

Solution:

The text suggests both ‘2’ and ‘1’ base choices for side 1. (Other sides still have 1 base choice of ‘2’). This give two base tests: (2,2,2) and (1,2,2). According to the formula given in the text, we get $2(\text{base}) + 4 + 6 + 6 = 18$ tests. However, 2 of these are redundant, so the result is 16. To clarify, we list all 18 tests, generated according to the formula:

```
{(2,2,2),                                     //First base test

(0,2,2), (-1,2,2),                             //Vary first characteristic
(2,1,2), (2,0,2), (2,-1,2),                   //Vary second characteristic
(2,2,1), (2,2,0), (2,2,-1),                   //Vary third characteristic

{(1,2,2),                                     //Second base test
(0,2,2), (-1,2,2),                             //Vary first characteristic
(1,1,2), (1,0,2), (1,-1,2),                   //Vary second characteristic
(1,2,1), (1,2,0), (1,2,-1),                   //Vary third characteristic
}
```

Here are the 16 nonredundant tests:

```
{(2,2,2),
(0,2,2), (-1,2,2),
(2,1,2), (2,0,2), (2,-1,2),
(2,2,1), (2,2,0), (2,2,-1),
(1,2,2),
(1,1,2), (1,0,2), (1,-1,2),
(1,2,1), (1,2,0), (1,2,-1)
}
```

Thanks to Richard Carver for correcting this solution.

4. Answer the following questions for the method `intersection()` below:

```
public Set intersection (Set s1, Set s2)
// Effects:   If s1 or s2 is null throw NullPointerException
//           else return a (non null) Set equal to the intersection
//           of Sets s1 and s2

Characteristic: Validity of s1
- s1 = null
- s1 = {}
- s1 has at least one element

Characteristic: Relation between s1 and s2
- s1 and s2 represent the same set
- s1 is a subset of s2
- s2 is a subset of s1
- s1 and s2 do not have any elements in common
```

- (a) Does the partition “Validity of s1” satisfy the completeness property? If not, give a value for s1 that does not fit in any block.

Solution (Instructor only):

Yes.

- (b) Does the partition “Validity of s_1 ” satisfy the disjointness property? If not, give a value for s_1 that fits in more than one block.

Solution (Instructor only):

Yes.

- (c) Does the partition “Relation between s_1 and s_2 ” satisfy the completeness property? If not, give a pair of values for s_1 and s_2 that does not fit in any block.

Solution (Instructor only):

No. Note that some sets have elements in common, but still do not satisfy any subset relationship. Example: $s_1 = \{1, 2\}$; $s_2 = \{1, 3\}$.

- (d) Does the partition “Relation between s_1 and s_2 ” satisfy the disjointness property? If not, give a pair of values for s_1 and s_2 that fits in more than one block.

Solution (Instructor only):

No. Consider s_1 and $s_2 =$ the same set, such as $\{1, 2\}$. The predicates for each of the first three blocks are satisfied. Alternatively, consider s_1 and $s_2 = \{\}$. The predicate for every block is satisfied.

- (e) If the “Base Choice” criterion were applied to the two partitions (exactly as written), how many test requirements would result?

Solution (Instructor only):

There would be 1 (for the base test requirement) plus 2 (for first characteristic) plus 3 (for second characteristic) = 6 test requirements total.