fix¹ $m = \frac{n}{5}$ and we will define the *subsampled dataset* $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$ as follows. For each $j \in [m]$, independently choose a random element $j' \in [n]$ and set $y_j = x_{j'}$. Note that the sampling is *independent* and *with replacement*. Suppose we now use Y to compute the statistics in place of \mathbf{x} . That is, using

$$5 \cdot f(Y) = 5 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^m \varphi(y_j) \quad (2)$$

in place of the true answer

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(x_j) \quad (3)$$

We multiply by 5 to account for the fact that $m = \frac{n}{5}$.

Prove that this random subsample will simultaneously give a good estimate of the answers to many statistics. Specifically, try to prove the following result

Claim 0.1. *Prove that for any set of statistics f_1, \dots, f_k , with probability at least $\frac{99}{100}$,*

$$\forall i \in [k] : \left| 5 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^m \varphi_i(y_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_i(x_j) \right| \leq O\left(\sqrt{n \log k}\right) \quad (4)$$

How good a reconstruction when queries are answered in this way?

Hint: To prove Claim 0.1 you will likely want to use the following form of “Chernoff Bound”: if Z_1, \dots, Z_m are independent where each Z_j has expectation $\mathbb{E}(Z_j) = \mu$ and Z_j takes values in $[0, 1]$ then for every $w > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^m Z_j - m\mu\right| > t\sqrt{m}\right) \leq e^{-t^2/3} \quad (5)$$

¹This setting of m just makes things more concrete. One can take m to be any size less than n ; the statements just become more complicated.

3. * (More accurate reconstruction with more random queries.) In this question we'll explore how to interpolate between the two reconstruction theorems we've seen. Specifically, we will prove a version of Theorem 2.5 that gives a more accurate reconstruction when we have $k \gg n$ queries. Suppose we have the following version of Claim 2.6 from the lecture notes:

Claim 0.2. Let $t \in \{-1, 0, +1\}^n$ be a vector with at least m non-zero entries and let $u \in \{0, 1\}^n$ be a uniformly random vector. Then for every parameter $2 \leq w \ll 2^m$

$$\mathbb{P} \left(|u \cdot t| \geq \frac{\sqrt{m \log w}}{10} \right) \geq \frac{1}{w} \quad (6)$$

- (a) Using this claim, prove the following theorem

Theorem 0.3. If we ask $n^2 \ll k \ll 2^n$ queries, and all queries have error at most αn , then with extremely high probability, the reconstruction error is at most $O(\frac{\alpha^2 n^2}{\log(k/n)})$.

- (b) We can reformulate this as the following claim: the attacks gets nontrivial reconstruction error $o(n)$ when $\alpha = o(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log(k/n)})$. Fill in the blanks.
(c) How does this theorem compare to the reconstruction attacks we've seen for $k \approx n^2$? What about $k \approx 2^{\sqrt{n}}$? What about $k \approx 2^n$?

4. Now let's consider a slightly different setting, in which the attacker gets approximate answers to a highly structured set of queries.

Specifically, suppose the secret data set s consists of n bits s_1, \dots, s_n , and suppose the attacker receives approximate answers only to the n prefix sums of the form $\sum_{j=1}^i s_j$ (for i from 1 to n). These correspond to query vectors

$$F_i = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_i, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{n-i})$$

$i \text{ ones} \qquad n-i \text{ zeros}$

- (a) Suppose the curator answers all n questions *exactly*. How could the adversary recover s exactly?
(b) Suppose that n is even (for simplicity) and s consists of alternating 0's and 1's, that is $s = (0101 \dots 01)$. Show how you could give a sequence of answers a_1, \dots, a_n such that (i) each prefix sum query is answered to within 1, that is,

$$|F_i \cdot s - a_i| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and (ii) the algorithm of Figure 4 (in the lecture notes) would reconstruct a vector \tilde{s} that is wrong in all n positions (that is, \tilde{s} differs from s in every entry).

- (c) Try to generalize this as follows: suppose that s is uniformly random in $\{0, 1\}^n$. Give a procedure that takes s as input and returns a sequence of answers a_1, \dots, a_n such that (i) each prefix sum query is answered to within 1, that is,

$$|F_i \cdot s - a_i| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and (ii) the algorithm of Figure 4 would reconstruct a vector \tilde{s} whose expected distance from s is $\Omega(n)$. (Here the expectation is taken over the choice of s ; the attack of Figure 4 is deterministic and your algorithm can also be.)

- (d) (*) Can you come up with a version of this result that works against every attack algorithm (with high probability over the choice of s and any random choices made by your algorithm and the attack)?