Name: Drashti Mehta

Lab Assignment 11

(40 points)

DIRECTIONS

- This lab is due at the end of the next Friday.
- Be sure to show all of your work by providing your hypotheses, R commands, solutions/output, and interpretation.
- Please circle or highlight your final answers.
- 1) In a study to test the effect of an acne cream, scientists found that 25 out of 106 randomly selected subjects who used the acne cream suffered from headaches. 19 of 133 randomly selected subjects who used a placebo cream suffered from headaches. Based on these data, can we conclude that the acne cream users had a different rate of headaches at α =0.02? (10 points).

Ho: $p_1 = p_2$ Ho: $p_1 = p_2$ Ha: $p_1 \neq p_2$ - $m_1\hat{p}^*1 > 5$, $m_1(1-\hat{p}^*1) > 5$ $m_2\hat{p} \ge > 5$, $m_2(1-\hat{p} \ge 1) > 5$ - $\hat{p} = (x_1 + x_2) = 25 + 19 = 44 = 0.184$ ($m_1 + m_2$) [0.6 + 133] = (0.236 - 0.143) $= (0.134 \frac{1}{106} + 1 \frac{1}{133})$ = 0.093 / 0.0505 = 1.84- Z = 2.32- M = 2.32

In R:

> prop.test(x=c(25,19),n=c(106,133),correct=FALSE)

Result:

2-sample test for equality of proportions without continuity correction

data: c(25, 19) out of c(106, 133)

X-squared = 3.3959, df = 1, p-value = 0.06536

alternative hypothesis: two.sided 95 percent confidence interval: -0.007347869 0.193331696 sample estimates: prop 1 prop 2 0.2358491 0.1428571

We see that the p-value = 0.06536 which is > 0.05. So, we cannot reject H0.

2) Mendel identified these pea types after crossing, determine if the observed numbers deviate from the expected frequency. Solve 'manually' by hand and also use an R test at alpha 0.05 (15 points).

	gg	gΥ	Yg	YY			
ww	0	8	8	8		Expected	Observed
wR					Pea Type	frequency	number
Rw					yellow & round	9/16	301
					yellow & wrinkled	3/16	111
RR					green & round	3/16	118
5 E M SE					green & wrinkled	1/16	35

H0: The distribution of the categories is 9/16, 3/16, 3/16 and 1/16

Ha: The distribution of the categories is not as claimed in H0

Degrees of freedom: 4-1 = 3 and $\alpha = 0.05$

table value: X2(3,0.05) = 7.815Since X2 = 2.507571 < 7.815

We fail to reject H0 and we conclude that the distribution of the categories is 9/16, 3/16, 3/16 and 1/16.

2.	→ observ	red table:	2300	it by ies	101a E 15
	Round wuinkle Total	Gueen 118 d 35 153	Yellow 301 111 412	Total 419 146 565	R.C.
\rightarrow	Expected	table:	table:	besteed	v3 +
	Round Wrinkled Total	GMEEN 565 x 3/16 = 105.9375 565 x 1/16 = 35.3125 141.25	Yellow 565 × 9/16 = 317.81 565 × 3/16 = 105.93° 423.75	25 42	Tal 3.75 .25
Ĵ	105.	105.9375) ² +(. 9375 - 105.9375) ²	35.312	5	801-317.8125) 317.8125
		5.9375		48	3

> pchisq(2.507571, 3, lower.tail = FALSE)

[1] 0.4739244

Since p value = 0.4739244 > 0.05, we do not reject the null hypothesis.

In R:

> x < -c(301, 118, 111, 35)

> p < c(9/16, 3/16, 3/16, 1/16)

> chisq.test(x, p= p)

Chi-squared test for given probabilities

data: x

X-squared = 2.5076, df = 3, p-value = 0.4739

> qchisq(0.95,3)

[1] 7.814728

3) A plant scientist noticed that the seed of plant A (assume each plant only has one seed) can have three different colors (yellow, green, and red) and two different shapes (rounded and wrinkled). He wondered if there is any dependence between the shape and the color at alpha = 0.05. Solve 'manually' by hand and using an R test (15 points).

He randomly selected 250 seeds and noted the colors and shapes of the seeds as follows:

	Yellow	Green	Red
Rounded	70	30	50
Wrinkled	40	30	30

H0: the shape and the color variables for plant A are independent

Ha: the shape and the color variables for plant A are associated

Degrees of freedom: rows -1 * columns $-1 = 1 \times 2 = 2$

table value: X2(2,0.05) = 5.991

Since X2=3.3144 < 5.991, we fail to reject H0 and we conclude that the shape and the color variables for plant A are independent.

3. > observed table:						
Yellow Green Red Total	1					
Round 70 30 50 150	A					
Wrinkered 40 30 30 100	VIII I					
Total 110 60 80 250	The state of					
> Expected table:	No 4					
Yellow Green Red	Total					
Round 110 x 150/250 60 × 150/250 80 × 150/250 = 48	150					
weinkled 110×100/250 60×100/250 80×100/250	100					
Total 110 60 80	250					
$\chi^{2} = (70 - 66)^{2} + (40 - 44)^{2} + (30 - 36)^{2} + (36)^{2}$	1-24)2					
	24					
$\frac{66}{+(50-48)^2+(30-32)^2}$						
40						
= 3.3144.	-					

```
> pchisq(3.3144, 2, lower.tail = F)
[1] 0.1906721
Since p value = 0.1906721 > 0.05, we do not reject the null hypothesis.
In R:
> x<- rbind(c(70,40), c(30,30), c(50,30))
> chisq.test(x)

    Pearson's Chi-squared test

data: x
X-squared = 3.3144, df = 2, p-value = 0.1907
> qchisq(0.95, 2)
```

[1] 5.991465