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# Data Collection Methods in COVID Research

The novel coronavirus pandemic has infected nearly 85 million people globally and severely impacted countless businesses (Johns Hopkins, 2020). One of the challenges that come with a *novel* virus is the lack of available information. Researchers around the world stepped forward to fill these gaps through a collection of different data collection methods. During the initial outbreak, information was scarce, making qualitative approaches more appropriate (Valadez et al., 2020). Others published quantitative journals using generic biology models (e.g., Hill functions) or aggregated data from multiple sources. Several of these naïve models were not sufficient in hindsight, resulting in multiple revisions to the covid guidelines (Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020) and a confused population. However, this incident can serve as a useful example for data collection methods in highly ambiguous scenarios.

## Preparedness of Our Emergency Department

Hou et al. (November 2020) conducted a postmortem review on the nurses’ perspective of emergency room change adoption. The researchers wanted to understand specifically (1) how did hospitals operate at the initial onset, (2) what changes became necessary, and (3) did those changes place promptly. Their team began by documenting an open-ended interview and a series of follow-up probing questions. Then, they transcribed verbatim one-on-one sessions with dozens of nurses across three hospitals in the same region. Afterward, they assigned two researchers to extract themes from the transcriptions independently. Next, cross-checking the results ensures the extractions were consistent. Finally, a mapping of critical ideas into a hierarchical structure occurred.

Their qualitative assessment shows that during the onset, hospitals were not very efficient. However, frequent updates from leadership instilled confidence to adopt workflow changes quickly. Several nurses experienced distress and isolation because they did not want to concern friends and family. Another observation is that multidisciplinary collaboration reached an all-time high, as all everyone felt they were in this together.

## Modeling the Outbreak

In March and April 2020, governments across the globe began to enforce mass quarantine procedures. This action disturbed schools and businesses, leading to the unanimous question on everyone’s mind, “when will this end?” However, an authoritative dataset did not exist nor even consistent reporting of infection rates.

Jiang et al. (May 2020) understood four stages of the epidemic curve: where a virus begins sporadically, infects locally, emerges broadly, and then flattens into irrelevance. They used daily-infection rates per country to assess the stage of each nation. Next, the researchers calculated each nation’s progress percentage as (a) daily total confirmed cases by (b) up-to-date total cases. This metric’s accuracy was confirmed by measuring durations of stage-3 and stage-4 nations.

However, their model predicts, “the epidemic would end before August 10th, 2020 in the USA […] with total cases not exceeding 2.50 million (Jiang et al., 2020, page 1109).” That purely quantitative method was inaccurate due to ignoring many external factors. For instance, the massive quarantine reshaped the curve, and they assumed that all countries would respond homogenously. Instead, using a mixed-method data collection could have identified these risks.

## Modeling Cell Interaction

Sohail & Nutini (March 2020) met this challenge by reviewing the sequence of events from both the SARS (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome) epidemic of 2002 and the MERS (Middle East Respiratory Syndrome) of 2012. Both incidents were consistent with *Hill functions*, a generic statistical model for biomedical infection rates. The two most essential parameters into these Hill functions are (1) the infectious dose (e.g., exposure rate) and (2) the incubation period (e.g., the delay before the illness). Unfortunately, these values were unknown, so the researchers devised a Markov Chain based Monte Carlo Simulation to approximate the ranges. These simulations suggested that quarantining sick individuals for 10-20 days was necessary.

## Progress and Challenges with Drugs

El-Aziz & Stockand (April 2020) also set

## Effect on Hospitality Industry

## Effect of Confinement