

Title: Shots Misfired: How Silicon Valley's Answer To Gun Violence Missed The Mark. By: Drange, Matt, Forbes.com, 11/17/2016

Database: Business Source Complete

Chat with Us

Shots Misfired: How Silicon Valley's Answer To Gun Violence Missed The Mark

Listen

American Accent ▼

Tech

For all the problems that Silicon Valley's technological innovations have sought to solve, there remains a particularly intractable one that still nags at Ralph Clark. The 58 year-old executive grew up in a crime-ridden neighborhood of east Oakland, an area where the steady beat of gun violence is still so persistent, Clark says, people rarely call the police to report shootings. It's the same story in cities across the country. As a result, the exact extent of illegal gunfire is a collective best guess. But what if police were alerted to suspected gunshots without residents ever picking up the phone?

Armed with a network of outdoor microphones that turn shots fired into dots on a map, officers could respond to the scene more quickly and accurately than relying on 911 calls alone. Could such a technology-relatively simple by Silicon Valley standards-help police solve crimes and reduce gun violence?

It's called **ShotSpotter**, and it was developed across the bay from where Clark grew up by a company called SST. That was 1994, when scientist Bob Showen was working in Menlo Park. He could hear gunshots in neighboring East Palo Alto, a Silicon Valley suburb known more for gangs and guns than startups. Showen, who specialized in radio wave technology, wanted to know if he could detect where, exactly, the gunshots he heard were coming from. Using large microphones to isolate the staccato sound of gunfire, Showen proved that the answer is, in fact, yes. "I hadn't worked with sound waves before, but the idea of using them to triangulate the location of a gunshot seemed so elegant," he later told WIRED magazine. "In principle, the problem should have been easy to solve."

Today, **ShotSpotter** is used in more than 90 cities around the country. Big cities with even bigger gun violence problems, including Chicago, Los Angeles and New York, have all bought in, praising the

technology for arming police officers with information they never had before. Overseeing **ShotSpotter's** expansion is Clark, who has more than doubled the number of customer cities since taking over as CEO in 2010. It wasn't long before Clark developed what would become his signature plan, a strategic shift that was supposed to lead to a federal contract, elevating **ShotSpotter's** profile while providing steady revenue to offset an uneven stream of individual deals with cities.

But government interest in that scenario, if there ever was any, has faded. Along the way, Clark has struggled to maintain **ShotSpotter's** rapid growth. Some cities are opting out of their contracts, using the savings to hire additional police officers and fund more traditional technologies such as surveillance cameras. This has left the company's future in flux. Despite its presence in nearly every major metropolitan area of the country, there remains little external validation of **ShotSpotter** from researchers or government agencies, which often guide decisions made by local law enforcement. Now, the company may be forced to abandon part of Clark's plan, as the CEO seeks to double down on the word-of-mouth recommendations from police that have propelled **ShotSpotter** to where it is today. This is the story of how it got here.

Clark lives in an affluent part of Oakland, the kind of neighborhood where a gunshot triggers a half dozen calls to police. He keeps a **ShotSpotter** sensor on the roof of his home, but says it rarely goes off. He's quick to cite success stories law enforcement elsewhere have reported with his technology, rattling off dramatic anecdotes with ease. Clark is less comfortable talking about the viability of his company's 'big data play,' however. "We still have it in our objectives," he said during an interview earlier this year, before declining repeated requests for a follow-up conversation.

To find out what happened to Clark's plan, FORBES reviewed internal company documents and government records and spoke with current and former business associates of Clark's. What emerged is a picture of a company plodding along as it navigates a gun tech industry closely tied to law enforcement and beset with political pitfalls.

Clark's vision to fundamentally alter **ShotSpotter's** business model was hatched in the face of doubt. His idea was both simple and, for a crime-fighting technology, unprecedented: take a software as a service approach common among tech companies and apply it to crime analysis. Rather than ask customers to purchase **ShotSpotter's** equipment—a series of sensors that act like large microphones spread throughout a city, constantly listening for gunshots—the company would lease the system, reducing upfront costs. To make up for it, Clark would charge an annual subscription fee. In the short-term, this made implementing the technology more affordable for cash-strapped police departments and, crucially for **ShotSpotter**, allowed the company retain ownership of its data.

The next step would be packaging the individual datasets produced by the systems and selling the information wholesale to the federal government for research purposes. If Clark was successful, he could further reduce installation costs and expand into new cities. It was an ambitious pivot and a bit of

a gamble for the small, Newark, Calif.-based company, which was only used by a few dozen cities when Clark took over in 2010. The technology was there; big revenue wasn't.

Fast-forward to today, and this "big data play," as Clark puts it, has gone nowhere. Clark recently lost a top lieutenant brought in from the federal Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives to convince the agency, and others like it, to pay **ShotSpotter** to access its data. Current and former ATF employees, meanwhile, say that the privately held, venture-backed company's repeated attempts to gain a foothold with the agency are unlikely to get a jump start anytime soon. "Ultimately, we'd like the federal government to step in commercially and make **ShotSpotter** broadly available to a bunch of people," Clark said. "We think some [government] entity should take a leadership role in making that happen."

Chat with Us

At nearly 20 years old, **ShotSpotter** is too old to call a startup, but young enough that many, including people living in cities that already deploy the technology, haven't heard of it. Based in a sleepy Bay Area suburb, **ShotSpotter** has convinced more than 90 law enforcement departments across the country that it can help them reduce gun violence, relying largely on anecdotal success and referrals from other police. In most big cities, violent crime is down in the two decades **ShotSpotter** has been detecting gunshots. At the same time, many police departments are strapped for resources following years of budget cuts. Given the situations, it's easy to see how a proprietary technology that promises to harness the power of 'Big Data' is an appealing solution.

The question isn't whether the technology works; most of the time, it does. What's unclear is whether **ShotSpotter's** use is actually reducing gun violence. By getting police to crime scenes faster and notifying them of gunshots they might otherwise never be aware of, **ShotSpotter** provides law enforcement with the most complete picture of gunfire available. And while false positives do happen (Fourth of July and New Year's Eve celebrations are annual headaches for **ShotSpotter** analysts), tweaks to the system and a greater emphasis on manually weeding out false alarms have made them less frequent, police officials around the country say.

Yet, in some cities, **ShotSpotter** hasn't had the effect city officials and residents had hoped for. While officers are responding to more illegal gunfire, they rarely catch the shooter. And evidence that could be used to build a case and bolster a prosecution—such as shell casings left behind or witness testimony—isn't often attributed to **ShotSpotter** in police or court records. The question now is whether the technology is worth the millions of dollars it's costing taxpayers each year, and if the lack of tangible results is because we don't have the ability to measure them, or that they simply don't exist.

Creating a blueprint for success when traditional crime measurements are missing isn't easy. One way Clark has done this is by tightly limiting access to **ShotSpotter's** data, while at the same time highlighting anecdotal success stories from key customer cities. "Sometimes," Clark explained, "the things that are most impactful are the most difficult to measure."

To see what could be measured, FORBES obtained **ShotSpotter** data and supporting records, including public contracts and maps of existing systems, from dozens of customer cities. **ShotSpotter** has aggressively fought the release of this information in response to both state and federal Freedom of Information Act requests. The company sent out a nation-wide memo to customers last summer, urging cities to issue blanket denials to such requests or disclose heavily redacted information, "in a form that would not harm SST's business and allow the customer to respond from a public goodwill point of view

The data from seven customer cities are being published here for the first time. **ShotSpotter** alerts consist of basic metadata about when and where suspected gunshots are fired. When combined with police dispatch records that show what happened when officers responded to the alerts, a clear pattern emerges: lots of calls, but few tangible results. Of the thousands of **ShotSpotter** alerts in these cities, police were unable to find evidence of gunshots between 30%-70% of the time.

While these figures surprised some veteran police officers who rely on the technology, it hasn't been an issue for **ShotSpotter's** directors. Randy Hawks is a longtime board member of **ShotSpotter** and cofounder of Claremont Creek Ventures, one of the earliest outside investors in the company. Hawks conceded that it would be beneficial to have some sort of independent study of the technology's effectiveness, but said it was unlikely to influence the decision to buy it. These decisions are more likely to be made based on recommendations from peers, Hawks said. "You'd like to see the ultimate market answer," he explained, "where a police chief won't ask 'Why should I buy **ShotSpotter**?' and instead it will be his peers in other cities asking, 'Why don't you have **ShotSpotter**?'"

Clark has leveraged this strategy to grow SST's customer base. But he may be bumping up against the limits of the approach, as some cities are not finding enough reasons to justify the cost of keeping it. Typically, **ShotSpotter** charges between \$65,000 and \$90,000 per square mile per year. While less than before, it's proven too much for some cities. Charlotte, North Carolina, recently abandoned the technology in favor of installing more security cameras and hiring additional police officers. In Suffolk County, New York, **ShotSpotter's** future is in doubt, as officials grapple with mounting budget deficits while debating the effectiveness of a technology they've spent more than \$1 million on since 2011.

Back in Silicon Valley, investors have sunk more than \$71 million into **ShotSpotter**, with little return so far. "One of the challenges with this, as a business, is that when you save lives, or make people's lives safer, there's no tangible ROI for that," said Gary Lauder, of the billionaire family's cosmetics empire. Lauder, through his venture firm Lauder Partners, led **ShotSpotter's** series A round in 2004, a time when he said the company was "subsisting on fumes" and had a handful of contracts keeping it afloat. With Lauder's money on the table (he says he's still the largest investor in **ShotSpotter**), other VC's followed suit, including Pascal Levensohn and Hawks, whose downtown Oakland office is often borrowed by Clark.

Once Clark took over, the CEO hired more sales staff to aggressively market the technology to law enforcement in other cities. At the same time, Clark began laying the foundation for his big data play,

remaking **ShotSpotter** from a hardware-driven technology company into a data distribution service. One of Clark's key hires to implement the strategy was David Chipman, a 20-year veteran of the ATF who previously worked for the Michael Bloomberg-backed Everytown for Gun Safety, a gun control advocacy group in New York.

Clark convinced Chipman to run **ShotSpotter's** North America sales team in the summer of 2013. Bringing in someone with no sales experience who spent most of his career in law enforcement was a risky move. But it quickly paid off. In the two years that followed, bookings spiked under Chipman, as **ShotSpotter** inked contracts with new cities across the country. All the while, Chipman worked on his federal government contacts. He knew it would be an uphill battle.

Ultimately, Chipman's conversations with the ATF and other agencies failed to produce an agreement. "I don't think they were excited about buying the data," Chipman says, adding that agencies were more interested in funding individual **ShotSpotter** installations in new cities than buying the information wholesale. Current ATF agents who spoke to FORBES on condition of anonymity confirmed that the agency was unlikely to change its stance on this. (An ATF spokeswoman declined to comment for this story.)

Chipman quit his job at **ShotSpotter** in February, citing the wear of constantly travelling and the lack of a foreseeable federal contract as primary reasons for leaving. Still, he's optimistic the technology will be deployed in more cities. "A lot of why I left had nothing to do with the efficacy of [**ShotSpotter**]," Chipman said. "I felt like I contributed what I could, which was help bring the technology to a level of legitimacy within law enforcement."

Without Chipman, Clark lost his best line of access to federal agencies, multiple people close to the CEO said. When asked whether Clark's big data play will ever come to fruition, Lauder was noncommittal, and emphasized that it wasn't part of the company's business plan before Clark took over. "All I can say is that's not why I invested in the company," Lauder said. "It was always something that would be like icing on the cake, rather than the cake itself."

It's not that Clark's idea to subsidize **ShotSpotter** installations by reselling the data generated by existing systems is a bad one. The majority of experts interviewed for this story said that, in theory, the idea could work. The reason it hasn't, says economist Jennifer Doleac, is because the government isn't incentivized to study gun violence the same way think tanks and academics such as herself are. Doleac is an assistant professor of public policy and economics at the University of Virginia. She's one of a handful of researchers who tried to get their hands on **ShotSpotter** data, only to be told it was considered "trade secret" and not subject to public records laws.

After Doleac was denied access to the information from **ShotSpotter's** customer cities, she turned to Clark directly. He told her the company would be happy to provide the data she was asking for, if she was willing to pay \$50,000 for each city she wanted to study. With a limited academic budget from the

University of Virginia, Doleac declined. In the spring of 2014, she received a strongly-worded letter from Clark on **ShotSpotter's** letterhead, citing the company's shift to a "cloud-based services" model. "To put a fine point on the matter, SST's **ShotSpotter** information is not crime data," Clark wrote.

This lack of transparency stands out in the field of public safety research. While Clark contends that preventing non-customers from accessing **ShotSpotter** data is in the company's best interest, the decision could limit future growth. Clark defended the letter in an interview with FORBES, and said that **ShotSpotter** is happy to work with outside researchers-provided that they pay for the access. "We're saying, 'Hey, we like your idea a lot. But we're not in a position to make this available for free,'" Clark said. "Our business model depends on being able to sell it."

Chat with Us

While **ShotSpotter** continues to keep a tight lid on its data, the company does release limited summary information. Each year, **ShotSpotter** publishes its "National Gunfire Index," with aggregate statistics from various cities. In its most recent index, **ShotSpotter** said customers in 2015 saw a roughly 13 percent median reduction in total gunfire from the previous year. But criminologists warn that these self-reported figures don't tell the whole story. The approach to only analyze gunfire compared to the previous year is shortsighted, they argue, and doesn't account for long-term shifts in violent crime rates or the myriad factors that influence them.

The vast majority of **ShotSpotter** customers have never reviewed their own data, content to rely on the company to tell them how they are doing. The strategy has worked out well for **ShotSpotter**, which maintains a close relationship with many of its early customers, such as East Palo Alto. City and company officials have worked together behind the scenes putting on press conferences and publishing studies showing a decrease in violent crime, emails show. The partnership benefits both sides, as **ShotSpotter** gets a loyal customer that recommends the technology to other cities, and East Palo Alto gets a discount on its own system.

Despite glowing reviews from customers, the lack of outside validation may be catching up to **ShotSpotter**. In June, ex-Memphis police deputy chief Jim Harvey posted on his LinkedIn page a request for feedback on **ShotSpotter**. Harvey worked for Memphis for 33 years, most recently as its deputy chief of IT after overseeing an expansion of its extensive network of real-time crime cameras. He's now an outside consultant for the department, which is considering signing a contract with **ShotSpotter**.

After asking for feedback, Harvey's LinkedIn page was quickly inundated with replies. Most urged him to endorse **ShotSpotter**, citing their own personal experience working with the company. None had what Harvey was looking for, however. "I have seen a lot of rhetoric from retired command staff that either work for SST or used to work for them," Harvey wrote in response. "I am interested in data that shows an increase in arrests for gun crimes and a decrease in shots fired calls or alerts in an area. Anything short of this kind of information seems like marketing from SST. I am very skeptical of its usefulness."

When asked if he would recommend **ShotSpotter's** use in Memphis, Harvey told FORBES, "They haven't won me over, put it that way."

Part of the challenge for Clark is convincing everyone else to measure gun violence the same way he does, which goes beyond gunshot victims. "Gun violence is anytime someone fires a gun, whether or not they hit anyone," Clark said. A community's acceptance of routine gun violence. A child's fear of gunfire when they go to bed. These are collateral costs of gun violence, Clark argued, and ones that **ShotSpotter** can address.

The company's marketing material claims its technology leads to "better community relations" and "enhanced situational awareness." But these metrics of success, however valuable or idealistic they may be, aren't necessarily the same criteria a city manager wants to see when approving a multi-million dollar contract extension. "I think the tool has great potential, and I wouldn't be at all surprised if it was having a huge public benefit. But we simply don't know," Doleac said. "Someone needs to be asking the question, is this really worth it?"

So far, most cities haven't done that. Doleac said this is partly because in most cases, the initial installation is paid for with federal grant money. It's unclear exactly how many taxpayer dollars have been spent on the technology, since much of the money is awarded to individual cities. **ShotSpotter's** contracts are generally hundreds of thousands of dollars per year, depending on the coverage area, suggesting that the total amount nationwide is in the tens of millions of dollars each year. "When the local government agency is making the decision, they aren't paying for it," Doleac said. "In that situation, there's no pressure to evaluate the effects of the technology."

The lack of a clear impact hasn't stopped **ShotSpotter** from taking credit for reducing crime. In contract proposals submitted to customer cities around the country, the company often touts its role in successful prosecutions. This is often overstated, however.

Take Mahoning County, Ohio, for example, where **ShotSpotter** was used in the prosecution of a shooting that claimed the life of an elderly man mistaken for someone else. Assistant county prosecutor Dawn Cantalamessa said that playing an audio recording of the incident made it more personal for the jury. But an expert witness provided by **ShotSpotter** was unable to shed light on key details that would have corroborated other testimony. In the end, Cantalamessa said **ShotSpotter's** role in the case was minor compared to admissions the shooter made to jailhouse visitors. "We still would have been able to secure conviction [without **ShotSpotter**]," she said.

Much of **ShotSpotter's** most compelling anecdotal evidence comes from news stories, which are funneled up in reports prepared for the company's directors. One, titled "Proof: **ShotSpotter** Delivers Results," attributes a slew of promising results to the technology, including a reduction in violent crime and aiding police in making arrests. "Just Google '**ShotSpotter** arrest,'" the document notes. "The results speak for themselves."

It's true that using **ShotSpotter** has led to arrests, including some where a 'smoking gun' isn't a cliché, but an actual description of a crime scene. Police dispatch records show that these instances are exceedingly rare, however, amounting to about one percent of all calls. Many cities that pay for the technology thinking they will catch criminals in the act end up disappointed as a result. Those close to **ShotSpotter** say this realization has prompted its leadership, including Clark, to consider making alert data more widely available, with the hope that empirical research could help stem the loss of customer

For his part, Clark was steadfast in his belief that his plan will work, insisting that it's just a matter of time. "We've been here 20 years. We'll be here another 20-50 years, God willing," he said. "The moral compass plays in our favor."

Email Matt at mdrange@forbes.com. You can also follow him on Twitter and Facebook.

PHOTO (COLOR): **ShotSpotter** is the largest gunshot detection system in the world. Installed in more than 90 cities across the United States, the technology alerts police dispatchers to suspected gunfire. (Photo by Rodger Bosch/AFP/Getty Images.)

PHOTO (COLOR): SST president and chief executive Ralph Clark discusses the value of **ShotSpotter**. (Photo by Cody Pickens, special to FORBES.)

~~~~~

By Matt Drange, Forbes Staff

I write about technology and money + power in Silicon Valley. I'm a staff writer based in the San Francisco bureau. I cover technology and money & power in Silicon Valley. I also write about the intersection of guns and tech. Before joining Forbes in February, 2016, I was a business reporter at The Center for Investigative Reporting, where I reported on technology, government, the environment and guns. I previously worked for the Maine Center for Public Interest Reporting and the Eureka Times-Standard. I earned a master's degree from the Columbia Journalism School. Tips, comments and questions are always welcome. Email me at [mdrange@forbes.com](mailto:mdrange@forbes.com) or call me directly, at 415-544-4835.

---

Copyright of Forbes.com is the property of Forbes Inc. and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.



[Mobile Site](#) | [iPhone and Android apps](#) | [EBSCO Support Site](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms of Use](#) | [Copyright](#) | [Contact Us](#)

powered by EBSCOhost

© 2019 EBSCO Industries, Inc. All rights reserved.

Chat with Us