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# Research Lifecycle

Producing high-quality research is a complex undertaking that can span months or even years. Like other lengthy efforts, a formal engineering process needs to exist to provide structure around each project phase. This research lifecycle follows a feedback loop consisting of Idea Curation, Data Curation, Analytical Inference, and Result Conclusions (Bukvova, 2009). Since each stage’s output becomes the next one’s input, it is critical to perform them with due diligence (see Figure 1).

Figure 1: Abstract Research Lifecycle Model

Depending on the research specific requirements, each lifecycle phase might further decompose into various child steps (Bukvova, 2009). For instance, a hundred-page dissertation requires multiple step-local iterations of idea curation through refinement and preliminary investigation. After sufficient scoping of the problem and research questions, it becomes more manageable for Data Curation to assemble supporting evidence. This alignment of questions and facts simplifies data analysis and results in better answers (Snee, 2015). Finally, the researcher decides if the problem is sufficiently addressed or must incorporate this new knowledge into another cycle iteration.

# Theory to Practice

Using Northcentral’s library, three recent dissertations on cloud computing were selected (see Table 1). Each document implements a research lifecycle that begins with an idea, defines an experiment, and concludes with specific findings.

## Idea Curation

Despite broad agreement across the business community that cloud computing is the future, there is significant resistance toward adoption. These papers examine different aspects of associated risk management challenges. For instance, Effiong (2020) and Smith (2016) consider migration delays from management misperceptions. Meanwhile, Kilgore (2020) performs a postmortem analysis on completed migrations. All three researchers believe businesses that operate on cloud infrastructure are more innovative and competitive. Therefore, it is critical to organizational efficiency to identify roadblocks, reduce risk, and accelerate adoption timelines.

## Data Curation and Analysis

Kilgore (2020) and Effiong (2020) chose purely qualitative methods that leverage semi-structured open-ended surveys. Next, both scholars transcribed the interviews into textual documents with contextual annotation tags. These tags signify that a given sentence is describing security risk or operational overhead. After completing the tagging process, they use the frequency to determine the importance of one subject over the next. Neither includes more advanced quantitive methods as their goal is to understand key drivers, not measure conviction strength.

Smith (2016) uses a qualitative centric mixed method that asks to give scaled-responses from 1 to 5 stars. Next, an aggregate of results builds into a topic graph with weighted edges. These weights represent the likelihood that a given personality trade is a primary decision driver. Smith also makes a unique decision choice to collect survey results through Amazon Mechanical Turk. While this produces 373% more responses, it brings into question the quality of those results. Perhaps cloud executives are actively perusing the service in search of questionaries that pay pennies for completion, but that seems improbable.

## Result Conclusions

The

Table 1: Dissertations Reviewed

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Risks During Cloud Migration | Mgmt Experiences with Cloud | Personal decision factors of IT execs |
| Author | Kilgore, N (2020) | Effiong, A (2020) | Smith, M (2016) |
| Research Problem | * What process risk exists during a cloud migration? * *How do enterprise businesses manage the risks they encounter during the migration of cloud computing* (page 4) | * Small to midsized sized organizations are adopting cloud slower than large enterprises * What misperceptions cause these delays | * Businesses want to adopt cloud but do not * What factors impede adoption rates |
| Purpose | * A qualitative study of how are businesses handling these challenges * Many businesses are failing their migration strategies | * Explore the lived experiences to understand their perceptions * Produce targeted evidence that mitigates concerns in cloud models | * Identify personal decision factors that delay migrations * Are executive desires for superiority negatively impacting timelines |
| Methodology | * Decision Theory * Interview questions * Purely qualitative (no hard numbers available) | * Watson’s concept of resistance to change * User acceptance theory | * Define a 24 question survey * Send to 556 executives * Review the 232 responses |
| Design of Study | 1. Select participants from    1. Mid-sized enterprises    2. Migrated last 5-years    3. Materially involved 2. Limit to 7 organizations randomly selected 3. Structured Interview 4. Theme extraction | 1. Select participants    1. Northeast US    2. Businesses less than 100    3. 12 male + 4 female managers 2. Arranged face-to-face interviews | * Send the survey through Linkedin with a request to forward * Collected results then aggregate into Technology, org, and environment framework (TOE) * Examines three areas of incentives, fears, org design |
| Instruments | * Structured interview from credible sources * Three sets of questions   + Demographic (closed)   + Eligibility (open)   + Research Topic (semi-structured) * *The interviewer is the real instrument* (page 73) | * Structured interviews * Two sets of questions   + Demographics (closed)   + General cloud perception (open) * Use NVivo 12 to perform trend analysis on the interview transcripts * *The researcher is the primary instrument* (page 65) | * The primary instrument is the researcher * Distribute the surveys through LinkedIn (49), Mechanical Turk (183) * SurveyMonkey for initial aggregation and insights |
| Analysis | * Anonymize data * Aggregation and theme extraction | * Create targeted open-ended questions * Review the relevance with a test panel * Interview leaders until data saturation occur * Feed transcripts into NVIO | * The survey includes sections on Demographics, business role, industry * Questions are scaled 1-5 * Responses aggregate into a topic graph model * Edge weight is calibrated based on the frequency * Strength of edge tests 7-hypothesis |
| Findings | * Businesses did not manage migration risk * Focus on less complicated risks * Use of external vendors for risk management * Completion time was vital to plan * No formal risk documentation | * Actualized experiences were generally positive * Perception is the risks come from Training, Flexibility, Efficiency, Costing, Ease of use, Security * Reviewing business processes can highlight these risks already exist in private data centers | * Executives minimize personal risk and maximize the perceived value * Cloud vendors need to demonstrate how to realize outcomes and offset sponsorship risk clearly |