# Contents

1	Line	ear Me	thods for Regression	1
	1.1	Linear	Regression Models and Least Squares	1
		1.1.1	The Gauss-Markov Theorem	3
		1.1.2	Multiple Regression from Simple Univariate Regression	3
		1.1.3	Multiple Outputs	5
	1.2	Subset	Selection	5
		1.2.1	Best Subset Selection	5
		1.2.2	Forward and Backward Stepwise Selection	6
		1.2.3	Forward Stagewise Regression	6
	1.3	Shrink	age Methods	6
		1.3.1	Ridge Regression	7

#### Linear Methods for Regression 1

## Linear Regression Models and Least Squares

The linear regression model has the form:

$$f(x) = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p X_j \beta_j + \epsilon$$
, where  $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$   
The variables  $X_j$  can come from different sources:

- Quantitative Inputs
- Transformations of quantitative inputs
- Basis expansions, such as  $X_2 = X_1^2$
- Numeric, or dummy coding of the levels of qualitative inputs
- Interactions between variables, e.g.  $X_3 = X_1 * X_2$

The most popular estimation method for our beta coefficients is least squares, in which we pick the coefficients  $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, ..., \beta_n)^T$  to minimize the residual sum of squares:

$$RSS(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - f(x_i))^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_{ij}\beta_j)^2$$
The unique solution to minimizing above is:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y.$$

In order to pin down the sampling properties of  $\hat{\beta}$ , we make the following assumptions:

ullet the observations  $y_i$  are uncorrelated and have constant variance  $\sigma^2$ 

• the  $x_i$  are fixed (non-random)

The variance-covariance matrix of least squares parameter estimates is given by:

$$Var(\hat{\beta}) = (X^T X)^{-1} \sigma^2.$$

Typically we estimate variance by  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{N-p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$ , where the N-p-1 in the denominator makes  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  an unbiased estimate of  $\sigma^2$   $(E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = \sigma^2)$ .

To draw inferences about the parameters and the model, we assume:

- That the conditional expectation of Y is linear in  $X_1, ..., X_p$
- The deviations of Y around its expectation are additive and Gaussian

$$Y = E(Y|X_1, ..., X_p) + \epsilon$$
  
Where

- $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
- $\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, (X^T X)^{-1} \sigma^2)$
- $\bullet \ (N-p-1)\hat{\sigma^2} \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{N-p-1}$
- $\hat{\beta}$  and  $\hat{\sigma^2}$  are statistically independent

To test the hypothesis that a particular coefficient  $\beta_j = 0$ , we form the standardized coefficient, or z-score:

$$z_j = \frac{\beta_j}{\sigma \hat{\sqrt{v_j}}}$$

where  $v_j$  is the jth diagonal element of  $(X^TX)^{-1}$ . Under  $H_0: \beta_j = 0$ ,  $z_j \sim t_{N-p=1}$  and hence a large absolute value of  $z_j$  will lead to rejection of the null hypothesis.

We can isolate  $\beta_i$  to obtain a  $1-2\alpha$  confidence interval for  $\beta_i$ :

$$(\hat{\beta}_j \pm z^{1-\alpha} v_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\sigma^2})$$

For example, 
$$z^{(1-0.025)} = 1.96$$

To test for significance of groups of variables simulataneously (e.g., testing a categorical variable with k levels), we can use the F statistic:

 $F = \frac{(RSS_0 - RSS_1)/(p_1 - p_0)}{(RSS_1/(N - p_1 - 1))}$  where  $RSS_1$  is the residual sum of squares for the least squares fit of the bigger model and  $RSS_0$  the same for the smaller model, having  $p_1 - p_0$  parameters constrained to be zero.

We can also obtain an approximate confidence set for the entire parameter vector  $\beta$ :

$$C_{\beta} = \{\hat{\beta} | (\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T X^T X (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \le (\hat{\sigma}^2 \chi_{p+1}^2)^{1-\alpha} \}$$

where  $\chi_l^{2(1-\alpha)}$  is the  $1-\alpha$  percentile of the chi squared distribution on l degrees of freedom.

This confidence set for  $\beta$  generates a corresponding confidence set for the true function  $f(x) = x^T \beta$ 

#### 1.1.1 The Gauss-Markov Theorem

The Gauss-Markov theorem states that the least squares estimates of the parameters  $\beta$  have the smallest variance among all linear unbiased estimates.

If we have any other linear estimator  $\tilde{\theta} = c^T y$  that is unbiased for  $a^T \beta$   $(E(c^T y) = a^T \beta)$ , then

$$Var(a^T\hat{\beta}) \leq Var(c^Ty)$$

Consider the mean squared estimate  $\tilde{\theta}$  in estimating  $\theta$ :

$$MSE(\tilde{\theta}) = E(\tilde{\theta} - \theta)^2 = Var(\tilde{\theta}) + [E(\tilde{\theta}) - \theta]^2$$

The first term is variance, the second is squared bias.

The Gauss-Markov theorem implies that the least squares estimator has the smallest mean squared error of all linear estimators with no bias. We can still get models with less MSE but some bias through regularization. These estimators trade bias for a reduction in variance. Picking the right model amounts to creating the right balance between bias and variance.

## 1.1.2 Multiple Regression from Simple Univariate Regression

Consider the univariate model with no intercept  $Y = X\beta + \epsilon$ . The least squares estimates for this model would be  $\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{1}^{N} x_{i}y_{i}}{\sum_{1}^{N} x_{i}^{2}}$ , with residuals  $r_{i} = \frac{\hat{\beta}}{\sum_{1}^{N} x_{i}^{2}}$ 

Let 
$$y = (y_1, ..., y_n)^T$$
,  $x = (x_1, ..., x_N)^T$ , and define  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i = x^T y$  (the inner product). Then we can write  $\hat{\beta} = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle} r = y - x \hat{\beta}$ 

Suppose the inputs  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_p$  are orthogonal  $(\langle x_j, x_k \rangle = 0)$  for all  $j \neq k$ . Then the multivariate least squares estimates  $\hat{\beta}_j$  are equal to  $\frac{\langle x_j, y \rangle}{\langle x_j, x_j \rangle}$  – the univariate estimates. When the inputs are orthogonal, they have no effect on each other's parameter estimates in the model. Orthogonal inputs occur most often with balanced, designed experiments where orthogonality is enforced.

Suppose we have an intercept with a single input x. Then the least squares coefficient of x has the form

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\langle x - \bar{x}1, y \rangle}{\langle x - \bar{x}1, x - \bar{x}1 \rangle}$$

where  $\bar{x} = \frac{\langle x - \bar{x}1, y \rangle}{\langle x - \bar{x}1, x - \bar{x}1 \rangle}$  where  $\bar{x} = \sum_{i} \frac{x_i}{N}$  and  $1 = x_0$ , the vector of N ones. We can view the estimate  $\hat{\beta}_1$  as the result of two applications of the simple regression.

The steps are:

- 1. Regress x on 1 to produce the residual  $z = x \bar{x}1$
- 2. Regress y on the residual z to give the coefficient  $\hat{\beta}_1$

In this procedure, regress b on a means a simple univariate regression of b on a with no intercept, producing coefficient  $\hat{\gamma} = \langle a, b \rangle / \langle a, a \rangle$  and residual vector  $b - \hat{\gamma}a$ . We say that b is adjusted for a, or is orthogonalized with respect to a.

Generalizing to p predictors we get  $\hat{\beta}_p = \frac{< z_p, y>}{< z_p, z_p>}$ 

$$\beta_p = \frac{\langle z_p, y \rangle}{\langle z_p, z_p \rangle}$$

The multiple regression coefficient  $\hat{\beta}_i$  represents the additional contribution of  $x_j$  on y, after  $x_j$  has been adjusted for  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, ..., x_p$ .

If  $x_p$  is highly correlated with some of the other  $x_k$ 's, the residual vector  $z_p$  will be close to zero and the coefficient  $\hat{\beta}_p$  will be very unstable.

We also can get an alternative formula for the variance estimates:  $Var(\hat{\beta_p}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\langle z_p, z_p \rangle}, \frac{\sigma^2}{||z_p||^2}$ 

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_p) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\langle z_p, z_p \rangle}, \frac{\sigma^2}{||z_p||^2}$$

In other words, the precision with which we can estimate  $\hat{\beta}_p$  depends on the length of the residual vector  $z_p$ ; this represents how much of  $x_p$  is unexplained by the other  $x_k$ s.

The algorithm described here is the Gram Schmidt procedure for multiple regression. It can be used to obtain the entire multiple least squares fit (as opposed to just  $\beta_p$ ).

We can represent the second step of the algorithm in matrix form as the following:

$$x = Z\Gamma$$

where Z has as columns the  $z_i$  in order and  $\Gamma$  is the upper triangular matrix with entries  $\gamma_{kj}$ .

Introducing the diagonal matrix D with the jth diagonal entry  $D_{jj}$  =  $||z_i||$ , we get

$$X = ZD^{-1}D\Gamma = QR$$

the QR decomposition of X. Here Q is an Nx(p + 1) orthogonal matrix,  $Q^TQ = I$ , and R is a (p+1)x(p+1) upper triangular matrix.

The QR decomposition represents a convenient orthogonal basis for the column space of X. With it, the least squares solution is given by

$$\hat{\beta} = R^{-1}Q^T y \ \hat{y} = QQ^T y$$

#### 1.1.3Multiple Outputs

Consider multiple outputs  $Y_1, ..., Y_k$  that we wish to predict with inputs  $X_0, ..., X_p$ .

Assume a linear model for each input

$$Y_k = \beta_{0k} + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{ik} + \epsilon_k = f_k(X) + \epsilon_k$$

 $Y_k = \beta_{0k} + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_{jk} + \epsilon_k = f_k(X) + \epsilon$ With N training cases, we can write the model in matrix notation as Y = XB + E

$$RSS(B) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_{ik} - f_k(x_i))^2 = \text{tr}[(Y - XB)T(Y - XB)]$$

with the multivariate loss function  $RSS(B) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_{ik} - f_k(x_i))^2 = \text{tr}[(Y - XB)T(Y - XB)]$  If the errors  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, ..., \epsilon_k)$  are correlated, then we may wish to modify our loss function in favor of a multivariate version.

Suppose  $(Cov(\epsilon) = \Sigma)$ . Then we can use the multivariate weighted crite-

$$RSS(B; \Sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - f(x_i))^T \Sigma^{-1} (y_i - f(x_i))$$
  
where

- $f(x) = (f_1(x), ..., f_K(x))^T$
- $y_i$  is the vector of K responses for observation i

#### 1.2Subset Selection

Least Squares estimates are nice, but

- They often have low bias and high variance. Sometimes we can increase prediction accuracy by shrinking or setting some coefficients to 0. This sacrafices bias to reduce variance
- We decrease the number of predictors, finding a subset in which to explain the big picture

### **Best Subset Selection**

Best subset regression finds for each  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., p\}$  the subset of size k that gives the smallest residual sum of squares.

The leaps and bounds procedure makes this feasible for p as large as 30 or 40.

### 1. Regression By Leaps and Bounds

• This paper describes several algorithms for computing the residual sums of squares for all possible regressions with what appears to be a minimum of arithmetic (less than six floating-point operations per regression) and shows how two of these algorithms can be combined to form a simple leap and bound technique for finding the best subsets without examining all possible subsets. The result is a reduction of several orders of magnitude in the number of operations required to find the best subsets.

### 1.2.2 Forward and Backward Stepwise Selection

Forward stepwise regression starts with the intercept and then sequentially adds into the model the predictor that most improves the fit. Clever updating algorithms can exploit the QR decomposition for the current fit to rapidly establish the next candidate. This method is greedy so it may not find a global optimum, but it is more computationally tractable and may find a solution with lower variance and more bias than the best subset.

Backward stepwise regression starts with the full model, then drops the variable with the smallest z-score.

#### 1.2.3 Forward Stagewise Regression

Forward stagewise regression starts with forward stepwise regression, with an intercept equal to  $\bar{y}$  and centered predictors with coefficients initially all equal to 0.

At each step, the algorithm identifies the variable most correlated with the current residual. It then computes the simple linear regression coefficient of the residual on this chosen variable, and then adds it to the current coefficient for that variable. This is continued until none of the variables have correlation with the residuals.

Unlike forward stepwise regression, none of the other variables are adjusted when a term is added to the model. As a result, it takes longer to reach the least squares fit. This slowness pays dividends in high dimensional problems.

## 1.3 Shrinkage Methods

Subset methods produce a model that is interpretable and possibly has lower error than the full model. However, since it is a discrete process (variables

are retained or dropped) it often exhibits high variance and so doesn't often reduce the prediction error of the full model.

Shrinkage methods are more continuous and don't suffer as much from high variability.

## 1.3.1 Ridge Regression

$$\beta^{\hat{ridge}} = \arg\min_{\beta} \{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_{ij}\beta_j)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j^2 \}$$

The ridge coefficients minimize a penalized residual sum of squares:  $\beta^{\hat{ridge}} = \arg\min_{\beta} \{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_{ij} \beta_j)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j^2 \}$  where  $\lambda \geq 0$  is a complexity parameter that controls the amount of shrinkage. The idea of penalizing the sum of squares of the parameters is also used in neural networks, where it is called weight decay.