

# Comments to the Securities and Exchange Commission on the "Disclosure Update and Simplification" Proposed Rule

Mr. Brent J. Fields, Secretary Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, NE Washington, DC 20549-1090

Re: File Number S7-15-16, Business and Financial Disclosure Required by Regulation S-K Dear Mr. Fields,

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) plays a critical role in our economy as the agency charged with ensuring that our markets operate in a fair, orderly and efficient fashion. We applaud the SEC's decision to seek public comment on the business and financial disclosures required by Regulation S-K. These disclosures provide investors and the public with information that is absolutely critical to making our economy work.

In the proposed rule, the SEC asks in question 62 "Are there additional income tax disclosure that would be useful to investors?" The answer is to this question is an unambiguous yes. Unfortunately, the disclosures currently required by Regulation S-K have proven inadequate in providing both investors and the public at large with the information they need to make critical economic decisions. It is with this in mind that we make recommendations for how to improve international tax disclosures.

# Citizens for Tax Justice's Work and SEC Disclosures

Citizens for Tax Justice (CTJ) is a research and advocacy organization focusing on federal, state and local tax policies and their impact upon our nation. Our mission is to give voice to the public interest in the development of our nation's tax laws.

For more than 30 years, disclosures to the SEC have played a central role in our work examining the corporate tax code and the effective tax rates that major U.S. companies pay. CTJ's reports have helped shape the public debate around tax reform broadly and the corporate tax code in particular. CTJ's 1984 report showing that many large multinational

corporations had accumulated so many tax breaks that they owed nothing in taxes was a critical driver of the last major tax reform legislation in 1986. In fact, President Ronald Reagan noted in his autobiography that hearing the information contained in the report motivated him to move "full steam ahead" with overhauling the U.S. tax code.<sup>2</sup>

In recent years, lawmakers across the political spectrum have once again begun serious deliberations into how to reform the tax system as a whole and the corporate tax code in particular. One of the foundational problems both in Congress and in the public debate around the corporate tax code is that neither have adequate information on how much and where corporations are paying taxes. While CTJ and others doing research on the corporate tax code have made do with the disclosures currently required by the SEC, such disclosures have proven lacking in the kind of definitive information that is required to have a robust debate over the current corporate tax code. Perhaps the area of disclosure that has proven both the most important in recent years and the most lacking is in the area of international tax, income and related disclosures, which is what makes it such an appropriate area of disclosure for the SEC to be seeking comments in its Concept Release on S-K disclosure.

# The Problem of International Tax Avoidance

# The Public Interest

One of the central features of our corporate income tax code is that it allows corporations to defer paying taxes on their foreign income until that income is repatriated back to the United States. When combined with the fact that many countries throughout the world have single digit or even zero tax rates, this provision of the tax code creates an enormous incentive for U.S. companies to avoid taxes by claiming that as much income as possible is earned in these tax haven countries.

According to our analysis of companies' 10-K forms, Fortune 500 companies disclose having more than \$2.4 trillion in earnings offshore that have not been subject to U.S. taxes. Based on the subset of companies that disclose how much they would owe if they were to repatriate these earnings, we estimate that these companies have paid an average tax rate of just 6.4 percent to foreign governments, meaning they owe an estimated \$695 billion in U.S. taxes on these offshore earnings.<sup>3</sup> The fact that companies on average are paying such a low foreign tax rate is evidence of the fact that a substantial amount of these foreign profits are being stashed in tax havens, rather than being productively invested.

Confirming the pervasive use of tax havens by large U.S. multinational corporations, recent data from the Internal Revenue Service show that companies claimed in 2012 that as much as 59 percent of their foreign profits were earned in just 10 infamous tax haven countries.<sup>4</sup> To be clear, such claims are obviously ridiculous. For example, U.S. corporations claimed in 2012 that they earned \$104 billion in profits in Bermuda, which is more than 17 times the size of Bermuda's entire gross domestic product of \$6 billion.

Tax and accounting scholar Kimberley Clausing estimates that the U.S. government loses over \$100 billion in tax revenue every year due to international tax avoidance.<sup>5</sup> Given the many pressures on the U.S. budget, lawmakers are appropriately looking at this area of revenue loss as one that it should address as a way to increase both revenue and fairness in the tax code.

One of the barriers to a thorough investigation of how lawmakers should pursue international tax reform has been the lack of company level data that would allow lawmakers to better understand the specifics of companies' international accounting and related tax avoidance behaviors. What would help inform this policy debate is to require companies to disclose in their 10-Ks more information about their operations and tax provision on a country-by-country basis (more on this below).

#### **Investor Interests**

After the financial crisis, governments across the world, responding to mass public pressure, have started to crack down on the tax avoidance behavior of multinational corporations. This crackdown has taken the form of both unilateral actions by individual nations as well as coordinated efforts by the OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) known as the BEPS (Base Erosion and Profit Shifting) Project. In the United States, lawmakers from across the political spectrum have also suggested substantial changes to the taxation of international profits, which could result in some amount of taxes owed on offshore profits being paid immediately rather than being indefinitely deferred.

Given this context, investors have a high material interest in knowing how exposed the companies they invest in are to increases in taxes on their international profits. Unfortunately, current disclosure requirements do not provide investors with enough information to reasonably estimate the level of taxation a company may face on its offshore earnings in the future. Currently, the SEC only requires companies to report the broad categories of foreign tax provision and foreign income, which obscures the ability of investors to assess how much of a company's income is being booked to tax haven jurisdictions and thus is potentially subject to higher taxes in the future.

According to a report by financial services company Credit Suisse, the unspecified tax liability potentially facing many large public U.S. companies is not only material, but rather substantial as a percentage of many companies total market capitalization. The report lists more than 14 companies, including companies like General Electric and Xerox, who could face an off-balance-sheet tax liability of 10 percent or more of their total market cap if they paid a 25 percent tax rate on their offshore earnings.<sup>7</sup>

The best way to allow for investors to account for tax uncertainty going forward in making their investments would be to require companies to report tax and related information on a country-by-country basis.

#### What Should Be Disclosed

# Country-by-Country Reporting

The public and investors would benefit immensely if companies were required to publically disclose tax and related information in their filings to the SEC. Specifically, companies should be annually required to disclose on a country-by-country basis their: profit or loss before taxes; income tax accrued for the current year; revenues from unrelated parties, related parties, and in total; income tax paid (on a cash basis); effective tax rate; stated capital; accumulated earnings; number of employees; and tangible assets other than cash or cash equivalents. Given that taxes are applied at the country level, country-by-country disclosures are the best way to enable investors and the public to fully evaluate the tax position of a given company.

Requiring the country-by-country disclosure specified above would require little if any additional cost to companies because all of this information is already collected for internal accounting purposes. In addition, many larger U.S. corporations will soon be required to provide the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) with a similar set of information as a result of rules recently issued by the agency.<sup>8</sup>

In addition to country-by-country disclosure, companies should be required to calculate and disclose the aggregate amount they would owe in U.S. taxes upon repatriation of their offshore earnings in all cases, rather than being allowed to avoid disclosing this information if they deem it "not practicable" to do so. Our study of Fortune 500 companies found that 248 companies out of 303 companies (82 percent) that reported having permanently reinvested earnings used the not practicable loophole in order to avoid disclosing how much they would owe in taxes upon repatriation. In other words, this loophole effectively allows most companies to avoid disclosing information that they have likely already have calculated for business purposes and could easily disclose at little to no additional cost.

# *Less Comprehensive Disclosure Options*

While complete country-by-country disclosure mentioned above would be ideal, there are alternative less comprehensive approaches that would still provide the public with some critically needed information.

During deliberations on their own disclosure review, one approach discussed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) would be to require companies to disaggregate foreign income and income tax expense of any country that is significant to total tax expense. While incomplete, this approach would give investors and the public at least some sense of where the company is reporting the bulk of its profits.

An example of how this sort of disclosure would work is the 2016 10-K of Skechers USA Inc.,<sup>10</sup> which began reporting disaggregated income and tax information in response to a letter to the SEC asking for more information on its foreign income.<sup>11</sup> Besides providing a template, Skechers also provides a test case as to why publishing this information can be very revealing. In its disaggregated disclosure, the company reveals that it reports over a third of its profits as being earned in Jersey, an infamous tax haven, meaning that the company is likely engaging in precisely the behavior that is concerning to investors and the public alike.

Another important approach discussed by FASB would be to require companies to disaggregate their cash income taxes paid, which is currently disclosed only as a worldwide figure. Following the approach mentioned above, companies should be required to disclose income tax paid information by federal, state and significant foreign countries. Even just requiring companies to disclose cash income taxes paid by federal, state and foreign, as is typically disclosed for a company's income tax expense, would represent a significant improvement upon current disclosures. The reason cash income taxes paid information is so important to disclose is that it provides investors and the public with an alternative and often more accurate way of calculating a company's effective tax rate for a given year.

#### Conclusion

Corporate transparency is critical to an efficient and fair marketplace. In order to keep up with the rapid pace of change in our economy, the SEC is right to constantly reevaluate and improve upon its disclosure requirements. The rapid growth of foreign operations and related tax avoidance now necessitates that the SEC adjust by requiring companies to provide additional information on such operations. The offshore operations of these companies have simply become too crucial to our economy at large to remain in the shadows.

Thank you for your careful consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Robert S. McIntyre

From &

Director of Citizens for Tax Justice

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Securities and Exchange Commission, "What We Do," June 10, 2013. https://www.sec.gov/about/whatwedo.shtml

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Robert S. McIntyre, "Remembering the 1986 Tax Reform Act," *Tax Notes*, October, 17, 2011. http://www.ctj.org/pdf/taxnotes\_tra25.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Citizens for Tax Justice, "Fortune 500 Companies Hold a Record \$2.4 Trillion Offshore," March 4, 2016. http://ctj.org/ctjreports/2016/03/fortune 500 companies hold a record 24 trillion offshore.php

andanalytics.csfb.com/docView?language=ENG&format=PDF&source\_id=em&document\_id=1045617491&serialid=jHde13PmaivwZHRANjglDIKxoEiA4WVARdLQREk1A7g%3D

http://www.taxjusticeblog.org/archive/2016/06/to maximize corporate transpar.php

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Citizens for Tax Justice, "American Corporations Tell IRS the Majority of Their Offshore Profits are in 10 Tax Havens," April 7, 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Kimberly A. Clausing, "Profit shifting and U.S. corporate tax policy reform," Washington Center for Equitable Growth, May 2016. <a href="http://equitablegrowth.org/report/profit-shifting-and-u-s-corporate-tax-policy-reform/">http://equitablegrowth.org/report/profit-shifting-and-u-s-corporate-tax-policy-reform/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, "About BEPS and the inclusive framework," <a href="http://www.oecd.org/ctp/beps-about.htm">http://www.oecd.org/ctp/beps-about.htm</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Credit Suisse, "Parking A-Lot Overseas: At Least \$690 Billion in Cash and Over \$2 Trillion in Earnings," March 17, 2015. https://doc.research-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Kelsey Kober, "To Maximize Corporate Transparency, the IRS Must Strengthen its Rules on Country-by-Country Reporting," *Tax Justice Blog*, June 14, 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Financial Accounting Standards Board, "Board Meeting Handout: Disclosure Framework—Disclosure Review, Income Taxes," March 23, 2016. <a href="http://ctj.org/pdf/fasbmeeting3232016.pdf">http://ctj.org/pdf/fasbmeeting3232016.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Skechers U.S.A. Inc., "10-K Annual Report," *Securities and Exchange Commission*, February 26, 2016. https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1065837/000156459016013515/skx-10k\_20151231.htm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Matt Gardner, "Skechers' Sketchy Corporate Tax Disclosure Illustrates Need for Country-by-Country Reporting" *Tax Justice Blog*, May 1, 2015. <a href="http://www.taxjusticeblog.org/archive/2015/05/skechers">http://www.taxjusticeblog.org/archive/2015/05/skechers</a> sketchy corporate tax.php