0 Introduction

The goal of this document is to fully characterize the Dunson and Stanford day-specific probabilities model. In its current state it tries to provide full detail of the derivations described in *Bayesian Inferences on Predictors of Conception Probabilities*.

1 The day-specific probabilities model

1.1 Model specification

We wish to model the probability of a woman becoming pregnant for a given menstrual cycle as a function of her covariate status across the days of the cycle. Consider a study cohort and let us index

woman
$$i$$
, $i = 1,...,n$
cycle j , $j = 1,...,n_i$
day k , $k = 1,...,K$

where day k refers to the kth day out of a total of K days in the fertile window. Let us write day i, j, k as a shorthand for individual i, cycle j, and day k and similarly for cycle j, k. Then define

 Y_{ij} an indicator of conception for woman i, cycle j

 V_{ijk} an indicator of conception for woman i, cycle j, day k

 X_{ijk} an indicator of intercourse for woman i, cycle j, day k

Then writing $X_{ij} = (X_{ij1}, ..., X_{ijK})$, we observe that

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{P}\Big(Y_{ij}=1 \mid X_{ij}, \ Y_{i1}=0,\ldots,Y_{i,j-1}=0\Big) \\ &=1-\mathbb{P}\Big(Y_{ij}=0 \mid X_{ij}, \ Y_{i1}=0,\ldots,Y_{i,j-1}=0\Big) \\ &=1-\mathbb{P}\Big(V_{ijk}=0, \ k=1,\ldots,K \mid X_{ij}, \ Y_{i1}=0,\ldots,Y_{i,j-1}=0\Big) \\ &=1-\prod_{k=1}^K \mathbb{P}\Big(V_{ijk}=0 \mid X_{ijk}, \ Y_{i1}=0,\ldots,Y_{i,j-1}=0, \ V_{ij1}=0,\ldots,V_{i,k-1}=0\Big) \\ &=1-\prod_{k=1}^K \left\{1-\mathbb{P}\Big(V_{ijk}=1 \mid X_{ijk}, \ Y_{i1}=0,\ldots,Y_{i,j-1}=0, \ V_{ij1}=0,\ldots,V_{i,k-1}=0\Big)\right\} \\ &=1-\prod_{k=1}^K \left\{1-X_{ijk}\,\mathbb{P}\Big(V_{ijk}=1 \mid Y_{i1}=0,\ldots,Y_{i,j-1}=0, \ V_{ij1}=0,\ldots,V_{i,k-1}=0\Big)\right\} \\ &=1-\prod_{k=1}^K \left\{1-\mathbb{P}\Big(V_{ijk}=1 \mid Y_{i1}=0,\ldots,Y_{i,j-1}=0, \ V_{ij1}=0,\ldots,V_{i,k-1}=0\Big)\right\} \end{split}$$

With this result in mind, we now consider the Dunson and Stanford day-specific probabilities model. Using the same indexing scheme as above, define

 u_{ijk} a covariate vector of length q for woman i, cycle j, day k

 β a vector of length q of regression coefficients

 ξ_i woman-specific random effect

Then writing $U_{ij} = (u'_{ijk}, \dots, u'_{ijk})'$, Dunson and Stanford propose the model:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{ij} = 1 \mid \xi_i, X_{ij}, U_{ij}\right) = 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{K} (1 - \lambda_{ijk})^{X_{ijk}}$$

$$\lambda_{ijk} = 1 - \exp\left\{-\xi_i \exp\left(\mathbf{u}'_{ijk}\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\}$$

$$\xi_i \sim \mathcal{G}(\phi, \phi) \tag{1}$$

From our previous derivation, we see that we may interpret λ_{ijk} as the day-specific probability of conception in cycle j from couple i given that conception has not already occured, or in the language of Dunson and Stanford, given intercourse only on day k.

Delving further, we see that λ_{ijk} is strictly increasing in $u_{ijkh} \beta_h$, where we are denoting u_{ijkh} to be the h^{th} term in u_{ijk} and similarly for β_h . When $\beta_h = 0$ then the h^{th} covariate has no effect on the day-specific probability of conception.

 λ_{ijk} is also strictly increasing in ξ_i which as Dunson and Stanford suggest may be interpreted as a woman-specific random effect. The authors state that specifying the distribution of the ξ_i with a common parameters prevents nonidentifiability between $\mathbb{E}[\xi_i]$ and the day-specific parameters. Since $\text{Var}[\xi_i] = 1/\phi$ it follows that ϕ may be interpreted as a measure of variability across women.

1.1.1 Computation consideration

As an aside, we note that it may be more computationally convenient to calculate

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{ij} = 1 \mid \xi_{i}, X_{ij}, U_{ij}\right) \\ &= 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{K} (1 - \lambda_{ijk})^{X_{ijk}} \\ &= 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[\exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\}\right]^{X_{ijk}} \\ &= 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{K} \exp\left\{-X_{ijk} \xi_{i} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\} \end{split}$$

1.2 Marginal probability of conception

The marginal probability of conception, obtained by integrating out the couple-specific frailty ξ_i , has form as follows.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(Y_{ij} &= 1 \, | \boldsymbol{X}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{U}_{ij}) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{ij}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_i \, | \boldsymbol{X}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{U}_{ij}\right) d\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{ij}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_i \, | \boldsymbol{X}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{U}_{ij}\right) \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_i; \, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) \, d\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left[1 - \prod_{k=1}^K (1 - \lambda_{ijk})^{X_{ijk}}\right] \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_i; \, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) \, d\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \end{split}$$

$$= 1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{K} (1 - \lambda_{ijk})^{X_{ijk}} \mathcal{G}(\xi_{i}; \phi, \phi) d\xi_{i}$$

$$= 1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[\exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\} \right]^{X_{ijk}} \mathcal{G}(\xi_{i}; \phi, \phi) d\xi_{i}$$

$$= 1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\} \mathcal{G}(\xi_{i}; \phi, \phi) d\xi_{i}$$

$$= 1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\} \mathcal{G}(\xi_{i}; \phi, \phi) d\xi_{i}$$

$$= 1 - \left[\frac{\phi}{\phi + \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}\right]^{\phi}$$

since

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\mathbf{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\} \mathcal{G}(\xi_{i}; \phi, \phi) d\xi_{i} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\mathbf{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\} \frac{\phi^{\phi}}{\Gamma(\phi)} \xi_{i}^{\phi-1} d\xi_{i} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi^{\phi}}{\Gamma(\phi)} \xi_{i}^{\phi-1} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \left[\phi + \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\mathbf{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right]\right\} d\xi_{i} \\ &= \left[\frac{\phi}{\phi + \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\mathbf{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}\right]^{\phi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\phi + \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\mathbf{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right]^{\phi}}{\Gamma(\phi)} \\ &\times \xi_{i}^{\phi-1} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \left[\phi + \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\mathbf{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right]^{\phi}\right\} d\xi_{i} \end{split}$$

and the function inside the integral is a gamma density function.

1.2.1 Day-specific marginal probability of conception

Dunson and Stanford also point out the following remarkable result. The marginal day-specific probability of conception given that conception has not already occured is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=1 | u) = 1 - \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi + \exp(u'\beta)}\right)^{\phi}$$

which is in the form of the Aranda-Ordaz generalized linear model, and reduces to a logistic regression model for $\phi = 1$.

1.3 Prior specification

**** needs completed

2 Posterior computation

Express the data augmentation model as

$$Y_{ij} = I\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} Z_{ijk} > 0\right),$$

$$Z_{ijk} \sim \text{Poisson}\left(\xi_i \exp\left(u'_{ijk} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right), \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$
(2)

Let us further define $W_{ijk} = X_{ijk}Z_{ijk}$ for all i, j, k.

2.1 Verifying the equivalence of the data augmentation model

Need to do

2.2 The full conditional distributions

Step 1. Writing $W_{ij} = (W_{ij1}, ..., W_{ijK})$ and letting $m = (m_1, ..., m_K)$ be a vector of outcomes for W_{ij} , we see first that for $Y_{ij} = 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{W}_{ij} = \mathbf{m} \mid Y_{ij} = 0, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0} \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Next, for $Y_{ij} = 1$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{m} \mid Y_{ij} = 1, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data}\right) \\
= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{m}, \sum_{k} W_{ijk} = s \mid Y_{ij} = 1, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data}\right) \\
= \mathbb{P}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{m}, \sum_{k} W_{ijk} = \sum_{k} m_{k} \mid Y_{ij} = 1, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data}\right) \\
= \mathbb{P}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{m} \mid \sum_{k} W_{ijk} = \sum_{k} m_{k}, Y_{ij} = 1, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data}\right) \\
\times \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{k} W_{ijk} = \sum_{k} m_{k} \mid Y_{ij} = 1, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data}\right)$$

Furthermore,

$$\pi \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} W_{ijk} \mid Y_{ij} = 1, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data} \right)$$

$$= \pi \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} W_{ijk} \mid \sum_{k=1}^{K} W_{ijk} \ge 1, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data} \right)$$

$$\sim \text{Poisson} \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \sum_{k: X_{ijk} = 1} \exp \left(\boldsymbol{u}'_{ijk} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right) \right) \text{truncated so that } \sum_{k=1}^{K} W_{ijk} \ge 1$$

and

$$\pi \left(\boldsymbol{W}_{ij} \mid \sum_{k=1}^{K} W_{ijk}, Y_{ij} = 1, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data} \right)$$

$$\sim \text{Multinomial} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} W_{ijk}; \frac{X_{ij1} \xi_i \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ij1}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{\xi_i \sum_{k: X_{iik} = 1} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}, \dots, \frac{X_{ijK} \xi_i \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijK}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{\xi_i \sum_{k: X_{iik} = 1} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)} \right)$$

Step 2. Define the following terms which will be of use in the following derivation. Denote

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{a}_h & a_h + \sum_{i,j,k} u_{ijkh} W_{ijk} \\ \widetilde{b}_h & b_h + \sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1} \xi_i \prod_{\ell \neq h} \gamma_\ell^{u_{ijk\ell}} \\ c_1 & p_h \exp\left\{-(\widetilde{b}_h - b_h)\right\} \\ c_2 & (1 - p_h) \frac{C(a_h, b_h) \int_{\mathcal{A}_h} \mathcal{G}(\gamma; \widetilde{a}_h, \widetilde{b}_h) d\gamma}{C(\widetilde{a}_h, \widetilde{b}_h) \int_{\mathcal{A}_h} \mathcal{G}(\gamma; a_h, b_h) d\gamma} \\ \widetilde{p}_h & \frac{c_1}{c_1 + c_2} \end{split}$$

Then

$$\pi\left(\gamma_{h} \mid \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(-h)}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{W}, \text{data}\right)$$

$$\propto \pi\left(\boldsymbol{W} \mid \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \text{data}\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \prod_{k: X_{ijk}=1} \pi\left(W_{ijk} \mid \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \text{data}\right)\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right)$$

$$\propto \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \prod_{k: X_{ijk}=1} \left[\exp\left(u_{ijkh} \log \gamma_{h}\right)\right]^{W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \exp\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{q} u_{ijk\ell} \log \gamma_{\ell}\right)\right\}\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right)$$

$$\begin{split} &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\prod_{k \in X_{ijk}=1}^{n_{i}} \gamma_{k}^{u_{ijkh}W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i}\prod_{\ell=1}^{q}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijkt}}\right\}\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right) \\ &= \gamma_{h}^{\sum_{(i,j,k)}u_{ijkh}W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k \in X_{ijk}=1}^{q}\xi_{i}^{q}\prod_{\ell=1}^{q}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijkt}}\right\} \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right) \\ &= \gamma_{h}^{\sum_{(i,j,k)}u_{ijkh}W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k \in X_{ijk}=1}^{q}\xi_{i}^{q}\prod_{\ell=1}^{q}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijkt}}\right\} \\ &\qquad \times \left[p_{h}I\left(\gamma_{h}=1\right) + (1-p_{h})I\left(\gamma_{h}\neq1\right)\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}_{h}}\left(\gamma_{h};a_{h},b_{h}\right)\right] \\ &= p_{h}I\left(\gamma_{h}=1\right) \exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k \in X_{ijk}=1}^{q}\xi_{i}\prod_{\ell\neq h}^{q}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijkt}}\right\} \\ &\qquad + (1-p_{h})I\left(\gamma_{h}\neq1\right)\gamma_{h}^{\sum_{i,j,k}u_{ijkh}W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k \in X_{ijk}=1}^{q}\xi_{i}\prod_{\ell\neq h}^{q}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijkt}}\right\} \\ &\qquad + (1-p_{h})I\left(\gamma_{h}\neq1\right)\gamma_{h}^{\sum_{i,j,k}u_{ijkh}W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k \in X_{ijk}=1}^{q}\xi_{i}\prod_{\ell\neq h}^{q}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijkt}}\right\} \\ &\qquad + (1-p_{h})I\left(\gamma_{h}\neq1\right)\frac{C(a_{h},b_{h})}{\int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}}\mathcal{G}\left(\gamma;a_{h},b_{h}\right)d\gamma}\gamma_{h}^{a_{h}+\sum_{i,j,k}u_{ijkh}W_{ijk}-1} \\ &\qquad \times \exp\left\{-\gamma_{h}\left[b_{h}+\sum_{i,j,k \in X_{ijk}=1}^{q}\xi_{i}\prod_{\ell\neq h}^{q}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijkt}}\right]\right\} \\ &= p_{h}I\left(\gamma_{h}=1\right) \exp\left\{-(\widetilde{b}_{h}-b_{h})\right\} + (1-p_{h})I\left(\gamma_{h}\neq1\right)\frac{C(a_{h},b_{h})}{\int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}}\mathcal{G}\left(\gamma;a_{h},b_{h}\right)d\gamma}\gamma_{h}^{\widetilde{a}_{h}-1} \exp\left\{-\widetilde{b}_{h}\gamma_{h}\right\} \\ &= p_{h}I\left(\gamma_{h}=1\right) \exp\left\{-(\widetilde{b}_{h}-b_{h})\right\} + (1-p_{h})I\left(\gamma_{h}\neq1\right)\frac{C(a_{h},b_{h})}{\int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}}\mathcal{G}\left(\gamma;\widetilde{a}_{h},\widetilde{b}_{h}\right)d\gamma}\gamma_{h}^{\widetilde{a}_{h}-1} \exp\left\{-\widetilde{b}_{h}\gamma_{h}\right\} \\ &= p_{h}I\left(\gamma_{h}=1\right) \exp\left\{-(\widetilde{b}_{h}-b_{h})\right\} + (1-p_{h})I\left(\gamma_{h}\neq1\right)\frac{C(a_{h},b_{h})}{\int_{\mathcal{A}_{h}}\mathcal{G}\left(\gamma;\widetilde{a}_{h},\widetilde{b}_{h}\right)d\gamma}\gamma_{h}^{\widetilde{a}_{h}-1} \exp\left\{-\widetilde{b}_{h}\gamma_{h}\right\} \\ &= c_{1}I\left(\gamma_{h}=1\right) + c_{2}I\left(\gamma_{h}\neq1\right)\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}_{h}}\left(\gamma;\widetilde{a}_{h},\widetilde{b}_{h}\right) \\ &= \widetilde{c}_{1}I\left(\gamma_{h}=1\right) + (1-\widetilde{p}_{h})I\left(\gamma_{h}\neq1\right)\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}_{h}}\left(\gamma;\widetilde{a}_{h},\widetilde{b}_{h}\right) \\ &= \widetilde{p}_{h}I\left(\gamma_{h}=1\right) + (1-\widetilde{p}_{h})I\left(\gamma_{h}\neq1\right)\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}_{h}}\left(\gamma;\widetilde{a}_{h},\widetilde{b}_{h}\right) \\ &= \widetilde{p}$$