OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

MTH 351 - Numerical Analysis Spring 2014

Assignment 1

Author: Drake Bridgewater

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Professor: \\ Thomas \ HEMPHRIES \end{tabular}$

April 8, 2014

1 Cancellation Error

 \mathbf{a}

log(x+1) - log(x) can be rewritten to log(1+x/1) using basic log properties to avoid cancellation error near x values of -.5 and you get overflow error around> 100,000

b

$$\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{x}}-1$$

 \mathbf{c}

 $\frac{x-\sin(x)}{x^3}$ can be separated into to fractional parts leaving $\frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{\sin(x)}{x^3}$ to eliminate the cancellation errors

2 Eigenvalues

a

Compute the eigenvalues of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 10^6 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$? The eigenvalues are 1 and 1 Compute the eigenvalues of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 10^6 \\ 10^{-6} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$? The eigenvalues are 2 and 0 How large is the change in the result compared to the change from matrix one to the other? They are 10^6 apart

b


Compute the eigenvalues of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$? The eigenvalues are 1 and 3 Compute the eigenvalues of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 10^{-6} & 3 \end{bmatrix}$? The eigenvalues are 1 and 3

What can you conclude about the conditioning of problem b verses problem a? I can conclude that the problem a has not been well conditioned therefor it is more sensitive to input changes

3 Unstable Recursion

a

What can you say about the value of the integral I_n as n increases? What is the limit as $n \to \infty$?



 \mathbf{b}

Prove the following recursive identity using integration by parts:

$$I_0 = e - 1$$

$$u = e v' = -1$$

$$u' = e v = -x + c$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{n} e^{x} dx = \left[x^{n} e^{x} - \int e^{x} x^{n-1} \right]_{0}^{1}$$

$$I_{n+1} = e - nI_n$$

Implement the recursive formula from (b) in matlab. Do the values appear correct? They appear incorrect as the values do not continuously decrease.

```
I=exp(1)-1;
   for n = 1:25
        I_{new} = exp(1) - n*I(end);
        I = [I I_new];  % sticks new value on end of array using concatenation
   end
                n \setminus t I_n \setminus n---- \setminus t----- \setminus n';
   fprintf('
                d\t%7.6f \n', [0:25; I]);
   fprintf('
RESULTS
        I_n
   n
   0 1.718282
   1 1.000000
   2 0.718282
   3 0.563436
   4 0.464536
   5 0.395600
   6 0.344685
   7 0.305490
   8 0.274362
   9 0.249028
   10 0.228002
   11 0.210265
   12 0.195100
   13 0.181982
   14 0.170533
   15 0.160282
   16 0.153766
   17 0.104254
   18 0.841710
   19 -13.274218
   20 268.202632
   21 -5629.536995
   22 123852.532175
   23 -2848605.521754
   24 68366535.240386
   25 -1709163378.291363
```

\mathbf{d}

 \mathbf{f}

Explain part c? Not sure but it will have to deal with machine epsilon

Explain the results of part (e) versus part (c), in terms of what happens to the numerical error at each step.