0 Introduction

The goal of this document is to fully characterize the Dunson and Stanford day-specific probabilities model. In its current state it tries to provide full detail of the derivations described in *Bayesian Inferences on Predictors of Conception Probabilities*.

1 The day-specific probabilities model

1.1 Model specification

We wish to model the probability of a woman becoming pregnant for a given menstrual cycle as a function of her covariate status across the days of the cycle. Consider a study cohort and let us index

woman
$$i$$
, $i = 1,...,n$
cycle j , $j = 1,...,n_i$
day k , $k = 1,...,K$

where day k refers to the k^{th} day out of a total of K days in the fertile window. Let us write day i, j, k as a shorthand for individual i, cycle j, and day k and similarly for cycle j, k. Then define

 Y_{ij} an indicator of conception for woman i, cycle j V_{ijk} an indicator of conception for woman i, cycle j, day k X_{ijk} an indicator of intercourse for woman i, cycle j, day k

Then writing $X_{ij} = (X_{ij1}, ..., X_{ijK})$, we observe that

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{P}\Big(Y_{ij}=1 \mid X_{ij}, \ Y_{i1}=0,\ldots,Y_{i,j-1}=0\Big) \\ &=1-\mathbb{P}\Big(Y_{ij}=0 \mid X_{ij}, \ Y_{i1}=0,\ldots,Y_{i,j-1}=0\Big) \\ &=1-\mathbb{P}\Big(V_{ijk}=0, \ k=1,\ldots,K \mid X_{ij}, \ Y_{i1}=0,\ldots,Y_{i,j-1}=0\Big) \\ &=1-\prod_{k=1}^K \mathbb{P}\Big(V_{ijk}=0 \mid X_{ijk}, \ Y_{i1}=0,\ldots,Y_{i,j-1}=0, \ V_{ij1}=0,\ldots,V_{i,k-1}=0\Big) \\ &=1-\prod_{k=1}^K \left\{1-\mathbb{P}\Big(V_{ijk}=1 \mid X_{ijk}, \ Y_{i1}=0,\ldots,Y_{i,j-1}=0, \ V_{ij1}=0,\ldots,V_{i,k-1}=0\Big)\right\} \\ &=1-\prod_{k=1}^K \left\{1-X_{ijk}\,\mathbb{P}\Big(V_{ijk}=1 \mid Y_{i1}=0,\ldots,Y_{i,j-1}=0, \ V_{ij1}=0,\ldots,V_{i,k-1}=0\Big)\right\} \\ &=1-\prod_{k=1}^K \left\{1-\mathbb{P}\Big(V_{ijk}=1 \mid Y_{i1}=0,\ldots,Y_{i,j-1}=0, \ V_{ij1}=0,\ldots,V_{i,k-1}=0\Big)\right\} \end{split}$$

With this result in mind, we now consider the Dunson and Stanford day-specific probabilities model. Using the same indexing scheme as above, define

 u_{ijk} a covariate vector of length q for woman i, cycle j, day k

 β a vector of length q of regression coefficients

 ξ_i woman-specific random effect

Then writing $U_{ij} = (u'_{ijk}, \dots, u'_{ijk})'$, Dunson and Stanford propose the model:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{ij} = 1 \mid \xi_i, X_{ij}, U_{ij}\right) = 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{K} (1 - \lambda_{ijk})^{X_{ijk}}$$

$$\lambda_{ijk} = 1 - \exp\left\{-\xi_i \exp\left(u'_{ijk}\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\}$$

$$\xi_i \sim \text{Gamma}(\phi, \phi) \tag{1}$$

From our previous derivation, we see that we may interpret λ_{ijk} as the day-specific probability of conception in cycle j from couple i given that conception has not already occured, or in the language of Dunson and Stanford, given intercourse only on day k.

Delving further, we see that λ_{ijk} is strictly increasing in $u_{ijkh} \beta_h$, where we are denoting u_{ijkh} to be the h^{th} term in u_{ijk} and similarly for β_h . When $\beta_h = 0$ then the h^{th} covariate has no effect on the day-specific probability of conception.

 λ_{ijk} is also strictly increasing in ξ_i which as Dunson and Stanford suggest may be interpreted as a woman-specific random effect. The authors state that specifying the distribution of the ξ_i with a common parameters prevents nonidentifiability between $\mathbb{E}[\xi_i]$ and the day-specific parameters. Since $\text{Var}[\xi_i] = 1/\phi$ it follows that ϕ may be interpreted as a measure of variability across women.

1.1.1 Computation consideration

As an aside, we note that it may be more computationally convenient to calculate

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{ij} = 1 \mid \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{X}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{U}_{ij}\right) \\ & = 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left(1 - \lambda_{ijk}\right)^{X_{ijk}} \\ & = 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[\exp\left\{-\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\}\right]^{X_{ijk}} \\ & = 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{K} \exp\left\{-X_{ijk} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\} \\ & = 1 - \exp\left\{-\sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\} \end{split}$$

1.2 Marginal probability of conception

The marginal probability of conception, obtained by integrating out the couple-specific frailty ξ_i , has form as follows.

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{P}(Y_{ij} = 1 \,|\, \boldsymbol{X}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{U}_{ij}) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{ij}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \,|\, \boldsymbol{X}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{U}_{ij}\right) d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{ij}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \,|\, \boldsymbol{X}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{U}_{ij}\right) \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}; \,\phi, \phi) \, d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[1 - \prod_{k=1}^{K} (1 - \lambda_{ijk})^{X_{ijk}} \right] \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}; \,\phi, \phi) \, d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \\ &= 1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[1 - \lambda_{ijk}\right]^{X_{ijk}} \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}; \,\phi, \phi) \, d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \\ &= 1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[\exp\left\{-\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\}\right]^{X_{ijk}} \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}; \,\phi, \phi) \, d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \\ &= 1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}X_{ijk} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\} \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}; \,\phi, \phi) \, d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \\ &= 1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}\sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\} \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}; \,\phi, \phi) \, d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \\ &= 1 - \left[\frac{\phi}{\phi + \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}\right]^{\phi} \end{split}$$

since

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\mathbf{u}_{ijk}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\} \mathcal{G}(\xi_{i}; \phi, \phi) d\xi_{i} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\mathbf{u}_{ijk}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\} \frac{\phi^{\phi}}{\Gamma(\phi)} \xi_{i}^{\phi-1} d\xi_{i} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi^{\phi}}{\Gamma(\phi)} \xi_{i}^{\phi-1} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \left[\phi + \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\mathbf{u}_{ijk}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right]\right\} d\xi_{i} \\ &= \left[\frac{\phi}{\phi + \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\mathbf{u}_{ijk}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right]^{\phi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\phi + \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\mathbf{u}_{ijk}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right]^{\phi}}{\Gamma(\phi)} \\ &\times \xi_{i}^{\phi-1} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \left[\phi + \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} \exp\left(\mathbf{u}_{ijk}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right]^{\phi}\right\} d\xi_{i} \end{split}$$

and the function inside the integral is a gamma density function.

1.2.1 Day-specific marginal probability of conception

Dunson and Stanford also point out the following remarkable result. The marginal day-specific probability of conception in a cycle with intercourse only on day k and with predictors u is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=1 \mid \boldsymbol{u}) = 1 - \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi + \exp(\boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{\beta})}\right)^{\phi}$$

which is in the form of the Aranda-Ordaz generalized linear model, and reduces to a logistic regression model for $\phi = 1$.

1.3 Prior specification

Define

 $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}_h}(\bullet)$ density function of a gamma distribution truncated to the region $\mathcal{A}_h \subset (0, \infty)$ $\gamma_h = \exp(\beta_h)$

Then the Dunson and Stanford model chooses priors of the form

$$\pi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \prod_{h=1}^{q} \left\{ p_h I(\gamma_h = 1) + (1 - p_h) I(\gamma_h \neq 1) \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}_h}(\gamma_h; a_h, b_h) \right\}$$

$$\pi(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\phi}; c_1, c_2)$$

where

 p_h prior probability that $\gamma_h=1$, a hyperparameter a_h,b_h shape and rate hyperparameters for gamma distribution of γ_h shape and rate hyperparameters for gamma distribution of ϕ

Values of $\gamma_h = 1$ correspond to $\beta_h = 0$ and the h^{th} predictor in u_{ijk} being dropped from the model. Thus assigning the prior for each of the γ_h to be a mixture distribution between a point mass at one and a gamma distribution allows the model to drop terms from the regression component with nonzero probability.

Typical constraints for the γ_h are \mathbb{R}^+ , (0,1), and $(1,\infty)$ which correspond to no constraint, a negative effect on probability of conception, and a positive effect on probability of conception, respectively. Thus a priori knowledge of the direction of association of the predictor variables can be incorporated into the model to decrease posterior uncertainty.

1.3.1 Monotone effects

Consider a model where the list of covariates includes an ordered categorical variable with types 1, ..., t. Let $s_{ijk} = (s_{ijk,2}, ..., s_{ijk,t})$ be a vector of length (t-1) for each day i, j, k where

$$s_{ijk,2} = I$$
 (categorical variable for day i, j, k is type 2)
$$s_{ijk,3} = I$$
 (categorical variable for day i, j, k is type 2 or 3)
$$\vdots \quad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$s_{ijk,t} = I$$
 (categorical variable for day i, j, k is type 2 or 3 or ... or t)

Next, let us partition each covariate vector $\mathbf{u}_{ijk} = (\mathbf{r}_{ijk}, \mathbf{s}_{ijk})$ so that \mathbf{r}_{ijk} is a vector of the remaining covariate terms. Furthermore let $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\tau, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ be the corresponding partition of covariate coefficients where $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t)$. Then for person i, cycle j, and day k with categorical variable type d where $d \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, then

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{ijk} &= 1 - \exp\left\{-\xi_i \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\} \\ &= 1 - \exp\left\{-\xi_i \exp\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\tau} + \boldsymbol{s}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\alpha}\right)\right\} \\ &= 1 - I\left(d = 1\right) \exp\left\{-\xi_i \exp\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\tau}\right)\right\} - I\left(d \ge 2\right) \exp\left\{-\xi_i \exp\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\tau} + \sum_{m=2}^d \alpha_m\right)\right\} \end{split}$$

From this form we can see that when $\alpha_m \geq 0$, m = 2, ..., t then λ_{ijk} is nondecreasing in m. It follows that a monotone increasing categorical variable can be created by coding the variable in the format as described above, and constraining the corresponding parameters of γ_h to be greater than or equal to one (corresponding to $\beta_h \geq 0$ for each of the corresponding h). Similarly, a monotone decreasing categorical variable can be created by coding the variable as described above, and constraining the corresponding parameters of γ_h to be less than or equal to one.

2 Posterior computation

Express the data augmentation model as

$$Y_{ij} = I\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk} Z_{ijk} > 0\right),$$

$$Z_{ijk} \sim \text{Poisson}\left(\xi_i \exp\left(\mathbf{u}'_{ijk} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right), \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$
(2)

Let us further define $W_{ijk} = X_{ijk}Z_{ijk}$ for all i, j, k.

2.1 Verifying the equivalence of the data augmentation model

Under (2), $Y_{ij} = 0$ if and only if W_{ij1}, \dots, W_{ijK} are identically 0. It follows that

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{ij} = 0 \mid \xi_{i}, X_{ij}, U_{ij}\right) \\ & = \prod_{k:X_{ijk} = 1} \mathbb{P}\left(W_{ijk} = 0 \mid \xi_{i}, u_{ijk}\right) \\ & = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[\mathbb{P}\left(W_{ijk} = 0 \mid \xi_{i}, u_{ijk}\right)\right]^{X_{ijk}} \\ & = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[\exp\left\{\xi_{i}\exp\left(u'_{ijk}\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right\}\right]^{X_{ijk}} \\ & = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left(1 - \lambda_{ijk}\right)^{X_{ijk}} \end{split}$$

which is the model in (1).

2.2 The full likelihood

Let Y be a random variable representing all of the potential pregnancy indicators Y_{ij} , let W be a random variable representing all of the latent variables W_{ijk} , and let ξ be a random variable representing all of the woman-specific random effects ξ_i . Then

$$\begin{split} \pi\left(Y,\boldsymbol{W},\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{\phi}\mid\text{data}\right) &= \pi\left(Y\mid\boldsymbol{W},\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{\phi},\text{data}\right)\pi\left(\boldsymbol{W}\mid\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{\phi},\text{data}\right)\pi\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}\mid\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{\phi},\text{data}\right)\pi\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}\mid\boldsymbol{\phi},\text{data}\right)\pi\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}\mid\text{data}\right) \\ &= \pi\left(Y\mid\boldsymbol{W}\right)\pi\left(\boldsymbol{W}\mid\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{\xi},\text{data}\right)\pi\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}\mid\boldsymbol{\phi}\right)\pi\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}\right)\pi\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}\right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i,j}\pi\left(Y_{ij}\mid\boldsymbol{W}_{ij}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1}\pi\left(W_{ijk}\mid\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^n\pi\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_i\mid\boldsymbol{\phi}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{\ell=1}^q\pi\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_h\right)\right)\pi\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}\right) \\ &= \left\{\prod_{i,j}\left[I\left(\sum_{k=1}^KW_{ijk}>0\right)Y_{ij}+I\left(\sum_{k=1}^KW_{ijk}=0\right)\left(1-Y_{ij}\right)\right]\right\} \\ &\times \left(\prod_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1}\frac{1}{W_{ijk}!}\left[\boldsymbol{\xi}_i\exp\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^qu_{ijk\ell}\log\boldsymbol{\gamma}_\ell\right)\right]^{W_{ijk}}\exp\left\{-\boldsymbol{\xi}_i\exp\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^qu_{ijk\ell}\log\boldsymbol{\gamma}_\ell\right)\right\}\right) \\ &\times \left(\prod_{i=1}^n\frac{\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\boldsymbol{\phi}}}{\Gamma(\boldsymbol{\phi})}\boldsymbol{\xi}_i^{\boldsymbol{\phi}-1}\exp\left(-\boldsymbol{\phi}\boldsymbol{\xi}_i\right)\right) \\ &\times \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^q\left[p_hI(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_h=1)+(1-p_h)I(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_h\neq1)\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{A}_h}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_h;\boldsymbol{a}_h,\boldsymbol{b}_h)\right]\right) \\ &\times \frac{\boldsymbol{c}_2^{c_1}}{\Gamma(\boldsymbol{c}_1)}\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\boldsymbol{c}_1-1}\exp\left(-\boldsymbol{c}_2\boldsymbol{\phi}\right) \end{split}$$

2.3 The full conditional distributions

Step 1. Writing $W_{ij} = (W_{ij1}, ..., W_{ijK})$ and letting $m = (m_1, ..., m_K)$ be a vector of realized outcomes for W_{ij} , we see first that for $Y_{ij} = 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{W}_{ij} = \mathbf{m} \mid Y_{ij} = 0, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \phi, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0} \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Next, for $Y_{ij} = 1$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{m} \mid Y_{ij} = 1, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data}\right) \\
= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{m}, \sum_{k} W_{ijk} = s \mid Y_{ij} = 1, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data}\right) \\
= \mathbb{P}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{m}, \sum_{k} W_{ijk} = \sum_{k} m_{k} \mid Y_{ij} = 1, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data}\right) \\
= \mathbb{P}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{m} \mid \sum_{k} W_{ijk} = \sum_{k} m_{k}, Y_{ij} = 1, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data}\right) \\
\times \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{k} W_{ijk} = \sum_{k} m_{k} \mid Y_{ij} = 1, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data}\right)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{split} \pi\left(\sum_{k=1}^K W_{ijk} \;\middle|\; Y_{ij} = 1, \; \pmb{\beta}, \phi, \xi, \text{data}\right) \\ &= \; \pi\left(\sum_{k=1}^K W_{ijk} \;\middle|\; \sum_{k=1}^K W_{ijk} \geq 1, \; \pmb{\beta}, \phi, \xi, \text{data}\right) \\ &\sim \; \text{Poisson}\!\left(\xi_i \sum_{k:\; X_{ijk} = 1} \exp\left(\pmb{u}_{ijk}' \pmb{\beta}\right)\right) \text{truncated so that } \sum_{k=1}^K W_{ijk} \geq 1 \end{split}$$

and

$$\pi \left(\boldsymbol{W}_{ij} \mid \sum_{k=1}^{K} W_{ijk}, Y_{ij} = 1, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{data} \right)$$

$$\sim \text{Multinomial} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} W_{ijk}; \frac{X_{ij1} \xi_i \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ij1}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{\xi_i \sum_{k: X_{ijk} = 1} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}, \dots, \frac{X_{ijK} \xi_i \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijK}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{\xi_i \sum_{k: X_{ijk} = 1} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)} \right)$$

Step 2. Define the following terms which will be of use in the following derivation. Denote

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{a}_h & a_h + \sum_{i,j,k} u_{ijkh} W_{ijk} \\ \widetilde{b}_h & b_h + \sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1} \xi_i \prod_{\ell \neq h} \gamma_\ell^{u_{ijk\ell}} \\ d_1 & p_h \exp\left\{-(\widetilde{b}_h - b_h)\right\} \\ d_2 & (1 - p_h) \frac{C(a_h, b_h) \int_{\mathcal{A}_h} \mathcal{G}(\gamma; \widetilde{a}_h, \widetilde{b}_h) d\gamma}{C(\widetilde{a}_h, \widetilde{b}_h) \int_{\mathcal{A}_h} \mathcal{G}(\gamma; a_h, b_h) d\gamma} \\ \widetilde{p}_h & \frac{d_1}{d_1 + d_2} \end{split}$$

Then

$$\pi\left(\gamma_{h} \mid \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(-h)}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{W}, \text{data}\right)$$

$$\propto \pi\left(\boldsymbol{W} \mid \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \text{data}\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \prod_{k: X_{ijk}=1} \pi\left(W_{ijk} \mid \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \text{data}\right)\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right)$$

$$\propto \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \prod_{k: X_{ijk}=1} \left[\exp\left(u_{ijkh} \log \gamma_{h}\right)\right]^{W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \exp\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{q} u_{ijk\ell} \log \gamma_{\ell}\right)\right\}\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \prod_{k: X_{ijk}=1} \gamma_{h}^{u_{ijkh} W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \prod_{\ell=1}^{q} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\}\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right)$$

$$\begin{split} &=\gamma_{h,i,k}^{\sum_{i,j,k}u_{ijhk}W_{ijk}}\exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k;X_{ijk}=1}\xi_{i}\prod_{\ell=1}^{q}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\}\pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right)\\ &=\gamma_{h}^{\sum_{i,j,k}u_{ijhk}W_{ijk}}\exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k;X_{ijk}=1}\xi_{i}\prod_{\ell=1}^{q}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\}\\ &\qquad \times \left[p_{h}I(\gamma_{h}=1)+(1-p_{h})I(\gamma_{h}\neq1)\mathcal{G}_{A_{b}}(\gamma_{h};a_{h},b_{h})\right]\\ &=p_{h}I(\gamma_{h}=1)\exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k;X_{ijk}=1}\xi_{i}\prod_{\ell\neq h}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\}\\ &\qquad +(1-p_{h})I(\gamma_{h}\neq1)\gamma_{h}^{\sum_{i,j,k;X_{ijk}=1}}\xi_{i}\prod_{\ell\neq h}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\}\\ &\qquad +(1-p_{h})I(\gamma_{h}\neq1)\gamma_{h}^{\sum_{i,j,k;X_{ijk}=1}}\xi_{i}\prod_{\ell\neq h}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\}\\ &\qquad +(1-p_{h})I(\gamma_{h}\neq1)\frac{C(a_{h},b_{h})}{\int_{A_{b}}\mathcal{G}(\gamma;a_{h},b_{h})d\gamma}\gamma_{h}^{a_{h}+\sum_{i,j,k}u_{ijkh}W_{ijk}-1}\\ &\qquad \times\exp\left\{-\gamma_{h}\left[b_{h}+\sum_{i,j,k;X_{ijk}=1}\xi_{i}\prod_{\ell\neq h}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right]\right\}\\ &=p_{h}I(\gamma_{h}=1)\exp\left\{-(\tilde{b}_{h}-b_{h})\right\}+(1-p_{h})I(\gamma_{h}\neq1)\frac{C(a_{h},b_{h})}{\int_{A_{b}}\mathcal{G}(\gamma;a_{h},b_{h})d\gamma}\gamma_{h}^{\tilde{a}_{h}-1}\exp\left\{-\tilde{b}_{h}\gamma_{h}\right\}\\ &=p_{h}I(\gamma_{h}=1)\exp\left\{-(\tilde{b}_{h}-b_{h})\right\}+(1-p_{h})I(\gamma_{h}\neq1)\\ &\qquad \times\frac{C(a_{h},b_{h})}{C(\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})\int_{A_{h}}\mathcal{G}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})d\gamma}\frac{C(\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})}{\int_{A_{b}}\mathcal{G}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})d\gamma}\gamma_{h}^{\tilde{a}_{h}-1}\exp\left\{-\tilde{b}_{h}\gamma_{h}\right\}\\ &=p_{h}I(\gamma_{h}=1)\exp\left\{-(\tilde{b}_{h}-b_{h})\right\}+(1-p_{h})I(\gamma_{h}\neq1)\\ &\qquad \times\frac{C(a_{h},b_{h})\int_{A_{h}}\mathcal{G}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})d\gamma}{C(\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})\int_{A_{h}}\mathcal{G}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})d\gamma}\mathcal{G}_{A_{h}}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})\\ &\qquad \times\frac{C(a_{h},b_{h})\int_{A_{h}}\mathcal{G}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})d\gamma}{C(\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})\int_{A_{h}}\mathcal{G}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})d\gamma}\mathcal{G}_{A_{h}}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})\\ &\qquad \times\frac{C(a_{h},b_{h})\int_{A_{h}}\mathcal{G}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})d\gamma}{C(\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})\int_{A_{h}}\mathcal{G}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})d\gamma}\mathcal{G}_{A_{h}}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})\\ &\qquad \times\frac{C(a_{h},b_{h})\int_{A_{h}}\mathcal{G}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})d\gamma}{C(\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})d\gamma}\mathcal{G}_{A_{h}}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})}\\ &=\frac{1}{2}I(\gamma_{h}=1)+\frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}+d_{2}}I(\gamma_{h}\neq1)\mathcal{G}_{A_{h}}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})\\ &\qquad \times\frac{C(a_{h},b_{h})\int_{A_{h}}\mathcal{G}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})d\gamma}{C(\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})d\gamma}\mathcal{G}_{A_{h}}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})\\ &\qquad \times\frac{C(a_{h},b_{h})\int_{A_{h}}\mathcal{G}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})d\gamma}{C(\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b},\tilde{b}_{h})d\gamma}\mathcal{G}_{A_{h}}(\gamma;\tilde{a}_{h},\tilde{b}_{h})}$$

Version 2

Define the following terms which will be of use in the following derivation. Denote

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{a}_h & a_h + \sum_{i,j,k} u_{ijkh} W_{ijk} \\ \widetilde{b}_h & b_h + \sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1, \ l \neq h} \xi_i \prod_{\ell \neq h} \gamma_\ell^{u_{ijk\ell}} \\ d_1 & p_h I(\gamma_h = 1) \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1} \xi_i \prod_{\ell \neq h} \gamma_\ell^{u_{ijk\ell}} \right\} \\ d_2 & (1-p_h) \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1, \ l \neq h} \xi_i \prod_{\ell \neq h} \gamma_\ell^{u_{ijk\ell}} \right\} \frac{C(a_h,b_h) \int_{\mathcal{A}_h} \mathcal{G}(\gamma; \widetilde{a}_h,\widetilde{b}_h) d\gamma}{C(\widetilde{a}_h,\widetilde{b}_h) \int_{\mathcal{A}_h} \mathcal{G}(\gamma; a_h,b_h) d\gamma} \\ \widetilde{p}_h & \frac{d_1}{d_1 + d_2} \end{split}$$

Then for the case when the explanetory variables are all categorical, we have

$$\begin{split} \pi\left(\gamma_{h} \mid \gamma_{(-h)}, \phi, \xi, W, \text{data}\right) \\ &\propto \pi\left(W \mid \xi, \gamma, \text{data}\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \prod_{k: X_{ijk}=1} \pi\left(W_{ijk} \mid \xi_{i}, \gamma, \text{data}\right)\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right) \\ &\propto \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \prod_{k: X_{ijk}=1} \left[\exp\left(u_{ijkh} \log \gamma_{h}\right)\right]^{W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \exp\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{q} u_{ijk\ell} \log \gamma_{\ell}\right)\right\}\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \prod_{k: X_{ijk}=1} \gamma_{h}^{u_{ijkh} W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \prod_{\ell=1}^{q} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\}\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right) \\ &= \gamma_{h}^{\sum_{i,j,k} u_{ijkh} W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k: X_{ijk}=1} \xi_{i} \prod_{\ell=1}^{q} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\} \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right) \\ &= \gamma_{h}^{\sum_{i,j,k} u_{ijkh} W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k: X_{ijk}=1} \xi_{i} \prod_{\ell=1}^{q} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\} \\ &\times \left[p_{h} I(\gamma_{h}=1) + (1-p_{h}) I(\gamma_{h} \neq 1) \mathcal{G}_{A_{h}}(\gamma_{h}; a_{h}, b_{h})\right] \\ &= p_{h} I(\gamma_{h}=1) \exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k: X_{ijk}=1} \xi_{i} \prod_{\ell\neq h} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ (1-p_h)I(\gamma_h \neq 1)\gamma_h^{\sum_{i,j,k}u_{ijkh}W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1}^{q}\xi_i\prod_{\ell=1}^{q}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}_h}(\gamma_h;a_h,b_h) \\ &= p_hI(\gamma_h = 1)\exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1}^{q}\xi_i\prod_{\ell\neq h}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\} \\ &+ (1-p_h)I(\gamma_h \neq 1)\gamma_h^{\sum_{i,j,k}u_{ijkh}W_{ijk}} \\ &\times \exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1,\\ u_{ijkh}=0}^{q}\xi_i\prod_{\ell\neq h}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\} \exp\left\{-\gamma_h\sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1,\\ u_{ijkh}=1}^{q}\xi_i\prod_{\ell\neq h}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}_h}(\gamma_h;a_h,b_h) \\ &= p_hI(\gamma_h = 1)\exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1}^{q}\xi_i\prod_{\ell\neq h}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\} \\ &+ (1-p_h)I(\gamma_h \neq 1)\exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1,\\ u_{ijkh}=0}^{q}\xi_i\prod_{\ell\neq h}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\} \frac{C(a_h,b_h)}{\int_{\mathcal{A}_h}\mathcal{G}(\gamma;a_h,b_h)d\gamma} \\ &\times \gamma_h^{a_h+\sum_{i,j,k}u_{ijkh}W_{ijk}-1} \exp\left\{-\gamma_h\left[b_h+\sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1,\\ u_{ijkh}=1}^{q}\xi_i\prod_{\ell\neq h}\gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right]\right\} \\ &= d_1I(\gamma_h = 1) + d_2I(\gamma_h \neq 1)\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}_h}(\gamma_h;\tilde{a}_h,\tilde{b}_h) \\ &= \frac{d_1}{d_1+d_2}I(\gamma_h = 1) + \frac{d_2}{d_1+d_2}I(\gamma_h \neq 1)\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}_h}(\gamma_h;\tilde{a}_h,\tilde{b}_h) \end{split}$$

Version 3 (Sam's Version)

Define the following terms which will be of use in the following derivation. Denote

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{a}_h & a_h + \sum_{i,j,k} u_{ijkh} W_{ijk} \\ \widetilde{b}_h & b_h + \sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk}=1, \ \ell \neq h} \xi_i \prod_{\ell \neq h} \gamma_\ell^{u_{ijk\ell}} \\ d_1 & p_h \exp\left\{-(\widetilde{b}_h - b_h)\right\} \\ d_2 & (1 - p_h) \frac{C(a_h, b_h) \int_{\mathcal{A}_h} \mathcal{G}(\gamma; \widetilde{a}_h, \widetilde{b}_h) d\gamma}{C(\widetilde{a}_h, \widetilde{b}_h) \int_{\mathcal{A}_h} \mathcal{G}(\gamma; a_h, b_h) d\gamma} \\ \widetilde{p}_h & \frac{d_1}{d_1 + d_2} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \pi\left(\gamma_{h} \mid \gamma_{(-h)}, \phi, \xi, W, \operatorname{data}\right) & \propto \pi\left(W \mid \xi, \gamma, \operatorname{data}\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \prod_{k: X_{ijk}=1} \pi\left(W_{ijk} \mid \xi_{i}, \gamma, \operatorname{data}\right)\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right) \\ & \propto \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \prod_{k: X_{ijk}=1} \left[\exp\left(u_{ijkh} \log \gamma_{h}\right)\right]^{W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \exp\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{q} u_{ijk\ell} \log \gamma_{\ell}\right)\right\}\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \prod_{k: X_{ijk}=1} \gamma_{h}^{u_{ijkh} W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\xi_{i} \prod_{\ell=1}^{q} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\}\right) \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right) \\ &= \gamma_{h}^{\sum_{i,j,k} u_{ijkh} W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k: X_{ijk}=1} \xi_{i} \prod_{\ell=1}^{q} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\} \pi\left(\gamma_{h}\right) \\ &= \gamma_{h}^{\sum_{i,j,k} u_{ijkh} W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k: X_{ijk}=1} \xi_{i} \prod_{\ell=1}^{q} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}}\right\} \\ & \times \left[p_{h}I(\gamma_{h}=1) + (1-p_{h})I(\gamma_{h}\neq 1)\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}_{h}}(\gamma_{h}; a_{h}, b_{h})\right] \\ &= \gamma_{h}^{\sum_{i,j,k} u_{ijkh} W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\sum_{i,j,k: X_{ijk}=1, \ u_{ijkh}=0} \xi_{i} \prod_{i,j,k: X_{ijk}=1} \xi_{i} \prod_{\ell\neq h} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}} \right\} \\ & \times \left[p_{h}I(\gamma_{h}=1) + (1-p_{h})I(\gamma_{h}\neq 1)\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}_{h}}(\gamma_{h}; a_{h}, b_{h})\right] \\ &\propto \gamma_{h}^{\sum_{i,j,k} u_{ijkh} W_{ijk}} \exp\left\{-\gamma_{h} \sum_{i,j,k: X_{ijk}=1} \xi_{i} \prod_{\ell\neq h} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{ijk\ell}} \right\} \\ & \times \left[p_{h}I(\gamma_{h}=1) + (1-p_{h})I(\gamma_{h}\neq 1)\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}_{h}}(\gamma_{h}; a_{h}, b_{h})\right] \end{split}$$

$$\times \left[p_h I(\gamma_h = 1) + (1 - p_h) I(\gamma_h \neq 1) \mathcal{G}_{A_h}(\gamma_h; a_h, b_h) \right]$$

$$= p_h I(\gamma_h = 1) \exp \left\{ -\sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk} = 1, \atop u_{(ijk)} = 1} \xi_i \prod_{\ell \neq h} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{(jk)}} \right\}$$

$$+ (1 - p_h) I(\gamma_h \neq 1) \gamma_h^{\sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk} = 1, \atop u_{(jk)} = 1}} \xi_i \prod_{\ell \neq h} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{(jk)}} \right\}$$

$$+ (1 - p_h) I(\gamma_h \neq 1) \gamma_h^{\sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk} = 1, \atop u_{(jk)} = 1}} \xi_i \prod_{\ell \neq h} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{(jk)}} \right\}$$

$$+ (1 - p_h) I(\gamma_h \neq 1) \sum_{i,j,k:X_{ijk} = 1, \atop u_{(jk)} = 1} \xi_i \prod_{\ell \neq h} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{(jk)}} \right\}$$

$$+ (1 - p_h) I(\gamma_h \neq 1) \sum_{j,j,k:X_{ijk} = 1, \atop u_{(jk)} = 1}} \xi_i \prod_{\ell \neq h} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{(jk)}} \right\}$$

$$+ (1 - p_h) I(\gamma_h \neq 1) \sum_{j,j,k:X_{ijk} = 1, \atop u_{(ijk)} = 1}} \xi_i \prod_{\ell \neq h} \gamma_{\ell}^{u_{(jk)}} \right]$$

$$= p_h I(\gamma_h = 1) \exp \left\{ -(\tilde{b}_h - b_h) \right\} + (1 - p_h) I(\gamma_h \neq 1) \sum_{j,j,k:X_{ijk} = 1, \atop u_{(ijk)} = 1}} \frac{C(a_h, b_h)}{\int_{A_h} \mathcal{G}(\gamma_i; a_h, b_h) d\gamma} \gamma_h^{\tilde{a}_h - 1} \exp \left\{ -\tilde{b}_h \gamma_h \right\}$$

$$= p_h I(\gamma_h = 1) \exp \left\{ -(\tilde{b}_h - b_h) \right\} + (1 - p_h) I(\gamma_h \neq 1) \sum_{j,j,k:X_{ijk} = 1, \atop u_{(ijk)} = 1}} \frac{C(\tilde{a}_h, b_h)}{\int_{A_h} \mathcal{G}(\gamma_i; a_h, b_h) d\gamma} \frac{C(\tilde{a}_h, \tilde{b}_h)}{\int_{A_h} \mathcal{G}(\gamma_i; \tilde{a}_h, \tilde{b}_h) d\gamma} \gamma_h^{\tilde{a}_h - 1} \exp \left\{ -\tilde{b}_h \gamma_h \right\}$$

$$= p_h I(\gamma_h = 1) \exp \left\{ -(\tilde{b}_h - b_h) \right\} + (1 - p_h) I(\gamma_h \neq 1) \sum_{j,k:X_{ijk} = 1, \atop u_{(ijk)} = 1}} \frac{C(\tilde{a}_h, b_h) \int_{A_h} \mathcal{G}(\gamma_i; \tilde{a}_h, \tilde{b}_h) d\gamma}{C(\tilde{a}_h, b_h) \int_{A_h} \mathcal{G}(\gamma_i; \tilde{a}_h, \tilde{b}_h) d\gamma} \mathcal{G}_{A_h}(\gamma_i; \tilde{a}_h, \tilde{$$

Step 3.

$$\pi(\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \mid \boldsymbol{\beta}, \phi, \boldsymbol{W}, \text{data})$$

$$\propto \pi \left(W_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\beta}, \xi_{i}, \operatorname{data} \right) \pi \left(\xi_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}, \operatorname{data} \right)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{j,k:X_{ijk}=1} \pi \left(W_{ijk} \mid \boldsymbol{\beta}, \xi_{i}, \operatorname{data} \right) \right) \pi \left(\xi_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}, \operatorname{data} \right)$$

$$\propto \left(\prod_{j,k:X_{ijk}=1} \xi_{i}^{W_{ijk}} \exp \left\{ -\xi_{i} \exp \left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta} \right) \right\} \right) \xi_{i}^{\phi-1} \exp \left\{ -\phi \xi_{i} \right\}$$

$$= \left(\xi_{i}^{\sum_{j,k}W_{ijk}} \exp \left\{ -\xi_{i} \sum_{j,k:X_{ijk}=1} \exp \left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta} \right) \right\} \right) \xi_{i}^{\phi-1} \exp \left\{ -\phi \xi_{i} \right\}$$

$$= \xi_{i}^{\phi+\sum_{j,k}W_{ijk}-1} \exp \left\{ -\xi_{i} \left[\phi + \sum_{j,k:X_{ijk}=1} \exp \left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\sim \operatorname{Gamma} \left(\phi + \sum_{j,k} W_{ijk}, \sum_{j,k:X_{ijk}=1} \exp \left(\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}' \boldsymbol{\beta} \right) \right)$$

Step 4. Sampling ϕ can be achieved via the Metropolis algorithms. Let $\phi^{(s)}$ denote the value of ϕ for the s^{th} scan of the MCMC algorithm, and let ϕ^* denote a proposed value of ϕ for the $(s+1)^{th}$ scan of the algorithm. We consider the following two proposal distributions where δ is a tuning parameter with value greater than 0.

(i)
$$J(\phi^* | \phi^{(s)}) \sim |N(\phi^{(s)}, \delta^2)|$$

(ii) $J(\phi^* | \phi^{(s)}) \sim |\text{Uniform}(\phi^{(s)} - \delta, \phi^{(s)} + \delta)|$

Now,

$$\pi(\phi|Y, W, \beta, \xi, \text{data})$$

$$= \frac{\pi(Y, W, \beta, \xi, \phi, \text{data})}{\pi(Y, W, \beta, \xi, \text{data})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi(Y, W, \beta, \xi, \text{data})} \pi(Y|W, \beta, \xi, \phi, \text{data}) \pi(W|\beta, \xi, \phi, \text{data})$$

$$\times \pi(\xi|\phi, \text{data}) \pi(\phi| \text{data})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi(Y, W, \beta, \xi, \text{data})} \pi(Y|W) \pi(W|\beta, \xi, \text{data}) \pi(\xi|\phi) \pi(\phi)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi(\xi_{i}|\phi)\right) \pi(\phi) \frac{\pi(Y|W) \pi(W|\beta, \xi, \text{data})}{\pi(Y, W, \beta, \xi, \text{data})}$$

It follows that the acceptance ratio is given by min(r, 1) where

$$r = \frac{\pi(\phi^*|Y, W, \beta, \xi, \text{data})}{\pi(\phi^{(s)}|Y, W, \beta, \xi, \text{data})} = \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \pi(\xi_i | \phi^*)\right)\pi(\phi^*)}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \pi(\xi_i | \phi^{(s)})\right)\pi(\phi^{(s)})}$$

2.3.1 Symmetric distributions verfication

Recall the proposal distributions from Step 4 of the MCMC algorithm:

(i)
$$J(\phi^*|\phi^{(s)}) \sim |N(\phi^{(s)}, \delta^2)|$$

(ii)
$$J(\phi^*|\phi^{(s)}) \sim |\operatorname{Uniform}(\phi^{(s)} - \delta, \phi^{(s)} + \delta)|$$

To see that (i) is indeed a symmetric distribution, consider the following. Let $X \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \delta^2)$, and let Y = |X|. Define

$$A_0 = \{0\}$$

 $A_1 = (-\infty, 0)$ $g_1(x) = -x$ $g_1^{-1}(x) = -x$
 $A_2 = (0, \infty)$ $g_2(x) = x$ $g_2^{-1}(x) = x$

Then

$$\pi_{Y}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} f_{X}(g_{i}^{-1}(y)) \left| \frac{d}{dy} g_{i}^{-1}(y) \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta^{2}}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} (-y - \mu)^{2} \right\} |-1| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta^{2}}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} (y - \mu)^{2} \right\} |1|$$

Letting $\pi_{J(i)}(x|y)$ denote the density function of (i), it follows that

$$\pi_{J(i)}(\phi^* | \phi^{(s)}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\delta^2} \left(-\phi^* - \phi^{(s)}\right)^2\right\} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\delta^2} \left(\phi^* - \phi^{(s)}\right)^2\right\}$$

and that

$$\pi_{J(\mathbf{i})}\left(\phi^{(s)} \mid \phi^*\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\delta^2} \left(-\phi^{(s)} - \phi^*\right)^2\right\} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\delta^2} \left(\phi^{(s)} - \phi^*\right)^2\right\}$$

which are readily seen to be equivalent.

To see that (ii) is indeed a symmetric distribution, consider the following. Let $X \sim \text{Uniform}(a, b)$, and let Y = |X|. Then for a < y < b,

$$F_{Y}(y) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le y)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(|X| \le y)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(-y \le X \le y)$$

$$= F_{X}(y) - F_{X}(-y)$$

$$= \frac{y-a}{b-a} - \frac{-y-a}{b-a} I(a < -y)$$

so that

$$\pi_Y(y) = \frac{1}{b-a} + \frac{1}{b-a}I(a < -y)$$

Letting $\pi_{J(\mathrm{ii})}(x|y)$ denote the density function of (ii), it follows that for $\phi^{(s)} < \phi^* < \phi^{(s)}$,

$$\pi_{J(ii)}(\phi^*|\phi^{(s)}) = \frac{1}{(\phi^{(s)} + \delta) - (\phi^{(s)} - \delta)} + \frac{1}{(\phi^{(s)} + \delta) - (\phi^{(s)} - \delta)}I(\phi^{(s)} - \delta < -\phi^*)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\delta} + \frac{1}{2\delta}I(\phi^{(s)} - \delta < -\phi^*)$$

and similarly that for $\phi^* < \phi^{(s)} < \phi^*$,

$$\pi_{J(ii)}(\phi^{(s)}|\phi^*) = \frac{1}{(\phi^* + \delta) - (\phi^* - \delta)} + \frac{1}{(\phi^* + \delta) - (\phi^* - \delta)}I(\phi^* - \delta < -\phi^{(s)})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\delta} + \frac{1}{2\delta}I(\phi^* - \delta < -\phi^{(s)})$$

which after rearranging terms are seen to be equivalent.

2.3.2 Computational considerations

(i) Sampling from a truncated gamma distribution

Consider a set (a, b) and let X be a continuous random variable with support A such that $(a, b) \subset A$. Define

 F_X the distribution function of X

 $U(d_1, d_2)$ a uniform random variable with support on (d_1, d_2)

V a random variable defined by $V = F_X^{-1} \left(U(F_X(a), F_X(b)) \right)$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}(V \le v) = \mathbb{P}\left\{F_X^{-1}\left(U(F_X(a), F_X(b))\right) \le v\right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left\{U(F_X(a), F_X(b)) \le F_X(v)\right\}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & v \le F_X(a) \\ \frac{F_X(v) - F_X(a)}{F_X(b) - F_X(a)}, & F_X(a) < v < F_X(b) < v \end{cases}$$
1. $F_Y(b) \le v$

which is the distribution function of X truncated to (a,b). Thus by choosing F_X to be the distribution function of some desired gamma distribution, we may sample from the truncated gamma distribution by sampling $u = U(F_X(a), F_X(b))$ and then calculating $F_X^{-1}(u)$.

- (ii) Sampling from a truncated Poisson distribution
- (iii) Calculating $\frac{d_1}{d_1 + d_2}$
- (iv) Sampling from acceptance ratio r
- 3 Posterior inference