

# Chapter 4

## Statistical learning

References:

- The Elements of Statistical Learning [13]<sup>1</sup>
- Probabilistic Machine Learning: An Introduction [25]<sup>2</sup>
- Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning [3]<sup>3</sup>
- Mathematics of Machine Learning by Prof. Philippe Rigollet (lecture note)<sup>4</sup>
- Statistical Methods for Machine Learning by Larry Wasserman (lecture note)<sup>5</sup>
- An Introduction to Statistical Learning: with Applications in R [15]<sup>6</sup>

### 4.1 Linear Methods for Classification

As explained in [15, Section 4.2] (Why Not Linear Regression?), there are at least two reasons not to perform classification using a regression method:

- a regression method cannot accommodate a qualitative response with more than two classes;
- a regression method will not provide meaningful estimates of  $\mathbb{P}(Y|X)$ , even with just two classes.

#### 4.1.1 LDA and QDA

**Theorem 4.1.1.** The true error rate of a classifier  $h$  is given by

$$L(h) := \mathbb{P}(h(X) \neq Y).$$

Consider the special case where  $Y \in \mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}$ . Let  $r(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x)$ . In this case the Bayes classification rule  $h^*$  is given by

$$h^*(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & r(x) > \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & r(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Prove that the Bayes classification rule is optimal, that is, if  $h$  is any other classification rule then  $L(h^*) \leq L(h)$ .

**Proof.**

<sup>1</sup>[https://web.stanford.edu/~hastie/ElemStatLearn/printings/ESLII\\_print12.pdf](https://web.stanford.edu/~hastie/ElemStatLearn/printings/ESLII_print12.pdf)

<sup>2</sup><https://probml.github.io/pml-book/book1.html>

<sup>3</sup>[https://cds.cern.ch/record/998831/files/9780387310732\\_T0C.pdf](https://cds.cern.ch/record/998831/files/9780387310732_T0C.pdf)

<sup>4</sup>[https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/mathematics/18-657-mathematics-of-machine-learning-fall-2015/lecture-notes/MIT18\\_657F15\\_LecNote.pdf](https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/mathematics/18-657-mathematics-of-machine-learning-fall-2015/lecture-notes/MIT18_657F15_LecNote.pdf)

<sup>5</sup><https://www.stat.cmu.edu/~larry/=sml/>

<sup>6</sup><https://www.statlearning.com/>

For a classifier  $h$ , we rewrite the true error rate  $L(h)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} L(h) &= \mathbb{P}(h(X) \neq Y) = \mathbb{P}(h(X) = 1, Y = 0) + \mathbb{P}(h(X) = 0, Y = 1) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=1, Y=0\}}|X)) + \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=0, Y=1\}}|X)) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_A$  is the indicator function over a set  $A$  and we write  $\mathbb{P}(h(X) = 1, Y = 0) = \mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=1, Y=0\}}$ , the second equality is from the disjoint of two events, and the third equality is from the law of total expectation conditioning on  $X$ .

Since  $h(X)$  is measurable w.r.t  $X$ , then we take it away from the inner expectation. So the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} L(h) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=0\}}\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{Y=1\}}|X)) + \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=1\}}\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{Y=0\}}|X)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=0\}}r(X)) + \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=1\}}(1-r(X))) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=0\}}r(X) + \mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=1\}}(1-r(X))) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where we rewrite  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{Y=1\}}|X) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X)$  and replace it by  $r(X)$  in the second equality.

For a classifier  $h$  and the Bayes classifier  $h^*$ , using the equality (4.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L(h) - L(h^*) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=0\}}r(X) + \mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=1\}}(1-r(X))) - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{h^*(X)=0\}}r(X) + \mathbf{1}_{\{h^*(X)=1\}}(1-r(X))) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=0\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{h^*(X)=0\}})r(X) + (\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=1\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{h^*(X)=1\}})(1-r(X))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=0\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{h^*(X)=0\}})(2r(X) - 1)] \end{aligned}$$

where we use identity  $\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=1\}} = 1 - \mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=0\}}$  in the third equality.

There are three cases for the last equality. For  $h(X) = h^*(X)$ ,  $L(h) - L(h^*) = 0$ . For  $h(X) = 1, h^*(X) = 0$ ,  $L(h) - L(h^*) = -\mathbb{E}(2r(X) - 1) = \mathbb{E}(|2r(X) - 1|)$  since  $r(X) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . For  $h(X) = 0, h^*(X) = 1$ ,  $L(h) - L(h^*) = \mathbb{E}(2r(X) - 1)$ . Hence, from the above discussion and definition of  $h^*$  we have

$$L(h) - L(h^*) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X) \neq h^*(X)\}}|2r(X) - 1|] \geq 0$$

which implies  $L(h^*) \leq L(h)$ . This gives the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.1.2.** Suppose that  $Y \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  and  $\mathbb{P}(X = x|Y = k)$  is Gaussian  $N(\mu_k, \Sigma_k)$ .

- 
- If  $\Sigma_k \neq \Sigma_l$  for any  $k, l$ , then the Bayes classifier is

$$h^*(x) = \operatorname{argmax}_k \delta_k(x)^{(1)}$$

provided by

$$\delta_k^{(1)}(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_k| - \frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_k)^T \Sigma_k^{-1} (x - \mu_k) + \log(\pi_k).$$

- If  $\Sigma_k = \Sigma_l$  for any  $k, l$ , then the Bayes classifier is

$$h^*(x) = \operatorname{argmax}_k \delta_k^{(2)}(x)$$

provided by

$$\delta_k^{(2)}(x) = x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k - \frac{1}{2} \mu_k^T \Sigma_k^{-1} \mu_k + \log(\pi_k).$$

**Exercise 1.** If  $X|Y = 0 \sim N(\mu_0, \Sigma_0)$  and  $X|Y = 1 \sim N(\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$ , then the Bayes rule is

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r_1^2 < r_2^2 + 2 \log \frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0} + \log \frac{|\Sigma_0|}{|\Sigma_1|} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where  $r_i^2 = (x - \mu_i)^T \Sigma_i^{-1} (x - \mu_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbb{P}(X = x|Y = k) = f_k(x)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(Y = k) = \pi_k$  for  $k = 0, 1$ . From the Bayes' theorem, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = i|X = x) = \frac{f_i(x)\pi_i(x)}{\sum_{k=0}^1 f_k(x)\pi_k}, \text{ for } i = 0, 1.$$

Since the Bayes rule is  $h^*(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbb{P}(Y=1|X=x) > \mathbb{P}(Y=0|X=x)\}}$ , we need to simplify  $\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x) > \mathbb{P}(Y =$

$0|X = x)$  which is

$$\frac{f_1(x)\pi_1(x)}{\sum_k f_k(x)\pi_k} > \frac{f_0(x)\pi_0(x)}{\sum_k f_k(x)\pi_k}.$$

Note that the denominator can be canceled. Then we have

$$f_1(x)\pi_1(x) > f_0(x)\pi_0(x).$$

Since  $X|Y = i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \Sigma_i)$  for  $i = 0, 1$ , the above inequality yields (here we cancel the same term  $(2\pi)^{-d/2}$  for both side)

$$|\Sigma_1|^{-1/2} \exp(-r_1^2/2) > |\Sigma_0|^{-1/2} \exp(-r_0^2/2)$$

where let  $r_i^2 = (x - \mu_i)^T \Sigma_i^{-1} (x - \mu_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ .

We take logarithm for both side to get

$$-\frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_1| - \frac{1}{2} r_1^2 + \log \pi_1 > -\frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_0| - \frac{1}{2} r_0^2 + \log \pi_0.$$

This is just

$$r_1^2 < r_0^2 + \log \frac{|\Sigma_0|}{|\Sigma_1|} + 2 \log \frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0}.$$

Hence,

$$h^*(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r_1^2 < r_0^2 + \log \frac{|\Sigma_0|}{|\Sigma_1|} + 2 \log \frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where let  $r_i^2 = (x - \mu_i)^T \Sigma_i^{-1} (x - \mu_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . □

**Exercise 2.** Consider a classifier with class conditional densities of the form  $N(x|\mu_c, \Sigma_c)$ . In LDA, we assume  $\Sigma_c = \Sigma$  and in QDA, each  $\Sigma_c$  is arbitrary. Assume that  $\Sigma_1 = k\Sigma_2$  for  $k > 1$ . That is, the Gaussian ellipsoids have the same “shape”, but the one for class 1 is “wider”. Derive an expression for the decision boundary.

**Proof.** Here we consider two classes that  $Y \in \{1, 2\}$  and We use same notations as class. Let  $f_k(x) := \mathbb{P}(X = x|Y = k)$  for  $k = 1, 2$ . Since class conditional densities of  $f_k(x)$  are of the form  $\mathcal{N}(x|\mu_c, \Sigma_c)$ , which are given by

$$f_k(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} |\Sigma_k|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_k)^T \Sigma_k^{-1} (x - \mu_k)\right), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

In this question, we consider the decision boundary

$$D(h) = \{x : \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 2|X = x)\}.$$

From the Bayes' theorem, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = i|X = x) = \frac{f_i(x)\pi_i(x)}{\sum_{k=1}^2 f_k(x)\pi_k}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Using the above equation, the conditional probability equation in decision boundary becomes

$$f_1(x)\pi_1 = f_2(x)\pi_2. \tag{4.3}$$

Plug class conditional densities of  $f_k(x)$  into (4.3) and take logarithm for both side, we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_1| - \frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma_1^{-1} (x - \mu_1) + \log \pi_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_2| - \frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_2)^T \Sigma_2^{-1} (x - \mu_2) + \log \pi_2.$$

Since we know that  $\Sigma_1 = k\Sigma_2$  for  $k > 1$ , the above equation becomes

$$\log \frac{|k\Sigma_2|}{|\Sigma_2|} + (x - \mu_1)^T (k\Sigma_2)^{-1} (x - \mu_1) - (x - \mu_2)^T \Sigma_2^{-1} (x - \mu_2) + 2 \log \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} = 0.$$

Using  $|k\Sigma_2| = k^d |\Sigma_2|$  and expanding the above bracket, we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{k} - 1\right) x^T \Sigma_2^{-1} x + (2\mu_2^T - \frac{2}{k} \mu_1^T) \Sigma_2^{-1} x + \frac{1}{k} \mu_1^T \Sigma_2^{-1} \mu_1 - \mu_2^T \Sigma_2^{-1} \mu_2 + d \log k + 2 \log \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} = 0.$$

□

**Exercise 3.** Ex 4.2 in [13].

**Proof.**

part (a)

We follow the same notations as class. Since there are two classes, assume that  $Y \in \{1, 2\}$ . In LDA, let  $\mathbb{P}(X = x|Y = k) = f_k(x)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(Y = k) = \pi_k$  for  $k = 1, 2$ . We need to compare  $\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(Y = 2|X = x)$  in LDA. From the Bayes' theorem, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = i|X = x) = \frac{f_i(x)\pi_i(x)}{\sum_{k=1}^2 f_k(x)\pi_k}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

To compare  $\mathbb{P}(Y = 2|X = x) > \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x)$  is equivalent to

$$\frac{f_2(x)\pi_2(x)}{\sum_k f_k(x)\pi_k} > \frac{f_1(x)\pi_1(x)}{\sum_k f_k(x)\pi_k}.$$

Note that the denominator can be canceled. Then we have

$$f_2(x)\pi_2(x) > f_1(x)\pi_1(x). \quad (4.4)$$

Since each class density  $f_k(x)$  is multivariate Gaussian, then

$$f_k(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}|\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_k)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_k)\right), k = 1, 2$$

where two classes have same covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ .

We plug densities of  $f_k$  into (4.4) and take logarithm for both side to get

$$-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_1) + \log \pi_1 > -\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_2)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_2) + \log \pi_2.$$

We expand the above and cancel  $x^T \Sigma^{-1} x$ , then the above inequality yields

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mu_2^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 + (\mu_2 - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1} x + \log \pi_2 - \log \pi_1 > 0$$

Note that we estimate  $\pi_1 = \frac{n_1}{n}$  and  $\pi_2 = \frac{n_2}{n}$  since the size of class 1 and class 2 are  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  respectively. Using this estimate, we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mu_2^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 + (\mu_2 - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1} x + \log\left(\frac{n_2}{n}\right) - \log\left(\frac{n_1}{n}\right) > 0$$

Hence,

$$x^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mu_2 - \mu_1) > \frac{1}{2}\mu_2^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 + \log\left(\frac{n_1}{n}\right) - \log\left(\frac{n_2}{n}\right). \quad (4.5)$$

part (b) We label class 1 as  $C_1$  of size  $n_1$  and class 1 as  $C_2$  of size  $n_2$ . To minimize the least squares  $\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta^T x_i)^2$ , it suffices to take the derivatives with respect to  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta$  to zero. We obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta^T x_i) = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta^T x_i) x_i = 0 \quad (4.7)$$

So we just need to solve  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta$  from above equations.

Note that the target coded of  $y_i$  as  $-n/n_1$  for class 1 and  $n/n_2$  for class 2, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = -n_1 \frac{n}{n_1} + n_2 \frac{n}{n_2} = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Plug (4.8) into (4.6), we obtain

$$n\beta_0 + \beta^T \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0 \quad (4.9)$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \frac{1}{n} (n_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + n_2 \hat{\mu}_2). \quad (4.10)$$

Using (4.10), the equation (4.9) becomes

$$\beta_0 = \left(-\frac{n_1}{n} \hat{\mu}_1^T - \frac{n_2}{n} \hat{\mu}_2^T\right) \beta. \quad (4.11)$$

Next, we try to solve  $\beta$  from equation (4.7). Before that, we need some preparation. Since there are two

classes, we estimate the mean as in [13, Chapter 4.3] given by

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i \in C_1} x_i}{n_1}, \hat{\mu}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i \in C_2} x_i}{n_2}.$$

where  $i \in C_1$  means that  $y_i$  is labeled in the first class coded as  $-n/n_1$  and  $i \in C_2$  means that  $y_i$  is labeled in the second class coded as  $n/n_2$ .

Then We have

$$\sum_i x_i = \sum_{i \in C_1} x_i + \sum_{i \in C_2} x_i = n_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + n_2 \hat{\mu}_2. \quad (4.12)$$

Also, We estimate the covariance matrix from our training data as in [13, Chapter 4.3]

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n-2} \left[ \sum_{i \in C_1} (x_i - \hat{\mu}_1)(x_i - \hat{\mu}_1)^T + \sum_{i \in C_2} (x_i - \hat{\mu}_2)(x_i - \hat{\mu}_2)^T \right] = \frac{1}{n-2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T - n_1 \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T - n_2 \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T \right]. \quad (4.13)$$

So

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T = (n-2) \hat{\Sigma} + n_1 \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T + n_2 \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T. \quad (4.14)$$

Moreover, we use the target coded of  $y_i$  again to get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = \sum_{i \in C_1} x_i y_i + \sum_{i \in C_2} x_i y_i = -\frac{n}{n_1} \sum_{i \in C_1} x_i + \frac{n}{n_2} \sum_{i \in C_2} x_i = -n \hat{\mu}_1 + n \hat{\mu}_2. \quad (4.15)$$

Now we plug (4.11) into equation (4.7) and use equations (4.12), (4.14), and (4.15) for equation (4.7). Thus, we have

$$(n_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + n_2 \hat{\mu}_2) \left( -\frac{n_1}{n} \hat{\mu}_1^T - \frac{n_2}{n} \hat{\mu}_2^T \right) \beta + ((n-2) \hat{\Sigma} + n_1 \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T + n_2 \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T) \beta = n(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1). \quad (4.16)$$

After some algebra for LHS of (4.16), note that

$$\begin{aligned} (n_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + n_2 \hat{\mu}_2) \left( -\frac{n_1}{n} \hat{\mu}_1^T - \frac{n_2}{n} \hat{\mu}_2^T \right) + n_1 \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T + n_2 \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T &= \frac{n_1 n_2}{n} \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T + \frac{n_1 n_2}{n} \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T - 2 \frac{n_1 n_2}{n} \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_2^T \\ &= \frac{n_1 n_2}{n} (\hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T - 2 \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_2^T + \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T) \\ &= \frac{n_1 n_2}{n} (\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)(\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)^T. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, equation (4.16) becomes

$$\left( \frac{n_1 n_2}{n} (\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)(\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)^T + (n-2) \hat{\Sigma} \right) \beta = n(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1).$$

Hence,

$$\left( \frac{n_1 n_2}{n} \hat{\Sigma}_B + (n-2) \hat{\Sigma} \right) \beta = n(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) \quad (4.17)$$

where  $\hat{\Sigma}_B = (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)^T$ . This gives the desired result.

part (c) Since  $\hat{\Sigma}_B \beta = (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)^T \beta$  and  $(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)^T \beta$  is a scalar, then  $\hat{\Sigma}_B \beta$  is in the direction of  $(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$ . Note that equation (4.17) can be rewritten as

$$(n-2) \hat{\Sigma} \beta = n(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) - \frac{n_1 n_2}{n} \hat{\Sigma}_B \beta. \quad (4.18)$$

Since terms  $\frac{n_1 n_2}{n} \hat{\Sigma}_B \beta$  and  $n(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$  are in the direction of  $(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$ , then the RHS of (4.18) is also in the direction of  $(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$ . Thus,  $\beta$  is proportional to  $\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$ . From equation (4.5), the least squares regression coefficient is identical to the LDA coefficient up to a scalar multiple.  $\square$

**Exercise 4.** Show that the Naive Bayes Classifier is equivalent to a linear classification rule.

**Proof.** See <https://www.cs.cornell.edu/courses/cs4780/2018fa/lectures/lecturenote05.html>.  $\square$

## 4.1.2 Logistic regression

**| Exercise 5.** Ex 4.4 in [13] for the multi-class logistic regression model.

**Proof.** For multi-classes logistic regression model, assume that we have  $K$  classes and  $N$  labels. The response  $y_{il}$  is given by that if the data point  $x_i$  is from class  $l$  where  $1 \leq l \leq K-1$ , then the  $l$ -th element of  $y_i$  is one and others are zero, and if  $x_i$  is from class  $K$ , then all elements of  $y_i$  are zero. So response  $y_{il}$  form a target matrix corresponding to sample  $1 \leq n \leq N$  and class  $1 \leq k \leq K$ . That is

$$y_i = \mathbf{1}_{\{x \text{ is from class } l \text{ and } i = l\}}.$$

From textbook [13, Section 4.4], we know that the posterior probability that  $x_i$  comes from class  $k$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(y = k | X = x) &= \frac{\exp(\beta_{k0} + \beta_k^T x)}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l0} + \beta_l^T x)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, K-1, \\ \mathbb{P}(y = K | X = x) &= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l0} + \beta_l^T x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$h_k(x) = \mathbb{P}(y = k | X = x), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, K$$

The likelihood function for a data point  $x$  is given by

$$L(\beta; x) = h_1(x)^{y_1} h_2(x)^{y_2} \cdots h_{K-1}(x)^{y_{K-1}} (h_K(x))^{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} y_i} \quad (4.19)$$

From the posterior probability  $\mathbb{P}(y = k | X = x)$ , we have the log-likelihood function for a data point  $x$

$$\ell(\beta; x) = y_1(\beta_{10} + \beta_1^T x) + y_2(\beta_{20} + \beta_2^T x) + \cdots + y_{K-1}(\beta_{(K-1)0} + \beta_{K-1}^T x) + \log(h_K) \quad (4.20)$$

Then sum over the equation (4.20) for all data points  $x_i$ , we get the log-likelihood of parameter  $\beta$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\beta) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} [y_{il} \beta_l^T x_i + \log(h_K)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} [y_{il} \beta_l^T x_i - \log\left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l0} + \beta_l^T x_i)\right)] \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_i$  is the  $i$ -th sample,  $\beta_l$  is a vector of coefficients for the  $l$ -th class with size  $(p+1)$ ,  $\beta = [\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{K-1}]^T$  is of size  $(K-1)(p+1)$ .

Next, we compute the derivative of  $\ell(\beta)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell(\beta)}{\partial \beta_k} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ y_{ik} x_i^T - \frac{\exp(\beta_{k0} + \beta_k^T x_i)}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l0} + \beta_l^T x_i)} x_i^T \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N (y_{ik} - \mathbb{P}(y = k | X = x_i)) x_i^T \\ &= (y_{ik} - h_k(x_i)) x_i^T. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $y_l = [y_{1l}, y_{2l}, \dots, y_{Nl}]^T$  and  $p_l = [h_l(x_1), h_l(x_2), \dots, h_l(x_N)]^T$ . Then we have

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \begin{bmatrix} X^T(y_1 - h_1) \\ X^T(y_2 - h_2) \\ \vdots \\ X^T(y_{K-1} - h_{K-1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $X$  is the  $N \times (p+1)$  matrix of  $x_i$  values.

The Hessian matrix of  $\ell(\beta)$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta)}{\partial \beta_k \partial \beta_{k'}^T} = - \sum_{i=1}^N h_k(x_i) h_{k'}(x_i) x_i x_i^T, \quad \text{for } k \neq k'$$

and for  $k = k'$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta)}{\partial \beta_k \partial \beta_k^T} &= - \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \frac{\exp(\beta_{k0} + \beta_k^T x_i) x_i (1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l0} + \beta_l^T x_i)) - \exp(\beta_{k0} + \beta_k^T x_i)^2 x_i x_i^T}{(1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l0} + \beta_l^T x_i))^2} x_i^T \right] \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^N [(h_k(x_i) x_i - h_k(x_i)^2 x_i) x_i^T] \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^N [h_k(x_i) (1 - h_k(x_i)) x_i x_i^T].\end{aligned}$$

Write above second order derivative in form of matrix. Let  $H_k$  be  $N \times N$  diagonal matrices for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, K-1$  with diagonal elements  $h_k(x_i)(1 - h_k(x_i))$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Then we rewrite the second derivative of  $\ell(\beta)$  as  $k = k'$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta)}{\partial \beta_k \partial \beta_k^T} = -X^T H_k X.$$

Let  $T_k$  be  $N \times N$  diagonal matrices for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, K-1$  with diagonal elements  $h_k(x_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Then we rewrite the second derivative of  $\ell(\beta)$  as  $k \neq k'$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta)}{\partial \beta_k \partial \beta_{k'}^T} = -X^T T_k T_{k'} X.$$

Hence, the Hessian matrix of  $\ell(\beta)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^T} &= \begin{bmatrix} -X^T H_1 X & -X^T T_1 T_2 X & \cdots & -X^T T_1 T_{K-1} X \\ -X^T T_2 T_1 X & -X^T H_2 X & \cdots & -X^T T_2 T_{K-1} X \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ -X^T T_{K-1} T_1 X & -X^T T_{K-1} T_2 X & \cdots & -X^T H_{K-1} X \end{bmatrix} \\ &= -\hat{X}^T W \hat{X}\end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{X} = X \cdot \text{Id}_{K-1}$ ,  $\text{Id}_{K-1}$  is a  $(K-1) \times (K-1)$  identity matrix,  $\hat{X}$  is a  $(K-1) \times (K-1)$  matrix with each block matrix of size  $(p+1) \times (p+1)$ , and

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 & T_1 T_2 & \cdots & T_1 T_{K-1} \\ T_2 T_1 & H_2 & \cdots & T_2 T_{K-1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{K-1} T_1 & T_{K-1} T_2 & \cdots & H_{K-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now our Newton-Raphson algorithm for maximizing the log-likelihood is given by

$$\beta^{new} = \beta^{old} + (\hat{X}^T W \hat{X})^{-1} \hat{X}^T \begin{bmatrix} (y_1 - h_1) \\ (y_2 - h_2) \\ \vdots \\ (y_{K-1} - h_{K-1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Let

$$y - h = \begin{bmatrix} (y_1 - h_1) \\ (y_2 - h_2) \\ \vdots \\ (y_{K-1} - h_{K-1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, the algorithm can be expressed as

$$\beta^{new} \leftarrow (\hat{X}^T W \hat{X})^{-1} \hat{X}^T W (\hat{X} \beta^{old} + W^{-1}(y - h))$$

So  $\beta^{new}$  is the solution of a non-diagonal weighted least squares problem with a response  $(\hat{X} \beta^{old} + W^{-1}(y - h))$ . We can still use the Netwon algorithm as an iteratively reweighted least squares algorithm. Let  $z = (\hat{X} \beta^{old} + W^{-1}(y - h))$ . The iteratively reweighted least squares algorithm is as follows. Set  $\beta^0 = 0$ , update  $\beta^{new}$  by

$$\beta^{new} \leftarrow \underset{\beta}{\text{argmin}} (z - \hat{X} \beta) W (z - \hat{X} \beta)$$

However, the Hessian maybe not negative definite, Newton-Raphson update cannot perform effective.

Here we implement a improved Newton-Raphson algorithm from paper [11]. Given an intial value  $\beta^0$ , let  $\lambda_1$  be the largest eigenvalue of Hessian matrix of  $\ell(\beta)$  at  $\beta^0$  defined by  $H(\ell(\beta^0))$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be the step size and let  $\alpha = \lambda_1 + \varepsilon \|\frac{\partial \ell(\beta^0)}{\partial \beta}\|_2$ . Define the controlling of Hessian matrix  $H$  by

$$H_\alpha(\ell(\beta^0)) = \begin{cases} H(\ell(\beta^0)) - \alpha \cdot \text{Id}, & \text{if } \alpha > 0, \\ H(\ell(\beta^0)), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $H_\alpha(\ell(\beta^0))$  is always negative definite.

Update  $\beta^{new}$  by

$$\beta^{new} = \beta^{old} - H_\alpha^{-1}(\ell(\beta^{old})) \frac{\partial \ell(\beta^{old})}{\partial \beta}$$

where we have computed the Hessian and gradient of  $\ell(\beta)$  in form of matrix as before.  $\square$

### 4.1.3 SVM

**Exercise 6.** Show that if their convex hulls intersect, the two sets of points cannot be linearly separable.

**Proof.** See Bishop 3.4 in [https://www.cise.ufl.edu/~anand/fa05/hw1sol\\_fall105.pdf](https://www.cise.ufl.edu/~anand/fa05/hw1sol_fall105.pdf).  $\square$

**Exercise 7** (Exercise in [3]). In the maximum-margin hyperplane problem, let's  $\tau$  denotes the value of the margin. Show that

$$\frac{1}{\tau^2} = 2 \sum \alpha - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_k \alpha_j y_k y_j x_k^T x_j.$$



# Bibliography

- [1] Jean Barbier. “High-dimensional inference: a statistical mechanics perspective”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.14863* (2020).
- [2] Jean Barbier, Nicolas Macris. “The adaptive interpolation method: a simple scheme to prove replica formulas in Bayesian inference”. In: *Probability Theory and Related Fields* 174.3-4 (2019), pp. 1133–1185. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.02780>.
- [3] Christopher M. Bishop. *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*. Springer, 2006.
- [4] Hong-Bin Chen, Jean-Christophe Mourrat, Jiaming Xia. “Statistical inference of finite-rank tensors”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.05360* (2021).
- [5] Lenaïc Chizat, Francis Bach. “On the global convergence of gradient descent for over-parameterized models using optimal transport”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.09545* (2018). URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1805.09545>.
- [6] Marco Cuturi. “Sinkhorn distances: Lightspeed computation of optimal transport”. In: *Advances in neural information processing systems* 26 (2013), pp. 2292–2300. URL: <http://papers.neurips.cc/paper/4927-sinkhorn-distances-lightspeed-computation-of-optimal-transport.pdf>.
- [7] Amir Dembo, Ofer Zeitouni. *Large deviations techniques and applications*. Vol. 38. Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010, pp. xvi+396. ISBN: 978-3-642-03310-0. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-03311-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03311-7). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03311-7>.
- [8] Partha S. Dey, Qiang Wu. “Fluctuation results for Multi-species Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model in the replica symmetric regime”. In: (Preprint, arXiv:2012.13381). eprint: [arXiv:2012.13381](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.13381).
- [9] Oliver Y Feng et al. “A unifying tutorial on Approximate Message Passing”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.02180* (2021).
- [10] Sacha Friedli, Yvan Velenik. *Statistical mechanics of lattice systems: a concrete mathematical introduction*. Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [11] Stephen M Goldfeld, Richard E Quandt, Hale F Trotter. “Maximization by quadratic hill-climbing”. In: *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society* (1966), pp. 541–551.
- [12] Ziv Goldfeld, Kristjan Greenewald. “Gaussian-smoothed optimal transport: Metric structure and statistical efficiency”. In: *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*. PMLR, 2020, pp. 3327–3337. URL: <http://proceedings.mlr.press/v108/goldfeld20a/goldfeld20a.pdf>.
- [13] Trevor. Hastie. *The elements of statistical learning data mining, inference, and prediction*. eng. 2nd ed. Springer series in statistics. New York: Springer, 2009. ISBN: 9780387848587.
- [14] Jan-Christian Hütter, Philippe Rigollet. “Minimax estimation of smooth optimal transport maps”. In: *The Annals of Statistics* 49.2 (2021), pp. 1166–1194. URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1905.05828>.
- [15] Gareth James et al. *An Introduction to Statistical Learning: with Applications in R*. Springer, 2013. URL: <https://faculty.marshall.usc.edu/gareth-james/ISL/>.
- [16] Ivan Kobyzev, Simon Prince, Marcus Brubaker. “Normalizing flows: An introduction and review of current methods”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* (2020). URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1908.09257>.

- [17] Zhifeng Kong, Kamalika Chaudhuri. “The expressive power of a class of normalizing flow models”. In: *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*. PMLR. 2020, pp. 3599–3609. URL: <http://proceedings.mlr.press/v108/kong20a/kong20a.pdf>.
- [18] Flavien Léger. “A gradient descent perspective on Sinkhorn”. In: *Applied Mathematics & Optimization* (2020), pp. 1–13. URL: <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00245-020-09697-w.pdf>.
- [19] Marc Lelarge, Léo Miolane. “Fundamental limits of symmetric low-rank matrix estimation”. In: *Probability Theory and Related Fields* 173.3-4 (2019), pp. 859–929. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.03888>.
- [20] Youssef Marzouk et al. “An introduction to sampling via measure transport”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.05023* (2016). URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1602.05023>.
- [21] Marc Mezard, Andrea Montanari. *Information, physics, and computation*. Oxford University Press, 2009.
- [22] Andrea Montanari. *Mean field asymptotics in high-dimensional statistics: A few references*. 2020.
- [23] J-C Mourrat. “Hamilton–Jacobi equations for finite-rank matrix inference”. In: *Annals of Applied Probability* 30.5 (2020), pp. 2234–2260.
- [24] Jean-Christophe Mourrat. “Hamilton–Jacobi equations for mean-field disordered systems”. In: *Annales Henri Lebesgue* 4 (2021), pp. 453–484.
- [25] Kevin P. Murphy. *Probabilistic Machine Learning: An introduction*. MIT Press, 2022. URL: [probml.ai](http://probml.ai).
- [26] Victor M Panaretos, Yoav Zemel. “Statistical aspects of Wasserstein distances”. In: *Annual review of statistics and its application* 6 (2019), pp. 405–431. URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.05500>.
- [27] Dmitry Panchenko. *The Sherrington–Kirkpatrick model*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2013, pp. xii+156. ISBN: 978-1-4614-6288-0; 978-1-4614-6289-7. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4614-6289-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6289-7). URL: <https://doi-org.proxy2.library.illinois.edu/10.1007/978-1-4614-6289-7>.
- [28] Filippo Santambrogio. “Optimal transport for applied mathematicians”. In: *Birkhäuser, NY* 55.58-63 (2015), p. 94. URL: <http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~santambrogio/OTAM-cvgmt.pdf>.
- [29] Alessio Spantini, Daniele Bigoni, Youssef Marzouk. “Inference via low-dimensional couplings”. In: *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* 19.1 (2018), pp. 2639–2709. URL: <https://www.jmlr.org/papers/volume19/17-747/17-747.pdf>.
- [30] Michel Talagrand. *Mean field models for spin glasses. Volume I*. Vol. 54. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Basic examples. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2011, pp. xviii+485. ISBN: 978-3-642-15201-6. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-15202-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-15202-3). URL: <https://doi-org.proxy2.library.illinois.edu/10.1007/978-3-642-15202-3>.
- [31] Michel Talagrand. *Mean field models for spin glasses. Volume II*. Vol. 55. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Advanced replica-symmetry and low temperature. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. xii+629. ISBN: 978-3-642-22252-8; 978-3-642-22253-5.
- [32] Martin J. Wainwright. *High-Dimensional Statistics: A Non-Asymptotic Viewpoint*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2019. DOI: [10.1017/9781108627771](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108627771).