STAT 021 S22 Final Project Peer Evaluation

Tyrique Arthur

TOTAL POINTS

(not graded)

QUESTION 1

1 Evaluation A 5 / 5

QUESTION 2

2 Evaluation B

Your name: Tyrique Arthur

Group scores:

	Self	Person 1	Person 2	Person 3
Contributions & Attitude	4	4	4	
Cooperation with Others	4	4	4	
Focus, Commitments	4	4	4	
Team Role Fulfillment	4	4	4	
Ability to Communicate	4	4	4	
Accuracy	4	4	4	
Total points	24	24	24	

Notes and Comments for Person 1: Lizbeth

Lizbeth was amazing partner and I don't think we could have completed this project without her. Whenever an issue came up she was very quick to solve it allowing us to continue on with our project. She was alway on track of what needs to be done whether it be reading in full depth the details of our glass-door dataset or always going to office hours whenever possible

Notes and Comments for Person 2: Joanne

Joanne was major part in completeing the written portion of our proposal and report. It was in fact her idea to go towards gender pay gaps in the IT department and through her recollection of various articles relating to the topic it provided a strong back ground for us to begin. She was always present to support both Lizbeth and I when we were stuck so overall she was an amazing partner.

Notes and Comments for Person 3: Tyrique (SELF)

I believe most of my efforts could be seen in the coding portion of the report. Although assisted here and there by the others I was mostly responsible for running the relevant codes/ test as well as any trouble shoot problems that arose. Additionally like the other I was also in part responsible for the portion of the proposal and report and believed I did well when It came to my responsibilities.

1 Evaluation A 5 / 5

Your name: Tyrique Arthur

Group scores:

	Self	Person 1	Person 2	Person 3
Contributions & Attitude	4	4	4	
Cooperation with Others	4	4	4	
Focus, Commitments	4	4	4	
Team Role Fulfillment	4	4	4	
Ability to Communicate	4	4	4	
Accuracy	4	4	4	
Total points	24	24	24	

Notes and Comments for Person 1: Lizbeth

Lizbeth was amazing partner and I don't think we could have completed this project without her. Whenever an issue came up she was very quick to solve it allowing us to continue on with our project. She was alway on track of what needs to be done whether it be reading in full depth the details of our glass-door dataset or always going to office hours whenever possible

Notes and Comments for Person 2: Joanne

Joanne was major part in completeing the written portion of our proposal and report. It was in fact her idea to go towards gender pay gaps in the IT department and through her recollection of various articles relating to the topic it provided a strong back ground for us to begin. She was always present to support both Lizbeth and I when we were stuck so overall she was an amazing partner.

Notes and Comments for Person 3: Tyrique (SELF)

I believe most of my efforts could be seen in the coding portion of the report. Although assisted here and there by the others I was mostly responsible for running the relevant codes/ test as well as any trouble shoot problems that arose. Additionally like the other I was also in part responsible for the portion of the proposal and report and believed I did well when It came to my responsibilities.

² Evaluation B