

AN EFFECTIVE VERSION OF CHEVALLEY-WEIL THEOREM FOR PROJECTIVE PLANE CURVES

KONSTANTINOS A. DRAZIOTIS AND DIMITRIOS POULAKIS

Communicated by Maurice Rojas

ABSTRACT. We obtain a quantitative version of the classical Chevalley-Weil theorem for curves. Let $\phi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be an unramified morphism of non-singular plane projective curves defined over a number field K . We calculate an effective upper bound for the norm of the relative discriminant of the number field $K(Q)$ over K for any point $P \in C(K)$ and $Q \in \phi^{-1}(P)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ be an unramified covering of projective normal varieties defined over a number field K . By the classical theorem of Chevalley-Weil [2], [17], [9, Theorem 8.1, page 45], [6, page 292], there exists a finite extension L/K such that $\phi^{-1}(W(K)) \subseteq V(L)$. This result is one of the most important tools of Diophantine Analysis. It reduces the study of the rational points over K on the variety W to the study of the rational points over L on the covering variety V which can be simpler. Chevalley-Weil theorem has contributed in the proofs of finiteness theorems of Mordell-Weil, Siegel and Faltings. This theorem has also quite interesting applications to the study of integral points of algebraic curves [3], [14, Section 8.4], [8, Chapter VI], [7, §1] and [4]. Partial quantitative versions on it have been used for the effective analysis of integral points on some families of algebraic curves [10, 13].

In [5, Theorem 1.1], we obtained a quantitative version of the Chevalley-Weil theorem in case where $\phi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is an unramified morphism of non-singular affine

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14G25; 14H25; 11G30.

Key words and phrases. Chevalley-Weil Theorem, Projective Curves, Unramified map.

The authors wishes to thank the referees for helpful comments.

plane curves defined over K . More precisely, we gave, following a new approach, an effective upper bound for the relative discriminant of the minimal field of definition $K(Q)$ of Q over K for any integral point $P \in C(K)$ and $Q \in \phi^{-1}(P)$. In this paper, we consider the case where $\phi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is an unramified morphism of non-singular projective plane curves defined over K and we obtain, extending our method, an effective upper bound for the relative discriminant of $K(Q)$ over K for any $P \in C(K)$ and $Q \in \phi^{-1}(P)$.

Consider the set of absolute values on \mathbb{Q} consisting of the ordinary absolute value and for every prime p the p -adic absolute value $|\cdot|_p$ with $|p|_p = p^{-1}$. Let $M(K)$ be a set of symbols v such that with every $v \in M(K)$ there is precisely one associated absolute value $|\cdot|_v$ on K which extends one of the above absolute values of \mathbb{Q} . We denote by d_v its local degree. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_0 : \dots : x_n)$ be a point of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(K)$ over K . We define the field height $H_K(\mathbf{x})$ of \mathbf{x} by

$$H_K(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{v \in M(K)} \max\{|x_0|_v, \dots, |x_n|_v\}^{d_v}.$$

Let d be the degree of K . We define the absolute height $H(\mathbf{x})$ by $H(\mathbf{x}) = H_K(\mathbf{x})^{1/d}$. Furthermore, for $x \in K$ we put $H_K(x) = H_K(1 : x)$ and $H(x) = H(1 : x)$. If $G \in K[X_1, \dots, X_m]$, then we define the field height $H_K(G)$ and the absolute height $H(G)$ of G as the field height and the absolute height of the point whose coordinates are the coefficients of G . For an account of the properties of heights see [16, chapter VIII] or [9, chapter 3].

Let \bar{K} be an algebraic closure of K and O_K the ring of algebraic integers of K . If M is a finite extension of K , then we denote by $D_{M/K}$ the relative discriminant of the extension M/K and by N_M the norm from M to \mathbb{Q} .

Let $F, \bar{F} \in K[X_1, X_2, X_3]$ be two homogeneous absolute irreducible polynomials with $N = \deg F > 1$ and $\bar{N} = \deg \bar{F} > 1$. We denote by C and \bar{C} the projective curves defined by $F(X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0$ and $\bar{F}(X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0$ respectively. Let $\phi : \bar{C} \rightarrow C$ be a nonconstant morphism of degree $m > 1$ defined by $\phi(X_1, X_2, X_3) = (\phi_1(X_1, X_2, X_3), \phi_2(X_1, X_2, X_3), \phi_3(X_1, X_2, X_3))$, where $\phi_i(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are relatively prime homogeneous polynomials in $K[X_1, X_2, X_3]$ of the same degree M . Let Φ be a point in the projective space having as coordinates the coefficients of ϕ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

Theorem 1. *Suppose that C is nonsingular and the morphism $\phi : \bar{C} \rightarrow C$ is unramified. Then for any point $P \in C(K)$ and $Q \in \phi^{-1}(P)$, we have*

$$N_K(D_{K(Q)/K}) < \Omega(H(F)^{6N^2\bar{N}} H(\Phi)^{\bar{N}} H(\bar{F})^M)^{\omega dm^3 M^7 N^{30} \bar{N}^{13}},$$

where Ω is an effectively computable constant in terms of N, \bar{N}, M, m and d , and ω a numerical constant.

- Remarks.* 1) By [15, Corollary 3, p. 120], the curve \bar{C} is nonsingular.
 2) Since $m > 1$, the quantity M is > 1 .
 3) By Hurwitz' s formula, \bar{C} and C have positive genus and $\bar{N} \geq N \geq 3$.
 4) Since $\bar{F}(X, Y, Z)$ divides $F(\phi_1(X, Y, Z), \phi_2(X, Y, Z), \phi_3(X, Y, Z))$, $H(\bar{F})$ and \bar{N} can be bounded by constants depending only on F and ϕ .

Let $K(\bar{C})$ and $K(C)$ be the function fields of \bar{C} and C , respectively, over K , $P = (p_1 : p_2 : p_3)$ and $\phi^* : K(C) \rightarrow K(\bar{C})$ the field homomorphism associated to ϕ . We denote by $\phi_{j,i}$ the function on \bar{C} defined by the fraction ϕ_j/ϕ_i . The idea of the proof of Theorem 1 is as follows. For every affine view C_i , with $X_i = 1$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), of C we construct two primitive elements u_{is} ($s = 1, 2$) for the field extension $K(\bar{C})/\phi^*(K(C))$ which are integral over the ring $K[\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i}]$ and such that $K(u_{is}(Q)) = K(Q)$. Further, we construct polynomials $P_{is}(X, Y, U)$ ($s = 1, 2$) representing the minimal polynomials of u_{is} over $K[\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i}]$ such that the discriminants $D_{is}(X, Y)$ of $P_{is}(X, Y, U)$ ($s = 1, 2$) have no common zero on C_i . It follows that for every prime ideal \wp of O_K with sufficiently large norm there is $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that \wp cannot divide both $D_{is}(p_j/p_i, p_k/p_i)$ ($s = 1, 2$) and hence cannot divide the discriminant of $K(Q)$. Thus, we determine the prime ideals of K which are ramified in $K(Q)$ and the result follows. A totally different effective approach of Chevalley-Weil theorem is given in [1, Chapter 4].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give some auxiliary results and in section 3 we obtain the proof of Theorem 1.

Notations. If C is a projective plane curve defined over \bar{K} , then we denote by $O(U)$ the ring of regular functions on an open subset U of C and by $\bar{K}(C)$ the function field of C . Let G be a homogeneous polynomial of $\bar{K}[X_1, X_2, X_3]$. We denote by $D_C(G)$ and $V_C(G)$ the set of points $P \in C(\bar{K})$ with $G(P) \neq 0$ and $G(P) = 0$ respectively. Finally, throughout the paper, we denote by $\Lambda_1(a_1, \dots, a_s), \Lambda_2(a_1, \dots, a_s), \dots$ effectively computable positive numbers in terms of indicated parameters.

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

We keep the notations and the assertions of the Introduction. The restriction of ϕ on $\phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i))$ is a finite morphism. Thus, the associated ring homomorphism $\phi^* : O(D_C(X_i)) \rightarrow O(\phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)))$, defined by $\phi^*(f) = f \circ \phi$, for every $f \in O(D_C(X_i))$, is injective and the ring $O(\phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)))$ is finite over $\phi^*(O(D_C(X_i)))$. We denote by $\bar{x}_{j,i}$ and $x_{j,i}$ the functions defined by X_j/X_i on \bar{C}

and C , respectively. The function $\phi^*(x_{j,i})$ is defined by the fraction ϕ_j/ϕ_i and so $\phi_{j,i} = \phi^*(x_{j,i})$. Then we have $\phi^*(O(D_C(X_i))) = \bar{K}[\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i}]$. Let ρ be an integer such that for every $(z_1 : z_2 : z_3) \in V_{\bar{C}}(X_i)$ we have $z_k + \rho z_j \neq 0$, where $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $j < k$. Thus, the poles of the function $u = \bar{x}_{k,i} + \rho \bar{x}_{j,i}$ are the points of $V_{\bar{C}}(X_i)$. Put $\Pi_i = \phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)) \cap V_{\bar{C}}(X_i)$.

Proposition 1. *There is a monic polynomial $f(T) \in K[T]$ such that the function $\tilde{u} = uf(\phi_{j,i})$ is integral over $K[\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i}]$. We have $\deg f \leq \bar{N}$,*

$$H(f) < \Lambda_1(\rho, M, N, \bar{N})H(F)^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F})^{MN}H(\Phi)^{N\bar{N}},$$

and the roots of $f(T)$ are the elements $\phi_{j,i}(R)$, where $R \in \Pi_i$. Moreover, there is a polynomial of $K[X_j, X_k]$,

$$P(X_j, X_k, U) = U^\mu + p_1(X_j, X_k)U^{\mu-1} + \cdots + p_\mu(X_j, X_k),$$

such that $P(\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i}, U)$ is the minimal polynomial of \tilde{u} over $K[\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i}]$. We have $\mu \leq m$, $\deg p_l < 11MN^4\bar{N}^2$ ($l = 1, \dots, \mu$) and

$$H(P) < \Lambda_2(\rho, m, M, N, \bar{N})(H(F)^{6N^2\bar{N}}H(\Phi)^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F})^M)^{240mM^3N^{12}\bar{N}^5}.$$

For the proof of Proposition 1 we shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *There is a polynomial $G(W, X, U) \in K[W, X, U] \setminus \{0\}$ such that $G(\rho, \phi_{j,i}, u) = 0$. We have $\deg_X G \leq N\bar{N}$, $\deg_U G \leq 2MN\bar{N}$, $\deg_W G \leq 2MN\bar{N}$ and the polynomial $G_\rho(X, U) = G(\rho, X, U)$ satisfies*

$$H(G_\rho) < \Lambda_3(\rho, M, N, \bar{N})H(F)^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F})^{MN}H(\Phi)^{N\bar{N}}.$$

PROOF. We may suppose, without loss of generality, that $j = 1$, $k = 2$ and $i = 3$. Consider the polynomials $\bar{F}_1(W, V, U) = \bar{F}(V, U - WV, 1)$ and

$$E(W, X, V, U) = F(X\phi_3(V, U - WV, 1), \phi_2(V, U - WV, 1), \phi_3(V, U - WV, 1)).$$

We have $\bar{F}_1(\rho, \bar{x}_{1,3}, u) = E(\rho, \phi_{1,3}, \bar{x}_{1,3}, u_\rho) = 0$. If $G(W, X, U)$ is the resultant of $E(W, X, V, U)$ and $\bar{F}_1(W, V, U)$ with respect to V , then $G(\rho, \phi_{1,3}, u) = 0$.

Suppose that $G(W, X, U)$ is equal to zero. Thus, since $\bar{F}_1(W, V, U)$ is absolutely irreducible, $\bar{F}_1(W, V, U)$ divides $E(W, X, V, U)$. It follows that $\bar{F}(V, U, 1)$ divides $F(X\phi_3(V, U, 1), \phi_2(V, U, 1), \phi_3(V, U, 1))$. Write

$$F(X_1, X_2, X_3) = A_0(X_2, X_3)X_1^n + \cdots + A_n(X_2, X_3),$$

where $A_i(X_2, X_3)$ ($i = 0, \dots, n$) are homogeneous polynomials with $\deg A_i = N - n + i$. If $P = (p_1 : p_2 : 1) \in D_{\bar{C}}(\phi_3)$, then

$$A_0(\phi_{2,3}(P), 1)(X_1/\phi_3(P))^n + \cdots + A_n(\phi_{2,3}(P), 1) = 0.$$

It follows that $A_j(\phi_{2,3}(P), 1) = 0$ ($j = 0, \dots, n$) which is a contradiction since $F(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ is absolutely irreducible. Thus $G(W, X, U)$ is not zero.

By [5, Lemma 4.2], we have $\deg_X G \leq N\bar{N}$, $\deg_U G \leq 2MN\bar{N}$, and $\deg_W G \leq 2MN\bar{N}$. Further, if $G_\rho(X, U) = G(\rho, X, U)$, $E_\rho(X, V, U) = E(\rho, X, V, U)$ and $\bar{F}_\rho(V, U) = \bar{F}_1(\rho, V, U)$, then

$$H(G_\rho) < \Lambda_4(M, N, \bar{N})H(E_\rho)^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F}_\rho)^{MN}.$$

By [5, Lemma 4.4], we obtain

$$H(\bar{F}_\rho) \leq 2^{\bar{N}}(\bar{N} + 1) \max\{1, |\rho|\}^{\bar{N}} H(\bar{F}).$$

Next, put $\varphi_{\rho,l}(V, U) = \phi_l(V, U - \rho V)$ ($l = 1, 2$). By [6, Lemma B.7.4], for every absolute value $|\cdot|_v$ of K ,

$$|E_\rho|_v \leq \max\{1, |2N|_v^2\} |F|_v \max_{0 \leq j \leq N} \{|\varphi_{\rho,2}^j|_v |\varphi_{\rho,3}^{N-j}|_v\}$$

and for every positive number k ,

$$|\varphi_{\rho,l}^k|_v \leq \max\{1, |2M|_v\}^{2(k-1)M} |\varphi_{\rho,l}|_v^k.$$

Furthermore, the proof of [5, Lemma 4.4] gives

$$|\varphi_{\rho,l}|_v \leq \max\{1, |\rho|_v\}^M \max\{1, |2|_v\}^M \max\{1, |M+1|_v\} |\phi_l|_v \quad (l = 1, 2).$$

The above inequalities yield

$$H(E_\rho) < \Lambda_5(\rho, M, N, \bar{N})H(F)H(\Phi)^N.$$

Combining all theses estimates, the bound for $H(G_\rho)$ follows. \square

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1. By Lemma 1, there is $G_\rho(X, U) \in K[X, U]$ such that $G_\rho(\phi_{j,i}, u) = 0$. Write $G_\rho(X, U) = g_0(X)U^\nu + \dots + g_\nu(X)$. Thus, $ug_0(\phi_{j,i})$ is an integral element over $K[\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i}]$ and so $ug_0(\phi_{j,i}) \in O(\phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)))$.

If $h \in \bar{K}(\bar{C})$ and $S \in \bar{C}$, then we denote by $\text{ord}_S(h)$ the order of h at S . Put $B_R = \phi_{j,i}(R)$, where $R \in \Pi_i$. Let m_R be the smallest integer such that $(\phi_{j,i} - B_R)^{m_R}u$ is defined at R . Then $m_R \leq |\text{ord}_R(u)|$. Set $f(X) = \prod_{R \in \Pi_i} (X - B_R)^{m_R}$. We have $uf(\phi_{j,i}) \in O(\phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)))$ and since $[\bar{K}(\bar{C}) : \bar{K}(u)] = \bar{N}$, we obtain $\deg f = \sum_{R \in \Pi_i} m_R \leq \bar{N}$. The elements of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ permute the elements of Π_i and consequently the numbers B_R . For every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$, we have $\text{ord}_R(\phi_{j,i} - B_R) = \text{ord}_{R^\sigma}(\phi_{j,i} - B_{R^\sigma})$ and $\text{ord}_R(u) = \text{ord}_{R^\sigma}(u)$. It follows that $m_R = m_{R^\sigma}$. Hence $f(X) \in K[X]$. Since $ug_0(\phi_{j,i}) \in O(\phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)))$, we have $g_0(X) = f(X)l(X)$, where $l(X) \in K[X]$. By [6, Proposition B.7.3], $H(f) \leq e^{N\bar{N}}H(G_\rho)$. The bound for $H(f)$ follows.

Consider the polynomial

$$\tilde{G}_\rho(X, U) = l(X)U^\nu + g_1(X)U^{\nu-1} + g_2(X)f(X)U^{\nu-1} + \cdots + g_\nu(X)f(X)^{\nu-1}.$$

We have $\tilde{G}_\rho(\phi_{j,i}, uf(\phi_{j,i})) = 0$. The estimates for $G_\rho(X, U)$ and [6, Proposition B.7.4] yield

$$H(\tilde{G}_\rho) < \Lambda_7(\rho, M, N, \bar{N})(H(F)^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F})^{MN}H(\Phi)^{N\bar{N}})^{2MN\bar{N}}.$$

Using [5, Proposition 2.1] and the estimates for \tilde{G}_ρ , we obtain the existence of polynomial $P(X_j, X_k, U) \in K[X_j, X_k, U]$ having the required properties. \square

Lemma 2. *Let $P \in C(K)$ and $Q \in \bar{C}(\bar{K})$ with $\phi(Q) = P$. Then*

$$N_K(D_{K(Q)/K}) < ((e^3(M + \bar{N}))^{dM\bar{N}}(H_K(P)H_K(\Phi))^{\bar{N}}H_K(\bar{F})^M)^{40dM^3\bar{N}^3}.$$

PROOF. We may suppose, without loss of generality, that $Q = (q_1 : q_2 : 1)$ and $P = (p_1 : p_2 : 1)$. Put $G_1(X_1, U, V) = X_1\phi_3(U, V, 1) - \phi_1(U, V, 1)$. Then $G_1(p_1, q_1, q_2) = \bar{F}(q_1, q_2, 1) = 0$. We denote by $R_1(U)$ and $R_2(V)$ the resultants of $\bar{F}(U, V, 1)$ and $\Gamma(U, V) = G_1(p_1, U, V)$ with respect to V and U . Then $R_1(q_1) = R_2(q_2) = 0$. By [5, Lemma 4.2] and [6, Proposition B.7.4(b)] we obtain

$$H(R_i) \leq (M + \bar{N})!(\bar{N} + 1)^M(M + 1)^{\bar{N}}(2H(p_1)H(\Phi))^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F})^M.$$

Furthermore, we have $\deg R_i \leq 2M\bar{N}$.

Let $B_i(T) = T^{m_i} + b_1T^{m_i-1} + \cdots + b_{m_i}$, where $m_i \leq 2M\bar{N}$, be the irreducible polynomial of q_i over K . By [5, Lemma 4.1] there is a positive integer β_i with $\beta_i \leq H_K(B_i)^{m_i}$ such that $\beta_i b_1 \cdots b_{m_i} \in O_K$. Then $\beta_i q_i$ is an algebraic integer with minimal polynomial $\bar{B}_i(T) = T^{m_i} + \beta b_1 T^{m_i-1} + \cdots + \beta^{m_i} b_{m_i}$. Using [6, Proposition B.7.3] we obtain

$$H(\bar{B}_i) \leq H(B_i)\beta_i^{m_i} \leq (e^{2M\bar{N}}H(R_i))^{1+2dM\bar{N}}.$$

Let $\Delta(\bar{B}_i)$ be the discriminant of $\bar{B}_i(T)$. By [11, Lemma 5], we have

$$N_K(\Delta(\bar{B}_i)) \leq H_K(\Delta(\bar{B}_i)) \leq m_i^{3m_i d} H_K(\bar{B}_i)^{2m_i-2} \leq (e^{2dM\bar{N}}H_K(R_i))^{9dM^2\bar{N}^2}.$$

Put $K_i = K(q_i)$. Since $b_i q_i$ is an algebraic integer, the discriminant D_i of the extension K_i/K divides the discriminant of $1, b_i q_i, \dots, (b_i q_i)^{m_i-1}$ which is equal to $\Delta(\bar{B}_i)$. Thus $N_K(D_i) \leq |N_K(\Delta(\bar{B}_i))|$. If $I(T)$ is the irreducible polynomial of $b_2 q_2$ over K_1 , then $I(T)$ divides $\bar{B}_2(T)$ (in $K_1[T]$) and so the discriminant $\Delta(I)$ of $I(T)$ divides $\Delta(\bar{B}_2)$. Hence, $D_{K(Q)/K_1}$ divides $\Delta(\bar{B}_2)$. Thus,

$$N_K(D_{K(Q)/K}) \leq N_K(D_1)^{2M\bar{N}} N_{K_1}(D_{K(Q)/K_1}) \leq (N_K(\Delta(\bar{B}_1))N_K(\Delta(\bar{B}_2)))^{2M\bar{N}}.$$

Using the upper bounds for $N_K(\Delta(\bar{B}_i))$ and $H_K(R_i)$, the result follows. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let $P = (a_1 : a_2 : a_3)$, $Q \in \phi^{-1}(P)$ and $L = K(Q)$. If $a_j = 0$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then [12, Lemma 4] gives $H(P) < 2H(F)$. So Lemma 2 yields a sharper bound for $N_K(D_{L/K})$ than that of Theorem 1. Thus, we may suppose that $a_j \neq 0$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$).

Let Θ_i be the set of $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for every $(z_1 : z_2 : z_3) \in V_{\bar{C}}(X_i)$ we have $z_k + \rho z_j = 0$, where $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $j < k$. Set $u_{\rho,i} = \bar{x}_{k,i} + \rho \bar{x}_{j,i}$, where $\rho \notin \Theta_i$. By Proposition 1, there is a monic polynomial $f_i \in K[T]$ such that the function $\tilde{u}_{\rho,i} = u_{\rho,i} f_i(\phi_{j,i})$ is integral over $K[\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i}]$, $\deg f_i \leq \bar{N}$, the roots of $f_i(T)$ are the elements $\phi_{j,i}(R)$, where $R \in \phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)) \cap V_{\bar{C}}(X_i)$ and

$$H(f) < \Lambda_1(\rho, M, N, \bar{N}) H(F)^{\bar{N}} H(\bar{F})^{MN} H(\Phi)^{N\bar{N}}.$$

Moreover, there is a polynomial of $K[X_j, X_k, U]$,

$$P_{\rho,i}(X_j, X_k, U) = U^\mu + p_{\rho,i,1}(X_j, X_k)U^{\mu-1} + \cdots + p_{\rho,i,\mu}(X_j, X_k),$$

such that $P_{\rho,i}(\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i}, U)$ is the minimal polynomial of $\tilde{u}_{\rho,i}$ over $K[\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i}]$. We have $\mu \leq m$, $\deg p_{\rho,i,l} < 11MN^4\bar{N}^2$ ($l = 1, \dots, \mu$), and

$$H(P) < \Lambda_2(\rho, m, M, N, \bar{N}) (H(F)^{6N^2\bar{N}} H(\Phi)^{\bar{N}} H(\bar{F})^M)^{240mM^3N^{12}\bar{N}^5}.$$

Suppose that there is $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $f_i(a_j/a_i) = 0$. By [12, Lemma 4] and [11, Lemma 7], we have

$$H(P) \leq H(a_j/a_i) H(a_k/a_i) \leq 2(N+1)H(F)(2H(f_i))^{N+1}.$$

Using the bound for $H(f_i)$, Lemma 2 gives a sharper bound for $N_K(D_{L/K})$ than that of Theorem 1. Next, suppose that for every $i = 1, 2, 3$ we have $f_i(a_j/a_i) \neq 0$ and so $u_{\rho,i}$ is defined at Q .

The monomorphism $\phi^* : O(D_C(X_i)) \rightarrow O(\phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)))$ extends to a field homomorphism $\phi^* : \bar{K}(C) \rightarrow \bar{K}(\bar{C})$. We have $\phi^*(\bar{K}(C)) = \bar{K}(\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i})$. If $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ are all the $\bar{K}(C)$ -embeddings of $\phi^*(\bar{K}(\bar{C}))$ into an algebraic closure of $\phi^*(\bar{K}(C))$, then we denote by Γ_i the set of integers $\rho \notin \Theta_i$ with $\sigma_p(\tilde{u}_{\rho,i}) \neq \sigma_q(\tilde{u}_{\rho,i})$ for $p \neq q$. For every $\rho \in \Gamma_i$, we have $\bar{K}(\bar{C}) = \phi^*(\bar{K}(C))(\tilde{u}_{\rho,i})$ and so $m = \mu$. Note that at most $m(m-1)/2 + \bar{N}$ integers ρ do not lie in Γ_i . Further, there are at most $m(m-1)/2 + \bar{N}$ integers ρ such that $K(u_{\rho,i}(Q)) \neq K(Q)$. Hence, there is $r(i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $r(i) \in \Gamma_i$ and $|r(i)| \leq \bar{N} + m^2/2$ such that $K(u_{r(i),i}(Q)) = K(Q)$.

Putting $X_i = 1$ in $F(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ we obtain $F_i(X_j, X_k)$, with $j < k$. Let $D_{\rho,i}(X_j, X_k)$ be the discriminant of $P_{\rho,i}(X_j, X_k, U)$ with respect to U . We have $\deg D_{\rho,i} < 11(2m-1)MN^4\bar{N}^2$. Since $P_{\rho,i}(\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i}, U)$ is irreducible, F_i does not divide $D_{\rho,i}$. We denote by $J_{r(i),i}$ the set of points $(z_1 : z_2 : z_3) \in D_C(X_i)$ with $z_i =$

1 and $D_{r(i),i}(z_j, z_k) = 0$. By Bézout's theorem, $|J_{r(i),i}| < 11(2m-1)MN^5\bar{N}^2$. Thus, if $B_i = J_{r(i),i} \cup \{P\}$, then there is an integer $s(i)$ with $|s(i)| \leq 11m^2\bar{N}^2N^5M$ such that $B_i \cap \phi(V_{\bar{C}}(X_k + s(i)X_j)) = \emptyset$.

We denote by $\tilde{F}_i(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{i,l}(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$ the polynomials obtained from $\bar{F}(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ and $\phi_l(X_1, X_2, X_3)$, respectively, using the projective change of coordinates χ defined by $Y_j = X_i$, $Y_k = X_j$, $Y_i = X_k + s(i)X_j$. Set $\tilde{Q} = \chi(Q)$. Let \tilde{C}_i be the curve defined by $\tilde{F}_i(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = 0$. The morphism $\psi_i : \tilde{C}_i \rightarrow C$, defined by $\psi_i(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = (\psi_{i,1}(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3), \psi_{i,2}(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3), \psi_{i,3}(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3))$ is unramified of degree m . We denote by Ψ_i a point in the projective space with coordinates the coefficients of $\psi_{i,s}$ ($s = 1, 2, 3$).

Let $y_{j,i}$ be the function defined by Y_j/Y_i on \tilde{C}_i . We set $v_{\tau,i} = \tau y_{j,i} + y_{k,i}$, where $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $j < k$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$. Further, we denote by $\psi_{i,j,k}$ the function defined on \tilde{C}_i by the fraction $\psi_{i,j}/\psi_{i,k}$. By Proposition 1, there is a monic polynomial $g_i(T) \in K[T]$ such that the function $\tilde{v}_{\tau,i} = g_i(\psi_{i,j,i})v_{\tau,i}$ is integral over $K[\psi_{i,j,i}, \psi_{i,k,i}]$, $\deg g_i \leq \bar{N}$ and

$$H(g_i) < \Lambda_1(\rho, M, N, \bar{N})H(F)^{\bar{N}}H(\tilde{F}_i)^{MN}H(\Psi_i)^{N\bar{N}}.$$

The zeros of $g_i(T)$ are the elements $\psi_{i,j,i}(R)$, where $R \in \psi_i^{-1}(D_C(X_i)) \cap V_{\tilde{C}_i}(Y_i)$. Moreover, there is $\Pi_{\tau,i}(X_j, X_k, U) \in K[X_j, X_k, U]$ such that $\Pi_{\tau,i}(\psi_{i,j,i}, \psi_{i,k,i}, U)$ is the minimal polynomial of $\tilde{v}_{\tau,i}$ over the ring $K[\psi_{i,j,i}, \psi_{i,k,i}]$. Write

$$\Pi_{\tau,i}(X_j, X_k, U) = U^\nu + \pi_{\tau,i,1}(X_j, X_k)U^{\nu-1} + \cdots + \pi_{\tau,i,\nu}(X_j, X_k).$$

We have $\nu \leq m$, $\deg \pi_{\tau,i,l} < 11MN^4\bar{N}^2$ ($l = 1, \dots, \nu$) and

$$H(\Pi_{\tau,i}) < \Lambda_8(\tau, m, M, N, \bar{N})(H(F)^{6N^2\bar{N}}H(\Psi_i)^{\bar{N}}H(\tilde{F}_i)^M)^{240mM^3N^{12}\bar{N}^5}.$$

By [5, Lemma 4.4], $H(\tilde{F}_i) < \Lambda_9(\bar{N}, s(i))H(\bar{F})$ and $H(\Psi_i) < \Lambda_{10}(M, s(i))H(\Phi)$. It follows that $H(g_i)$ and $H(\Pi_{\tau,i})$ satisfy inequalities as above having $H(\bar{F})$ and $H(\Phi)$ in place of $H(\tilde{F}_i)$ and $H(\Psi_i)$ respectively.

The points $(z_1 : z_2 : z_3) \in D_C(X_i)$ with $z_i = 1$ and $g_i(z_j) = 0$ belong to $\phi(V_{\bar{C}}(X_k + s(i)X_j))$. On the other hand, $P \in B_i$ and $B_i \cap \phi(V_{\bar{C}}(X_k + s(i)X_j)) = \emptyset$. Hence, $g_i(a_j/a_i) \neq 0$ and so $v_{\tau,i}$ is defined at \tilde{Q} ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

Let $\psi_i^* : \bar{K}(C) \rightarrow \bar{K}(\tilde{C}_i)$ be the field homomorphism associated to the morphism ψ_i . As previously, there is a set $\Delta_i \subset \mathbb{Z}$ with $|\Delta_i| \leq m(m-1) + 2\bar{N}$ such that for every integer $\tau \notin \Delta_i$ we have $\bar{K}(\tilde{C}_i) = \psi_i^*(\bar{K}(C))(\tilde{v}_{\tau,i})$ (so $\nu = m$) and $K(v_{\tau,i}(\tilde{Q})) = K(\tilde{Q}) = K(Q)$.

Let $\Sigma_{\tau,i}(X_j, X_k)$ be the discriminant of $\Pi_{\tau,i}(X_j, X_k, U)$ with respect to U . We have $\deg \Sigma_{\tau,i} \leq (2m-1)11\bar{N}^2N^4M$. We denote by Ξ_i the set of points $(z_1 : z_2 : z_3) \in D_C(X_i)$ with $z_i = 1$, $D_{r(i),i}(z_j, z_k) = 0$ and $\Sigma_{\tau,i}(z_j, z_k) = 0$, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Suppose that $(z_1 : z_2 : z_3) \in \Xi_i$ with $z_i = 1$. Then, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Pi_{\tau,i}(z_j, z_k, U)$ has at most $m - 1$ distinct roots. If $g_i(z_j) \neq 0$, then there are m distinct points $Q_t \in \tilde{\phi}_i^{-1}(z_1 : z_2 : z_3)$ ($t = 1, \dots, m$) and $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\tilde{v}_{\tau_0,i}(Q_p) \neq \tilde{v}_{\tau_0,i}(Q_q)$ for $p \neq q$. Thus, $\Pi_{\tau_0,i}(z_j, z_k, U)$ has m distinct roots which is a contradiction. Hence $g_i(z_j) = 0$. Then $(z_1 : z_2 : z_3) \in \phi(V_{\bar{C}}(X_k + s(i)X_j) \cap B_i = \emptyset$ which is a contradiction. So, for every $(z_j, z_k) \in \bar{K}^2$ with $D_{r(i),i}(z_j, z_k) = F_i(z_j, z_k) = 0$, the polynomial in τ , $\Sigma_{\tau,i}(z_j, z_k)$, is not zero.

Since $\tilde{v}_{\tau,i}$ is a root of $\Pi_{\tau,i}(\psi_{i,j,i}, \psi_{i,k,i}, U)$, $\pi_{\tau,i,l}(\psi_{i,j,i}, \psi_{i,k,i})$, as polynomial in τ , has degree $\leq l$. Hence, the degree in τ of $\Sigma_{\tau,i}(\psi_{i,j,i}, \psi_{i,k,i})$ is $\leq (2m - 1)m$. So, for every $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in J_{r(i),i}$ with $z_i = 1$ there are at most $(2m - 1)m$ integers τ , such that $\Sigma_{\tau,i}(z_j, z_k) = 0$. Thus, there is $\tau(i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|\tau(i)| < 22m^3 M \bar{N}^2 N^5$, such that $\bar{K}(\tilde{C}_i) = \psi_i^*(\bar{K}(C))(\tilde{v}_{\tau(i),i})$ (so $\nu = m$), $K(v_{\tau(i),i}(\tilde{Q})) = K(Q)$ and for every $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in J_{r(i),i}$ with $z_i = 1$ we have $\Sigma_{\tau(i),i}(z_j, z_k) \neq 0$.

Let $D_{\rho,i}^1$ and $\Sigma_{\tau,i}^1$ be two points in the projective space having as coordinates 1 and the coefficients of $D_{\rho,i}(X_j, X_k)$ and $\Sigma_{\tau,i}(X_j, X_k)$, respectively. By [5, Lemma 4.2], we have

$$H(D_{\rho,i}^1) < m^{3m-1} (11MN^4 \bar{N}^2)^{4m-2} H(P_{\rho,i})^{2m-1},$$

$$H(\Sigma_{\tau,i}^1) < m^{3m-1} (11MN^4 \bar{N}^2)^{4m-2} H(\Pi_{\tau,i})^{2m-1}.$$

We may assume, without loss of generality, that one of the coefficients of F is 1. By [5, Lemma 4.1], there are positive integers $a_{\rho,i}, b_{\rho,i}, c$ with

$$c \leq H_K(F)^{2N^2}, \quad a_{\rho,i} \leq H_K(P_{\rho,i})^{61mM^2 \bar{N}^4 N^8}, \quad b_{\rho,i} \leq H_K(\Pi_{\tau,i})^{61mM^2 \bar{N}^4 N^8}$$

such that $a_{\rho,i}P_{\rho,i}(X_j, X_k, U)$, $b_{\rho,i}\Pi_{\rho,i}(X_j, X_k, U)$ and $cF_i(X_j, X_k)$ have all their coefficients in O_K . So, $a_{\rho,i}^{2m-2}D_{\rho,i}(X_j, X_k), b_{\rho,i}^{2m-2}\Sigma_{\tau,i}(X_j, X_k) \in O_K[X_j, X_k]$. Since $D_{r(i),i}(X_j, X_k)$, $\Sigma_{\tau(i),i}(X_j, X_k)$ and $F_i(X_j, X_k)$ have no common zero, [5, Lemma 2.9] implies that there are $A_{i,s} \in O_K[X_j, X_k]$ ($s = 1, 2, 3$) and $A_i \in O_K \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$A_{i,1}a_{\rho,i}^{2m-1}D_{\rho,i} + A_{i,2}b_{\rho,i}^{2m-1}\Sigma_{\tau(i),i} + A_{i,3}cF_i = A_i.$$

Furthermore, for every archimedean absolute value $|\cdot|_v$ of K we have

$$|A_i|_v \leq ((\delta + 1)(\delta + 2)/2)! |E_i|_v^{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)/2},$$

where $\delta = 11MN^5 \bar{N}^2$ and E_i is a point of the projective space with coordinates the coefficients of $a_{\rho,i}^{2m-1}D_{\rho,i}$, $b_{\rho,i}^{2m-1}\Sigma_{\tau(i),i}$ and cF_i . The bounds for $a_{\rho(i),i}$, $b_{\tau(i),i}$, c , $H(D_{r(i),i}^1)$, $H(\Sigma_{\tau(i),i}^1)$, $H(P_{r(i),i})$ and $H(\Pi_{\tau(i),i})$ give

$$|N_K(A_i)| < \Lambda_{11}(d, m, M, N, \bar{N})(H(F)^{6N^2 \bar{N}} H(\Phi_i)^{\bar{N}} H(\bar{F})^M)^{\lambda d m^3 M^7 N^{30} \bar{N}^{13}},$$

where λ is a numerical constant.

Let $p_i = (a_j/a_i, a_k/a_i)$. Since $D_{r(i),i}(X_j, X_k)$, $\Sigma_{\tau(i),i}(X_j, X_k)$ and $F_i(X_j, X_k)$ have no common zero, we have either $D_{r(i),i}(p_i) \neq 0$ or $\Sigma_{\tau(i),i}(p_i) \neq 0$. Let S be the set of prime ideals of O_K dividing $A_1 A_2 A_3$. Suppose that \wp is a prime ideal of O_K with $\wp \notin S$. Then there is $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $a_j/a_i, a_k/a_i \in O_{K,\wp}$. Put $L = K(Q)$ and $\xi = [L : K]$. We have $L = K(u_{r(i),i}(Q)) = K(v_{\tau(i),i}(\tilde{Q}))$. We denote by $O_{K,\wp}$ the local ring at \wp , by $\tilde{\wp}$ the prime ideal of $O_{K,\wp}$ generated by \wp and by D_\wp the discriminant of the integral closure of $O_{K,\wp}$ into L over $O_{K,\wp}$. Since \wp does not divide A_i , it follows that either $a_{r(i),i}^{2m-1} D_{r(i),i}(p_i)$ or $b_{\tau(i),i}^{2m-1} \Sigma_{\tau(i),i}(p_i)$ is not divisible by $\tilde{\wp}$ (into $O_{K,\wp}$). If $\tilde{\wp}$ does not divide $a_{r(i),i}^{2m-1} D_{r(i),i}(p_i)$, then $\tilde{\wp}$ does not divide $a_{r(i),i}$ and $a_{r(i),i}^{2m-2} D_{r(i),i}(p_i)$. Thus $a_{r(i),i}$ is a unit in $O_{K,\wp}$ and so $u = u_{r(i),i}(Q)$ is integral over $O_{K,\wp}$. Then D_\wp divides the discriminant $D(1, u, \dots, u^{\xi-1})$ of $1, u, \dots, u^{\xi-1}$ into $O_{K,\wp}$. Further, $D(1, u, \dots, u^{\xi-1})$ divides $a_{r(i),i}^{2m-2} D_{r(i),i}(p_i)$. Since $\tilde{\wp}$ does not divide $a_{r(i),i}^{2m-2} D_{r(i),i}(p_i)$, $\tilde{\wp}$ does not divide D_\wp . Thus, \wp is not ramified into L . If $\tilde{\wp}$ does not divide $b_{\tau(i),i}^{2m-1} \Sigma_{\tau(i),i}(p_i)$, then we have the same result. By [5, Lemma 4.3],

$$N_K(D_{L/K}) < \prod_{\wp \in S} N_K(\wp)^{m-1} \exp(2m^2 d) \leq N_K(A_1 A_2 A_3)^{m-1} \exp(2m^2 d).$$

Using the estimates for $N_K(A_i)$, the result follows.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Bilu, Effective Analysis of Integral Points on Algebraic Curves, Ph. D. Thesis, Beer Sheva, 1993.
- [2] C. Chevalley, Un théorème d'arithmétique sur les courbes algébriques, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 195 (1932), 570-572.
- [3] C. Chabauty, Démonstration de quelques lemmes de rehaussement, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 217, (1943) 413-415.
- [4] H. Darmon, A fourteenth Lecture on Fermat's Last Theorem *Number theory*, 103-115, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes 36 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [5] K. Draziotis and D. Poulakis, An Explicit Chevalley-Weil Theorem for Affine Plane Curves, *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, 39(1) (2009), 49-70.
- [6] M. Hindry - J. Silverman, *Diophantine Geometry*, New-York Inc.: Springer-Verlag 2000.
- [7] D. Kubert - S. Lang, Units in the Modular Function Field. I, *Math. Ann.* 218 (1975), 67-96.
- [8] S. Lang, *Elliptic Curves. Diophantine Analysis*, Springer Verlag 1978.
- [9] S. Lang, *Diophantine Geometry*, Springer Verlag 1983.
- [10] D. Poulakis, Estimation effective de points entiers d' une famille de courbes algébriques, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse*, Vol. V, no 4 (1996), 691-725.
- [11] D. Poulakis, Polynomial bounds for the solutions of a class of Diophantine equations, *J. Number Theory*, 66, 2 (1997), 271-281.

- [12] D. Poulakis, Integer points on algebraic curves with exceptional units, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* 63 (1997), 145-164.
- [13] D. Poulakis, Bounds for the size of integral solutions to $Y^m = f(X)$, *Proc. Edinburg Math. Soc.*, 42 (1999), 127-141.
- [14] J. P. Serre, *Lectures on the Mordell-Weil Theorem*, Vieweg 1989.
- [15] I. Shafarevich, *Basic Algebraic Geometry*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer Verlag 1977.
- [16] J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Springer Verlag 1986.
- [17] A. Weil, Arithmétique et géométrie sur les variétés algébriques, *Act. Sci. et Ind.* No 206, Paris: Hermann 1935.

Received February 28, 2009

Revised version received December 11, 2009

DIMITRIOS POULAKIS, ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 54124 THESSALONIKI, GREECE

E-mail address: `poulakis@math.auth.gr`

KONSTANTINOS DRAZIOTIS, KROMNIS 33, 54454 THESSALONIKI, GREECE

E-mail address: `drazioti@gmail.com`