H MC

Girm
$$\pi(x) = \frac{-u(x)}{2}$$
 $u(\cdot)$ smooth

when 5: RZd -> RZd is the Ceap frog map when

$$(\rho, \chi) \longmapsto (\rho', \chi')$$

$$\begin{cases} \overline{P} = \rho - \frac{z}{2} \nabla u(\chi) \\ \chi' = \chi + \varepsilon C' \overline{\rho} \\ \overline{\rho} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \nabla u(\chi') \end{cases}$$

We sometimes replace $\nabla U(x)$ for computational speedup.

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}_{x}}: \overline{\Pi}(x) \propto \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}(x)\right)$$

If $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\pi}(x)] = \mathbb{T}(x)$ then we can do make based on $\hat{\pi}$. Psudo - make

Still unsur what to do with \$\frac{\pi}{(\hat{u}(x))=u(x)}\$

than $\hat{\pi}^{(i)}(x) \propto \exp(-\hat{u}^{(i)}(x))$ and then combine then estimated posteriors

Useful when we think about splitting our the

Two Properties

2.
$$S_{L}^{-1}(\rho,\chi) = F \circ S_{L} \circ F(\rho,\chi) = F \circ S_{L}(-\rho,\chi)$$

= $\left(-S_{L,l}(-\rho,\chi), S_{l,l}(\rho,\chi)\right)$

Rmk: These properties still hold when WEC2 replaces U

Langevin McMc

Define
$$T(x) = x - \epsilon \nabla u(x)$$

Then for
$$X^{(i)} = x$$

 $\overline{X} = T^{L}(x) + 2$

$$\chi^{(i+1)} = \begin{cases} \overline{\chi} & \omega.p. \\ \chi^{(i)} & \omega.p. \end{cases}$$

Similiar to the Hamiltonian McMe

-> Centrolled convergmen to the mode

-> A little worse

Trap: In the Home sampler giren, if Xout the Xout

Proof: $P(X_1 \in R) = \int \pi(x) P(X_1 \in A | X_2 = x) dx$

=
$$\int \pi(x) \int e_{c}(p) P(x_{i} \in A \mid x_{o} = x_{i}, P = p) dp dx$$

$$= \int \pi(x) \int g_{c}(\rho) \left[\min(1, e(H(\rho, x) - H(S_{c}(\rho, x))) \right] 1_{A} \left(S_{c}(\rho, x) \right) + \left(1 - \min(+) \right) 1_{A} \left(x \right) \right] dx d\rho$$

Looking at this last turn we do the chang of variable given by $(p',x) \mapsto S_L(p,x)$ or $S_i^{(l)}(p',x) = (p,x)$

$$=) \# (S_L^{-1}(p',\chi')) = \# (S_L(-p',\chi'))$$
 by symmetry of
$$\# (\bullet,\chi)$$

Playging back in gins

Then for the terms cancell and or get $P(X \in A) = \int_{A} \#(X_0) dX_0 = P(X_0 \in A).$

Rmh: Proof didn't rely on U() so we come home some flexability in chair of U().