

Software Systems Verification and Validation

Vescan Andreea, PHD, Assoc. Prof.



Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Babeș-Bolyai University



2023-2024



Software Systems Verification and Validation

"Tell me and I forget, teach me and I may remember, involve me and I learn."


(Benjamin Franklin)

(Next)/Today Lecture

- Symbolic execution
- Model checking



What we will learn!



- <http://www.easterbrook.ca/steve/2010/11/the-difference-between-verification-and-validation/>

Outline

- Static analysis, Testing, Symbolic execution
- Conventional vs Symbolic execution
- Symbolic execution for sequential, alternative, repetitive structures
 - Sequential structure execution
 - Alternative structure execution
 - Repetitive structure execution
- Symbolic Execution Tree
 - Symbolic Execution Tree
 - Properties
- Questions
- Next lecture (still today)
 - Model checking


Static analysis

Symbolic execution

- Bugs that are missed by testing: rare features, rare circumstances, nondeterminism.
 - ➔ Static analysis
 - Can analyze all possible runs of a program
 - But can it find deep, difficult bugs?
 - Abstraction let us model all possible runs
 - Static analysis abstraction \leftrightarrow developer abstraction
- Testing works
 - reported bugs are real bugs, but each test only explores **one** possible execution.
assert (f(5)==6)
 - We **hope** test cases generalize, but no guarantees!
 - ➔ Symbolic execution **generalizes** testing
 - ➔ $y=\alpha$, assert(f(y)==2*y+1)
- **Remarks:**
 - symbolic execution is not meant to inspect the quality of the code.
 - static analysis deals with issues of path feasibility,
 - dynamic analysis tends to deal with path coverage.
 - Symbolic analysis is sort of in between and deals with state space explosion by logically forking the analysis at branches and solving for a set of satisfiable constraints.

Symbolic execution - research

- 1976 - King [Kin76], Clarke [Cla76]
-
- 2005 - Microsoft: DART [God05]
- 2006 - Univ. Stanford: EXE, Univ. Illinois: CUTE and jCUTE [SA06]
- ...
- 2008 - KLEE (Stanford) [CDE08]
- ...
- 1999 - 2016 - NASA: Symbolic (Java) Path Finder [PV09], [CS13]
 - <http://javapathfinder.sourceforge.net/>
 - <http://babelfish.arc.nasa.gov/trac/jpf>
- Modern Symbolic Execution Techniques
 - mix concrete and symbolic execution
 - Concolic testing (DART – Directed Automated Random Testing)
 - EGT (Execution-Generated Testing)
- 2017 -Learn&Fuzz: Machine Learning for Input Fuzzing
 - <https://patricegodefroid.github.io/>
- 2018
 - Chopped Symbolic Execution (ICSE) (2006 -EXE)
 - Shadow Symbolic Execution for Testing Software Patches
 - <https://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~cristic/>
- 2018 -Deep Reinforcement Fuzzing, Konstantin Böttinger, Patrice Godefroid, Rishabh Singh
- 2022 – SBSE conference - Fuzzing vs SBST Intersections and Differences
- SAGE (2005 -DART)
 - <https://patricegodefroid.github.io/>
 - <https://channel9.msdn.com/blogs/peli/automate-d-whitebox-fuzz-testing-with-sage> - **video (11 minutes)**
- <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/security-risk-detection/>
- PEX
 - <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/project/pex-and-moles-isolation-and-white-box-unit-testing-for-net/?from=http%3A%2F%2Fresearch.microsoft.com%2Fen-us%2Fprojects%2Fpex%2F>
- Symbolic execution timeline



Symbolic execution

What is symbolic execution ?

- Symbolic execution
 - Execution of program with symbols as argument.
 - Symbolic execution supplies symbols (as input to a program) representing arbitrary values.
 - `int FunctionName(1, 2) → int FunctionName(a1 , a2)`
- The execution proceeds as in a normal execution except that values may be symbolic formulae over the input symbols.
- Symbolic execution
 - Produces a concrete input (a test case) on which the program will fail to meet the specification.
 - But it cannot, in general, prove the absence of errors
- Key idea
 - Evaluate the program on symbolic input values
 - Use an automated theorem prover to check whether there are corresponding concrete input values that make the program fail.

Symbolic execution

Symbolic state

- **Symbolic state**
 - Set of (particular) concrete states, yet not instantiated.
 - Symbolic states represent sets of concrete states.
- A **symbolic state** is described by:
 - **Variables**, i.e. symbolic values/expressions for variables;
 - **Path condition** - a conjunct of constraints on the symbolic input values;
 - **Program counter** - the statement that is executed.
- All paths in the program form its execution tree, in which some paths are feasible, and some are infeasible.
- Symbolic execution is a bug finding technique based on automated theorem proving:
 - Evaluates the program on symbolic inputs, and a solver finds concrete values for those inputs that lead to errors.

Outline

- Static analysis, Testing, Symbolic execution
- Conventional vs Symbolic execution
- Symbolic execution for sequential, alternative, repetitive structures
 - Sequential structure execution
 - Alternative structure execution
 - Repetitive structure execution
- Symbolic Execution Tree
 - Symbolic Execution Tree
 - Properties
- Questions
- Next lecture (still today)
 - Model checking

Conventional vs Symbolic execution

Conventional execution (CE)

- Function Sum
- Normal execution result of Sum(1,3,5)
- 1 : int Sum(int a, int b, int c)
- 2 : int x := a + b;
- 3: int y := b + c;
- 4: int z := x + y - b;
- 5: return z;
- 6:

	a	b	c	x	y	z
1	1	3	5	-	-	-
2	1	3	5	4	-	-
3	1	3	5	4	8	-
4	1	3	5	4	8	9
5	1	3	5	4	8	9

Conventional vs Symbolic execution

Symbolic execution (SE)

- Function Sum
- Normal execution result of Sum(1,3,5)
- 1 : int Sum(int a, int b, int c)
- 2 : int x := a + b;
- 3: int y := b + c;
- 4: int z := x + y - b;
- 5: return z;
- 6:

	a	b	c	x	y	z
1	α	β	γ	-	-	-
2	α	β	γ	$\alpha+\beta$	-	-
3	α	β	γ	$\alpha+\beta$	$\beta+\gamma$	-
4	α	β	γ	$\alpha+\beta$	$\beta+\gamma$	$\alpha+\beta+\gamma$
5	α	β	γ	$\alpha+\beta$	$\beta+\gamma$	$\alpha+\beta+\gamma$

Symbolic execution

Symbolic execution for **sequential, alternative, repetitive structures**

- Sequential structure execution
 - path condition
 - condition to execute a statement;
 - when the symbolic execution starts, the value(pc) = true
 - the condition is updated from one statement to other
 - If τ represents the condition to execute statement $\langle I \rangle$ then
$$pc' = pc \wedge \tau(I)$$

Symbolic execution

Symbolic execution for
sequential, alternative, repetitive structures

Conventional

	a	b	c	x	y	z
1	1	3	5	-	-	-
2	1	3	5	4	-	-
3	1	3	5	4	8	-
4	1	3	5	4	8	9
5	1	3	5	4	8	9

- Sequential execution -

```
1 : int Sum(int a, int b, int c)
2 :   int x := a + b;
3 :   int y := b + c;
4 :   int z := x + y - b;
5 : return z;
6 :
```

Symbolic

	a	b	c	x	y	z
1	α	β	γ	-	-	-
2	α	β	γ	$\alpha+\beta$	-	-
3	α	β	γ	$\alpha+\beta$	$\beta+\gamma$	-
4	α	β	γ	$\alpha+\beta$	$\beta+\gamma$	$\alpha+\beta+\gamma$
5	α	β	γ	$\alpha+\beta$	$\beta+\gamma$	$\alpha+\beta+\gamma$

Symbolic execution

Symbolic execution for sequential, **alternative**, repetitive structures

- **Alternative structure execution**
- Symbolic execution of an IF statement
 - if (η) then
 A
 else
 B.
- **During symbolic execution** \rightarrow value(η) could be true, false, or some symbolic formula over the input symbols.
 - \rightarrow “unresolved” execution of a conditional statement
- Path Condition (Initial value of pc is true)
 - $pc \rightarrow \eta$
 - $pc \rightarrow \neg\eta$

Symbolic execution

Symbolic execution for sequential, **alternative**, repetitive structures

Conventional

- Alternative execution -

Symbolic

	x	b	If condition
1	6	-	-
2	6	False	-
3	6	False	6 modulo 2=0
4	6	True	6 modulo 2=0
6	6	True	6 modulo 2=0

```
1 : boolean IsEven(int x)
2 : boolean b := False;
3: If (x modulo 2 ==0) then
4:     b:=true;
   else
5:     b:=false;
6: IsEven:=b;
```

	x	b	Path condition
1	α	-	True
2	α	False	True
3	α	False	$\alpha \text{ modulo } 2=0$
Case ($\alpha \text{ modulo } 2=0$) is True			
3	α	False	$\alpha \text{ modulo } 2=0$
4	α	True	$\alpha \text{ modulo } 2=0$
6	α	True	$\alpha \text{ modulo } 2=0$
Case ($\text{not}(\alpha \text{ modulo } 2=0)$) is True			
5	α	False	$\text{not}(\alpha \text{ modulo } 2=0)$

Symbolic execution

Symbolic execution for sequential, alternative, **repetitive** structures

- Symbolic execution of a WHILE statement
 while (η)
 A
 endWh;
 B
- During symbolic execution \rightarrow value(η) could be true, false, or some symbolic formula over the input symbols.
 - \rightarrow “unresolved” execution of a conditional statement
- Condition to execute A: pc for executing “while” and η .
- Condition to execute B: pc for executing “while” and $\neg \eta$.

Symbolic execution

Symbolic execution for
sequential, alternative, **repetitive** structures

Conventional

	x	y	z	u	While condition
1	5	3	-	-	
2	5	3	1	-	
3	5	3	1	1	
4	5	3	1	1	$1 \leq 3$
5	5	3	5	1	
6	5	3	5	2	
4	5	3	5	2	$2 \leq 3$
5	5	3	25	2	
6	5	3	25	3	
4	5	3	5	3	$3 \leq 3$
5	5	3	75	3	
6	5	3	75	4	
4	5	3	75	4	$\text{not } 4 \leq 3$
7					
8	5	3	75	4	

- Repetitive execution -

```

1 : Power(int x, int y, int z)
2 :   z := 1;
3 :   u:=1
4 :   while(u ≤ y)
5 :       z:=z*x;
6 :       u:=u+1
7 :   endwh;
8 :

```


Symbolic

	x	y	z	u	Path condition	Remarks
1	α	β	-	-	True	
2	α	β	1	-		
3	α	β	1	1		
4	α	β	1	1	$1 \leq \beta$	
Case $\text{not}(1 \leq \beta), \rightarrow 1 > \beta$						
4	α	β	1	1	$1 > \beta$	
8	α	β	1	1		$\beta = 0$ and $z = 1$
Case $(1 \leq \beta)$						
4	α	β	1	1	$1 \leq \beta$	
5	α	β	α	1	$1 \leq \beta$	
6	α	β	α	2	$1 \leq \beta$	
7						
4	α	β	α	2	$2 \leq \beta$ and $1 \leq \beta$	
Case $\text{not}(2 \leq \beta)$ and $1 \leq \beta, \rightarrow 2 > \beta$ and $1 \leq \beta$						
4	α	β	α	2	$2 > \beta$ and $1 \leq \beta$	
8	α	β	α	2		$\beta = 1$ and $z = \alpha$
Case $(2 \leq \beta)$ and $1 \leq \beta$						
4	α	β	α	2	$2 \leq \beta$ and $1 \leq \beta$	
5	α	β	α^2	2	$2 \leq \beta$ and $1 \leq \beta$	
6	α	β	α^2	3	$2 \leq \beta$ and $1 \leq \beta$	
7						
4	α	β	α^2	3	$3 \leq \beta$ and $2 \leq \beta$ and $1 \leq \beta$	
Case $\text{not}(3 \leq \beta)$ and $2 \leq \beta$ and $1 \leq \beta$ $\rightarrow 3 > \beta$ and $2 \leq \beta$ and $1 \leq \beta$						
4	α	β	α^2	3	$3 > \beta$ and $2 \leq \beta$ and $1 \leq \beta$	
8	α	β	α^2	3		$\beta = 2$ and $z = \alpha^2$

Symbolic execution

Commutativity

- The same result is obtained using normal execution or using symbolic execution.
- Conventional execution (CE)
 - $\text{Sum}(a, b, c) \rightarrow \text{Sum}(1, 3, 5)$
 - $\text{Sum}(1, 3, 5) = 9$
- Symbolic execution (SE)
 - $\text{Sum}(a, b, c) = \alpha + \beta + \gamma$
 - Instantiate the symbolic result
 - $\rightarrow \alpha = 1, \beta = 3, \gamma = 5$
 - $\rightarrow 1+3+5=9$



Outline

- Static analysis, Testing, Symbolic execution
- Conventional vs Symbolic execution
- Symbolic execution for sequential, alternative, repetitive structures
 - Sequential structure execution
 - Alternative structure execution
 - Repetitive structure execution
- Symbolic Execution Tree
 - Symbolic Execution Tree
 - Properties
- Questions
- Next lecture (still today)
 - Model checking

Symbolic execution

Symbolic Execution Tree

- We can generate symbolic execution tree characterizing the execution paths followed during the symbolic execution.
 - Associate a node with each statement executed.
 - Associate a directed arc connecting the associated nodes with each transition between statements.
 - For IF statement execution, the associated node has two arcs leaving the node which are labeled “T” and “F” for the true and false part, respectively.
 - Associate the complete current execution state, i.e. variable values, statement counter, and pc with each node.

Symbolic execution

Symbolic Execution Tree


```
1 : int Sum(int a, int b, int c)
2 :   int x := a + b;
3 :   int y := b + c;
4 :   int z := x + y - b;
5 : return z;
6 :
```



Symbolic execution

Symbolic Execution Tree


```
1 : boolean IsEven(int a)
2 : boolean b := False;
3: If (x modulo 2 ==0) then
4:     b:=true;
   else
5:     b:=false;
6: IsEven:=b;
```



Symbolic execution

Symbolic Execution Tree

```
1 : Power(int x, int y, int z)
2 :   z := 1;
3 :   u:=1
4 :   while(u ≤ y)
5 :     z:=z*x;
6 :     u:=u+1
7 :   endwh;
8 :
```



Symbolic execution

Properties of the Symbolic Execution Tree

- For each terminal leaf exists a particular non symbolic input.
- The pc associated with any two terminal leaves are distinct.
- Test case generation
 - to execute every statement at least once
 - to include execution of each branch both ways
 - finding input values to reach a particular point in a program

Symbolic execution


- Symbolic variables for input variables
- Execute the program symbolically
- Collect symbolic path constraints
- Use constraint solver to generate test inputs for each execution path
- **Remaining problem** - to instantiate the pc with particular values.
- The **pc** specifies a **class of equivalent tests**, and any feasible solution to the constraints (represented by the pc) would be a representative member.
- The symbolic execution also provides expressions describing the program outputs for all inputs in this set.

Symbolic execution

Symbolic execution – research- revisited

- 1976 - King [Kin76], Clarke [Cla76]
-
- 2005 - Microsoft: DART [God05]
- 2006 - Univ. Stanford: EXE, Univ. Illinois: CUTE and jCUTE [SA06]
- ...
- 2008 - KLEE (Stanford) [CDE08]
- ...
- 1999 - 2016 - NASA: Symbolic (Java) Path Finder [PV09], [CS13]
 - <http://javapathfinder.sourceforge.net/>
 - <http://babelfish.arc.nasa.gov/trac/jpf>
- Modern Symbolic Execution Techniques
 - mix concrete and symbolic execution
 - Concolic testing (DART – Directed Automated Random Testing)
 - EGT (Execution-Generated Testing)
- 2017 -Learn&Fuzz: Machine Learning for Input Fuzzing
 - <https://patricegodefroid.github.io/>
- 2018 -Chopped Symbolic Execution (ICSE) (2006 -EXE)
 - <https://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~cristic/>
- 2018 -Deep Reinforcement Fuzzing, Konstantin Böttinger, Patrice Godefroid, Rishabh Singh
- 2022 – SBSE conference - Fuzzing vs SBST Intersections and Differences

- SAGE (2005 -DART)
 - <https://patricegodefroid.github.io/>
 - <https://channel9.msdn.com/blogs/peli/automated-whitebox-fuzz-testing-with-sage-> **video**
- PEX
 - <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/project/pex-and-moles-isolation-and-white-box-unit-testing-for-net/?from=http%3A%2F%2Fresearch.microsoft.com%2Fen-us%2Fprojects%2Fpex%2F>
- Symbolic execution timeline




- Fuzzing with Grammars
- Andreas Zeller
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jc8Whz0W41o&ab_channel=AndreasZeller

Symbolic execution

Symbolic Execution – example - <http://klee.github.io/getting-started/>
<http://klee.github.io/tutorials/testing-function/>

```
// Edit SymbolicExecutionExample.c
void SymbolicExecutionExample(int
a, int b, int c){
    int x=0, y=0, z=0;
    if (a!=0){
        x=-2;
    }
    if (b<5){
        if(!a && c){y=1;}
        z=2;
    }
    assert(x+y+z!=3);
}
```




- <http://klee.github.io/getting-started/>
KLEE web: run tiny code examples in your browser

Cristian Cadar

<http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~cristic/>

Execution and test cases created
<https://klee.github.io/tutorials/testing-function/>

2022 - Fuzzing vs SBST Intersections and Differences



The image shows a screenshot of the SBST2022 website (sbst22.github.io/program/) and a promotional poster for the SBST vs Fuzzing discussion panel. The website header includes navigation links for SEARCH-BASED SOFTWARE TESTING, ORGANISATION, TOOL COMPETITION, SBST VS FUZZING PANEL, KEYNOTE & TUTORIAL, and PROGRAM. The poster for the SBST 2022 co-located with ICSE 2022 discussion panel is for the 9th of May, 2pm-4pm CEST. The panel is titled "SBST vs Fuzzing: Intersections and Differences". The panelists are: Andreas Zeller, Annibale Panichella, Lionel Briand, Marcel Böhme, Mark Harman, Myra Cohen, and Paolo Tonella. A QR code is also present on the poster.

SBST 2022
co-located with ICSE 2022
9th of May
2pm-4pm CEST

Discussion Panel
SBST vs Fuzzing
Intersections and Differences

Andreas Zeller, Annibale Panichella, Lionel Briand, Marcel Böhme, Mark Harman, Myra Cohen, Paolo Tonella

[https:// sbst22.github.io](https://sbst22.github.io)

Workshop Format

SBST22 will be held virtually this year. The format of the workshop will be as follows:

Authors and registered participants

We will use Zoom for SBST2022 for registered participants. To minimize issues during the time of the workshop, we ask that author presentations be *pre-recorded*. Authors are still welcome to present live.

Similar to last year, we will also live-stream SBST2022 via **Twitch**. Feel free to join our stream and ask questions in the chat if you did not register.

Recording

Following the workshop, we will make recordings available online.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OS0-k7WQkus&t=2s&ab_channel=Search-BasedSoftwareTestingWorkshop%28SBST%29

Outline

- Static analysis, Testing, Symbolic execution
- Conventional vs Symbolic execution
- Symbolic execution for sequential, alternative, repetitive structures
 - Sequential structure execution
 - Alternative structure execution
 - Repetitive structure execution
- Symbolic Execution Tree
 - Symbolic Execution Tree
 - Properties
- Questions
- Next lecture (still today)
 - Model checking

References

- [Kin76] James C. King. Symbolic execution and program testing. *Commun. ACM*, 19(7):385–394, 1976.
- [Cla76] L. A. Clarke. A system to generate test data and symbolically execute programs. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, SE-2(3):215–222, 1976.
- [God05] P. Godefroid. Dart: directed automated random testing. pages 213–223, 2005.
- [SA06] Koushik Sen and Gul Agha. Cute and jcute: Concolic unit testing and explicit path model-checking tools. In *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Computer Aided Verification*, pages 419–423, 2006.
- [CDE08] Cristian Cadar, Daniel Dunbar, and Dawson Engler. Klee: Unassisted and automatic generation of high-coverage tests for complex systems programs. In *Proceedings of the 8th USENIX Conference on Operating Systems Design and Implementation*, pages 209–224, 2008.
- [PV09] Corina S. Pasareanu and Willem Visser. A survey of new trends in symbolic execution for software testing and analysis. *Int. J. Softw. Tools Technol. Transf.*, 11(4):339–353, 2009.
- [CS13] Cristian Cadar and Koushik Sen. Symbolic execution for software testing: Three decades later. *Commun. ACM*, 56(2):82–90, 2013.



Software Systems Verification and Validation

"Tell me and I forget, teach me and I may remember, involve me and I learn."

(Benjamin Franklin)