
An Informal Introduction to Computability and Computational Complexity

 Turing Machines are at the top of the computational hierarchy.



 A TM has an input tape, a £nite state controller, and a working tape. I The £nite state controller can read and write symbols from/to the working tape.

More about UTMs

- A universal TM is a TM that can simulate any other TM.
- A UTM is the most general model of computation. It can match the power of any other computational method.
- Thus, UTM's are equivalent to C programs, java programs, etc.
- TM's are also taken as being equivalent to algorithms.

http://hornacek.coa.edu/dave

More about UTMs, continued

- Recursively Enumerable Languages are recognized by UTMs
- However, there exists some languages that no machine can recognize! Why?
- The number of UTMs is countably in£nite.
- The number of languages is uncountably in£nite, since it is the set of all subsets of a countably in£nite set.
- Thus # of Languages > # of machines.

This has some profound and important implications. We can use this to show that some algorithms do not exist.

We will do so via a paradox

Paradoxes

Paradoxes are unavoidable with self-referencing systems. Examples:

- "I'm lying"
 - 1. If I'm lying, I'm telling the truth
 - 2. If I'm telling the truth, I'm lying
- The shortest integer that can't be described in less than twelve words.
- Consider the set of all sets that are not members of themselves Should this set be a member of itself?
- etc.

Halting

- One of the problems with UTMs is that sometimes they don't halt. They can get caught in loops.
- Let's see if we can come up with a method for determining if a UTM, with program P and input x will halt.
- This slide and the following is almost directly taken from Randy Wang, http:

```
//www.cs.princeton.edu/~rywang.
```

- Call this program $\operatorname{HALT}(P,x)$. It takes as input the program P and the output x.
- $\mathrm{HALT}(P,x)$ returns YES if P(x) halts, and NO if it doesn't.
- Will assume that $\mathrm{HALT}(P,x)$ always halts—it does not go into loops.

Halting Problem, continued

Now, let's construct the strange program ${\rm XX}(P)$, as follows:

- XX(P) calls HALT(P, P).
- XX(P) halts if HALT(P, P) outputs NO.
- ullet XX(P) in£nite loops if $\mathrm{HALT}(P,P)$ outputs YES

In other words:

- $\bullet \ \, \text{If } P(P) \text{ does not halt, } XX(P) \text{ halts.}$
- If P(P) halts, XX(P) does not halt.

Let's call XX with *itself* as input

- ullet If XX(XX) does not halt, XX(XX) halts
- ullet If XX(XX) halts, XX(XX) does not halt

Both lead to a contradiction. Therefore, $\operatorname{HALT}(P,x)$ cannot exist.

Consequences of Halting Problem

- There does not exist an algorithm to determine if a UTM running program P with input x will halt.
- We say that the Halting problem is uncomputable or unsolvable.
- It turns out that many other problems are uncomputable as well.
- Of particular relevance to us: There does not exist an algorithm that will determine the shortest program that will output a given string.
- I.e., there is no general-purpose algorithm for optimal data compression.
- This means that measures of complexity or randomness based on minimal UTM representations are uncomputable.
- More generally, the existence of uncomputable problems means that we'll never be able to £nd algorithms for everything.

A Very Brief Discussion of Computational Complexity

- ullet The computational complexity of an algorithm is the run time T(N) needed for the algorithm to run, expressed as a function of the size of the problem N.
- The slower T(N) grows with N, the more tractable (and less complex?) the problem is.
- For applications to physics, see, e.g., the work of Jon Machta

```
www-unix.oit.umass.edu/~machta/.
```

- Computational Complexity is usually concerned with the time scaling of the worst cast scenario. The time scaling of the average case may be more relevant. Unlike comp complexity, information theory is concerned with average case behavior.
- There has recently been work in applying parallel computational complexity to physical models.
- This may be more relevant—nature is often parallel.