

# Optimizing a FTSE MIB's portfolio using Multi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithms based on decomposition

Davide Liso

March 29, 2021

## Abstract

Choosing how much to invest in individual stocks so as to obtain a portfolio that maximises returns and minimises risk has always been one of the main problems in mathematical finance. A class of optimisation algorithms called Multi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithms based on decomposition (MOEA/D) will be used in order to solve this task. In this project, those algorithms that are currently considered to be at the state-of-the-art will be used and compared. Specifically, MOEA/D-DE, MOEA/D-DEM, MOEA/D-GA, MOEA/D-Levy and NSGA-II, will be proposed as numerical methods to optimise a portfolio consisting of all 40 stocks of the FTSE MIB index.

## 1 Portfolio Optimization Model

The most famous portfolio optimization model was introduced by Markowitz [1]. This model assumes that investors would like to maximize return under a certain risk level or minimize the risk with a certain return level. It can be represented as a bi-objective problem as follows:

$$\text{Max } r_p = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i r_i \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Min } \sigma_p^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N w_i w_j \sigma_{ij} \quad (2)$$

with constraints

$$\sum_{i=1}^N w_i = 1; \quad 0 \leq w_i \leq 1$$

where  $N$  is the number of assets of the portfolio,  $w_i$  is the weight vector of the  $i$ th asset.  $\sigma^2$  and  $r_p$  are the variance and the expected mean of portfolio returns respectively.  $\sigma_{ij}$  is the covariance of returns between asset  $i$  and asset  $j$ . This is the original form of Markowitz model adopted in this project, although there are several extensions of the original model which include transaction costs and other constraints, such as limits on the proportion of an asset in the portfolio.

## 2 Multi-objective optimization model

MOEA/D algorithms consist in decomposing a multi-objective optimization problem into several single objective optimization problems and optimizing them simultaneously. The decomposition method used here is called NBI-style Tchebycheff decomposition, explained in [2] and [4]. In MOEA/D, the optimization problem is often presented as follows:

$$\min_x g^{te}(x|\lambda, z^*) = \max_{m=1, \dots, M} \{\lambda_m |f_m(x) - z_m^*|\} \quad (3)$$

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m); \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1 \quad (4)$$

$$z^* = (\min f_1, \dots, \min f_M) \quad (5)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the weight vector,  $z_m^*$  (also known as *reference point*) is the minimum value of the  $m$ -th objective. In this case, the GA operator is adopted in order to generate an offspring. Every population is optimized as the solution of one single objective optimization problem decomposed using one weight vector. During optimization, the solution uses the information of its neighbor. The neighbor is defined as solutions of neighboring SOOPs, which can be computed using the closest Euclidean distance between weight vectors. When reproducing an offspring, MOEA/D selects parents from the neighbors of the current individual. Once the offspring are generated, it can be used to update all neighbors of the current individual. There are many variants of mutation methods of MOEA/D. Here I used the MOEA/D-DE, introduced by [3], which uses the DE and polynomial mutation operator into MOEA/D. Both are presented as follows

$$offspring = \begin{cases} x^i + F \cdot (x^j - x^k) & \text{if } \text{uniform}(0, 1) < \text{crossover rate} \\ x^i & \text{if } \text{uniform}(0, 1) \geq \text{crossover rate} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where  $x^i$ ,  $x^j$  and  $x^k$  are parents. The NBI-style Tchebycheff decomposition method transfers vector optimization into scalar optimizations with a normal vector  $\lambda$  of convex hull of minima (CHIM) and evenly distributed reference points  $\{z^1, \dots, z^N\}$  where  $F^1$  and  $F^2$  are the extreme points. Since we are dealing with a bi-objective optimization problem, CHIM is the line between  $F^1$  and  $F^2$ .

$$\min_x g^{tn}(x|\lambda, z^*) = \max_{m=1,2} \{\lambda_m f_m(x) - z_m^*\} \quad (7)$$

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2); \lambda_1 = |F_2^2 - F_2^1|; \lambda_2 = |F_1^2 - F_1^1| \quad (8)$$

$$z^i = a_i \cdot F^1 + (1 - a_i) \cdot F^2, \quad a_i = \frac{N - i}{N - 1} \quad (9)$$

The other variants used in this project have been also proposed and compared in Yifan He and Claus Aranha study. For all the details, check [5].

### 3 Experimental Results

In this project, the aim is to assess the performances of four variants of MOEA/D mutation methods and NSGA-II. The dataset used is available in the repository of my Github account<sup>1</sup>. It consists of all 40 stocks listed in the index from April/2019 to February/2021

In the following table, there is a summary of mutation methods adopted in this project. In order to evaluate how good MOEAs are, there are several metrics that consider convergence and diversity performances. The Maximum Spread (MS) [6] metric is adopted here as a diversification metric. A larger MS indicates a better performance. It is defined as follows:

$$\sqrt{\sum_{m=1}^M \left( \max_{i=1, \dots, N} f_m^i + \min_{i=1, \dots, N} f_m^i \right)^2} \quad (10)$$

where  $f_m^i$  is the  $m$ -th objective of  $i$ -th non dominated solution. The experiment is repeated 50 times. The parameters are the following: for all methods, population size, neighbor size  $T$ , proportion  $\sigma$  and upper limitation  $n_r$  are 100, 20, 0.9, 2 respectively (the same setting as in Li's paper[7]). The maximum population is set as 1000 and the stop criterion (diversity) is set when the variation of Maximum is not larger than 1e-05 for 100 continuous generations. The parameter  $\beta$  and  $a_0$  of MOEA/D-Levy are equal to

<sup>1</sup><https://github.com/drag94/Optimizing-FTSEMIB-s-portfolio-using-Multi-Objective-Evolutionary-Algorithms-based-on-decomposition/tree/main/dati%20ftsemib%20listino>

0.3, 1e-05 Generally speaking, the parameters are the same as in [5].

Method	Mutation Formula
MOEA/D-DE	offspring = $x^i + F \cdot (x^j - x^k)$
MOEA/D-GA and NSGA-II	SBX crossover and polynomial mutation
MOEA/D-DEM	offspring = $x^i + F \cdot (x^j - x^k)$ and polynomial mutation
MOEA/D-Levy	offspring = $x^i + a_0 \cdot (x^i - x^j) \otimes \text{Levy}(\beta)$

Next figures are a visual demonstration of how those kind of algorithms work. In this case, the NSGA-II is shown. Finally, the last two figures show the best set of portfolios and their relative Maximum Spread




Figure 1: 5-th Generation




Figure 2: 10-th Generation




Figure 3: 50-th Generation




Figure 4: 100-th Generation




Figure 5: 200-th Generation




Figure 6: 500-th Generation




Figure 7: 1000-th Generation




Figure 8: Final population FTSE MIB




Figure 9: Maximum Spread FTSE MIB

## 4 Discussion and Conclusion

The results show good performances of all methods but MOEA/D-DE. Surprisingly, the worst method achieved the best Maximum spread, while the other ones achieved almost the same MS. This is certainly due to the particular composition of the dataset. In this experiment, the MS metric does not show the best method and it would be better to use multiple metrics that take into account both diversity and convergence, or to use a compound metric that takes both into account, as in [8]. Furthermore, the model used in this project is fairly simple and does not take into account transaction costs and further constraints in order to make the whole process more realistic.

However, MOEA/Ds appear to be very promising as numerical methods of optimization, according to the results of the experiment. In the future, other algorithms (belonging to the class of MOEA such as [9] and [10]) will be implemented and compared with those used in this project.

## References

- [1] H. Markowitz, “Portfolio selection,” *The Journal of Finance*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 77–91, 1952.
- [2] Qingfu Zhang and Hui Li (2007). MOEA/D: A Multiobjective Evolutionary Algorithm Based on Decomposition. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 11: 712–731, doi:10.1109/TEVC.2007.892759.
- [3] Hui Li and Qingfu Zhang (2009). Multiobjective Optimization Problems With Complicated Pareto Sets, MOEA/D and NSGA-II. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 13: 284–302,
- [4] Zhang, Q., Li, H., Maringer, D. and Tsang, E. (2010). MOEA/D with NBI- style Tchebycheff approach for portfolio management, in *IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*, IEEE, ISBN 978-1-4244-6909-3, pp. 1–8
- [5] Yifan He, Claus Aranha (2020). Solving Portfolio Optimization Problems Using MOEA/D and Lévy Flight.
- [6] Deb, K. (2001). *Multi-objective optimization using evolutionary algorithms*, John Wiley & Sons, ISBN 9780471873396
- [7] Hui Li and Qingfu Zhang (2009). Multiobjective Optimization Problems With Complicated Pareto Sets, MOEA/D and NSGA-II. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 13: 284–302
- [8] Coello Coello, C. A. and Reyes Sierra, M. (2004). A Study of the Paralleliza- tion of a Coevolutionary Multi-objective Evolutionary Algorithm, *Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg*, pp. 688–697
- [9] Ertenlice, O. and Kalayci, C. B. (2018). A survey of swarm intelligence for portfolio optimization: Algorithms and applications. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, 39: 36–52
- [10] Ke, L., Zhang, Q. and Battiti, R. (2013). MOEA/D-ACO: A Multiob- jective Evolutionary Algorithm Using Decomposition and AntColony. *IEEE Trans- actions on Cybernetics*, 43: 1845–1859