

Your company built an in-house calendar tool called HiCal. You want to add a feature to see the times in a day when *everyone* is available.

To do this, you'll need to know when *any* team is having a meeting. In HiCal, a meeting is stored as <u>tuples</u> of integers (start_time, end_time). These integers represent the number of 30-minute blocks past 9:00am.

For example:

```
Python ▼
(2, 3) # meeting from 10:00 - 10:30 am
(6, 9) # meeting from 12:00 - 1:30 pm
```

Write a function merge_ranges() that takes a list of meeting time ranges and returns a list of condensed ranges.

For example, given:

your function would return:

```
[(0, 1), (3, 8), (9, 12)]
```

Do not assume the meetings are in order. The meeting times are coming from multiple teams.

Write a solution that's efficient even when we can't put a nice upper bound on the numbers representing our time ranges. Here we've simplified our times down to the number of 30-minute slots past 9:00 am. But we want the function to work even for very large numbers, like Unix timestamps. In any case, the spirit of the challenge is to merge meetings where start_time and end_time don't have an upper bound.

Gotchas

Look at this case:

These meetings should probably be merged, although they don't exactly "overlap"—they just "touch." Does your function do this?

Look at this case:

Notice that although the second meeting starts later, it ends before the first meeting ends. Does your function correctly handle the case where a later meeting is "subsumed by" an earlier meeting?

Look at this case:

Here *all* of our meetings should be merged together into just (1, 10). We need keep in mind that after we've merged the first two we're not done with the result—the result of that merge *may* itself need to be merged into other meetings as well.

Make sure that your function won't "leave out" the last meeting.

We can do this in $O(n \lg n)$ time.

Breakdown

This part requires full access (/upgrade)

You've already used up your free full access questions!

If you subscribe to our weekly newsletter (/free-weekly-coding-interview-problem-newsletter), you'll get another free full access question every week.

To see the full solution and breakdown for *all* of our questions, you'll have to upgrade to full access (/upgrade).

Upgrade now → (/upgrade)

Solution

This part requires full access (/upgrade)

You've already used up your free full access questions!

If you subscribe to our weekly newsletter (/free-weekly-coding-interview-problem-newsletter), you'll get another free full access question every week.

To see the full solution and breakdown for *all* of our questions, you'll have to upgrade to full access (/upgrade).

Upgrade now → (/upgrade)

Complexity

 $O(n \lg n)$ time and O(n) space.

Even though we only walk through our list of meetings once to merge them, we sort all the meetings first, giving us a runtime of $O(n \lg n)$. It's worth noting that *if* our input were sorted, we could skip the sort and do this in O(n) time!

We create a new list of merged meeting times. In the worst case, none of the meetings overlap, giving us a list identical to the input list. Thus we have a worst-case space cost of O(n).

Bonus

- 1. What if we *did* have an upper bound on the input values? Could we improve our runtime? Would it cost us memory?
- 2. Could we do this "in-place" on the input list and save some space? What are the pros and cons of doing this in-place?

What We Learned

This part requires full access (/upgrade)

You've already used up your free full access questions!

If you subscribe to our weekly newsletter (/free-weekly-coding-interview-problem-newsletter), you'll get another free full access question every week.

To see the full solution and breakdown for *all* of our questions, you'll have to upgrade to full access (/upgrade).

Upgrade now → (/upgrade)

Want more coding interview help?

Check out **interviewcake.com** for more advice, guides, and practice questions.