

# CSci 423 Homework 9

Due: 12:30 pm, Tuesday, 11/26

Daniel Quiroga

Collaborators:

1. (1, 1, 1, 3 points) In class, we learned that  $A_D$  is non-TR,  $A_{TM}$  and  $HALT_{TM}$  are TR but non-TD. What can you say about their complements? Circle the correct answers below.

- (a)  $\overline{A_D}$  is      (i) TD;      (ii) TR but non-TD;      (iii) non-TR. ANSWER: ii  
(b)  $\overline{A_{TM}}$  is      (i) TD;      (ii) TR but non-TD;      (iii) non-TR. ANSWER: iii  
(c)  $\overline{HALT_{TM}}$  is      (i) TD;      (ii) TR but non-TD;      (iii) non-TR. ANSWER: iii

In addition, justify your answer to (a) by giving a proof.

2. (6 points) Prove that  $ES_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ accepts } \epsilon \}$  is non-TD. (Hint: Reduce from  $A_{TM}$ .)

Assume  $ES_{TM}$  is TD. Then there is a Turing Machine R that decides  $ES_{TM}$ .

So TM R given a Turing Machine M it will accept if  $\epsilon \in L(M)$  or reject otherwise. We will try to define a Turing Machine S that decides  $A_{TM}$  (reduction sign) with this information.

Define TM M' = on input x  $\rightarrow$  Run M on w.

$L(M') = \Sigma^* \text{ if } w \in L(M) \mid \emptyset \text{ otherwise}$

M' accepts  $\epsilon$  iff  $w \in L(M)$

Then we have a turning machine S that would decide  $A_{TM} \rightarrow$  CONTRADICTION!

$ES_{TM}$  is Turing-undecidable.

3. (6 points) Let  $T = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts } w^R \text{ whenever it accepts } w \}$ . Recall that  $w^R$  is the reverse of  $w$ . Prove that  $T$  is non-TD.

Hints: (1) Reduce from  $A_{TM}$ . (2) For any  $M$  and  $w$ , can you define a TM  $M_1$  such that  $L(M_1) = \{01, 10\}$  if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and  $L(M_1) = \{01\}$  if  $M$  does not accept  $w$ ?

4. (6 points) Prove that it is undecidable whether  $L(M_1) \subseteq L(M_2)$  for any given TMs  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . (Hint: Reduce from  $EQ_{TM}$ .)

In order to prove equality or inequality using subsets we need two principles to hold: for equality we need  $L(M_1) \subseteq L(M_2)$  and  $L(M_2) \subseteq L(M_1)$  to both come out true. If one of them fails then we have inequality.

We first assume that the subset problem is TD. Then there must be a turning machine that decides it.

We design a Turing Machine E that will accept the input  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$ : accept if the first input is a subset of the second, reject otherwise. We will try to define a Turing machine S that decides  $EQ_{TM}$ .

Since this subset is a smaller part of the entire equality theorem.

Define E = on input x  $\rightarrow$  run input  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$  if it accepts, then run  $\langle M_2, M_1 \rangle$  if it accepts then have TM S accept, if any steps rejects have TM S reject. E accepts on both of the inputs iff  $L(M_1) = L(M_2)$  iff S accepts  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$ .

We have designed a TM S that decides  $EQ_{TM} \rightarrow$  CONTRADICTION!

The problem is Turing-undecidable

5. (6 points) Prove that the question “Does  $L(M)$  contain any string of length 5” is undecidable. (Hint: Reduce from  $A_{TM}$ .) We assume that the question is decidable. Then there must be TM R that decides the question So TM R give another TM M will accept if the length of the string is exactly 5, reject otherwise. We will try to define TM S that decides  $A_{TM}$  with this information.

Define TM  $M'$  = on input  $x \rightarrow$  Run M on  $w$ .

$L(M') = \Sigma^*$  if  $w \in L(M) \mid \emptyset$  otherwise

$M'$  accepts  $w$  of length 5 iff  $w \in L(M)$

Then this would mean that TM S would be able to decide  $A_{TM} \rightarrow$  CONTRADICTION!

The question is undecidable.