

## HW 5 Solutions

### Stat 61

#### Problem 1

(a)

Let  $n$  be the sample size, and let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent identically distributed random variables with the same probability distribution function (the one described in the exercise).

To find the MLE of  $\theta$ , we first define the likelihood function:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{lik}(\theta) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_n \mid \theta) = f(x_1 \mid \theta) \cdots f(x_n \mid \theta) \\ &= P(X = x_1 \mid \theta) \cdots P(X = x_n \mid \theta).\end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $n = 10$ , given values of  $X$ , and the definition of the probability distribution function of  $X$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}\text{lik}(\theta) &= P(X = 0 \mid \theta)^2 \cdot P(X = 1 \mid \theta)^3 \cdot P(X = 2 \mid \theta)^3 \cdot P(X = 3 \mid \theta)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \theta\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot \theta\right)^3 \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot (1 - \theta)\right)^3 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot (1 - \theta)\right)^2,\end{aligned}$$

since the values 0 and 3 appeared two times in the sample while values 1 and 2 appeared three times.

It's easier to work with the natural logarithm of the given expression, so we define

$$\begin{aligned}l(\theta) &= \ln(\text{lik}(\theta)) \\ &= 2 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \theta\right) + 3 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot \theta\right) + 3 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot (1 - \theta)\right) + 2 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot (1 - \theta)\right),\end{aligned}$$

and we need to find its global maximum on the interval  $[0, 1]$  (where  $\theta$  can take on values).

The derivative of  $l$  is

$$l'(\theta) = \frac{2}{\theta} + \frac{3}{\theta} - \frac{3}{1 - \theta} - \frac{2}{1 - \theta} = \frac{5 - 10 \cdot \theta}{\theta \cdot (1 - \theta)}.$$

Stationary points are the null points of the above derivative, so

$$l'(\theta) = 0 \iff \frac{5 - 10 \cdot \theta}{\theta \cdot (1 - \theta)} = 0 \iff 5 - 10 \cdot \theta = 0 \iff \theta = \frac{1}{2}.$$

## Problem 1

(b)

Remember that the posterior density can be found as

$$f_{\Theta|X}(\theta | x) = \frac{f_{X,\Theta}(x, \theta)}{f_X(x)} = \frac{f_{X|\Theta}(x | \theta) \cdot f_{\Theta}(\theta)}{f_X(x)}. \quad (1)$$

Here,  $X$  denotes the observed sample  $(X_1, \dots, X_{10})$ . The density  $f_{X|\Theta}(x | \theta)$  was found in the c) part (it's actually the likelihood function), and we'll repeat it here:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X|\Theta}(x | \theta) &= \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \theta\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot \theta\right)^3 \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot (1 - \theta)\right)^3 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot (1 - \theta)\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{2^5}{3^{10}} \cdot \theta^5 \cdot (1 - \theta)^5. \end{aligned}$$

So, we only need to find the unconditional density of  $X$ , but that shouldn't be a problem, since we know that

$$f_X(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{X|\Theta}(x | \theta) \cdot f_{\Theta}(\theta) d\theta = \int_0^1 f_{X|\Theta}(x | \theta) d\theta,$$

since the density function of  $\Theta$  is

$$f_{\Theta}(\theta) = 1, \quad \theta \in [0, 1].$$

Instead of direct evaluating of this dreadful looking integral, we can remember the **beta** density, and the fact that

$$\int_0^1 x^{a-1} \cdot (1-x)^{b-1} dx = \frac{\Gamma(a) \cdot \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)},$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are some positive real numbers.

Also, if  $a$  is a non-negative integer, then remember that

$$\Gamma(a) = (a-1)!.$$

Applied to our case, this yields that

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x) &= \frac{2^5}{3^{10}} \cdot \int_0^1 \theta^5 \cdot (1 - \theta)^5 d\theta = \frac{2^5}{3^{10}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(6) \cdot \Gamma(6)}{\Gamma(12)} = \frac{2^5}{3^{10}} \cdot \frac{5! \cdot 5!}{11!} \\ &= \frac{8}{40,920,957} \approx 1.9 \cdot 10^{-7}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, substituting into (1) and using the fact that  $f_{\Theta}(\theta) = 1$ , for any  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ , gives us the desired posterior density:

$$f_{\Theta|X}(\theta \mid x) = \frac{\frac{2^5}{3^{10}} \cdot \theta^5 \cdot (1 - \theta)^5 \cdot 1}{\frac{8}{40,920,957}} = \boxed{2772 \cdot \theta^5 \cdot (1 - \theta)^5}.$$

We may recognize this as a beta density with the parameters  $a = 6$  and  $b = 6$ .

## Problem 2

To find the method of moments estimate of  $\theta$ , we should start by finding the expected value of  $X$ , which is a continuous random variable, with the density function as given in the exercise.

Using the definition of the expected value of a continuous random variable, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \int_{\theta}^{+\infty} x \cdot f(x) dx = \int_{\theta}^{+\infty} x \cdot e^{-(x-\theta)} dx = \left| \begin{array}{ll} u = x & dv = e^{-(x-\theta)} dx \\ du = 1 & v = -e^{-(x-\theta)} \end{array} \right| \\ &= \underbrace{-x \cdot e^{-(x-\theta)} \Big|_{\theta}^{+\infty}}_{=\theta} + \int_{\theta}^{+\infty} e^{-(x-\theta)} dx = \theta - e^{-(x-\theta)} \Big|_{\theta}^{+\infty} = \theta + 1. \end{aligned}$$

So, from the above expression we can express  $\theta$  as

$$\theta = E(X) - 1.$$

Now, the method of moments simply suggests writing the sample mean in place of  $E(X)$ , and that would be the method of moments estimate of  $\theta$ .

Therefore, our desired estimate is

$$\boxed{\hat{\theta} = \bar{X} - 1}.$$

### Problem 3

Let  $n$  be the sample size, and let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent identically distributed random variables with the same density function (the one described in the exercise).

To find the MLE of  $\theta$ , we first define the likelihood function:

$$\text{lik}(\theta) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n \mid \theta) = f(x_1 \mid \theta) \cdots f(x_n \mid \theta).$$

Substituting the definition of the density function of  $X$  yields

$$\text{lik}(\theta) = e^{-(x_1 - \theta)} \cdot e^{-(x_2 - \theta)} \cdots e^{-(x_n - \theta)} = e^{n\theta - (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)}.$$

It's easier to work with the natural logarithm of the given expression, so we define

$$l(\theta) = \ln(\text{lik}(\theta)) = n \cdot \theta - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

Notice that this log-likelihood is defined this way only when  $\theta \leq x_1, \dots, x_n$ , because the density  $f$  is non-zero only when  $\theta \leq x$  (and is 0 otherwise). If  $\theta$  is greater than some  $x_i$ , then the log-likelihood is not defined, because the likelihood is 0.

So, we can notice that the log-likelihood is an affine function (i.e. its graph is a straight line), which is strictly increasing, since the coefficient next to  $\theta$  is  $n$ , which is always positive.

Therefore, the log-likelihood is the greatest when  $\theta$  is the greatest possible, but with the constraint that  $\theta \leq x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

So, if we set that  $\theta$  is the minimum of those  $n$   $x_i$ 's, then it should be clear that at that point the log-likelihood is the greatest.

In other words, we have that

$$\tilde{\theta} = X_{(1)}$$

is the MLE for  $\theta$ , where  $X_{(1)}$  denotes the minimum of the sample, i.e.  $X_{(1)} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i$ .