

1 Question 1

a)

Prove that G has a clique of size $t \iff G^k$ has a clique of size t^k .

(\Rightarrow) Let C be a clique of size t in G . Denote the vertices in the clique as $c_i \in C$, for all integer $i \in [1, t]$. Let C' denote the subset of vertices in G^k where for each vertex $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in C'$, $v_i \in C$. Now, for any two vertices (u_1, \dots, u_k) and (v_1, \dots, v_k) in C' , we see that for all i , either $u_i = v_i$ or there must be an edge between u_i and v_i in G as both $u_i, v_i \in C$ by construction of C' . Thus, all vertices in C' are completely connected to each other in G^k , meaning that C' is a clique. To calculate the size of C' , observe that C' enumerates all k -tuples where each entry v_i is from C . Since there are $|C| = t$ possible values for any entry, there are t^k possible combinations for tuples of size k . Thus, we have $|C'| = t^k$. Thus, C' is a clique of size t^k that exists in G^k .

(\Leftarrow) Following from the same conventions as above, C' is a clique of size t^k in G^k . This must mean that there are t^k distinct vertices in C' , which must mean that there are t^k distinct combinations of $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in C'$. To get t^k distinct combinations, you need at least t distinct elements for at least one index of the k -tuples in C' (i.e. suppose you have $t - 1$ distinct elements, then the most number of unique k -tuples you can make is $(t - 1)^k$). Since all vertices are completely connected in C' , we know that for the index that has at least t distinct possible values/vertices, there must be an edge in G between each pair of vertices/values for that index - otherwise there wouldn't be an edge between the k -tuple vertices in C' . Thus, there are at least t vertices that are completely connected to each other in G , meaning there is a clique of size t in G .

b)

Intuition

Suppose the maximum clique in G has size t . "Raising" G to some k will map this clique to a larger clique of size t^k . A constant factor approximation algorithm will be able to find a clique that is a subset of these vertices, say of size $\frac{t^k}{2}$. We know that this clique has $\frac{t^k}{2}$ unique combinations of k -tuples. Corre-

spondingly, for some index of the clique of k -tuples, there must be at least $\sqrt[k]{\frac{t^k}{2}}$

distinct values for $\frac{t^k}{2}$ combinations to be possible. Since the clique is completely

connected, we know that those $\sqrt[k]{\frac{t^k}{2}}$ vertices are completely connected in G and

must form a clique. Note that the clique found in G approximates to $\frac{t}{\sqrt[k]{2}}$ - this

means as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\frac{t}{\sqrt[k]{2}} \rightarrow t$.

Proof

Given G , let's find the maximum cover in G^k for some k . Let OPT be the maximum cover in G and OPT^k be the maximum cover in G^k . We will determine this k later (honestly, what's with determining everything retrospectively). Run A on G^k to find a cover C^k . We know that $|C^k| \geq \frac{1}{2}|OPT^k|$ because the approximation factor of A is $\frac{1}{2}$. Let $m \geq \frac{1}{2}$ be the actual approximation achieved - thus, $|C^k| = m|OPT^k|$. Now, going through the k -tuples $\in C^k$, we have at least $|C^k| = t^k$ possible combinations for some t . By the previous part, we know that there is a clique in G of size t , which is an approximation of the maximum clique. Let $t = (1-\epsilon)|OPT|$. Thus, we have $((1-\epsilon)|OPT|)^k = |C^k| = m|OPT^k|$.

Note: In practice, we know that at least one index for the k -tuples in C^k have $\lceil t \rceil$ distinct values (otherwise, t^k combinations are not possible for C^k).

Observe that $|OPT|^k = |OPT^k|$ as the largest clique in G maps to a clique in G^k and vice versa by the above question. Now, let's re-arrange:

$$\begin{aligned} ((1-\epsilon)|OPT|)^k &= m|OPT^k| \\ (1-\epsilon)^k |OPT|^k &= m|OPT^k| \\ (1-\epsilon)^k &= m \\ k \log(1-\epsilon) &= \log m \\ k &= \frac{\log m}{\log(1-\epsilon)} \\ k &= \frac{-1}{\log(1-\epsilon)} \quad \text{worst case } m = \frac{1}{2}; \text{ greater } m \text{ requires smaller } k \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for some given ϵ such that we get an approximation clique of size $(1-\epsilon)|OPT|$ in G , we need to at least $k = \frac{-1}{\log(1-\epsilon)}$.

Algorithm

Based on the above proof, we first determine the necessary $k = \lceil \frac{-1}{\log(1-\epsilon)} \rceil$ based on the given ϵ . Then, we create G^k . We run A on G^k and then for the clique C^k returned, we look through every index to find the largest list of values/vertices possible for that index. The maximal list will be C such that $|C| \geq (1-\epsilon)|OPT|$.

Analysis

The bottle-neck of this algorithm is generating G^k for $k = \lceil \frac{-1}{\log(1-\epsilon)} \rceil$. It's clear that there are n^k vertices (n choices for each of the k indices in the tuple).

Algorithm 1 PTAS for Clique

```
1: procedure PTASCLIQUE( $G = (V, E), \epsilon$ )
2:    $k \leftarrow \lceil \frac{-1}{\log(1 - \epsilon)} \rceil$ 
3:   create graph  $G^k$  brute-force
4:    $C^k \leftarrow A(G^k)$ 
5:    $C \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
6:   for  $i \in [1, \dots, |C^k|]$  do
7:      $S \leftarrow$  distinct vertices in  $C^k$  for index  $i$ 
8:     if  $|S| \geq |C|$  then
9:        $C \leftarrow S$ 
10:  return  $C$ 
```

There are at most $O(n^{2k})$ edges. A brute force graph construction of G^k in which you iterate over every vertex/ k -tuple, for each index and compare it with all the other vertices is bounded by $O(kn^{2k}) \in O(n^{3k})$. Running A on this graph is $O(p(n^k))$. Iterating through the maximal clique in G^k to find the maximal list of vertices for each index is no more than $O(n^{3k})$ (high upper bound than necessary because it's irrelevant/not the bottleneck). Thus, we see that the

total runtime of the algorithm is $O(n^{\frac{-3}{\log(1 - \epsilon)}} + p(n^{\frac{-1}{\log(1 - \epsilon)}}))$.