# Graph Partitioning - Community Detection

- O Hierachial Comm. Det. La Modularity
- 3 Spectral Comm. Det.

HCD: Agglomorative / divisive approaches

a: Choice of similarity? Lots of choices

Modularity based methods

Fortunate (Resolution Limits 2007)

- Mod maximization can fail to resolve clusters smaller than centain Size depending on NE

di= total degree in Ci ei= (E(4,4))

Rmk: doesn't rely on internal struture of clusters/modules.

Good, Monjage, (194+ (2010)

## Spectral Partitioning

· Two types of matrices we consider A, L

· Suppose G is composed of roughly two d- regular graphs

Facts: 1. Largest two eigenvalues will be roughly exml

2. Remaining eigenvalus O(17)

Ronk: Generates a spectral gap.

· Signs of entries of top two eigenvectors speak to which community each node belongs to.

Rmk: Eigenvalues of A tend to mirror degree distributions

## Spectral Partiming: Laplacian Matrix

$$L = D - A \qquad \chi^{T} L \chi = \sum_{(ij) \in E} (\chi_{i} - \chi_{j})^{2}$$

Thrm: Agraph G will consist of K Communities iff 2K(L)>0 = >KH(L)

### Isoperinetric number

$$\phi(S,\overline{S}) = \frac{|E(S,\overline{S})|}{|S|}$$
 ratio out  $\phi(G) = \min_{S \in V: |S| < \frac{N_U}{2}} \phi(S,\overline{S})$ 

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{2} \leq \phi(G) \leq \sqrt{\lambda_2(2 \operatorname{dim}_{ax} - \lambda_2)}$$

\$ (G) Smoll iff >2 Small.

#### Fiedler's Method

$$\phi(G) \leq \phi(S,\overline{S}) \leq \frac{\phi^{2}(G)}{d_{max}} \leq \lambda_{2}$$

Runk: spetral bisection computationally efficient approximation to achieving best out 8(G).

Only need top for cigarrectors => Lanczos con be used O(NE)

· linear in number of edges