# Data Science

Marc Tommasi

October 10, 2023

# Outline

# Outline

# Main ideas

- Used to explain as well as to predict. Example: explain fuel consumption as a function of car weight.
- Take the class of straight lines $y = ax + b$. Find $a$ and $b$ given weights ($x$) and observed consumption ($y$).
- We also call $b$ *intercept* (ordonnée à l'origine) and $a$ is a coefficient (which defines the slope of the line).
- If we know that the data $x$ are centered at 0, then the intercept is zero.
- Generalization to the case where data are represented in a space of dimension $d$. Example: predict fuel consumption as a function of car weight and engine power, weather, speed, etc.
- We take a class $\mathcal{H}$ of linear functions: we look for $w_i$ such that $y = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + \cdots + w_d x_d$.
- Note: we can pose $x_0 = 1$ and compute

$$y = w_0 x_0 + w_1 x_1 + \cdots + w_d x_d = \boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x} = \langle \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle$$

.

# Formal setting

- $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$
- $\mathcal{H} = \{\boldsymbol{x} \to \langle \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle \mid \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is the class of hypothesis.
- There are $m$ examples of the form $((x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d), y) = (\boldsymbol{x}, y)$:

$$S = \{(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, y_1), (\boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}, y_2), \ldots, (\boldsymbol{x}^{(m)}, y_m)\}$$

- $S$ can be represented by a matrix $X$ where each row is an instance of $S$
- We use the quadratic loss (squared error) to measure the empirical error:

$$\ell(h, (\boldsymbol{x}, y)) = (h(\boldsymbol{x}) - y)^2$$

# ERM and the objective function

- The aim is to minimize the squared error for each example, and the loss function, the objective function, is the Mean squared error (MSE)

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_i(\langle \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}\rangle - y_i)^2 = \frac{1}{m}\|X\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2$$

- The ERM principle is to find the parameters $\boldsymbol{w}$ that minimize the objective function on $S$:

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_w \frac{1}{m}\|X\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2$$

- The objective is convex: there is a global minimum and it can be calculated analytically.

# Derivatives and gradient

- The derivative $f'$ of a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ evaluated at $x$ is defined by

$$f'(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{f(x+\epsilon) - f(x)}{\epsilon}$$

.

- The derivative cancels for an $x$ that minimizes $f$.
- For $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, we can consider the derivative with respect to each component of $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$. This is denoted $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$.
- The $d$ -dimensional vector of all these derivative functions is the gradient $\nabla f = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_d})$.
- Remember: the derivative of $f(x) = x^n$ is $f'(x) = nx^{n-1}$ and the derivative of a constant is zero;

# Conclusion

- We can find an analytical solution which will be $(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{y}$.
- Informally, we're looking for $X\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{y}$, which we can rewrite as $X^\top X\boldsymbol{w} = X^\top \boldsymbol{y}$, then $\boldsymbol{w} = (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \boldsymbol{y}$.
- Note
  - The matrix $(X^\top X)$ may not be invertible, so we can instead calculate $X^\dagger \boldsymbol{y}$ where $X^\dagger$ is the Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse, which is calculated by an SVD (If $X = U\Sigma V^\top$ then $X^\dagger = V\Sigma^\dagger U^\top$ and $\Sigma^\dagger$ is calculated by taking the inverse of the values except for the 0s, which remain 0).

# Complexity

- The matrix inversion is costly for very large datasets
- Matrix multiplication is $O(n^3)$ for simple algorithms ($O(n^{2.4})$ for the best ones).
- The gradient descent approach yields a quadratic algorithm that works quite well in practice.
- (and can be accelerated with GPUs...)

# Gradient descent I

- The aim is to reduce the empirical error step by step: find the parameter modification that will make the loss function take on a smaller value.
- Idea of descending through the steepest slope on the curve of loss as a function of parameters $\boldsymbol{w}$

# Gradient descent II

## Derivative direction




Quick reminder about derivatives

# Gradient descent III

## Direction




The gradient gives the direction: opposite to the gradient

# Gradient descent IV

## Step by step




We're taking a step in this direction, by a factor yet to be specified: the learning rate.

# Algorithm

- Start with random weights, which define a point on the curve of the objective function.
- Calculate the derivative (gradient) of the objective function at this point
- One step is taken in the opposite direction to this gradient. The length of this step is determined by the learning rate.
- A termination check is performed on the norm of the gradient (tolerance) or on the error.
- Notes
  - In the convex case (as with MSE), a global minimum is guaranteed.
  - It is preferable to have normalized dimensions (use `StandardScaler` for example).
  - Dynamic gradient step can be used: the learning rate value decreases slowly (on the order of $1/t$).

# More formally

- Input: Sample $S = \{(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, y_1), (\boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}, y_2), \ldots, (\boldsymbol{x}^{(m)}, y_m)\}$, a loss function $f$, a learning rate $\eta$
- Init: $\boldsymbol{w}^{(0)}$ recieves random values
- Repeat until convergence
  - $t = t + 1$
  - $\boldsymbol{w}^{(t)} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{w}^{(t-1)} - \eta \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}^{(t-1)}} f(\boldsymbol{w}^{(t-1)}, S)$
- Output : $\boldsymbol{w}^{(t)}$

# Batch and stochastic

## Gradient descent (Batch Gradient Descent)

- The algorithm requires each iteration to pass over the entire data set (reminder: the loss function is a sum over all examples).
- The cost of this calculation can still be prohibitive
- Sometimes difficult to escape from local minima

## Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

- A single example is selected at each round
- Advantages
  - fast
  - if the loss function is very unstable, SGD can get out of local minima

## Mini Batch Gradient Descent

- a subset of the examples is selected
- suitable for GPUs, as we can now perform small matrix operations on a batch of data

# Polynomial regression

- Linear models have been constructed (straight lines, hyperplanes, etc.).
- This class of hypotheses may be ill-suited to non-linear data.
- We can get around this problem by adding new attributes to the data: square, cube, pairwise products of attributes, etc.
- In the 1-dimension case, let's solve

$$y = w_0 + w_1 x + w_2 x^2 + \cdots + w_k x^k$$

.

- The data are transformed, but the same linear regression algorithm is applied!
- The same applies if $x$ is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and products can be made between different attributes ($x_i x_j$, $x_i x_j x_k \ldots$).
- NB: we can avoid materializing the construction of all these new attributes using kernel techniques (used in SVMs, ...).

# Technically

- with `PolynomialFeatures`.
- we can use `Pipeline` class objects to compose different stages
  - feature transformation

```
estimators = [('reduce_dim', PCA()), ('clf', SVC())]
pipe = Pipeline(estimators)
# two _ to access params
pipe.set_params(clf__C=10)
```

  - application of a model with `pipe.fit`, (`score`, `predict`,...)

# Error decomposition

## Reminder: Complexity/variance bias

- bias: assumption about the function class, for example. Subject to underfitting if bias is too strong
- variance/complexity: variation in function class due to sensitivity to data. Subject to overfitting if the variance is too high.
- NB: there always remains an irreducible error due to data quality in the error decomposition.

## Error decomposition

- $L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S)$ is the sum of the approximation error + the estimation error
- Approximation error
  - Does not depend on $S$ but on the choice of $\mathcal{H}$.
  - Decreases with increasing complexity of $\mathcal{H}$.
- Estimation error
  - decreases if we have more examples in $S$ (we reduce the variance. . . )

# Illustration of polynomial regression

- the technique of adding numerous attributes increases complexity and variance.
- the degree of the $p$ polynomials, $x_i$, $x_i^2$, $\ldots x_i^p$ ; the sizes of the products $x_i x_j$, $x_i x_j x_k$, $\ldots$ are hyper-parameters to be adjusted to control bias/complexity.
- we must try to control this:
  - tracing learning curves,
  - regularization

# Methodology

- Hyper-parameters must be set on the learning sample.

## Remember

- The test set should never be looked at, except to evaluate the true/generalization error.

## Model selection techniques

- Training set split into learning and validation or cross-validation
- cross-validation,
- leave-one-out,. . .
- https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/classes.html#module-sklearn.model_selection

# Cross-validation



# In practice

## Learning curves

- calculate the error in training and validation according to sample size or model complexity.
- examining the curve can help select the right parameters




## Grid Search

- vary the set of hyper-parameters and perform an exhaustive search for the best set of parameters

# Regularization I

## Ridge Regression

- Tikonov regularization: adds the square of the norm of the parameter vector ($+\alpha \frac{1}{2} \sum_i w_i^2$). This is also known as a $\ell_2$ penalty.
- It's best to normalize the data before applying this regularization.
- Increases the bias and reduces the variance
- A closed form can be calculated, but SGD can also be applied.
- In sklearn, class `Ridge` or `SGDRegressor` with `penalty` which is `"l2"` with parameter `alpha`.

# Regularization II

## Lasso

- This time it's the $\ell_1$ standard, the sum of absolute values ($\alpha \sum_i |w_i|$).
- Removes the least relevant attributes: a sparse model is obtained by variable selection.
- The function is not derivable in 0 (right derivative different from left derivative).
- We can use a sub-differential (sub-gradient, subgradient) around 0, taking the sign if $w$ is non-zero and 0 otherwise.
- Class `Lasso` with parameter `alpha`.

## Elastic net

- this is the convex sum of the two regularizations (a ratio is applied between the 2).
- Class `ElasticNet` with parameters `ratio` and `alpha`.

# Regularization III

## Early stopping

- Limits the number of iterations. This is a form of "algorithmic" regularization.
- We stop when the validation error rises.
- Not easy to identify, as the curves are not smooth!
- parameter `earlystopping` in SGD-type algos.
- can also be simulated with the `warm_start` parameter, which allows optimization to continue where it left off (with `max_iter` to set the number of iterations).
- `SGDRegressor` with zero regularization takes `penalty=None`.

# Regression and classification

- Classification problem :
  - predict a value in a finite set $\mathcal{Y}$.
  - in general, the cardinality of $\mathcal{Y}$ is small
  - values in $\mathcal{Y}$ are not assumed to be ordered
- Many methods use binary classification ($\mathcal{Y} = \{-1, +1\}$).
- If the cardinality of $\mathcal{Y}$ is greater than 2: multi-class problem.
- Many methods can be used in regression or classification, with a few variations.
  - Example: predict using $\text{sign}(\langle w, x \rangle)$.

# Logistic regression

- Logistic regression is not regression but classification.
- The aim is to estimate the probability $P$ of having a class (e.g. $+1$, $-1$) given a data description $\boldsymbol{x}$.
- We will learn a function $h_{\boldsymbol{w}}$ parametrized by a set of parameters $\boldsymbol{w}$.
- The value of $h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \in [0, 1]$ and $\int_{\boldsymbol{x}} h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} = 1$ (a little abuse of notation)
- Hence, $h_{\boldsymbol{w}}$ can be used to compute $P(Y = 1 \mid \boldsymbol{x})$ as $h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(X = \boldsymbol{x})$

# Logistic function

- We use the sigmoidal logistic function:

$$h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sigma(\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x}) \text{ with } \sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+\exp(-x)}$$

.




- It's a continuous function that can be derived between 0 and 1 and passes through 0.5 in 0.
- The decision threshold is 1/2.

# Identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \frac{1}{1+\exp(-\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x})} \\
1 - h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= 1 - \frac{1}{1+\exp(-\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x})} \\
&= \frac{\exp(-\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x})}{1+\exp(-\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x})} \\
&= \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{\exp(-\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x})}} \\
&= \frac{1}{1+\exp(\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x})}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remarque : $\log(h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x})) = -\log(1+\exp(-\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x}))$

# Training: explanation by likelihood

- We have the estimate of the probability of having $y = +1$ which is $h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $y = -1$ which is $1 - h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x})$.
- The idea is to find the $\boldsymbol{w}$ that will maximize the likelihood of observing our sample.
- So for each pair $(\boldsymbol{x}, y)$, we maximize the expression ($\mathbb{1}_t$ is 1 if $t$ is true and 0 otherwise).

$$h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x})^{\mathbb{1}_{y=+1}}(1 - h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}))^{\mathbb{1}_{y=-1}}$$

- We often take the log-likelihood, for ease of calculation

$$\mathbb{1}_{y=+1} \log(h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x})) + \mathbb{1}_{y=-1} \log(1 - h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}))$$

- This is equivalent to minimizing the opposite, known as the loss:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell(h_{\boldsymbol{w}}, (\boldsymbol{x}, y)) &= -\mathbb{1}_{y=+1} \log(h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x})) - \mathbb{1}_{y=-1} \log(1 - h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x})) \\
&= \mathbb{1}_{y=+1} \log(1 + \exp(-\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x})) + \mathbb{1}_{y=-1} \log(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x})) \\
&= \log(1 + \exp(-y \boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x}))
\end{aligned}$$

# Training: intuitive shortcut

- We want $h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{1+\exp(-\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x})}$ to be large when $y = 1$ (and $1 - h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{1+\exp(\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x})}$ large if $y = -1$.
- We therefore want a loss to grow with $\frac{1}{1+\exp(y\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x})}$ or $1 + \exp(-y\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x})$.
- the associated loss function is the log of this quantity

$$\ell(h_{\boldsymbol{w}}, (\boldsymbol{x}, y)) = \log(1 + \exp(-y\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x}))$$

- We apply the ERM principle to find $\boldsymbol{w}$.
- It's convex! but there's no closed form, but we use gradient descent to find the minimum.
- the same types of regularization can be applied as in linear regression
- This is the `LogisticRegression` class (the regularization parameter is $C$, a large value of $C$ results in weak regularization).

# Multi-class problems

- one set of parameters per class: $s_k(\boldsymbol{x}) = (\boldsymbol{w}^{(k)})^\top \boldsymbol{x}$ gives a score per class.
- we want probabilities therefore between 0 and 1 and the sum over all classes is 1 :

$$p_k = \frac{\exp(s_k(\boldsymbol{x}))}{\sum_j \exp(s_j(\boldsymbol{x}))}$$

- the largest value is strongly reinforced by the exponential: a continuous version of the calculation of a max, the softmax.
- we then predict the $k$ that maximizes this softmax.
- in the binary case, we find $h_{\boldsymbol{w}}$ by posing $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{w}^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{w}^{(2)}$ (exercise).
- class `LogisticRegression` with parameter `multi_class`.