1. Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  be a random sample from  $X \sim f(x) = e^{-(x-\theta)}I_{(\theta,\infty)}(x)$ . Let  $Y_n = \min\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\}$ . Then  $Y_n$  is the first order statistic of the random sample. Recall that the density of  $Y_n$  is given by  $f_{Y_n}(y) = 1 - (1 - F_X(y))^n$ . First we find  $F_x(y)$ .

$$F_X(y) = \int_{\infty}^{y} e^{-(x-\theta)} I_{(\theta,\infty)} dx = \int_{\theta}^{y} e^{-(x-\theta)} dx = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-(x-\theta)} \bigg|_{\theta}^{y} = -e^{-(y-\theta)} = 1 - e^{-(y-\theta)}$$

Using this we see

$$f_{Y_n}(y) = n(1 - 1 + e^{-(y-\theta)})^{n-1}e^{-(y-\theta)}I_{(\theta,\infty)}(y) = ne^{-n(y-\theta)}I_{(\theta,\infty)}(y)$$

Now, we are ready to show that  $Y_n$  is a consistent point estimator of  $\theta$ .

$$P(|Y_n - \theta| < \epsilon) = P(\theta - \epsilon \le Y_n \le \theta + \epsilon)$$

$$= P(\theta - \epsilon \le Y_n \le \theta) + P(\theta \le Y_n \le \theta + \epsilon)$$

$$= 0 + \int_{\theta}^{\theta + \epsilon} f_{Y_n}(y) dy$$

$$= \int_{\theta}^{\theta + \epsilon} ne^{-n(y - \theta)} I_{(\theta, \infty)}(y) dy$$

$$= n \int_{\theta}^{\theta + \epsilon} e^{-n(y - \theta)} dy$$

$$= -e^{-n(y - \theta)} \Big|_{\theta}^{\theta + \epsilon}$$

$$= 1 - e^{-n\epsilon}$$

Now, letting  $n \to \infty$  shows that  $P(|Y_n - \theta| < \epsilon) \to \lim_{n \to \infty} 1 - e^{-n\epsilon} = 1$ . Thus our point estimator is consistent.

2. Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(1+\theta x)I_{(-1,1)}(x)$ . Then for  $X \sim f(x)$  the mean is given by

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} x (1 + \theta x) I_{(-1,1)}(x) dx = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{2} (x + \theta x^2) dx = x^2 + \theta \frac{x^3}{6} \Big|_{-1}^{1} = 1 + \frac{\theta}{6} - 1 + \frac{\theta}{6} = \frac{\theta}{3}$$

Moreover we can find variance by the following

$$\mathbb{E}(X^2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} x^2 (1 + \theta x) I_{(-1,1)}(x) dx = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{2} (x^2 + \theta x^3) dx = \frac{x^3}{6} + \theta \frac{x^4}{8} \Big|_{-1}^{1} = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{\theta}{8} + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{\theta}{8} = \frac{1}{3}$$

This implies

$$Var(X) = E(X^2) - E(X)^2 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\theta^2}{9} = \frac{3 - \theta^2}{9}$$

Therefore, our candidate point estimate is  $\widehat{\theta} = 3\overline{X}$ . Recall that  $\overline{X}$  is unbiased for the mean. Therefore  $\mathbb{E}(\widehat{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}(3\overline{X}) = 3\frac{\theta}{3} = \theta$ . So our estimate is unbiased. To see why our estimate is consistent in mean squared error, consider the following

$$\begin{split} E|\widehat{\theta} - \theta|^2 &= \mathbb{E}(\widehat{\theta}^2) - 2\mathbb{E}(\widehat{\theta})\theta + \theta^2 \\ &= Var(\widehat{\theta}) + \left[\mathbb{E}(\widehat{\theta})\right]^2 - 2\mathbb{E}(\widehat{\theta})\theta + \theta^2 \\ &= Var(\widehat{\theta}) + \left[\mathbb{E}(\widehat{\theta}) - \theta\right]^2 \\ &= 9Var(\overline{X}) \\ &= \frac{9Var(X)}{n} \\ &= \frac{3 - \theta^2}{n} \end{split}$$

The fourth equality is justified by  $\widehat{\theta}$  being unbiased estimate for  $\theta$ . Letting  $n \to \infty$  we see that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} E|\widehat{\theta}-\theta|^2=0$  and  $\widehat{\theta}$  is mean squared - consistent.

3. (a) Recall that by the Central Limit Theorem (CLT)

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \stackrel{D}{\to} Z \sim (0, 1)$$

In our case  $\mu = \theta$  and  $\sigma^2 = \theta(1 - \theta)$ . First note that the sequence  $\theta(1 - \theta) \rightarrow \theta(1 - \theta)$  in probability (it is a constant sequence). So by Slutsky's Theorem we see

$$\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \theta) = \sqrt{\theta(1-\theta)} \frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \theta)}{\sqrt{\theta(1-\theta)}} \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{\theta(1-\theta)} Z$$

Now notice that the random variable  $Y = \sqrt{\theta(1-\theta)}Z$  is normally distributed with

$$\mathbb{E}(Y) = \mathbb{E}(\sqrt{\theta(1-\theta)}Z) = \sqrt{\theta(1-\theta)}\mathbb{E}(Z) = 0$$

Moreover we see

$$Var(Y) = Var(\sqrt{\theta(1-\theta)}Z) = \theta(1-\theta)Var(Z) = \theta(1-\theta)$$

Therefore, we see

$$\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{D} Y \sim N(0, \theta(1 - \theta))$$

(b) Let g(t) = t(1-t). Then g(t) is differentiable everywhere with g'(t) = 1-2t. Moreover we note that  $g'(\theta) \neq 0$  for  $\theta \neq 0.5$ . Having shown these properties, we can apply the first order Delta method to the result in a. Specifically, for

$$\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{D} Y \sim N(0, \theta(1 - \theta))$$

we note that

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\overline{X}_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{D} V \sim N(0, \theta(1 - \theta)g'(\theta)^2)$$

Here we see that  $\theta(1-\theta)g'(\theta)^2 = \theta(1-\theta)(1-2\theta)^2$ . Simplifying the form above we see

$$\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n(1-\overline{X}_n)-\theta(1-\theta)) \stackrel{D}{\longrightarrow} Y \sim N(0,\theta(1-\theta)(1-2\theta)^2)$$

(c) To apply the Delta method we required that  $\theta \neq 0.5$  so  $g'(\theta) \neq 0$ . If  $\theta = 0.5$  we instead need to look at higher orders of the Delta method. Namely, the second order Delta method. Specifically, note that for g(t) = t(1-t),  $g''(t) = -2 \neq 0$  for all values of  $\theta$ . This along with g'(0.5) = 0 allows us to use the second order Delta Method. Specifically, we have

$$n\left[g(\overline{X}_n) - g(\theta)\right] \xrightarrow{D} \frac{\theta(1-\theta)g''(\theta)}{2} Z^2$$

$$n\left[\overline{X}_n(1-\overline{X}_n) - 1/2(1-1/2)\right] \xrightarrow{D} \frac{-2(1/2(1-1/2))}{2} Z^2$$

$$n\left[\overline{X}_n(1-\overline{X}_n) - \frac{1}{4}\right] \xrightarrow{D} -\frac{1}{4} Z^2$$

Seeing this, we can apply Slutsky's Theorem to see

$$-4n\left[\overline{X}_n(1-\overline{X}_n)-\frac{1}{4}\right]=4n\left[\frac{1}{4}-\overline{X}_n(1-\overline{X}_n)\right] \stackrel{D}{\longrightarrow} Z^2=\chi^2(1)$$

4. First consider the following equality.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu_n)}{\sigma_n} &= \frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \overline{\mu}_n + \overline{\mu}_n - \mu_n)}{\sigma_n} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{n}(X_n - \overline{\mu}_n)}{\sigma_n} + \sqrt{n} \frac{(\overline{\mu}_n - \mu_n)}{\sigma_n} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{n}(X_n - \overline{\mu}_n)}{\overline{\sigma}_n} \frac{\overline{\sigma}_n}{\sigma_n} + \sqrt{n} \frac{(\overline{\mu}_n - \mu_n)}{\sigma_n} \end{split}$$

Assume  $X \sim AN(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2)$ ,  $\overline{\sigma}_n/\sigma_n \to 1$  and  $\frac{\overline{\sigma}_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \to 0$ . Then we see

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n-\mu_n)}{\sigma_n}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n-\overline{\mu}_n)}{\overline{\sigma}_n}=Z\sim N(0,1)$$

Hence  $\overline{X}_n \sim AN(\overline{\mu}_n, \overline{\sigma}_n^2)$ . Now, assuming that  $\overline{X}_n \sim AN(\overline{\mu}_n, \overline{\sigma}_n^2)$  and  $X_n \sim AN(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2)$ . Then we see that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}(X_n - \overline{\mu}_n)}{\overline{\sigma}_n} \frac{\overline{\sigma}_n}{\sigma_n} + \sqrt{n} \frac{(\overline{\mu}_n - \mu_n)}{\sigma_n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{n}(X_n - \mu_n)}{\sigma_n} = Z \sim N(0, 1)$$

Since  $\overline{X}_n \sim AN(\overline{\mu}_n, \sigma_n^2)$  we see that the left hand side limit is given by

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}(X_n - \overline{\mu}_n)}{\overline{\sigma}_n} \frac{\overline{\sigma}_n}{\sigma_n} + \sqrt{n} \frac{(\overline{\mu}_n - \mu_n)}{\sigma_n} \right) \stackrel{D}{\longrightarrow} aZ + b$$

where  $a = \lim_{n \to \infty} \overline{\sigma}_n / \sigma_n$  and  $b = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\overline{\mu}_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}$  (if they exist). But note that as we see above this limit is equal  $Z \sim (0, 1)$  and hence a = 1 and b = 0. Thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\overline{\sigma}_n}{\sigma_n} = 1 \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\overline{\mu}_n - \mu}{\sigma_n} = 0$$

.

5. (a) First notice that

$$Z_n = \frac{S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)}{\sqrt{Var(S_n)}} = \frac{1/n}{1/n} \frac{S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)}{\sqrt{Var(S_n)}} = \frac{\overline{X}_n - E(\overline{X}_n)}{\sqrt{Var(\overline{X}_n)}} = \frac{X_n - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} = \frac{\sqrt{n}(X_n - \mu)}{\sigma}$$

We note that this is just a statement of the CLT for  $X \sim Pois(\lambda)$ . We require that  $\mu < \infty$  and  $0 < \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Here,  $\mu = \sigma^2 = \lambda$  and by assumption  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ . Thus we apply the CLT and see that

$$Z_n = \frac{S_n - E(S_n)}{\sqrt{Var(S_n)}} \xrightarrow{D} Z \sim N(0, 1)$$

(b) Using the above fact, and the fact that  $\cos(\cdot)$  is infinity differentiable, we can use the Delta method to find the limiting distribution of  $\cos(\overline{X}_n)$ .

Let  $g(t) = \cos(t)$ . Then assuming that  $g'(\lambda) = -\sin(\lambda) \neq 0$  we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\cos(\overline{X}_n) - \cos(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, -\lambda \sin^2(\lambda))$$

If  $-\sin(\lambda) = 0$  then we use the second order delta method (this is sufficient because  $-\sin(\lambda)$  and  $-\cos(\lambda)$  cannot both be zero simultaneously). Specifically, we see

$$n(\cos(\overline{X}_n) - \cos(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{D} \frac{-\lambda\cos(\lambda)}{2}\chi_1^2$$

(c) By the Delta Method of the first order

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\overline{X}_n) - g(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2 g'(\lambda)^2)$$

Thus, we seek a function  $g(\cdot)$  such that the variance of this asymptotic distribution is 1. This corresponds to solving the equation the following  $\sigma^2 g'(\lambda)^2 = 1$ . Recall that  $\sigma^2 = \lambda$ . This yields

$$g(\lambda) = \int \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = 2\sqrt{\lambda}$$

Using this transformation along with the Delta Method we have

$$\sqrt{n}(2\sqrt{\overline{X}_n} - 2\sqrt{\lambda}) \xrightarrow{D} Z \sim N(0, \lambda(1/\sqrt{\lambda})^2) = N(0, 1)$$

Thus we see that  $\sqrt{n}(2\sqrt{\overline{X}_n} - 2\sqrt{\lambda}) \sim AN(0, 1)$ 

6. For  $\mu \neq 0$ , then the first derivative of  $\log(x)$  is nonzero at  $\mu$ . That is  $1/\mu \neq 0$ . Therefore, we can apply the first order delta method to see,  $Y_n = \log |X_n| \sim AN(\log |\mu|, (\sigma/\mu)^2)$ . Now notice for  $\mu = 0$ , we note that all derivatives of  $\log(\cdot)$  are not defined. That is  $\frac{d}{d^n} \log(x)|_{x=0}$  does not exist for all n. Therefore, the asymptotic distribution for  $\mu = 0$  does not exist.