The 'Sail' predicate

- . It's a built in predicate without any argument.
- bracktracking.

Example:

1. go:- test, write ("Will you get here?").

test:- fail.

goal: go

False

2. Go:- write ("You will got here"), testap Lest:- fail.

> goal: go You will get here False

3. predicates

location (string, string)

go

clauses

go:- writet("%-10 %5\n", "CITY", "STATE")

fail.

3

90.

location ("Jackson", "Ms"). location ("Washington", "De"). location ("Raleigh", "NC").

Goal: 90 d

CITY STATE

Jackson MS

Washington Dc

Raleigh Nc

Yes.

(1) If we remove fail (1), the 0/p would be

CITY STATE

Yes.

- (2) With no fail is the program, the output is same as (1).
- (3) With fail in 15th clause and removing it from the 2nd, the 0/p would be

CITY STATE (No variable in the good.

So, once a good succeeds,

there is no backtracking)

predicalis

location (string, string)
fo (string, string)

Clauses

go (-1-) :- woitef ("%-10 %5 \n", "CITY",
"ISTATE"), fail.

go (X, Y): - location (X, Y).

location ("Jackson", "Ms").

location ("Washington", "Dc").

location ("Raleigh", "Nc").

god: go (Location, City).

CITY STATE

Location = Jackson, City = Ms

Location = Washington, City = Dc

Location = Raleigh | City = NC

3 SOLY

If we remove fail in the first go clause, then the answer is:

Location = _ , City = - , + Original 3 solutions

4 Solutions

```
predicales
```

location (string, string)
go
chiestate (string)

clauses

go:- writes ("1/-10 1/5 \n", "CITT",
"STATE"), dail.

go: - location (City, State), chkstale (State), Writes ("1.-10 1/2/N", City, State), sail.

chlestali ("DC") :- fail.

chkstali (-).

location (" Jackson", "Ms").
location (" Washington", "De").
location (" Raleigh", "NC").

Goal: go.

CITY STATE

Jackson MS Washington DC Raleigh NC Yes

To winh only states MS & NC & (Without DC),

The Cut (!)

Its symbol is

Its a built in predicate without any argument.

- : It always succeeds.
- . It is used for preventing backtracking.
- . It is mainly used for:
 - i) Reducing the search space.
 - ii) Retaining values of variables once they are bound.

fo:- premise 1, premise 2, !, premise 3, premise 4.

Cut acts as a fence. All possibilities in premise 3 4 would be tried out. Whether it succeeds or fails, no more for clause or premise 1 or premise 2 can be tried.

chkstale ("De"):-!, fail.

Chkstale (-).

"! Will not allow PROLOG to toy alternate clause.

Thus, go clause will fail with City & State

Variable of location bound to "Washington"

and "DC"). So, PROLOG will toy with alternate

Values of these variables.

Ewe can also get the desired result like:

chkstale ("Ms").

Thus, ter chkstate ("De"), there is no matching and it fails.

We don't want to do that.

3

predicalis

((string, string)

go cs (string)

Clayses

90:- Writes ("%-10 %5 \n", "CITY", "STATE"), dail. 90:- l (Cili, State), es (State), Writes ("%-10 %5\n", Cili, State), fail.

90.

l ("Jk", "Ms"). l ("Ws", "Dc"). l ("RL", "Nc").

cs ("DC") :- !.

cs (-).

- goal: go -

01 p

CITY STATE

JK MS

WS DC

RL NC

Yes.

{ : cs("DC") would succeed with "!"

as a condition. So, first two cities
would be printed. Now I (city, State)
would be tried for the 3rd possibility
also.

4

Imp. Cs (State) would not be foied out comp more once "!" comes. But, once it succeeds or fails, other bossibilities to the left of out premise in which !' is present could be fried.

Then the Olp would be: Shere chkstate clauses

CITY STATE

TK

MS

Chkstate (State):-1

8

> let's change 2nd go clause of chastali clauses;

go:- l(City, State), cs (State), writes(")

es ("Dc"):-!, fail.

Output: CITY STATE

JK MS

(As no more "go" clauses can be torred).

-> With 2nd go clause as:

go:- l (City, State), cs (State), writef ("
!, fail.

CS ("DC") :- 1, fail.

Olp CITY STATE

JIC MS

NO

(: No more go clauses can be tred).

-> let's check output for the following

go:- woited (" "), fail.

go:- l (Cig, State), !, cs (State),
 writest (" ").

go.

chkstali ("DC"):-!, fail.

chkstali (-).

God: 90

CITY STATE JK MS Yes

The O/p is so because '! in the "90" clause is different from the cut in the chestali clause. In all previous examples, cut was present in the chestali clause, whereas it is present here in the go clause. So, no more possibility in the go clause can be tored.

Jo:- l (Cily, State), !, cs (State), writet ("

fail.

STATE CITY

MS JK

No

prome the ge must be so, so,

JEHREY (1943) DO (16415 190) 3 - 1

```
a(6).
Change (1)
         a(x) := +, b(x), !
          b(1).
          b(2).
Chang (2) b(x) :- c(x),[!]
          b(3).
          c(4).
          C(5).
           C(x):- 1, a(x).
           C(6).
           d(7)
                                4) God: a(x)
    God!1) d(x)
             X = 7
                                  X= 0
             1 solution.
                                  X = 1
                                  入=2
        God: c(x)
  2)
                                  X = 4
            X=4
                                  4 solution.
                                              7 No change en 2
            X=5
                         1) It we change alx) es:
            X=7
                               a(x):- b(x),!
            3 sol 1/
                             Then Olp for good a(x) is:
        Goal: b(x).
   3)
                                   X= 0
                                   X = 1
                                          7 No change m (1)
                2
            X =
                          23 23 90800 b(x) is changed as
            X = 4
```

3 5014,

b(x):- c(x) (i.e. cut is removed)

Old is for the and a(x) is:

(2)

a(0)

a(x):-!, b(x).

b(1).

b(2).

b(x): - C(x), !, fail. /* deft has changed */

6(3).

C(4).

C(5).

C(x) := 1, d(x).

C(6).

goal: b(x)

X = 1

X = 2

2 801 7/

["!" in the b(x) clause does not allow Other b(x) clause to be tried out]

-> New b(x) clause is:

b(x):-!, c(x), fail.

Then O/p is same for the same reason.

$$a(o)$$
.
 $a(x) := !, b(x)$.
 $b(1)$.
 $b(2)$.
 $b(x) := c(x)$
 $b(3)$.

b(x) :- c(x) /* This has changed */

c(4).

c(5).

(c(x):-!, d(x), fail. C(6).

d (7).

god: b(x)-

X = 1

X = 2

X = 4

X = 5

X = 3 , 5th sol4/

5 solution

If we change the C(x) clause as (other things unchanged)

C(x):- fail,!, d(x).

Then the 0/b is for the goal b(x):

X=1

X=2

X = 9

X = 5

x = 6

X = 3