

FORM/ΟΔΟΣ

P-Specific Tokenship of Mimetic Glossology

(The Format, Value Proposition, Reflexivity, K-Fungibility & T-Reflectivity of Multidimensional Risk)

18.04.2023 Version 1.38

Imagine an apple and an orange: the same category but very different.

— Big Bird

It is the nature of the world of form that nothing stays fixed for very long - and so it starts to fall apart again. Forms dissolve; new forms arise. Watch the clouds; they will teach you about the world of form.

— Eckhart Tolle

FORM

Abstract: Who is to blame for all of the loss that we can't keep accounts for?

- The risk of ownership speaks for itself as the latent value proposition.
- This is registered and timestamped through a categorical event/data structure (K); an inherent shared ideal develops through tokenized claims on inter-class (multiparadigm) fungibility, the dynamic of as much remains as context (T).
- The usual generative function of fair and certain on-chain annuities.
- The proposition is co-opted by operative language with an implementation contained in the scope of any parlance about its description.
- The intersubjectivity of value (content) and the integral valence (not content) are algorithmically defined as they relate to the minting and mixing of specific currencies (ratings, tractabilities, marks) of form.
- The propositional argument relates directly to the subject of Noetheian schemes inherent in the economy, markedly, along any line of striction or connection latent in the economy's derivative dynamical system (e.g. of the ambivalent economic agents).

We establish cohesion and structure. Much like our bacteriological counterparts, we strive to achieve pure organic growth.

What is shared collateral between independently aligned agents, all competing within the same overarching assemblage of risk?

FORM: run of the mill, uncollectible, machine-mediated money; every token, an irrational bargain.

The signor's stewardship, the balance between operational growth and the fixed asset claims of the counterparties (assuming risk of sudden and total forfeiture of custodianship by the rest of the network). The dynamical system (self-balancing) as the running calculation along the blockchain construes the strategic advantage via multi-dimensional pricing. For the actual FORM contract, the new ticket item signals the multisig handling for the DAO interface. During the testing phase, the stewarding intelligence would be fulfilled by the DAO members, 'manually'. After sorting through prerogatives, the micro-management can be deferred to a 'methodical' agent for interim support (e.g. ML/DL/AI) – with the task fulfilled or 'signed-off', ultimately, by some consequence of the DAO itself.

Risk Agents and Token Management

With the contracts made to recover slippage encountered through nominal market-making behaviour, the Risk Agents assume and assuage the implied prospect of instability in their own renewal of the principle and in the reiterating expression of the logico-technical benefit. The societal placement of the Risk Agent can be shuffled, random, or handed out in lots. The parsimony divided amongst the quite easily reconciled accounts, according to the activity and transactional fidelity of all those presumed to be at any risk, e.g. the society of token bearers. (AUM)

Coin-burning as a tool for transition between cryptocurrencies: a modification of a plan.


(figuring in the existence of a efficient market)

"Proof of burn may also be of interest as a tool for managing an orderly transition from one cryptocurrency ("oldcoin", let's call it) to another ("newcoin"). If the developers of newcoin are looking for a way of avoiding proof-of-work's real resource consumption **even in newcoin's initial distribution phase**, they can't use proof of newcoin-burn: newcoins don't exist yet. But they *can* use proof of oldcoin-burn! (Assuming their reason for creating newcoin is **not** a doubting of oldcoin's security model, anyway. - Or at least, not a doubting severe enough to affect sufficiently deeply buried oldcoins, these being the candidates for burning.)

The newcoin blockchain would thus start with (at least a hash referring to) a complete catalogue of all the [sufficiently deeply buried] unspent txouts of oldcoin. Miners would then exhibit burning events within oldcoin up to a certain date; after which, the protocol would switch to burning of newcoin itself (and the dependency on

oldcoin could even be thrown away entirely, if a checkpoint of that transition moment was promulgated and accepted by the newcoin community)"

-- from https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/Proof_of_burn#Introduction_and_motivation



[Aristotle, The Nicomachean Ethics]

&

“qualified opportunity zone property”

W-AUTH-G (generated handling and market viability)
 ERC-721(assessment by writ) : opportunity(transaction)
 ERC-1410(hypersubject w/privy) -> qualify[rank]

ERC-725(dismantled identity) = k[inflection]
 [ERC-20(difference * repetition), ...]

+

K[ticket]

How does one measure better? Quantitative or not, unusually abandoned, fresh, new, sparkling, transcendent or much worse? How better? Let's not get stuck in the cobwebs: this is a re-expression of material risk. All outside questions are thrown against the constancy and multi-dimensionality of material over time (incl.) in the usual scientifically measured sense. How can we leave the equinormality of the everyday?

Sometimes, it takes awhile to determine. Better than last night: ok, that's more specific—yet not any better, in the real sense, from the standpoint of making a more specific definition, albeit more precise within the universe measure (r or t) of the everyday.

In other words, to own a FORM ticket is to connect with the user interface, interact with the form online and mete out the difference in expressibility between the subject nature of the extended benefits and the computable antithesis; in short, it maintains a bounded hypersubjectivity, which can be assessed on time and delivered fluidly, like so much the idea of fungibility itself.

Through cogent use of the available functions, the user owns digital rights (subjective association, *in testatio res*) and aggregates attestations to the oracular legal fact (*corpus indicium*); she/he would be said to have or to own as property for a particular period of time: a non-displaceable analytic marker to the landmark establishment procuring the security investiture of the matter running alongside (in the investment domain of) the token (wealth) management, as well as being adaptive to the financing routine (a.k.a. the great bootstrapped economy).

Use of the software instantiates real-world value (work) and the right of authority over issues of tokenship, in the management act, referring to the energy allocations and the regular capital investments *in absentia* and/or in contradistinction to the non-disrupted model. That would be the veritable premise of the asset class: a ticketing machine of inflections.

Outside the timekeeping, we maintain the dominion of privy, landmark and establishment (of the assessments). Keys are likewise formed and formatted here. When reputation is considered in correlation with (and co-evaluation of) zone operations, the interface elicits the fulfillment of subjective definitions according to its own methodology; take, for example: Numerosity, Commonality, Typicality, and Adequacy. Likewise, certain self-same similar evaluations are possible within the matrix of tokenship itself.

What is owed to Signors?

Repeatable, differentiable attestations: commitment.

What is shared collateral between independent aligned agents, all competing within the same overarching assemblage of risk?

Run of the mill, uncollectible money. #microworlds

ΟΔΟΣ

Hodos, the title for the software, means 'path' or road in Greek. Note that *Meta-hodos* is the root for "method". The algorithms in Hodos describe "paths" which is the "method" for achieving the painting. Hodos as the "path" or the "way" is our Western equivalent of the Chinese term *, a key concept in ancient Chinese wisdom.*

- Roman Verostko, *Software As Genotype, A New Dimension of Art*
 Presented at the First International Symposium on Electronic Art, 1988 (FISEA'88)

Regarding the eidos vs. the oikos: in the vernacular of Mixtures and Aggregates, the doctrine of which may be termed Mictology, the answer is Method: Agency from Truth Recognition, Flow Rights and multi-pass, striated (sharded, sheared) actuarial renderings. For reflecting all questions of integration back into the system, there is Rheology or value flow.

Territorial micro-investitures are added, with some basic forensic appointments: the timekeeper's right to reset due to extraordinary circumstances, the hat. Take engineering,

for example, to fit the ring signature, the zkSnark, the Schnorr scheme as integrated technical achievements: whatever facilitates the messaging within and without the larger subject.

meth' od, n. [F. methode, from L. methodus, from Gr. methodos, method, investigation following after, from meta after +hodos way.] ...

Hodós (ὁδός) is the decentralized user state; similar in concept to the Japanese *Irimi* (入り身) — both inclination and method — being able to interface with a whole new set of concepts which are trying to define themselves in cultural terms. The way of entrance into the realm of appropriation of outside image, the maintenance of being digital, the self-image and its fantasy.

Scientists, researchers, quasi-organised users, traders, developers, marketers and advisors online: thinking out loud, socially, in chat groups while colluding knowledge, logic, news, information and throwing opinions into the mix. Through the formation of the Mock Fungibility research forum, as route governance and community action, to extend the good-vibes and generally positive environment in which we all started knowing such things — as some rather informal group or other that randomly huddled amongst the rubble of the altcoin bubble of 2016 — we have decided to try a new approach to cryptoeconomics: to collateralize the cybermass *salvis fortis* and to facilitate a simple and functional interface to the hodosphere, where the mimetic glossary of one's own heaping mound of micro-conjunctions meets the edge-case judgements (as an artefact of the UI/UX), fostering a discernible, extensible history through the curation matrix of some polymorphic, parametric swarm aesthetic.

Tokenship

There are thirty-four (34) sample inflections —simple subjective matters, not quite markings of the specific— which generate a description and measure of tokenship and set the token value proposition as a mixed function of its fungibility. The inflections enumerated are generalised, coeval and communicate parametrically within an economy of matched integral dimensions at the base socioeconomic level, from within a consideration of risk: an ordering about the function of the actual real-world economic distress.

Utility is a set program of self-distinction and automated subjectification, both of which are made inter-dependent and summarised within the running proof system. Inflections 1-7 are gradations of simulation, trivial to realise through the core rationalisation of the design. Inflections 8-14 are variations (almost 1:1) on the first eight. Inflections 14-16 are for

engineering use. Inflections 17-34 are motive, circumstantial — a sort of abstract credibility — keyed to run-time behaviour and state.

The individual instantiation of a token — as procured tokenship in the transactional context, it's hyper-flexion according to the economy and veritable hypothesis according to the token bearer — is incident within subject, hyperbolic to the fact of its valuation, which can be made current; incidental facts and figures are recorded, new subjects are elected into namespace and held accountable in their own right even as the formulation and mixtures of inflections vary over time. Utility metrics can also change.

As we tend to number things alongside other contexts, we include all of these individual aspects of tokenship as forming the token value proposition; risk and materiality instantiate the mandate as the original, default tranche — the nominal, latent value of the antiquated or dissolute user state. The other states will otherwise maintain separate risk economies through user action and network effects.

What are the inflections of this network?

Inflections 1-17

1. Expressivity
2. Actionability
3. Integrity
4. Material Tractability (Run-Length Encoded)
5. Compactness
6. Votive Luxury
7. Novelty

8. Determinacy
9. Viability
10. Zero Fungibility
11. Emergency
12. Fairness
13. Texture
14. Affect

15. Diagnosis (Robustness)
16. Ambiguity (Bucket/Sink)
17. Parsimony (Diminution)

These simple subjective views describe the tacit knowledge of the consensus on finality and define some operational tenets of the partial fungibility engine. As a subject, they express a sort of alignment (symmetry) with the frame. The realm of their reflexive inter-subjectivity, i.e. the sporadic similarity between inflections (as well as realised hyperobjects).

As a collection of micro-cartels of self-same-similar bandwidth, these symbolic packages (taken together as subject) are hyper-realised: completely analogous to any partitions, classes and tranches as would be referenced by a multi-class token, e.g. a gross overgeneralization in overall subjective value. That is to say: they are a fiction. The order of simulacrum is incremented and the indications assume no responsibility. Through technonormal processes, formal proofs, consensus, or otherwise, the packages comprise the whole and generalisable tokenship is ubiquitously established more-or-less by necessity and applicability (e.g. by estimation).

Description, consideration and negotiation can apply, leading to many other uses of general fungibility. How is it that one thing can be marked and characterized by another thing — in any case, what makes the apple discernable from or comparable to the orange or banana? They are countable together and refactored as the price of plastic fruit.

Each partition or class is used to track related events (attestations) relating these inflections as garnered and projected from the economic environment. Consequently, the history of these aspects of fungibility can be seen to run multi-part, with each subjective economy in its own respective "lane". As a whole, with the right transaction logic within the multi-class token accounting, the system of variable fungibility becomes meta-stable in its own right. Also, each inflection can have its own set of objective reasons applicable to the value proposition as it gets braided into the token's elastic sense of overall worth. The symbolic package is just that: a packetized form of textuality that defines itself alongside a pointillistic form of object building (i.e. token) sensibility.

In the end, the assessments between multi-class tokens and "flat" fungible (e.g. ERC-20) will enable security and financial provisions not available at present: rates in context, reflexivity that imparts meaning back into the economy (#). With this (technormal) gesture, there's also a contrarian position brewing wrt ERC-721, which some consider quite deficient for many applications. NFT's are easily deprecated, for instance.

The reshuffling of value (through agent interaction over the network) rationalizes the constituent bits of behaviour (performance, competence) and, in the process, sets the ranking for the running ledger, *nec nimium salsa* (without salting it too much). The relaxed simplicity of the inflection renders the precision of its discernibility, with all the metrics taken into advisement.

- For all of the extra cogitation needed to traverse/describe multi-class token designs, the main goal — indeed, the principle — of the network is to facilitate coordination and to keep the equilibrium logical and interesting. For an analogy from the legacy market, mention multidimensional pricing. The trade of information, the trade of goods, and the trade of information about the goods: the resulting admixture is a specification of quantifiable commodity action.
 - A substantial market dynamic gives an increased facility to self-securitize the token value proposition—with stability in context. Likewise, the expressivity is much more instrumental and available to the multi-class model; I think that's what I'm after.

- Since stability and risk go together, one might as well design for fuzzy gates, covering the gamut of exchange possibilities; instead of just one black and white (price) partition, why not six or seventy blue, green and purple prices? If some contexts turn out to be game theoretically deterministic, adaptability can refactor the value proposition through the "outside" performance of the token. Value and cash money can deal with the nature of all the partitions at once -- that is, as they are taken together into representation (rendered) and reduced or synthesized to a figure that must eventually cross paths with legacy token standards or any olde tyme currency, for that matter.

Expressivity

Expressivity is the user's oracular/literal/dramatic/rhetorical right to emit interpellative safety/stability measures through continuous and spontaneous knowledge representation. \$law

Actionability

Veritable, in place, with placement, on foundational grounds (e.g. landmarked, established); is a reference or index for a conjugation of events. Performance, also, from the PoV of agency, i.e. the act, enabled; the action in process, as an expression of risk.

Integrity

Actionability in historical matters; a performative mark or signal. This is investiture as beholden to efforts and resources, 'embeddedness' in the network. It also represents the automatic relationship to some underlying dependency or to the settlement which occurs in regards to any issue of faith involving the token concept, identity, and rent.

Material Tractability (Run-Length Encoded)

The matter of complex refined actionability and, thus, adaptability *in situ*. Performance means and measures are represented here through historical documentation. As we are dealing with unique identifiers for comprehensive user reference, any number of differentiation standards may be assigned or registered (a specific contract or function, e.g. an ERC-721 transaction).

Compactness

In the archival of the above, we must account for space. This becomes a subject feature.

"Votive" Luxury

Either concept %aspect%, taken together, in relation or apart. Easily measurable.

Novelty


Implicitly connected; explicitly derived, validated. Exogenous meaning in a nutshell.

Determinacy

Proclivity to create operata leading to a deterministic price mechanism. The symbology may refer to such things as mass (stability), temperature (rate), pitch (divide), force, mass, bearing or what-have-you.

Viability

Proclivity to model generative, analogical (rhizomatic) price mechanisms. For example social spheres, see Kurt Lewin's work and parables from nature.



Zero Fungibility

The case of stacking up last-resort measures, to maintain security: calculation is orthogonal to the problem space and we include the exclusionary view. N.B. this is not necessarily equivalent to non-fungibility. For the case of non-fungibility, see the case of materiality, which is being stacked up in partitions 4-8 (see inflections above).

Emergency

As the most literal of the contexts, we look to the condition of various deployed solvers which are left to run for many years beyond the scope, even, of the mandated utility (e.g. the calculations are reactive, not of any concurrency) — as only certain types of emergencies may apply. There are many issues of reachability one must survey in this case. That which is radically time-consuming, for example, cannot be considered in any way part of the emergency sense of fungibility. Other abstract notions can easily co-exist, numerically, within the exchange.

Fairness

Beyond identity, within a domain: a specific viability, as condition for and consequence of the other generalisable viability.

Texture

Beyond identity, the solvers concur. %Patterned% function; a description of the markings (symbols) or isolated features of a specific fairness. In another sense, this is the numismatic overview as a calculable feature set: the affect (over time) of the coinage —either of the token itself or of some historical referent.

Affect

Beyond solution, soul: within the human condition, as a macro representation. A measure of commitment, disintermediation, consistency, “truthiness”, reputation or standing. Operational status signals also fit; aspect, projection, even station.

Diagnosis (Robustness)

Self-check reference and guide, a.k.a. Process Control.

Ambiguity (Bucket/Sink)

Dependency on choice. Strategic advantage. This partition/tranche may also represent recovery. (Umami)

Parsimony (Diminution)

Outside preference in relation, also known as Parsimony. The anterior probability of token annihilation, which is a reserved function: remaining unused, unclassified by or unspecified within any particular tranche. It may be incorporated into the action of multiple subjects (*p.* as potential), although it might not (*n.p.*) — not all forms of potential presuppose order so this tranche accepts unordered and other elaborate states. It encapsulates risk prediction in one tidy contract, according to the method of dispensation.

Contrary to Saltiness (inflection #34, as discussed further on), this cancellation is *sui generis* as it relates subjectively to future conditions whereas saltiness is prevalence *ipso facto*. To the nature of on-chain prediction: the whole task can be viewed as a sort Subjective Cancellation Probability—an allotment of consequence, delineating the tendency (thrift) of the behavioural expression of antimony, antipathy, unlikeliness.

Parsimony (cancellation) is active and specified: the settlement publishes the results. The diminution is inherent thereon and the agency reduction (as a result of the enveloping transaction) is interpersonal, interagent.

Saltiness is passive, generalized, and either accrues or wears off: it's a condition—that is of being salty, whereas Parsimony (the present state of being parsimonious) brings out the nature of delivery, the transport function. Saltiness just is, as the model suggests: a chemical residue. Parsimony is that which ought not be unnecessarily delivered, parceled, and yet be only somewhat acknowledged upon receipt or occasionally reputable in its own right. From that, it's easy to assess, dismissiveness vis-à-vis diminution: similar but different.

ERC-1410 token compliant mini-market micro-cartelisms.

To survive, the DAO must pay itself with the cost function. The ideal figuration, naturally, would be to collude with government bodies and feed the social sphere (communication with and within the public *per se*) and provide as much practical application as possible, within the writ, charter, mandate, and milestone schedule of the FORM project.

Optimization


(Meta-subject: Operations Research for the Humanitarian Sector)

It bears mentioning that tranche management need not be overtly capitalistic; control can enter through the inflection or invocation of stable opportunity costs. Tranches can be established/managed by risk agents with deterministic protocols, esp. as concerns the interests of the humanitarian sector.

If we look at the various classical graph traversal methodologies as one way to connect the nodes -- and to leave the question open as to how many ways one might sum or iterate through the (value) information -- the transactional data kept by the partition model can be

seen to publish a sort of statistic via the regular mechanisms already inherent in the notion of settlement, through basic trade/swap (risk) and through trait inheritance (risk on risk).

Flow



@B #riskfarming

New agents import value by association; they become datasinks (inflection 16, model, scheme). At some point the package becomes packetized just as the blockchain is a metaphor that maintains a communication protocol. Staged, mixed-tranche settlement could signal its own sense of the conundrum at stake. This would lead to gain-staging through negotiated games, consensus games, coalition games, utility games, cooperative games and so on. To use the subject labels provided, we invoke multiparty games and, indeed, multiparty computation. Parameterization is an arbitrary matter; for example, one design initiative might be to have an adjustable cooling-off period for unpacking Material Tractability (e.g. derived via transactions) before a recently unbalanced portfolio tries once again to dump a bag of time-sensitive Novelty.

The metrology of the individual token classes imparts the order on the network and by placement, network signalling (ersatz messaging). Contextuality and relations of economic performance can be transferred to the application and function as systemic providence and long-term stability. These terms are easily overloaded, as well; they can be subsumed by more abstract definitions (literally everything else, expressed as reserve). Ontic branding: notes.

What is at risk?

The existential decision theory, the collected knowledge as verifiable through making distinctions along equilibrium, endo-economics speciation, as trie of financial bargains. Mock fungibility, limited according to certain by-lines, DAO-resolved dogma.



Unity, Duality, Harmony, Structure, Identity, Reflection *入り身, Novelty



Prospect



Equanimity



Disorder



Chaos



Horizon (Sugar)



Parsimony



Adventure



Courage



Strength



What is HODOS?

In a nutshell: tokenship within computable context For a continuation of simple subjects, we meet the data tenses, the conjugations of the user's finite token sense.

Grouping the seventeen symmetries under specific contractual conditions, each for itself as propagation along the chain.

Descriptions 18-33 of Most-If-Not-All Things (Outside Hypersubjectivity)

18. Nominative Tokenship
19. Genitive Tokenship
10. Accusative Tokenship
21. Partitive Tokenship
22. Inessive Tokenship
23. Elative Tokenship
24. Illative Tokens or Tokenship
25. The Adessive Token
26. Ablative Tokens or Tokenship
27. Allative Tokens
28. Essive Tokens
29. Translative Tokens
30. Instructive Tokens
31. The Abessive Token
32. The Comitative Token
33. Prolative Tokens or Tokenship *Knowledge

What about a conjugation of the object tense that necessitates a formal unwinding of some order of business?

Agents negotiate according to a cohesive script, endogenously calculated through basic transactionalism with the context of collaborative de-risking.

FORM, when phrased as a question, asks itself: what might be the rental agreement on the subject space within the looser context?

One thing (and the next case) is always slightly similar to something else (in perpetuity) as specification along cohenent lines of inference.

Let's review the financial contract model of the CDP.

Collateralized Debt Positions

Also to expose such working methods and general economy, the organisation instantiates itself (through DAO inter-structure) and produces research as-is, running in the market alongside other such cartels and counters. So, to escape the exposure or unfortunate event that would have some organisation member on the wrong side of a very bad equation, the Ethereum Group endeavours to turn the established chat into a proper trade-enabled forum where information can be shared live, in action, as an economy of organisational knowledge, personally controlled through sound baby-logic.

The organisation then mechanically refutes the counter-speculation through a continual flattening of the design stack. Also, benevolence must be quantitatively assessed through some doctrine of decentralisation as the dictatorship in all classic Jenga credit systems such as this premise eventually outweighs any personable, privatized outlay of, let's say, success or fame (Novelty%) in the blockchain usage scheme. Transference is one thing, traceability is another: both are value properties, not necessarily digitized in many important phenomenological senses — precisely in all the uncountable ways as well, in what we usually associate with weight, presence or mass, *la Pesanture* (*la Pensanteur* en français modern).

As an approximation, each tranche will have some reflection with bonding surfaces and issuance models (e.g. demurrage). Somewhere along the line, the original mass — transported source or prime material — becomes generalized and recast into new conceptions. From the discovery, through the valuation, to the speculation, to the delivery and inspection.

Examples*:

- Max Gross (Kg)
- Tare (Kg)
- Payload (Kg)
- Cubic Capacity (cu.M)
- Allow Stack Wt. (Kg)
- Racking Test Load Value (Kg)

At the same time, measures are realised, without the system. Insofar as every commodity has innate substance and value, the measurements are particular and, in most cases, non-fungible. Contracts are ascribed, free of any equalising constant — out of tradition — in amounts determinable only by way of price (the sole unifying reference).

As FORM_h supplants the static sense of full-fungibility (e.g. USD pricing between contracts of a particular order) with a fluid sensibility (e.g. multi-dimensional pricing), the ultimate denomination for any commodity — the effective underlying asset through which to reconcile — is entirely arbitrary.

The reckoning of a commodity contract doesn't necessarily relate to the parameters or substance of another contract outside of the final transaction — to calculate the bearing between the metrics of delivery and to fulfill the terms of the contract. If we consider the bushel vs. the ton, the pound vs. the short ton, the troy ounce vs. the gallon or what-have-you: all are partially fungible.

Furthermore, we must also consider the fungibility of the material substances themselves, as tallied by way of the unit operations — that is, of the commodities in microspect (contract or no). At the end of the day, a grain of corn can be counted alongside a grain of gold or half a bean of coffee. Each of these examples would be multi-fungibile —and outrightly so.

For the fluid commodities, gases, mixtures and so on, the relationship becomes something akin to a bonding function; the composition of the equation is a measure of economic "density" — bringing us one step closer to the monotonic relationship between the value of a substance and the stability of its merchantability (and, by multivariate implication, its fungibility). The strength of this definition increases hyperbolically when we consider the "hard" commodities — especially, of course, the metals.

In short, it's entirely plausible to exchange one thing for another — directly — without enforcing the reconditioning process of strict fiat pricing. That is to say, it's possible to exchange one commodity for another despite the disparate measurements — without additional reduction, without further artificial abstraction, conversion or transformation.

Tallied Indications

Save for the intermediate work, the operation results taken from oracles, perhaps — but, in every other way an indication along the tranche, as outlined by certain market expressions and behaviours. (*knowledge)

Mandated Utility

The mandate has no value in and of itself. It only has context. The utility is proven by the code (through the actions of the EVM), due to the runtime% and the accessibility facing the user. Also, there are rights such as suffrage. From this exploration, thirty-three lines of reasoning, sensationalising . Discounting tranche #17 (Reserved), one can finitely reckon the exchange value in terms of the qualia imparted and affected in the exchange about the subject or within the context. Risk is value normative in the missive of unfair exchange.

Likewise with the location data generated through the staking and clearing (slashing) inherent in each sort of expression of the data tenses (inflections 18-33) — the operational tenets, as it were, made subject in the multi-class token domain (e.g. token hypersubjectivity). With this technology, one factors along (integrates with) the blockchain (as time/record/ledger) the events to generate consequences around which token-bearer stewardship (regular tokenship e.g. as the agency of the user, as economic agent) may describe some arbitrary overall economic behaviour. This could fairly be construed to represent the expression of the token sense (within the fluid apples-to-oranges economy, as comparative qualities are also quantified within hypersubjects 1-17, the partitions of fungibility).

Using the same grammar — by code and script — there are a multitude of subjective valuations possible. Partitions 18-32 fill in the gap for behavioural econometrics. For more objective valuations, there's Material Tractability (the relative balances within that tranche) to parse the experimental values through the standards. By contrast, tranches #33 and #34 (discussed ahead) are outside the specific realms of those seen previously.

In the meantime, dealing with objective matter and states of possibility, the 'object tense' partitions form the ancillary second half of the overall token value scheme: an allomorphic engine to supplement the fungibility matrix (tranches 1-17). For instance, a token might adopt adessiveness to assume locality or, as the case may be, it might become essive — that is, perform according to somebody else's stewardship for a bargain between parties. As full-time user interaction isn't a necessity and since public variables don't lie, the system can compute non-stop; the utility construct isn't propelled by client-issued transactions alone. It performs background checks, redundantly. At each juncture of calculation and reckoning is a nuclear number, an historically accurate achievement, an archival operand.

Likewise, for the encoding of location, regionality, territory, etc., since utility is achieved through the construction of risk, sets of changeable parameters (risk profiles) can describe

the geographic elements, ever colourized for the gadgetry's mere benefit. It can be more than a reference code; a location becomes an instance of (or categorical reference to) another place entirely. Precise adessiveness need not entail an exact location. The micro-environments are patterned after the network traffic, so the model is organic. Proximity is just another adjudication.


Indications may be functions unto themselves, just as every token can be over-represented by a summation or aggregate within its domain: the abstraction (tally) becomes a pure representation of its own performance as unitary measure. The master token dismisses: records castigation, mark, remark, denotation. Salt thrown is sentiment gathered. If the sentiment gains traction and acquires such saltiness as part of its gain structure (i.e. in future negotiations within the same system) it becomes mitigated/catalogued as colour and not content.

Incidents of parsimony (especially repeated ones) will objectively section-off accumulations of salt, be they incidental or inflected. Nominally, settlements in kind will pervade between the risk-on and risk-off tranches. Consequently, the data shared between tranche #17 and #34 will naturally be numeric, ideally mnemonic.

Negotiation

As the network may assign arbiters and the negotiated subject is distributed and commanded by some transaction unaccounted for, the ledger may or may not be negotiated, depending on jurisdiction and, lastly, by common sense (as will prevail). Consensus: arbitrary. The negotiation of a product with token rational sense is key.

The system, as a whole, is logico-sensible.



What is Saltiness?

Tokenship with computable antimony, the abject token sense: an actual morphism of negative state-being.

Offer me solutions, offer me alternatives and I decline.

— R.E.M., *It's the End of the World (And I Feel Fine)*

The Abject Hypersubject

34. Dismissiveness (assuagement, terrible shade)

As from the past into the present, what's omitted from present becomes loss, which is fashioned by the mimetic ordering of the economic tokens, the information, subtractive—within a state of subtracting itself from the subject, affecting a dismission (e.g. a vote against) a definite order of unattainability. Insert negative press; provide exclusionary pretexts; collude in micro-cartelistic sanctioning; instigate erasures, censoring and whatnot.

Adjunction within tranche #34 is an abstract sprinkling of illusory Salt (daring, precociousness)—it delivers respite upon representation of its antithesis, its endotokenship. It's a generic gesture and an integral operation (if not a contingent event).

However, to strike a penny is not to make a pound, nor is the twopence a partial token by any more than a modicum, only by the merest fraction—it would only hope to be very slight, that of anything but dusty significance, not really of any bearing to the associated token in

particular. To the premise, it's only so-so; in other words, you're not even close.

This endotoken, as spare change of a singularly small proportion, without much resemblance, being partially fungible to that small attestation: although hardly meaningless, its value is relatively overstated in reality. The monotonic relationship works fine unless the real denomination is orders of magnitude above the transfer phenomenon, e.g. of the "underlying" tokenship (with respect to, say, a reference penny). The rulership of the contribution is somewhat inexpressible until such time as the managed value (collectively, taken together with that of the penny's brethren) approaches unity. The percentile perspective doesn't matter until, eventually compounded, it becomes something closer to 100, whereas the token (for which one need not necessarily give valid representation) presents itself with the like and kind —simultaneously with its value-order, establishing elsewhere, innumerably discounted, sufficient but superior.

The phase shift from Salt to Cred is canon for success: superpositioning a bold reputation, a type of fame. Much like stuffing the couch, adding sugar or glamour, making famous change provides your non-fungibile proprietary (workalike) tokens with universal micro-cartelistic endotokens; lost in the exchange is the real icon of reputation.

Tokenship is now supplanted by generic denomination, redenomination, adjunction, sharding and so on. In general, as operative residue, salt is languishing, abject endotokeneconomic record: antinomy, the record of loss to justify the risk of re-acquisition.

Dismissiveness is consequential to (and perhaps commensurate with) antinomy in action; salt is salinity in a state of multi-individuated flux, much more a subtopic of the original premise than can be represented by any fraction. The business of throwing shade can develop its own star system, so this assumption to increment/decrement the underlying value by unitary (scaled) amounts can, through many models (micro-environments), be fashioned into various multi-dimensional types of exchanges (conditional, fractional and classified as per the design outline).

Through description, we make the token dynamic; it keeps parallel distinctions in a variety of realms — simultaneously object and aspect. When adjacent descriptions matter, fungibility formats an instantaneous, pliable rate of exchange, providing a massacre of bonding rates.

Taken as a whole, the discernible hypersubjects (numbers 1-34) describe the tacit knowledge of the consensus on finality. They are ordered more-or-less by necessity and general applicability (by some estimation). In this gesture, there's also a contrarian position brewing with respect to ERC-721, which can be considered quite deficient for so many applications. In other words, NFT's are really the lowest common denominator, especially considering that so many other uses of general fungibility are in order.

Multi-class Tokenship (Review)

This is logic-assisted knowledge; the consideration of aspect and mixture, the reshuffling of value (through agent interaction over the network) altogether rationalizes the constituent bits

of behaviour, performance and competence. In the process, the measurement of hypersubjects 15, 16 and 17 would require order and set the overall ranking; likewise, hypersubjects 4, 8, 9 and 11 would also function as validation (e.g. of context).

Multiple paradigms are not only a necessary fixture for a free market, they're essential for any valid economy lest all commodities be considered homogeneous.

In the multi-class paradigm, these hypersubjects (classes, partitions, tranches) all self-validate to some degree: this is the goal of the design. Some partitions are more capacious than others; some tranches more actively assessed; some super-subjects arrive as network side-effects. The context is what synthesis can be derived through the pair-wise collisions.

Where is the Salt?

Trust and Welfare

A league of under-funded but firmly established organisations (of 'trust and welfare') may establish their own risk parameters in relative isolation from the more libidinal source economy of crypto. For example: a tranche controlled (owned) by a moderated forum populated by recognised agents in the health sector (e.g. an alliance of hospitals/clinics), set to task for the mapping of public expenditure policies (health sector parameters, systemic risk) to world-economic (basketeted, commodity exposed) risk parameters.

Ownership of value by virtue of sensible data and real-world applicability to actuarial articles of some particular store, fully described over time, becomes, by way of thirty-three dynamical realms (formulations, projections, aspects, conditions, interactions between hypersubjects): provides (emits) the overall value judgement, which is the contract's machine proposition, its running solution to the material problem. The multi-class derivation of utility, along its course, reflects homogeneously back to the very spectrum of real-world applicability from which any token's very intelligibility is derived.

- The management 'level' of a tranche can be used to modulate the tranche-constrained risk and thus inform or influence the homogeneous (generic, global) risk parameters which are already inherent in the present monolithic design. Through structural and operational persistence (success within the Makerdao system), each tranche therefore represents the inverted risk of all the other (less manageable) risk structures (e.g. the accumulated risk of non-correlated or irrelevant tranches).
- Through the use of aggregators and/or registries, 'management' (as implied above) could be achievable, e.g. via the staking/voting of talent networks.

#mixture FORM/h

The world is self-creative; and the actual entity as self-creating creature passes into its immortal function of part-creator of the transcendent world. In its self-creation the actual entity is guided by its ideal of itself as individual satisfaction and as transcendent creator.

— A. N. Whitehead, *Process and Reality*

1. Overall Premise


Hyper-functional, highly-specified ethereum token wallets, for general use and consumption alongside self-forming bridges to legacy commodities, the many side-effects of luxury and endowment, property and whatnot of and in the real world.

We have the FORM, also, in the economy as the disbursed research grant, latent as value, unique in its own bearing, correct and provable as a scientific result of valid and secure programming.

Enter the means for value distribution: the utility token for the participation in the originally constrained experiment. As a basic measure for all communication with the scientific organisation, for interfacing between the various spheres of knowledge that inform the user experience, for speculation on development, for the conversion into normative commercial activity, for the maintenance and security of the system as a whole.

The microspectroscopy of elements present and economically viable outside of the regular dAppcoin we create entire folios of finely prescribed non-fungible records of all user activity.

The model is, in shorthand, much like any other.



ERC-721 standardised transactions can be organised along arbitrary lines of enquiry (e.g. of ownership). Such ownership is stowed away or stored in the structure — accessible, sortable and variably unique. Security is to be taken for granted, via the Ethereum network.

From *hodós*, many paths, we can arrive at the *Hodón* (οδόν) — i.e. the literal pathway or road — and, with a handy character omission, we generate *oóv*, which translates to “one” in English, the first cardinal number. Working backwards, we find a certain symmetry by imagining the singular step (of or along whatever particular or figurative pathway).

Sticking with the Greek, that elicits the notion of the *Víma* (βήμα) or ‘step’, which nominates a recurring transaction (e.g. as a ticker), unary denomination of the objective wealth measurement: measured, clocked instantiation. As the nature of this metrology goes, the hypersubjects must then align to preserve the synchrony of the deterministic requirements of the calculations themselves. (See macro subjects.)

As we approach Ring 0, we encounter another interesting microspection, properly accounted for through the use of supervisory permissions. To employ another archaic name, this can be specified as the aspect *όβολός* — OBOL, as the reference unit within the finality protocol — in this case, one that directly represents time. This forms the constructor unit for the *ódos* space, the space of paths, as a constellation of milestones measurable in OBOL.

The non-fungible tokens (a.k.a. records, without too much fuss) will all be named individually, nominated, into the space. These can be thought of as outright obelisks on the mantle of which would be the OBOL token standard reference. The paths are not outright literary substance but graph theoretic; they form the material for a constructive proof method. In this sense, there is no objective value to the address space until the form achieves finality.

What is an OBOL?

An indication of suffrage (value of substance via metrizable tokenship).

Income and other types of commensurations are all, in-and-of-themselves, a type of a generalisable tokenship. Any suffrage attached is a means to portray the trust in the network value as well as represent said value by interacting with the token's security proposition. There could be orders and sorts of income, all within the same system, esp. as realized through the tranche risk management concept, e.g. commensuration can occur according to widely separated contexts and trust/value can be dispersed into altogether calculable tranches.

Anecdotally, there would be Obols and Obelisks: that way, everything will remain semi-state logical in the appspace, e.g. as implementation runs cursory to adoption, through the namespace tranche (and sharding) mechanism. The obelisk might stake Obol virtue or estimate its form somewhat.

From the commons, we establish the operative privy — which is the case for emergence and immanence. As a collection, then, the ERC-725 establishments are collated, much like their ERC-721 counterparts; the adjunct economic metric makes its inherent difference.

Likewise for ERC-735 and its aggregation of events (e.g. in the market of terms and conditions). OBOL is attestation, bearing witness.

Curation, then, causes value to reflect market conditions (e.g. of fungibility). The additional artifacts lend credence, necessarily, which furthers the case of tokenship, and therefore the abundance, credence, virtue and legitimacy of the named tokenization process. Goods are engineered into being, according to the original premises, as the design so far outlines.

Regarding the latent and instantiative asset, the common claims-of-difference, the same-self-similar dynamic: on the one hand, we have the collateral as named and the debt as assumed risk; on the other hand, we have the position as realised.

- CDP's will be tracked through partially fungible representations (certificates) of the assets managed (alongside the risk profiling) within the tranches. Aspects allot effective parsimony (e.g. along the chain, see Pederson et al.)
- As an assessment, an allotment will be made of example transactions (via a quotient of fungibility or a similarly based index relative to the risks betwixt and between the groupings of assets). Their related risk models and value assessments sequestering value via contractual reflection, e.g. through a mechanism such as ERC-1410. This is henceforth known as the bitmap: the emergent behaviour, as outlined by the pairwise accumulated performative history of the sample set of assets.
- The aforementioned tranches could be delineated/specifyed according to mutual and transparent assessment of exposure to risk along the chain. Categories of enquiry include: Fear vs Greed, Key Fungibility (K), Credence, Provenance, Effects over time (FORM/OBOL) -- a sort of vinecology for the token foundry '(addressability%)' whereby tokens have their own intrinsic force-being -- latent representability of the commodity action in the user-specified space (use-case), according to the vetting process nonetheless present in any such commodity action system space. In a nutshell, the measure of token value to the reflexivity of its value proposition. (W-OBOL-0)

2. General Operation

Namespace asset management; a DAO system of governance via liquid decentralized custodianship, open-ended microtransactions, collusion control and liquidity regulation.

- Language research
- Developer indoctrination
- Programmer support
- Architecture revision seminars
- On-going folio review (in the market of terms and conditions)
- Meet-ups and classes (entropic dualism)

- Coder dojos (red team functions)
- Sponsorship events

Workable other people-projects, such as: round-robin finance voting, risk re-assessment and profiling sessions, focus groups on meme dynamics, etc. which are base-token characteristics traceable over time — process and produce points of optimization. In many ways, they are comparable to indexes/indices both in the database (traversal) view and in the sense of analysis (view: market). From this, one can gather nodes into arbitrary groupings and sub-groupings along the tranches (lanes, e.g. within the partitions, over time) numbered above. There are optimization postulates a plenty. Having subject optimization lanes running as fungibility tranches collapses most of the discussion of tokenship into a cooperative transferable utility game; value is a programmatic side-effect of the holistic competence of maintaining a subjective pertinence with the master subject, money. All tranches reflect the token's technicality, its ability to embody the environment. This is quite a distinct feature of stability as well: the attack surface is made disjunct as the partitions necessarily disperse the risk. #coalitiongames

Just to cut the ontology down the middle, the distinctions made, operationally, between these subjective aspects of the value of the token: this simplifies and can arrive at reflexivity with other base token designs; it does so by categorizing the many causal factors and contexts that are derived as data and rule, relegated to the chain, simply through the primitives already inherent in the standard, namely the description/root (event within subject) and the partition/tranche balance (evaluated or negotiated as the case may be).

For now, it should be easy enough to picture a pie chart wherein the account contains a number of tokens large on Integrity but small on Actionability. The medianizer (third party) abstracts the potential market flux in series with other commitments/attestations, equalizes that market parallax in the globe economic and, just like general equilibrium itself, the transaction gets realised through computation, gas is spent and all remains decentralized.

4. Goals

1. Speed, efficiency, stability
2. Meta-stability, malleability
3. Multiplicative investment returns through techno-socialised portfolio management
4. Many rounds of development funding
5. Promote better use of language and encourage more concise writing in the entire sector

5. Requirements

- Deposit and Fund allocation interface (i.e. the exchange)
- Deposit pooling -- shared stake

- Time-shared asset squatting
- ERC-721 `nftTransfer`
- ERC-1410 `accessauthorizeOperatorByPartition`
- Microtransaction staking
- Geo-deposits
- Federated air-drops
- Dynamic and defensive funds allocation calculator
- Portfolio sandboxes
- Partial, Reverse and Reverse Partial risk/strategy ("likeness")
- Multiple strategies, **same-self-similar** solution making sense
- Ability to group and adjust alarms. (For price, volume or other indicators)
- Chatrooms, newsfeeds
- OTC trades, fungibility events, other assorted bail-outs, e.g. settle/claim, kill-switch
- UI Skins/Themes
- Faucets and Vanity Burn Addresses

6. Specifications

Format

Properties of Value

4.0	ad02	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.0	2.5	1.7	4.0
4.0	ad10	4.0	3.2	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.3	4.0


#functionaldecomposition

Value Proposition


See table i, graph ii and proof iii.

Notion	Function	Action	Role
Gas	Payment	Pay	Verification
Ether	Settlement	Settle	Security
Token	Referral	Refer	Identity
Mantle	Representation	Identify	Unity

Table i



Graph ii (fusion)



Proof iii (Idle Self-Reflectivity)

Reflexivity

Model: Fund or MEME related to Mantle.

The variable in the sense of the underlying Tokenized value, with an agent-view relationship is uniquely nominated and voted into a fixed-bias amongst similarly categorized asset classes. A fundamental subjectification, as a relation to a run-along CDP, underlined with its own hedge of fixed collateral assets. 'T-Reflexivity' is shorthand for the reflexivity of token value, *ipso facto*, flat in the economy, in reference to its semi-fungible value, changeable though it may turn out to be.

- This isn't about collecting additional data and attaching qualities to a token value, after the fact or even alongside. This is on-going categorification of the nature and possibility for exchange by the nature and quality of the environment, with systemic risk quarantined at the point of entry and maintained as knowledge (in equity) until some reciprocal transaction comes along. NB: the environment is speculative territory, not runtime.
 - In many ways, it's supposed to be employed as a security layer, to typify exchange types and contexts, sort through all hegemony of jurisdiction, whether real or imagined.
 - It should be noted that such partitions can co-exist alongside other partitioning logic just as well, whether that's diving into two graphs, user identity, or what-have-you. The partition type is just another memory store and it's all rather arbitrary in the

end. One might enumerate partitions (tranches) by ERC- standard, e.g. tranche-0 as ERC-20, tranche-1 as ERC-721, and so on. (a meta-token exchange widget, in other words)

- The aggregator, much like a wallet, is a most general thing. If one MFT (multi-fungible token) can be collected, many can be assembled and made to interact. Thereby, the user experience would necessarily inherit the application space. If one party could be made to reconcile with a counterparty, each block of itemization or reference could, I suppose, generate its own information about the subject. To continue with the example from above, consider the normalization of Integrity over Actionability as triggering some local or global reckoning between accounts: a set/reset coordinate system, as it were, for each of the tranches. In regards to Material Tractability, different quorums can be defined; there are many ways to set and coordinate very finely stepped procedures.

7. Design

Overview

Category: Equifinality in Consensus

Meta-subject: Authenticity and the Computation Market

- no consensus on timing (Liveness)
- no consensus on naming (e.g. universal things)

//////////

t= derived basic unit

////

Cardinality (Risk)

Iterativity (Incentive)

Consensus (Cost of insuring against ϕ)

ϕ

//////////

///

Sublate this standing into the sheaf layout — along with its name-space —
into petri net time-space

`tokens[t]=attestations in time`

Presence nominated into namespace

$\lambda[13\text{ Oct }2018\text{ }15:20:10]:=\phi(n)\text{-Capaciousness}(t)$

Economic strategies co-exist. Rather than say *so-and-so* is worth *such-and-such* -- through succinct measure -- the contexts can be refined according to make and circumstance, e.g. at the final point of value disbursement. I see this as the role of the partition in the present-day partial fungibility model of multi-class token designs such as outlined by ERC-1410 and ERC-1178. In another era, they would've referred to this sort of finance as 'tranche risk management'.

Partition deeds may be carried-over/endorsed/forwarded as attestations along superseparable timelines. Regarding the meritocratic ownership clause: this can become non-fungible (root) and so on through the use of ERC-1410 operators. Take, for example: **accessAuthorizeOperatorByPartition**.

For change to happen the status quo, or equilibrium must be upset – either by adding conditions favorable to the change or by reducing resisting forces.

— Kurt Lewin (social field theory, group theory)

Many-tiered stages of trust (e.g. decentralization) determines fungibility rank, which is biased along 34 partitions. The namespace occupies the 17th partition, which is non-fungible (and renewable), as well as non-divisible (ordinal) —the balance of which reflects the point system of the named game, i.e. strategic advantage.

In short, allow the system to self-stabilize through partition fungibility events (i.e. through the network of dynamic trust operators/operations). From the ERC-1410 specification:

"This standard can be combined with ERC-20 (#20) or ERC-777 (#777) to provide an additional layer of granular transparency as to the behaviour of a token contract on different partitions of a token holders' balance."

Computation

Category: validation of economic trajectories

- no consensus on effect (e.g. no salt on the negotiation)
- no mediator, no equality, no justice, no ontic branding

```
-FORM_t:  
<noetic_desire>  
<tokeneconomic--endotokenship>  
<finalization-method>
```

8. Milestones for Computed T

I. Legality, Jurisdiction, Business Constructs

Regarding the role of Curators as the primary driving force in industry, any actions taken by users of the system will be deemed to have been constructed and directed by the users themselves and not at all aided-into-being by the software program (or the programmers themselves). This constitutes our aim, that is: to provide full jurisprudential liability proof via secure crypto-economically specified curatorship.

II. Technological and Operational

There are the usual features, registration, identity, login security, personal details and so on for both of these services and they are both free. Github is particularly strategic as it can have a direct effect on the (same similar) economy to which it contributes functional data — in such cases where the executable client software supports some underlying cryptocurrency.

Development Phase I: Accumulation of Brain-Power


The Slack/Discord/RocketChat/Telegram/Email side of the business should not take up more resources than the Github side and all concerned can be coordinated amongst the forums' many contributors. Beta-testers are welcome. Bounties are in place but philosophy rules.

- If the economy moves towards a fashion of evaluating, say, Integrity over or in the flow of Luxury, that symbiotic relationship can be preserved as value -- stored, as it were, in the transaction history. The transaction packaging of the multi-class token


amounts to a running survey of its operative environment (by marking the subject behaviours along the way), allowing the contracts to react organically: systemic optimization, consolidation and reconfiguration (e.g. demurrage, minting, etc.) and whatever else might occur in the mixed underlying, run-of-the-mill, multi-class slew of contract execution. At least a collector of 'odd coins' will be speculating according to principles embedded in the very technology, rather than expecting price to benefit from VC and ICO whales and their planned market assaults.

Development Phase II: Fungibility

Model: an ATM



Development Phase III: Semi-fungibility vs. Zero Fungibility



Four Temporal Axiomatic Codes of Identity


Development Phase IV: general market equilibrium

Model: Protective Liquidation Allowance Through Flexible Midnight Market Mechanisms.

For instance, one can take the **nftTransfer** timestamp as the midnight for a certain date or that of the last order on the books and, with that, generate a bias, which is deferred to relay back to the previous reservation's closure. Further reservations are elicited and the directory forms along geo-liable expressions of investiture. The geo-deposit sits idle until collected -- whatever the underlying token format -- the rent is added to an EG multisig as more coordinates and time windows are added to the directory and so on.

Value Transfer (review)

Equifinality in Consensus



→ Value Exchange (transaction), Time, Division (determination of equilibrium)

→ Settlement as the 'Collectivity of Epistemic Discernment' (term from [@dualyticalalchemy](#))

With that, the partnership can be brought into a sustainable influence of stability, the measure of which is defined by the questioning agent, which would be the client — the liquidated client — in the end. So the draft email is erased and some other exchange — extremis to the original, “collateral” exchange — is notified and picks up the tab essentially as volatility is erased.

** additional proposals not related to finance **

Cross-trading NFT's, collection sorts of NFT's -- how these rate as potential currencies (e.g. indexed alongside ERC-20 tokens) or within an exchange that collateralises between the damaging asset and a basket of commodities or multiple such cryptocurrencies, like apple and orange: they coalesce, more or less, when tallied within alternate frameworks.

** stablecoin-based DAO liability -- transfer operations

Market making, and keeping the amount of amendments necessary: this can be the algorithm's primary operation. However, there is no need to keep to minimums. Hundreds of emails can be redrafted with the results of each passing corrected midnight formula.

Metasubjects:

- Custodianship
- Catteries
- Wrappers and ERC-223

8. The Foundation

From the GooseClub perspective: there is no foundation because the founder was displaced. New members were added, some semblance of development continued but then the channel eventually went black. GooseClub 1.0 is no longer. Gooseclub 3.0 is getting off the ground, with a clean slate.

In the absence of any special etiquette or commentary, Ithakrash Numberfud (admin) on [Feb 26, 2018, 6:35:55 AM] wrote:

So, for instance, I would deposit a Kitty into an address. That asset is considered in our custody, under our care and we evaluate all these assets to whatever is put up in BTC and PEPECASH. That hedges well, I think, if we look over the relative gains. ETH collected is instantly re-invested and no cash will be taken as a store of value. So to pitch a kitty onto a well-defined economic map is to secure growth, certainly, especially if, by Kitty, we can now say anything, well: I want to see some fees scheduled into and around that machine.

Later, the club was disbanded. Some settled into the Mock Fungibility research group.

Abstract Token Economics

By having the Working Group, formally allowing developers to collude, the knowledge becomes unique and piece-meal, yet remaining cohesive in the larger living format. In this case, it's knowledge of the ERC-721 market, the activity of the talent involved and, lastly, the accumulation and technique and know-how. Any company of people can monetize whatever they like — all the time. This new-fangled perpetual economy pays out around the clock.

3015

I can rent you my bike just as easily as I can rent you my recipe for chicken noodle soup or builder's tea. We can parcel it out, noodle by noodle, bag by bag: suspend it from any geo-deposit kept plausibly rendered in posterity, by the open general consensus of there being time and space and such a potential vector for assignment within plain vanilla space.

There's no distinction. You own the thing itself, there, in a WYSIWYG place. In this block, here: in that number. In the textuality of endowment, there is no need for concurrency. There's no actual collusion between you and the effects of your balance. In the recording of settlement, in physical spacetime, there's no issue of special conflicts.

Using a manifest mimetic glossary, everybody can determine (computably) a real from a fake; FORM(ERC-721) is re-evaluated financial sense. Prototypes can reveal more synergy within the enquiry. Late refactoring exercises open up the possibility of there being alternate nomenclature, ERC-1178, as a simple example and so on.

Meanwhile, scarcity isn't (presently) provided by the Ethereum network in-and-of-itself. This is a hybrid economy, designed to bake scarcity into any cogent business plan. The record keeping is the business and the algorithms solve the work-time problem into a latent, variously expanding Proof-of-Value method.

Community Model: DAO+ multisig, smooth understanding and confidence.

Likewise, as recognition, FORM(ERC-725) becomes an arrangement of ERC-1410 contract co-execution -- not timed in this case but formally triggered. This is our collection of proofs, as each contract must itself conform to the objective value proposition latent in the method and, by proxy, the machine.

dismantle

/dɪs'mant(ə)l/ 🔍

verb

verb: **dismantle**; 3rd person present: **dismantles**; past tense: **dismantled**; past participle: **dismantled**; gerund or present participle: **dismantling**


take (a machine or structure) to pieces.

"the engines were dismantled and the bits piled into a heap"

synonyms: take apart, take to pieces, take to bits, pull apart, pull to pieces, **deconstruct**, **disassemble**, break up, strip (down); **More**

antonyms: **assemble**, build

Origin



late 16th century (in the sense 'destroy the defensive capability of a fortification'): from Old French *desmanteler*, from *des-* (expressing reversal) + *manteler* 'fortify' (from Latin *mantellum* 'cloak').

ERC-721: Piece, Symbol

ERC-725: Article, Instance, Allomorph

ERC-735: Subject, Metric, Bigram, Formation

ERC-1056: N-gram, Bitmap

ERC-1410: Bearing

ERC-1178: Tokenship

ERC-20: Difference, Mark