Model Selection & Hypothesis Festing

Recall we want to test to: $\theta \in \Theta_0$ H_1 : $\theta \in \Theta_1$ and want to infor $T(\theta \in \Theta_0)$ We can define a decision $\phi(y) = \underset{\psi \in [0,1]}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ E_{\theta \mid y} [L(\theta, \psi)]$

We considered the loss type I: 8 type I: 1 and found

If we have a point hypothesis s. E. R(6 c (5)) = 0 P(66 (6)) = 0 and 6 is cont. than this sutup can breakdown.

One way to accommodate simple nulls then use a hicrachial model to test

P(A) = P(O=@) and P(A) = 1-P(Ho) and set up the prior

R(0)= P(40) R(0(000) + P(41) P(0) 000 100 11)

This way,
$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(\Theta \circ \Theta_1, Y)}{\mathbb{P}(\Theta \circ \Theta_2, Y)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\Theta \circ \Theta_1, Y)}{\mathbb{P}(\Theta \circ \Theta_2, Y)} = \frac{\int \mathbb{P}(Y | \Theta) \mathbb{P}(\Theta) \mathbb{$$

Aughl table by Kais/Rafteg

BF	Evidence
1-3	Barly motion
3-20	positive
20-150	strong
7150	V. strong

Variable Selection

Suppose we regress Y-X. when XERPXP Want to compare all possible models from including or excluding predictors. 2° models

We indicate which model we are constrony with GE [0.13]

Want to make informes on &= argmin Egy[L(0,0)]

two common choices:

(i)
$$L_{m}(\theta, \phi) = I(\theta = \phi) = I - \frac{\rho}{|I|} I(\theta_{I} = \phi_{I})$$

$$\phi_{m}(\gamma) = \underset{\theta \in [0, L]^{2}}{\operatorname{arg min}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta \mid \gamma} \left[I(\theta \neq \phi) \right] = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg min}} \left[I - P(\theta = \phi \mid \gamma) \right]$$

$$= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg max}} P(\theta = \phi \mid \gamma) = \widehat{\theta}_{map}$$

$$(i) \ \, L_{ij} (\Theta, \emptyset) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \underbrace{L(\Theta_{i}, \phi_{i})}_{l} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \underbrace{\left[\sigma (1 - \phi_{j}) \Theta_{j} + \phi_{i} (1 - \Theta_{i}) \right]}_{l}$$

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\mu}(y) &= \underset{q}{\text{and}} \quad \text{if } \quad \text{elly} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2} L(e_{j}, \phi_{j}) \right] = \underset{p}{\text{and}} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\{ e_{j} | y \left[L(e_{j}, \phi_{j}) \right] \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left(\phi_{ij}(y) \right)_{j=1}^{p} = \underset{p}{\text{arymin}} \quad \text{for } \left\{ e_{j} | y \left[L(e_{j}, \phi_{j}) \right] = I \left[\underbrace{\text{flej}(y)}_{j} \right] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left(\phi_{ij}(y) \right)_{j=1}^{p} = \underset{p}{\text{arymin}} \quad \text{for } \left\{ e_{j} | y \left[L(e_{j}, \phi_{j}) \right] \right] = I \left[\underbrace{\text{flej}(y)}_{j} \right] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left(\phi_{ij}(y) \right)_{j=1}^{p} = \underset{p}{\text{arymin}} \quad \text{for } \left\{ e_{ij} | y \left[L(e_{ij}, \phi_{j}) \right] \right] = I \left[\underbrace{\text{flej}(y)}_{j} \right] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\phi_{ij}(y) \right)_{j=1}^{p} = \underset{p}{\text{arymin}} \quad \text{for } \left\{ e_{ij} | y \left[L(e_{ij}, \phi_{j}) \right] \right] = I \left[\underbrace{\text{flej}(y)}_{j} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\phi_{ij}(y) \right)_{j=1}^{p} = \underset{p}{\text{arymin}} \quad \text{for } \left\{ e_{ij} | y \left[L(e_{ij}, \phi_{ij}) \right] \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\phi_{ij}(y) \right)_{j=1}^{p} = \underset{p}{\text{arymin}} \quad \text{for } \left\{ e_{ij} | y \left[L(e_{ij}, \phi_{ij}) \right] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\phi_{ij}(y) \right)_{j=1}^{p} = \underset{p}{\text{arymin}} \quad \text{for } \left\{ e_{ij} | y \left[L(e_{ij}, \phi_{ij}) \right] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\phi_{ij}(y) \right)_{j=1}^{p} = \underset{p}{\text{arymin}} \quad \text{for } \left\{ e_{ij} | y \left[L(e_{ij}, \phi_{ij}) \right] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\phi_{ij}(y) \right)_{j=1}^{p} = \underset{p}{\text{arymin}} \quad \text{for } \left\{ e_{ij} | y \left[L(e_{ij}, \phi_{ij}) \right] \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\phi_{ij}(y) \right)_{j=1}^{p} = \underset{p}{\text{arymin}} \quad \text{for } \left\{ e_{ij} | y \left[L(e_{ij}, \phi_{ij}) \right] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\phi_{ij}(y) \right)_{j=1}^{p} = \underset{p}{\text{arymin}} \quad \text{for } \left\{ e_{ij} | y \left[L(e_{ij}, \phi_{ij}) \right] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\phi_{ij}(y) \right)_{j=1}^{p} \quad \text{for } \left\{ e_{ij} | y \left[L(e_{ij}, \phi_{ij}) \right] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\phi_{ij}(y) \right)_{j=1}^{p} \quad \text{for } \left\{ e_{ij} | y \left[L(e_{ij}, \phi_{ij}) \right] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\phi_{ij}(y) \right)_{j=1}^{p} \quad \text{for } \left\{ e_{ij} | y \left[L(e_{ij}, \phi_{ij}) \right] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(e_{ij} | y \left[L(e_{ij}, \phi_{ij}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(e_{ij} | y \left[L(e_{ij}, \phi_{ij}) \right] \right]$$

"posterior prob. of inclusion"
Rmk: Hard to compute.

$$\underline{\Sigma_{\mathbf{X}}}$$
: For simplicity assume $Y|\beta,\sigma^2, \in -N(X_6\beta_0,\sigma^2\mathrm{In})$
 $X[,0:1]$ $\beta[6:1]$

$$\beta_{0} \mid_{0^{2}} \sim N(\beta_{0,0}, \sigma^{2} \Sigma_{0}) \qquad \beta_{0,0} = 0 , \quad \Sigma_{0} = g(X^{T} X_{0})^{-1}$$

$$0^{2} \sim I_{NV} \chi^{2}(v, \tau^{2}) \qquad \qquad \downarrow_{0} \gamma_{0} = 0$$

$$- \downarrow_{0} \gamma_{0} = 0 , \quad \Sigma_{0} = g(X^{T} X_{0})^{-1}$$

For prin on a by of in Bon (2)

From the model

$$Y | \sigma^2, \sigma \sim N(X_{\sigma}, \sigma, \sigma^2(I_n + X_{\sigma} Z_{\sigma} X_{\sigma}^{\dagger}))$$

 $Y | \sigma \sim tr(X_{\sigma, \sigma}, \tau^2(I_n + X_{\sigma} Z_{\sigma} X_{\sigma}^{\dagger}))$