

Question 5.1 If $\mathbb{E}X^r = \infty$ show that

$$\mathbb{E}X^r = r \int_0^\infty x^{r-1} P(x \geq x) dx$$

Solution: We will integrate by parts to show the result. Let $u = rP(X \geq x) = r - rF(X)$. Then $du = -rf(x)dx$. Moreover, let $dv = x^{r-1}dx$. Then $v = \frac{1}{r}x^r$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} r \int_0^\infty x^{r-1} P(x \geq x) dx &= (1 - F(x))x^r \Big|_0^\infty + \frac{1}{r} \int_0^\infty x^r f(x) dx \\ &= P(X \geq x)x^r \Big|_{x \rightarrow \infty} + \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{E}(X^r) \geq \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{E}(X^r) = \infty \end{aligned}$$

Thus, equality holds if $\mathbb{E}(X^r) = \infty$

Question 5.2 Let A_1, A_2 be nontrivial events (i.e. $P(A_1), P(A_2) > 0$). Show that A_1, A_2 disjoint does not imply A_1, A_2 are independent. Show that A_1, A_2 independent does not imply A_1, A_2 disjoint.

Solution:

Assume that $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$. Then $P(A_1 \cap A_2) = P(\emptyset) < P(A_1)P(A_2)$. Therefore $P(A_1 \cap A_2) \neq P(A_1)P(A_2)$ so A_1 and A_2 are not independent.

Assume that A_1 and A_2 are independent. Then $P(A_1 \cap A_2) = P(A_1)P(A_2) > 0$. Thus $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Question 5.3 Give an example that shows that pairwise independence does not imply mutual independence.

Solution: Consider two die rolls. Let A be the event that the first roll is even, B is the event that the second roll is even, and C be the event that the sum of the two rolls is even. Then $P(A) = P(B) = P(C) = \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover we have $P(A \cap B) = \frac{1}{4} = P(A)P(B)$. Now notice for the sum of the two rolls to be even, either both rolls must be even or both rolls must be odd. So the events $A \cap C$ and $B \cap C$ require both rolls be even. Hence $P(A \cap C) = P(B \cap C) = \frac{1}{4} = P(A)P(C) = P(B)P(C)$. Thus we see these events are pairwise independent. Now consider $P(A \cap B \cap C)$. Notice the event $A \cap B \subset C$ so $A \cap B \cap C = A \cap B$. Thus $P(A \cap B \cap C) = P(A \cap B) = 1/4$. But notice that $P(A)P(B)P(C) = \frac{1}{8}$. Thus

$$P(A \cap B \cap C) \neq P(A)P(B)P(C)$$

and we see that pairwise independence does not imply mutual independence.

Question 5.4 Let $\{X_k\}_k^n$ be a sequence of mean zero random variables that are weakly stationary. Define $R_{ij} = r(i - j) = \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)$. Using this notation, show

$$\text{Var}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n r(i - j) = nr(0) + 2A_n$$

where $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n - k)r(k)$

Solution: First let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)^T$. Then the $\text{Var}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{\Sigma}$ can be written as $\Sigma_{ij} = r(i - j)$. Let $\mathbf{1}_n = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ be a vector of n ones. Then our problem reduces to

$$\text{Var}(\mathbf{1}_n^T \mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{1}_n^T \text{Var}(\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{1}_n = \mathbf{1}_n^T \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{1}_n$$

Now notice that this is just the sum over all elements in $\mathbf{\Sigma}$. Now, all n elements on the main diagonal are $r(0)$. The diagonal above (and below) the main diagonal have $n - 1$ elements and are all $r(1)$. In general, for the $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ diagonal above/below the main diagonal there are $n - k$ elements all of the form $r(k)$. This yields

$$\mathbf{1}_n^T \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{1}_n = nr(0) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n - k)r(k)$$

Question 5.5 Suppose $X_1 \sim \text{Gamma}(p_1, \lambda)$ and $X_2 \sim \text{Gamma}(p_2, \lambda)$. Find the distribution of $X_1 + X_2$.

Solution: We use the convolution formula to find the distribution of $X_1 + X_2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{X_1+X_2}(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(x-y)f_2(y)dy \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(p_1)}(x-y)^{p_1-1}\lambda^{p_1}e^{-\lambda(x-y)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(p_2)}y^{p_2-1}\lambda^{p_2}e^{-\lambda y} \right) dy \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(p_1)\Gamma(p_2)}(x-y)^{p_1-1}y^{p_2-1}\lambda^{p_1+p_2}e^{-\lambda x} dy \\
 &= \frac{x^{p_1+p_2-2}\lambda^{p_1+p_2}e^{-\lambda x}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(p_1+p_2)}{\Gamma(p_1)\Gamma(p_2)} \frac{(x-y)^{p_1-1}y^{p_2-1}}{x^{p_1-1}x^{p_2-1}} dy \\
 &= \frac{x^{p_1+p_2-2}\lambda^{p_1+p_2}e^{-\lambda x}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(p_1+p_2)}{\Gamma(p_1)\Gamma(p_2)} (1-y/x)^{p_1-1}(y/x)^{p_2-1} dy
 \end{aligned}$$

After completing a change of variables $u = y/x$ we see that the integrand is the density of a Beta distribution. Therefore, it integrates to one and can write

$$= \frac{x^{p_1+p_2-2}\lambda^{p_1+p_2}e^{-\lambda x}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(p_1+p_2)}{\Gamma(p_1)\Gamma(p_2)} (1-u)^{p_1-1}(u)^{p_2-1}x du = \frac{x^{p_1+p_2-1}\lambda^{p_1+p_2}e^{-\lambda x}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2)}$$

which we recognize as a density of a Gamma with parameters $(p_1 + p_2, \lambda)$. Thus $X_1 + X_2 \sim \text{Gamma}(p_1 + p_2, \lambda)$.

Question 5.6 Show that if X_1 and X_2 are independent then

$$P(X_1 + X_2 = n) = \sum_{k=1}^n P(X_1 = n - k)P(X_2 = k)$$

Using this, show that if $Y_1 \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_1)$ and $Y_2 \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_2)$ are independent, then $Y_1 + Y_2 \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$

Solution: Let \mathbf{X} be the support of $X_1 + X_2$. Using the fact that X_1 and X_2 are independent and the law of total probability, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 + X_2 = n) &\stackrel{LTP}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{X}} P(X_1 + X_2 = n | X_2 = k) P(X_2 = k) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbf{X}} P(X_1 = n - k | X_2 = k) P(X_2 = k) \\ &\stackrel{ind.}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{X}} P(X_1 = n - k) P(X_2 = k) \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the probability mass function of $Y_1 + Y_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y_1 + Y_2 = n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(Y_1 = n - k) P(Y_2 = k) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda_1} \lambda_1^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \frac{e^{-\lambda_2} \lambda_2^k}{(k)!} \\ &= e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_1^{n-k} \lambda_2^k}{(n-k)! k!} \\ &= \frac{e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}}{n!} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(n-k)! n!} \lambda_1^{n-k} \lambda_2^k \\ &= \frac{e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^n}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \right)^{n-k} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \right)^k \\ &= \frac{e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^n}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \right)^{n-k} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \right)^k \end{aligned}$$

We recognize the sum as the probability mass function of a binomial random variable with probability $\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$. Thus we see

$$P(Y_1 + Y_2) = \frac{e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^n}{n!}$$

which is the density of a Poisson random variable with parameter $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$. Hence $Y_1 + Y_2 \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$

Question 5.7 If $(X, Y) \sim BVN(\mu, \Sigma)$ where $\mu = (\mu_X, \mu_Y)^T$ and

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_X^2 & \rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y \\ \rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y & \sigma_Y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the conditional distribution $f_{X|Y}(x|y)$.

Solution: First recall that the marginal distribution of a bivariate random variable is normal. We will use the definition of marginal distribution $f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_Y(y)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X|Y}(x|y) &= \frac{\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_X\sigma_Y\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)}\left(\left(\frac{y-\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}\right)^2 - 2\rho\left(\frac{y-\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}\right)\left(\frac{x-\mu_X}{\sigma_X}\right) + \left(\frac{x-\mu_X}{\sigma_X}\right)^2\right)\right\}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_Y} \exp\left\{-\frac{(y-\mu_Y)^2}{2\sigma_Y^2}\right\}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_X\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)}\left(\rho^2\left(\frac{y-\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}\right)^2 - 2\rho\left(\frac{y-\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}\right)\left(\frac{x-\mu_X}{\sigma_X}\right) + \left(\frac{x-\mu_X}{\sigma_X}\right)^2\right)\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_X\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)}\left(\rho\left(\frac{y-\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}\right) - \left(\frac{x-\mu_X}{\sigma_X}\right)\right)^2\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_X\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_X^2(1-\rho^2)}\left(\rho\frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y}(y-\mu_Y) - (x-\mu_X)\right)^2\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_X\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_X^2(1-\rho^2)}\left(x - \mu_X - \rho\frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y}(y-\mu_Y)\right)^2\right\} \end{aligned}$$

We recognize this as density of a normal distribution. Specifically

$$X|Y \sim N\left(\mu_x - \rho(\sigma_X/\sigma_Y)(y - \mu_Y), \sigma_X^2(1 - \rho^2)\right)$$

Question 5.8 Suppose there are $n + m$ Bernoulli trials with probability p . After we observe n successes, find the posterior distribution of p if the prior distribution of p is uniform.

Solution: By definition we have

$$f_{p|n}(p|n) = \frac{P(N = n)f_P(p)}{P(N = n)} = \frac{\binom{n+m}{n}p^n(1-p)^m}{\int_0^1 \binom{n+m}{n}p^n(1-p)^m dp}$$

Focusing on the denominator, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \binom{n+m}{n} p^n (1-p)^m dp &= \frac{(n+m)!}{n!m!} \int_0^1 p^n (1-p)^m dp \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+m+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(m+1)} \int_0^1 p^{(n+1)-1} (1-p)^{(m+1)-1} dp \\ &= \frac{1}{n+m+1} \left[\frac{\Gamma(n+m+2)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(m+1)} \int_0^1 p^{(n+1)-1} (1-p)^{(m+1)-1} dp \right] \end{aligned}$$

We recognize the bracketed term as the density of a Beta with parameters $(n+1, m+1)$. Therefore, it integrates to 1 we are left with $\frac{1}{n+m+1}$. Plugging this into our original form we have

$$f_{P|N}(p|n) = (n+m+1) \binom{n+m}{n} p^n (1-p)^m = \frac{\Gamma(n+m_2)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(m+1)} p^{(n+1)-1} (1-p)^{(m+1)-1}$$

Thus the posterior is a Beta random variable with parameters $(n+1, m+1)$.