# An Effective Version of Chevalley-Weil Theorem for Projective Plane Curves

Konstantinos Draziotis and Dimitrios Poulakis

#### Abstract

We obtain a quantitative version of the classical Chevalley-Weil theorem for curves. Let  $\phi: \tilde{C} \to C$  be an unramified morphism of non-singular plane projective curves defined over a number field K. We calculate an effective upper bound for the norm of the relative discriminant of the number field K(Q) over K for any point  $P \in C(K)$  and  $Q \in \phi^{-1}(P)$ .

2000 MCS: 14G25, 14H25, 11G30.

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\phi: V \to W$  be an unramified covering of projective normal varieties defined over a number field K. By the classical theorem of Chevalley-Weil [2], [10], [5, Theorem 8.1, page 45], [4, page 292], there exists a finite extension L/K such that  $\phi^{-1}(W(K)) \subseteq V(L)$ . In [3, Theorem 1.1], we obtained a quantitative version of the Chevalley-Weil theorem in case where  $\phi: \tilde{C} \to C$  is an unramified morphism of non-singular affine plane curves defined over K. More precisely, we gave, following a new approach, an effective upper bound for the relative discriminant of the minimal field of definition K(Q) of Q over K for any integral point  $P \in C(K)$  and  $Q \in \phi^{-1}(P)$ . In this paper, we consider the case where  $\phi: \tilde{C} \to C$  is an unramified morphism of non-singular projective plane curves defined over K and we obtain, extending our method, an effective upper bound for the relative discriminant of K(Q) over K for any  $P \in C(K)$  and  $Q \in \phi^{-1}(P)$ .

Consider the set of absolute values on  $\mathbb{Q}$  consisting of the ordinary absolute value and for every prime p the p-adic absolute value  $|\cdot|_p$  with  $|p|_p = p^{-1}$ . Let M(K) be a set of symbols v such that with every  $v \in M(K)$  there is precisely one associated absolute value  $|\cdot|_v$  on K which extends one of the above absolute values of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We denote by  $d_v$  its local degree. Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_0 : \ldots : x_n)$  be a point of the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n(K)$  over K. We define the field height  $H_K(\mathbf{x})$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  by

$$H_K(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{v \in M(K)} \max\{|x_0|_v, \dots, |x_n|_v\}^{d_v}.$$

Let d be the degree of K. We define the absolute height  $H(\mathbf{x})$  by  $H(\mathbf{x}) = H_K(\mathbf{x})^{1/d}$ . Furthermore, for  $x \in K$  we put  $H_K(x) = H_K(1:x)$  and H(x) = H(1:x). If  $G \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$ , then we define the field height  $H_K(G)$  and the absolute height H(G) of G as the field height and the absolute height of the point whose coordinates are the coefficients of G. For an account of the properties of heights see [9, chapter VIII] or [5, chapter 3].

Let  $\overline{K}$  be an algebraic closure of K and  $O_K$  the ring of algebraic integers of K. If M is a finite extension of K, then we denote by  $D_{M/K}$  the relative discriminant of the extension M/K and by  $N_M$  the norm from M to  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Let  $F, \bar{F} \in K[X_1, X_2, X_3]$  be two homogeneous absolute irreducible polynomials with  $N = \deg F > 1$  and  $\bar{N} = \deg \bar{F} > 1$ . We denote by C and  $\bar{C}$  the projective curves defined by  $F(X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0$  and  $\bar{F}(X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0$  respectively. Let  $\phi: \bar{C} \to C$  be a nonconstant morphism of degree m > 1 defined by  $\phi(X_1, X_2, X_3) = (\phi_1(X_1, X_2, X_3), \phi_2(X_1, X_2, X_3), \phi_3(X_1, X_2, X_3))$ , where  $\phi_i(X_1, X_2, X_3)$  (i = 1, 2, 3) are relatively prime homogeneous polynomials in  $K[X_1, X_2, X_3]$  of the same degree M. Let  $\Phi$  be a point in the projective space having as coordinates the coefficients of  $\phi_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3).

**Theorem 1** Suppose that C is nonsingular and the morphism  $\phi: \overline{C} \to C$  unramified. Then for any point  $P \in C(K)$  and  $Q \in \phi^{-1}(P)$ , we have

$$N_K(D_{K(Q)/K}) < \Omega(H(F)^{6N^2\bar{N}}H(\Phi_i)^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F})^M)^{\omega dm^3M^7N^{30}\bar{N}^{13}}$$

where  $\Omega$  is an effectively computable constant in terms of  $N, \bar{N}, M, m$  and d, and  $\omega$  a numerical constant.

Remarks. 1) By [8, Corollary 3, p. 120], the curve  $\bar{C}$  is nonsingular.

- 2) Since m > 1, the quantity M is > 1.
- 3) By Hurwitz's formula,  $\bar{C}$  and C have positive genus and  $\bar{N} \geq N \geq 3$ .
- 4) Since  $\bar{F}(X,Y,Z)$  divides  $F(\phi_1(X,Y,Z),\phi_2(X,Y,Z),\phi_3(X,Y,Z)), H(\bar{F})$  and  $\bar{N}$  can be bounded by constants depending only on F and  $\phi$ .

Let  $K(\bar{C})$  and K(C) be the function fields of  $\bar{C}$  and C, respectively, over K,  $P=(p_1:p_2:p_3)$  and  $\phi^*:K(C)\to K(\bar{C})$  the field homomorphism associated to  $\phi$ . We denote by  $\phi_{j,i}$  the function on  $\bar{C}$  defined by the fraction  $\phi_j/\phi_i$ . The idea of the proof of Theorem 1 is as follows. For every affine view  $C_i$ , with  $X_i=1$  (i=1,2,3), of C we construct two primitive elements  $u_{is}$  (s=1,2) for the field extension  $K(\bar{C})/\phi^*(K(C))$  which are integral over the ring  $K[\phi_{j,i},\phi_{k,i}]$  and such that  $K(u_{is}(Q))=K(Q)$ . Further, we construct polynomials  $P_{is}(X,Y,U)$  (s=1,2) representing the minimal polynomials of  $u_{is}$  over  $K[\phi_{j,i},\phi_{k,i}]$  such that the discriminants  $D_{is}(X,Y)$  of  $P_{is}(X,Y,U)$  (s=1,2) have no common zero on  $C_i$ . It follows that for every prime ideal  $\wp$  of  $O_K$  with quite large norm there is  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$  such that  $\wp$  cannot divide both  $D_{is}(p_j/p_i,p_k/p_i)$  (s=1,2) and hence cannot divide the discriminant of K(Q). Thus, we determine the prime ideals of K which are ramified in K(Q) and the result follows. A totally different effective approach of Chevalley-Weil theorem is given in [1, Chapter 4].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give some auxiliary results and in section 3 we obtain the proof of Theorem 1.

Notations. If C is a projective plane curve defined over  $\overline{K}$ , then we denote by O(U) the ring of regular functions on an open subset U of C and by  $\overline{K}(C)$  the function field of C. Let G be a homogeneous polynomial of  $\overline{K}[X_1,X_2,X_3]$ . We denote by  $D_C(G)$  and  $V_C(G)$  the set of points  $P \in C(\overline{K})$  with  $G(P) \neq 0$  and G(P) = 0 respectively. Finally, throughout the paper, we denote by  $\Lambda_1(a_1,\ldots,a_s), \Lambda_2(a_1,\ldots,a_s),\ldots$  effectively computable positive numbers in terms of indicated parameters.

# 2 Auxiliary Results

We keep the notations and the assertions of the Introduction. The restriction of  $\phi$  on  $\phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i))$  is a finite morphism. Thus, the associated ring homomorphism  $\phi^*: O(D_C(X_i)) \to O(\phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)))$ , defined by  $\phi^*(f) = f \circ \phi$ , for every  $f \in O(D_C(X_i))$ , is injective and the ring  $O(\phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)))$  is finite over  $\phi^*(O(D_C(X_i)))$ . We denote by  $\bar{x}_{j,i}$  and  $x_{j,i}$  the functions defined by  $X_j/X_i$  on  $\bar{C}$  and C, respectively. The function  $\phi^*(x_{j,i})$  is defined by the fraction  $\phi_j/\phi_i$  and so  $\phi_{j,i} = \phi^*(x_{j,i})$ . Then we have  $\phi^*(O(D_C(X_i))) = \overline{K}[\phi_{j,i},\phi_{k,i}]$ . Let  $\rho$  be an integer such that for every  $(z_1:z_2:z_3) \in V_{\bar{C}}(X_i)$  we have  $z_k + \rho z_j \neq 0$ , where  $\{i,j,k\} = \{1,2,3\}$  with j < k. Thus, the poles of the function  $u = \bar{x}_{k,i} + \rho \bar{x}_{j,i}$  are the points of  $V_{\bar{C}}(X_i)$ . Put  $\Pi_i = \phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)) \cap V_{\bar{C}}(X_i)$ .

**Proposition 1** There is a monic polynomial  $f(T) \in K[T]$  such that the function  $\tilde{u} = uf(\phi_{j,i})$  is integral over  $K[\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i}]$ . We have  $\deg f \leq \bar{N}$ ,

$$H(f) < \Lambda_1(\rho, M, N, \bar{N})H(F)^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F})^{MN}H(\Phi)^{N\bar{N}},$$

and the roots of f(T) are the elements  $\phi_{j,i}(R)$ , where  $R \in \Pi_i$ . Moreover, there is a polynomial of  $K[X_j, X_k]$ ,

$$P(X_i, X_k, U) = U^{\mu} + p_1(X_i, X_k)U^{\mu-1} + \dots + p_{\mu}(X_i, X_k),$$

such that  $P(\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i}, U)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\tilde{u}$  over  $K[\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i}]$ . We have  $\mu \leq m$ ,  $\deg p_l < 11MN^4\bar{N}^2$   $(l = 1, ..., \mu)$  and

$$H(P) < \Lambda_2(\rho, m, M, N, \bar{N})(H(F)^{6N^2\bar{N}}H(\Phi)^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F})^M)^{240mM^3N^{12}\bar{N}^5}$$

For the proof of Proposition 1 we shall need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1** There is a polynomial  $G(W,X,U) \in K[W,X,U] \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $G(\rho,\phi_{\underline{j},i},u) = 0$ . We have  $\deg_X G \leq N\bar{N}$ ,  $\deg_U G \leq 2MN\bar{N}$ ,  $\deg_W G \leq 2MN\bar{N}$  and the polynomial  $G_{\rho}(X,U) = G(\rho,X,U)$  satisfies

$$H(G_{\rho}) < \Lambda_3(\rho, M, N, \bar{N})H(F)^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F})^{MN}H(\Phi)^{N\bar{N}}.$$

*Proof.* We may suppose, without loss of generality, that j=1, k=2 and i=3. Consider the polynomials  $\bar{F}_1(W,V,U)=\bar{F}(V,U-WV,1)$  and

$$E(W, X, V, U) = F(X\phi_3(V, U - WV, 1), \phi_2(V, U - WV, 1), \phi_3(V, U - WV, 1)).$$

We have  $\bar{F}_1(\rho, \bar{x}_{1,3}, u) = E(\rho, \phi_{1,3}, \bar{x}_{1,3}, u_\rho) = 0$ . If G(W, X, U) is the resultant of E(W, X, V, U) and  $\bar{F}_1(W, V, U)$  with respect to V, then  $G(\rho, \phi_{1,3}, u) = 0$ .

Suppose that G(W, X, U) is equal to zero. Thus, since  $\bar{F}_1(W, V, U)$  is absolutely irreducible,  $\bar{F}_1(W, V, U)$  divides E(W, X, V, U). It follows that  $\bar{F}(V, U, 1)$  divides  $F(X\phi_3(V, U, 1), \phi_2(V, U, 1), \phi_3(V, U, 1))$ . Write

$$F(X_1, X_2, X_3) = A_0(X_2, X_3)X_1^n + \dots + A_n(X_2, X_3),$$

where  $A_i(X_2, X_3)$  (i = 0, ..., n) are homogeneous polynomials with deg  $A_i = N - n + i$ . If  $P = (p_1 : p_2 : 1) \in D_{\bar{C}}(\phi_3)$ , then

$$A_0(\phi_{2,3}(P),1)(X_1/\phi_3(P))^n + \dots + A_n(\phi_{2,3}(P),1) = 0.$$

It follows that  $A_j(\phi_{2,3}(P), 1) = 0$  (j = 0, ..., n) which is a contradiction since  $F(X_1, X_2, X_3)$  is absolutely irreducible. Thus G(W, X, U) is not zero.

By [3, Lemma 4.2], we have  $\deg_X G \leq N\bar{N}$ ,  $\deg_U G \leq 2MN\bar{N}$ , and  $\deg_W G \leq 2MN\bar{N}$ . Further, if  $G_\rho(X,U) = G(\rho,X,U)$ ,  $E_\rho(X,V,U) = E(\rho,X,V,U)$  and  $\bar{F}_\rho(V,U) = \bar{F}_1(\rho,V,U)$ , then

$$H(G_{\rho}) < \Lambda_4(M, N, \bar{N}) H(E_{\rho})^{\bar{N}} H(\bar{F}_{\rho})^{MN}.$$

By [3, Lemma 4.4], we obtain

$$H(\bar{F}_{\rho}) \le 2^{\bar{N}}(\bar{N}+1) \max\{1, |\rho|\}^{\bar{N}} H(\bar{F}).$$

Next, put  $\varphi_{\rho,l}(V,U) = \phi_l(V,U-\rho V)$  (l=1,2). By [4, Lemma B.7.4], for every absolute value  $|\cdot|_v$  of K,

$$|E_{\rho}|_{v} \le \max\{1, |2N|_{v}^{2}\}|F|_{v} \max_{0 \le j \le N}\{|\varphi_{\rho,2}^{j}|_{v}|\varphi_{\rho,3}^{N-j}|_{v}\}$$

and for every positive number k,

$$|\varphi_{\rho,l}^k|_v \le \max\{1, |2M|_v\}^{2(k-1)M} |\varphi_{\rho,l}|_v^k.$$

Furthermore, the proof of [3, Lemma 4.4] gives

$$|\varphi_{\rho,l}|_v \le \max\{1, |\rho|_v\}^M \max\{1, |2|_v\}^M \max\{1, |M+1|_v\} |\phi_l|_v \quad (l=1,2).$$

The above inequalities yield

$$H(E_{\rho}) < \Lambda_5(\rho, M, N, \bar{N})H(F)H(\Phi)^N.$$

Combining all theses estimates, the bound for  $H(G_o)$  follows.

Proof of Proposition 1. By Lemma 1, there is  $G_{\rho}(X,U) \in K[X,U]$  such that  $G_{\rho}(\phi_{j,i},u) = 0$ . Write  $G_{\rho}(X,U) = g_0(X)U^{\nu} + \cdots + g_{\nu}(X)$ . Thus,  $ug_0(\phi_{j,i})$  is an integral element over  $K[\phi_{\underline{j},i},\phi_{k,i}]$  and so  $ug_0(\phi_{j,i}) \in O(\phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)))$ .

If  $h \in \overline{K}(\bar{C})$  and  $S \in \bar{C}$ , then we denote by  $\operatorname{ord}_S(h)$  the order of h at S. Put  $B_R = \phi_{j,i}(R)$ , where  $R \in \Pi_i$ . Let  $m_R$  be the smallest integer such that  $(\phi_{j,i} - B_R)^{m_R}u$  is defined at R. Then  $m_R \leq |\operatorname{ord}_R(u)|$ . Set  $f(X) = \prod_{R \in \Pi_i} (X - B_R)^{m_R}$ . We have  $uf(\phi_{j,i}) \in O(\phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i))$  and since  $[\overline{K}(\bar{C}) : \overline{K}(u)] = \bar{N}$ , we obtain  $\deg f = \sum_{R \in \Pi_i} m_R \leq \bar{N}$ . The elements of the Galois group  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  permute the elements of  $\Pi_i$  and consequently the numbers  $B_R$ . For every  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ , we have  $\operatorname{ord}_R(\phi_{j,i} - B_R) = \operatorname{ord}_{R^{\sigma}}(\phi_{j,i} - B_{R^{\sigma}})$  and  $\operatorname{ord}_R(u) = \operatorname{ord}_{R^{\sigma}}(u)$ . It follows that  $m_R = m_{R^{\sigma}}$ . Hence  $f(X) \in K[X]$ . Since  $ug_0(\phi_{j,i}) \in O(\phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)))$ , we have  $g_0(X) = f(X)l(X)$ , where  $l(X) \in K[X]$ . By [4, Proposition B.7.3],  $H(f) \leq e^{N\bar{N}}H(G_{\rho})$ . The bound for H(f) follows.

Consider the polynomial

$$\tilde{G}_{\rho}(X,U) = l(X)U^{\nu} + g_1(X)U^{\nu-1} + g_2(X)f(X)U^{\nu-1} + \dots + g_{\nu}(X)f(X)^{\nu-1}.$$

We have  $\tilde{G}_{\rho}(\phi_{j,i}, uf(\phi_{j,i}) = 0$ . The estimates for  $G_{\rho}(X, U)$  and [4, Proposition B.7.4] yield

$$H(\tilde{G}_{\rho}) < \Lambda_7(\rho, M, N, \bar{N})(H(F)^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F})^{MN}H(\Phi)^{N\bar{N}})^{2MN\bar{N}}.$$

Using [3, Proposition 2.1] and the estimates for  $\tilde{G}_{\rho}$ , we obtain the existence of polynomial  $P(X_j, X_k, U) \in K[X_j, X_k, U]$  having the required properties.

**Lemma 2** Let  $P \in C(K)$  and  $Q \in \overline{C}(\overline{K})$  with  $\phi(Q) = P$ . Then

$$N_K(D_{K(Q)/K}) < ((e^3(M+\bar{N}))^{dM\bar{N}}(H_K(P)H_K(\Phi))^{\bar{N}}H_K(\bar{F})^M)^{40dM^3\bar{N}^3}.$$

Proof. We may suppose, without loss of generality, that  $Q=(q_1:q_2:1)$  and  $P=(p_1:p_2:1)$ . Put  $G_1(X_1,U,V)=X_1\phi_3(U,V,1)-\phi_1(U,V,1)$ . Then  $G_1(p_1,q_1,q_2)=\bar{F}(q_1,q_2,1)=0$ . We denote by  $R_1(U)$  and  $R_2(V)$  the resultants of  $\bar{F}(U,V,1)$  and  $\Gamma(U,V)=G_1(p_1,U,V)$  with respect to V and U. Then  $R_1(q_1)=R_2(q_2)=0$ . By [3, Lemma 4.2] and [4, Proposition B.7.4(b)] we obtain

$$H(R_i) \le (M+\bar{N})!(\bar{N}+1)^M(M+1)^{\bar{N}}(2H(p_1)H(\Phi))^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F})^M.$$

Furthermore, we have  $\deg R_i \leq 2M\bar{N}$ .

Let  $B_i(T) = T^{m_i} + b_1 T^{m_i-1} + \dots + b_{m_i}$ , where  $m_i \leq 2M\bar{N}$ , be the irreducible polynomial of  $q_i$  over K. By [3, Lemma 4.1] there is a positive integer  $\beta_i$  with  $\beta_i \leq H_K(B_i)^{m_i}$  such that  $\beta_i b_1 \cdots b_{m_i} \in O_K$ . Then  $\beta_i q_i$  is an algebraic integer with minimal polynomial  $\bar{B}_i(T) = T^{m_i} + \beta b_1 T^{m_i-1} + \dots + \beta^{m_i} b_{m_i}$ . Using [4, Proposition B.7.3] we obtain

$$H(\bar{B}_i) \le H(B_i)\beta_i^{m_i} \le (e^{2M\bar{N}}H(R_i))^{1+2dM\bar{N}}.$$

Let  $\Delta(\bar{B}_i)$  be the discriminant of  $\bar{B}_i(T)$ . By [6, Lemma 5], we have

$$N_K(\Delta(\bar{B}_i)) \le H_K(\Delta(\bar{B}_i)) \le m_i^{3m_i d} H_K(\bar{B}_i)^{2m_i - 2} \le (e^{2dM\bar{N}} H_K(R_i))^{9dM^2\bar{N}^2}.$$

Put  $K_i = K(q_i)$ . Since  $b_i q_i$  is an algebraic integer, the discriminant  $D_i$  of the extension  $K_i/K$  divides the discriminant of  $1, b_i q_i, \ldots, (b_i q_i)^{m_i-1}$  which is equal to  $\Delta(\bar{B}_i)$ . Thus  $N_K(D_i) \leq |N_K(\Delta(\bar{B}_i))|$ . If I(T) is the irreducible polynomial of  $b_2 q_2$  over  $K_1$ , then I(T) divides  $\bar{B}_2(T)$  (in  $K_1[T]$ ) and so the discriminant  $\Delta(I)$  of I(T) divides  $\Delta(\bar{B}_2)$ . Hence,  $D_{K(Q)/K_1}$  divides  $\Delta(\bar{B}_2)$ . Thus,

$$N_K(D_{K(Q)/K}) \le N_K(D_1)^{2M\bar{N}} N_{K_1}(D_{K(Q)/K_1}) \le (N_K(\Delta(\bar{B}_1)N_K(\Delta(\bar{B}_2))^{2M\bar{N}}.$$

Using the upper bounds for  $N_K(\Delta(\bar{B}_i))$  and  $H_K(R_i)$ , the result follows.

# 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $P=(a_1:a_2:a_3),\ Q\in\phi^{-1}(P)$  and L=K(Q). If  $a_j=0$  for some  $j\in\{1,2,3\}$ , then [7, Lemma 4] gives H(P)<2H(F). So Lemma 2 yields a sharper bound for  $N_K(D_{L/K})$  than that of Theorem 1. Thus, we may suppose that  $a_j\neq 0$  (j=1,2,3).

Let  $\Theta_i$  be the set of  $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that for every  $(z_1:z_2:z_3) \in V_{\bar{C}}(X_i)$  we have  $z_k + \rho z_j = 0$ , where  $\{i,j,k\} = \{1,2,3\}$  with j < k. Set  $u_{\rho,i} = \bar{x}_{k,i} + \rho \bar{x}_{j,i}$ , where  $\rho \notin \Theta_i$ . By Proposition 1, there is a monic polynomial  $f_i \in K[T]$  such that the function  $\tilde{u}_{\rho,i} = u_{\rho,i}f_i(\phi_{j,i})$  is integral over  $K[\phi_{j,i},\phi_{k,i}]$ , deg  $f_i \leq \bar{N}$ , the roots of  $f_i(T)$  are the elements  $\phi_{j,i}(R)$ , where  $R \in \phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)) \cap V_{\bar{C}}(X_i)$  and

$$H(f) < \Lambda_1(\rho, M, N, \bar{N})H(F)^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F})^{MN}H(\Phi)^{N\bar{N}}.$$

Moreover, there is a polynomial of  $K[X_i, X_k, U]$ .

$$P_{\rho,i}(X_j, X_k, U) = U^{\mu} + p_{\rho,i,1}(X_j, X_k)U^{\mu-1} + \dots + p_{\rho,i,\mu}(X_j, X_k),$$

such that  $P_{\rho,i}(\phi_{j,i},\phi_{k,i},U)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\tilde{u}_{\rho,i}$  over  $K[\phi_{j,i},\phi_{k,i}]$ . We have  $\mu \leq m$ ,  $\deg p_{\rho,i,l} < 11MN^4\bar{N}^2$   $(l=1,\ldots,\mu)$ , and

$$H(P) < \Lambda_2(\rho, m, M, N, \bar{N})(H(F)^{6N^2\bar{N}}H(\Phi)^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F})^M)^{240mM^3N^{12}\bar{N}^5}$$

Suppose that there is  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  such that  $f_i(a_j/a_i) = 0$ . By [7, Lemma 4] and [6, Lemma 7], we have

$$H(P) \le H(a_j/a_i)H(a_k/a_i) \le 2(N+1)H(F)(2H(f_i))^{N+1}.$$

Using the bound for  $H(f_i)$ , Lemma 2 gives a sharper bound for  $N_K(D_{L/K})$  than that of Theorem 1. Next, suppose that for every i = 1, 2, 3 we have  $f_i(a_j/a_i) \neq 0$  and so  $u_{\varrho,i}$  is defined at Q.

The monomorphism  $\phi^*: O(D_C(X_i)) \to O(\phi^{-1}(D_C(X_i)))$  extends to a field homomorphism  $\phi^*: \overline{K}(C) \to \overline{K}(\overline{C})$ . We have  $\phi^*(\overline{K}(C)) = \overline{K}(\phi_{j,i}, \phi_{k,i})$ . If  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m$  are all the  $\overline{K}(C)$ -embeddings of  $\phi^*(\overline{K}(\overline{C}))$  into an algebraic closure of  $\phi^*(\overline{K}(C))$ , then we denote by  $\Gamma_i$  the set of integers  $\rho \notin \Theta_i$  with  $\sigma_p(\tilde{u}_{\rho,i}) \neq \sigma_q(\tilde{u}_{\rho,i})$  for  $p \neq q$ . For every  $\rho \in \Gamma_i$ , we have  $\overline{K}(\overline{C}) = \phi^*(\overline{K}(C))(\tilde{u}_{\rho,i})$  and so  $m = \mu$ . Note that at most  $m(m-1)/2 + \overline{N}$  integers  $\rho$  do not lie in  $\Gamma_i$ . Further, there are at most  $m(m-1)/2 + \overline{N}$  integers  $\rho$  such that  $K(u_{\rho,i}(Q)) \neq K(Q)$ . Hence, there is  $r(i) \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $r(i) \in \Gamma_i$  and  $|r(i)| \leq \overline{N} + m^2/2$  such that  $K(u_{r(i),i}(Q)) = K(Q)$ .

Putting  $X_i=1$  in  $F(X_1,X_2,X_3)$  we obtain  $F_i(X_j,X_k)$ , with j< k. Let  $D_{\rho,i}(X_j,X_k)$  be the discriminant of  $P_{\rho,i}(X_j,X_k,U)$  with respect to U. We have  $\deg D_{\rho,i}<11(2m-1)MN^4\bar{N}^2$ . Since  $P_{\rho,i}(\phi_{j,i},\phi_{k,i},U)$  is irreducible,  $F_i$  does not divide  $D_{\rho,i}$ . We denote by  $J_{r(i),i}$  the set of points  $(z_1:z_2:z_3)\in D_C(X_i)$  with  $z_i=1$  and  $D_{r(i),i}(z_j,z_k)=0$ . By Bézout's theorem,  $|J_{r(i),i}|<11(2m-1)MN^5\bar{N}^2$ . Thus, if  $B_i=J_{r(i),i}\cup\{P\}$ , then there is an integer s(i) with  $|s(i)|\leq 11m^2\bar{N}^2N^5M$  such that  $B_i\cap\phi(V_{\bar{C}}(X_k+s(i)X_j))=\emptyset$ .

We denote by  $\tilde{F}_i(Y_1,Y_2,Y_3)$  and  $\tilde{\phi}_{i,l}(Y_1,Y_2,Y_3)$  the polynomials obtained from  $\bar{F}(X_1,X_2,X_3)$  and  $\phi_l(X_1,X_2,X_3)$ , respectively, using the projective change of coordinates  $\chi$  defined by  $Y_j=X_i,\ Y_k=X_j,\ Y_i=X_k+s(i)X_j$ . Set  $\tilde{Q}=\chi(Q)$ . Let  $\tilde{C}_i$  be the curve defined by  $\tilde{F}_i(Y_1,Y_2,Y_3)=0$ . The morphism  $\psi_i:\tilde{C}_i\to C$ , defined by  $\psi_i(Y_1,Y_2,Y_3)=(\psi_{i,1}(Y_1,Y_2,Y_3),\psi_{i,2}(Y_1,Y_2,Y_3),\psi_{i,3}(Y_1,Y_2,Y_3))$  is unramified of degree m. We denote by  $\Psi_i$  a point in the projective space with coordinates the coefficients of  $\psi_{i,s}$  (s=1,2,3).

Let  $y_{j,i}$  be the function defined by  $Y_j/Y_i$  on  $\tilde{C}_i$ . We set  $v_{\tau,i} = \tau y_{j,i} + y_{k,i}$ , where  $\{i,j,k\} = \{1,2,3\},\ j < k$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Further, we denote by  $\psi_{i,j,k}$  the function defined on  $\tilde{C}_i$  by the fraction  $\psi_{i,j}/\psi_{i,k}$ . By Proposition 1, there is a monic polynomial  $g_i(T) \in K[T]$  such that the function  $\tilde{v}_{\tau,i} = g_i(\psi_{i,j,i})v_{\tau,i}$  is integral over  $K[\psi_{i,j,i},\psi_{i,k,i}]$ ,  $\deg g_i \leq \bar{N}$  and

$$H(g_i) < \Lambda_1(\rho, M, N, \bar{N})H(F)^{\bar{N}}H(\tilde{F}_i)^{MN}H(\Psi_i)^{N\bar{N}}.$$

The zeros of  $g_i(T)$  are the elements  $\psi_{i,j,i}(R)$ , where  $R \in \psi_i^{-1}(D_C(X_i)) \cap V_{\tilde{C}_i}(Y_i)$ . Moreover, there is  $\Pi_{\tau,i}(X_j, X_k, U) \in K[X_j, X_k, U]$  such that  $\Pi_{\tau,i}(\psi_{i,j,i}, \psi_{i,k,i}, U)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\tilde{v}_{\tau,i}$  over the ring  $K[\psi_{i,j,i}, \psi_{i,k,i}]$ . Write

$$\Pi_{\tau,i}(X_j, X_k, U) = U^{\nu} + \pi_{\tau,i,1}(X_j, X_k)U^{\nu-1} + \dots + \pi_{\tau,i,\nu}(X_j, X_k).$$

We have  $\nu \le m$ , deg  $\pi_{\tau,i,l} < 11MN^4\bar{N}^2$   $(l = 1, ..., \nu)$  and

$$H(\Pi_{\tau,i}) < \Lambda_8(\tau,m,M,N,\bar{N}) (H(F)^{6N^2\bar{N}} H(\Psi_i)^{\bar{N}} H(\tilde{F}_i)^M)^{240mM^3N^{12}\bar{N}^5}.$$

By [3, Lemma 4.4],  $H(\tilde{F}_i) < \Lambda_9(\bar{N}, s(i))H(\bar{F})$  and  $H(\Psi_i) < \Lambda_{10}(M, s(i))H(\Phi)$ . It follows that  $H(g_i)$  and  $H(\Pi_{\tau,i})$  satisfy inequalities as above having  $H(\bar{F})$  and  $H(\Phi)$  in place of  $H(\tilde{F}_i)$  and  $H(\Psi_i)$  respectively.

The points  $(z_1:z_2:z_3) \in D_C(X_i)$  with  $z_i=1$  and  $g_i(z_j)=0$  belong to  $\phi(V_{\overline{C}}(X_k+s(i)X_j))$ . On the other hand,  $P \in B_i$  and  $B_i \cap \phi(V_{\overline{C}}(X_k+s(i)X_j))=\emptyset$ . Hence,  $g_i(a_j/a_i) \neq 0$  and so  $v_{\tau,i}$  is defined at  $\tilde{Q}$  (i=1,2,3).

Let  $\psi_i^* : \overline{K}(C) \to \overline{K}(\tilde{C}_i)$  be the field homomorphism associated to the morphism  $\psi_i$ . As previously, there is a set  $\Delta_i \subset \mathbb{Z}$  with  $|\Delta_i| \leq m(m-1) + 2\bar{N}$  such that for every integer  $\tau \not\in \Delta_i$  we have  $\overline{K}(\tilde{C}_i) = \psi_i^*(\overline{K}(C))(\tilde{v}_{\tau,i})$  (so  $\nu = m$ ) and  $K(v_{\tau,i}(\tilde{Q})) = K(\tilde{Q}) = K(Q)$ .

Let  $\Sigma_{\tau,i}(X_j,X_k)$  be the discriminant of  $\Pi_{\tau,i}(X_j,X_k,U)$  with respect to U. We have  $\deg \Sigma_{\tau,i} \leq (2m-1)11\bar{N}^2N^4M$ . We denote by  $\Xi_i$  the set of points  $(z_1:z_2:z_3)\in D_C(X_i)$  with  $z_i=1,\ D_{r(i),i}(z_j,z_k)=0$  and  $\Sigma_{\tau,i}(z_j,z_k)=0$ , for every  $\tau\in\mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that  $(z_1:z_2:z_3)\in\Xi_i$  with  $z_i=1$ . Then, for every  $\tau\in\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Pi_{\tau,i}(z_j,z_k,U)$  has at most m-1 distinct roots. If  $g_i(z_j)\neq 0$ , then there are m distinct points  $Q_t\in\tilde{\phi}_i^{-1}(z_1:z_2:z_3)$   $(t=1,\ldots,m)$  and  $\tau_0\in\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\tilde{v}_{\tau_0,i}(Q_p)\neq\tilde{v}_{\tau_0,i}(Q_q)$  for  $p\neq q$ . Thus,  $\Pi_{\tau_0,i}(z_j,z_k,U)$  has m distinct roots which is a contradiction. Hence  $g_i(z_j)=0$ . Then  $(z_1:z_2:z_3)\in\phi(V_{\bar{C}}(X_k+s(i)X_j)\cap B_i=\emptyset$  which is a contradiction. So, for every  $(z_j,z_k)\in\overline{K}^2$  with  $D_{r(i),i}(z_j,z_k)=F_i(z_j,z_k)=0$ , the polynomial in  $\tau,\Sigma_{\tau,i}(z_j,z_k)$ , is not zero.

Since  $\tilde{v}_{\tau,i}$  is a root of  $\Pi_{\tau,i}(\psi_{i,j,i},\psi_{i,k,i},U)$ ,  $\pi_{\tau,i,l}(\psi_{i,j,i},\psi_{i,k,i})$ , as polynomial in  $\tau$ , has degree  $\leq l$ . Hence, the degree in  $\tau$  of  $\Sigma_{\tau,i}(\psi_{i,j,i},\psi_{i,k,i})$  is  $\leq (2m-1)m$ . So, for every  $(z_1,z_2,z_3)\in J_{\tau(i),i}$  with  $z_i=1$  there are at most (2m-1)m integers  $\tau$ , such that  $\Sigma_{\tau,i}(z_j,z_k)=0$ . Thus, there is  $\tau(i)\in\mathbb{Z}$  with  $|\tau(i)|<22m^3M\bar{N}^2N^5$ , such that  $\overline{K}(\tilde{C}_i)=\psi_i^*(\overline{K}(C))(\tilde{v}_{\tau(i),i})$  (so  $\nu=m$ ),  $K(v_{\tau(i),i}(\tilde{Q}))=K(Q)$  and for every  $(z_1,z_2,z_3)\in J_{\tau(i),i}$  with  $z_i=1$  we have  $\Sigma_{\tau(i),i}(z_j,z_k)\neq 0$ .

Let  $D_{\rho,i}^1$  and  $\Sigma_{\tau,i}^1$  be two points in the projective space having as coordinates 1 and the coefficients of  $D_{\rho,i}(X_j, X_k)$  and  $\Sigma_{\tau,i}(X_j, X_k)$ , respectively. By [3, Lemma 4.2], we have

$$H(D^1_{\rho,i}) < m^{3m-1} (11MN^4 \bar{N}^2)^{4m-2} H(P_{\rho,i})^{2m-1}.$$

$$H(\Sigma_{\tau,i}^1) < m^{3m-1} (11MN^4 \bar{N}^2)^{4m-2} H(\Pi_{\tau,i})^{2m-1}.$$

We may assume, without loss of generality, that one of the coefficients of F is 1. By [3, Lemma 4.1], there are positive integers  $a_{\rho,i}, b_{\rho,i}, c$  with

$$c \le H_K(F)^{2N^2}, \ a_{\rho,i} \le H_K(P_{\rho,i})^{61mM^2\bar{N}^4N^8}, \ b_{\rho,i} \le H_K(\Pi_{\tau,i})^{61mM^2\bar{N}^4N^8}$$

such that  $a_{\rho,i}P_{\rho,i}(X_j,X_k,U)$ ,  $b_{\rho,i}\Pi_{\rho,i}(X_j,X_k,U)$  and  $cF_i(X_j,X_k)$  have all theirs coefficients in  $O_K$ . So,  $a_{\rho,i}^{2m-2}D_{\rho,i}(X_j,X_k)$ ,  $b_{\rho,i}^{2m-2}\Sigma_{\tau,i}(X_j,X_k) \in O_K[X_j,X_k]$ . Since  $D_{\tau(i),i}(X_j,X_k)$ ,  $\Sigma_{\tau(i),i}(X_j,X_k)$  and  $F_i(X_j,X_k)$  have no common zero, [3, Lemma 2.9] implies that there are  $A_{i,s} \in O_K[X_j,X_k]$  (s=1,2,3) and  $A_i \in O_K \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$A_{i,1}a_{\tau(i),i}^{2m-1}D_{\tau(i),i} + A_{i,2}b_{\tau(i),i}^{2m-1}\Sigma_{\tau(i),i} + A_{i,3}cF_i = A_i.$$

Furthermore, for every archimedean absolute value  $|\cdot|_v$  of K we have

$$|A_i|_v \le ((\delta+1)(\delta+2)/2)! |E_i|_v^{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)/2},$$

where  $\delta=11MN^5\bar{N}^2$  and  $E_i$  is a point of the projective space with coordinates the coefficients of  $a_{r(i),i}^{2m-1}D_{r(i),i},\ b_{\tau(i),i}^{2m-1}\Sigma_{\tau(i),i}$  and  $cF_i$ . The bounds for  $a_{r(i),i},\ b_{\tau(i),i},\ c,\ H(D^1_{r(i),i}),\ H(\Sigma^1_{\tau(i),i}),\ H(P_{r(i),i})$  and  $H(\Pi_{\tau(i),i})$  give

$$|N_K(A_i)| < \Lambda_{11}(d, m, M, N, \bar{N})(H(F)^{6N^2\bar{N}}H(\Phi_i)^{\bar{N}}H(\bar{F})^M)^{\lambda dm^3M^7N^{30}\bar{N}^{13}},$$

where  $\lambda$  is a numerical constant.

Let  $p_i = (a_j/a_i, a_k/a_i)$ . Since  $D_{r(i),i}(X_j, X_k)$ ,  $\Sigma_{\tau(i),i}(X_j, X_k)$  and  $F_i(X_j, X_k)$  have no common zero, we have either  $D_{r(i),i}(p_i) \neq 0$  or  $\Sigma_{\tau(i),i}(p_i) \neq 0$ . Let S be the set of prime ideals of  $O_K$  dividing  $A_1A_2A_3$ . Suppose that  $\wp$  is a prime ideal of  $O_K$  with  $\wp \notin S$ . Then there is  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$  such that  $a_j/a_i, a_k/a_i \in O_{K,\wp}$ . Put L = K(Q) and  $\xi = [L:K]$ . We have  $L = K(u_{r(i),i}(Q)) = K(v_{\tau(i),i}(\tilde{Q}))$ . We denote by  $O_{K,\wp}$  the local ring at  $\wp$ , by  $\tilde{\wp}$  the prime ideal of  $O_{K,\wp}$  generated by  $\wp$  and by  $D_\wp$  the discriminant of the integral closure of  $O_{K,\wp}$  into L over  $O_{K,\wp}$ . Since  $\wp$  does not divide  $A_i$ , it follows that either  $a_{r(i),i}^{2m-1}D_{r(i),i}(p_i)$  or  $b_{\tau(i),i}^{2m-1}\Sigma_{\tau(i),i}(p_i)$  is not divisible by  $\tilde{\wp}$  (into  $O_{K,\wp}$ ). If  $\tilde{\wp}$  does not divide  $a_{r(i),i}^{2m-1}D_{r(i),i}(p_i)$ , then  $\tilde{\wp}$  does not divide  $a_{r(i),i}$  and  $a_{r(i),i}^{2m-2}D_{r(i),i}(p_i)$ . Thus  $a_{r(i),i}$  is a unit in  $O_{K,\wp}$  and so  $u = u_{r(i),i}(Q)$  is integral over  $O_{K,\wp}$ . Then  $D_\wp$  divides the discriminant  $D(1,u,\ldots,u^{\xi-1})$  of  $1,u,\ldots,u^{\xi-1}$  into  $O_{K,\wp}$ . Further,  $D(1,u,\ldots,u^{\xi-1})$  divides  $a_{r(i),i}^{2m-2}D_{r(i),i}(p_i)$ . Since  $\tilde{\wp}$  does not divide  $a_{r(i),i}^{2m-2}D_{r(i),i}(p_i)$ ,  $\tilde{\wp}$  does not divide  $D_\wp$ . Thus,  $\wp$  is not ramified into L. If  $\tilde{\wp}$  does not divide  $b_{\tau(i),i}^{2m-1}\Sigma_{\tau(i),i}(p_i)$ , then we have the same result. By [3, Lemma~4.3],

$$N_K(D_{L/K}) < \prod_{\wp \in S} N_K(\wp)^{m-1} \exp(2m^2 d) \le N_K(A_1 A_2 A_3)^{m-1} \exp(2m^2 d).$$

Using the estimates for  $N_K(A_i)$ , the result follows.

### References

- [1] Y. Bilu, Effective Analysis of Integral Points on Algebraic Curves, Ph. D. Thesis, Beer Sheva, 1993.
- [2] C. Chevalley, Un théorème d'arithmétique sur les courbes algébriques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 195 (1932), 570-572.
- [3] K. Draziotis and D. Poulakis, An Explicit Chevalley-Weil Theorem for Affine Plane Curves, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 39(1) (2009), 49-70.
- [4] M. Hindry J. Silverman, *Diophantine Geometry*, New-York Inc.: Springer-Verlag 2000.
- [5] S. Lang, Diophantine Geometry, Springer Verlag 1983.
- [6] D. Poulakis, Polynomial bounds for the solutions of a class of Diophantine equations, *J. Number Theory*, 66, 2 (1997), 271-281.
- [7] D. Poulakis, Integer points on algebraic curves with exceptional units, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* 63 (1997), 145-164.

- [8] I. Shafarevich, *Basic Algebraic Geometry*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer Verlag 1977.
- [9] J. H. Silverman, The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, Springer Verlag 1986.
- [10] A. Weil, Arithmétique et géométrie sur les variétés algébriques, *Act. Sci. et Ind.* No 206, Paris: Hermann 1935.

Dimitrios Poulakis, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Department of Mathematics, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece Email Adress: poulakis@math.auth.gr

Konstantinos Draziotis Kromnis 33 54454 Thessaloniki, Greece Email Adress: drazioti@math.auth.gr