

Cite as: J. Berg., P. Campbell, V. Kiermer,
N. Raikhel, D. Sweet, *Science*
10.1126/science.aau0116 (2018).

Joint statement on EPA proposed rule and public availability of data

Jeremy Berg,^{1*} Philip Campbell,² Veronique Kiermer,³ Natasha Raikhel,^{4,5} Deborah Sweet⁶

¹Editor-in-Chief, *Science* family of journals, Washington, DC 20005, USA. ²Editor-in-Chief, *Nature*, London, N1 9XW, UK. ³Executive Editor, *Public Library of Science (PLOS)* Journals, San Francisco, CA 94111, USA. ⁴Interim Editor-in-Chief, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS)* of the United States of America, Washington, DC 20001, USA. ⁵Distinguished Professor of Plant Biology, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA 92507, USA. ⁶Vice President of Editorial, Cell Press, and Acting Editor-in-Chief, *Cell*, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA.

*Corresponding author. Email: jberg@aaas.org

We are writing in response to a proposed rule announced by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in a 24 April 2018 press release (1). The release reads, “The rule will ensure that the regulatory science underlying Agency actions is fully transparent, and that underlying scientific information is publicly available in a manner sufficient for independent validation.”

Data sharing is a feature that contributes to the robustness of published scientific results. Many peer-reviewed scientific journals have recently adopted policies that support data sharing, consistent with the Transparency and Openness Promotion (TOP) standards. These standards, however, recognize the array of workflows across scientific fields and make the case for data sharing at different levels of stringency; in not every case can all data be fully shared. Exceptional circumstances, where data cannot be shared openly with all, include data sets featuring personal identifiers.

We support maintaining the rigor of research published in our journals and increasing transparency regarding the evidence on which conclusions are based. As part of these goals, we require that all data used in the analysis must be available to any researcher for purposes of reproducing or extending the analysis. Importantly, the merits of studies relying on data that cannot be made publicly available can still be judged. Reviewers can have confidential access to key data and as a core skill, scientists are trained in assessing research publications by judging the articulation and logic of the research design, the clarity of the description of the methods used for data collection and analysis, and appropriate citation of previous results.

It does not strengthen policies based on scientific evidence to limit the scientific evidence that can inform them; rather, it is paramount that the full suite of relevant science vetted through peer review, which includes ever more rigorous features, inform the landscape of decision making. Excluding relevant studies simply because they do not meet rigid transparency standards will adversely affect decision-making processes.

REFERENCE

1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, News Releases, “EPA Administrator Pruitt proposes rule to strengthen science used in EPA regulations” (2018); www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epa-administrator-pruitt-proposes-rule-strengthen-science-used-epa-regulations.

Published online 30 April 2018
10.1126/science.aau0116

Joint statement on EPA proposed rule and public availability of data

Jeremy Berg, Philip Campbell, Veronique Kiermer, Natasha Raikhel and Deborah Sweet

published online April 30, 2018

ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/early/2018/04/30/science.aau0116>

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. 2017 © The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.