

Survey of Cardiovascular Visualization Techniques

Christian Brändle & Niko Leopold

TU Wien

Abstract

The cardiovascular system and its components have been vastly studied and a lot of visualization techniques to study the heart or the arteries of the human body have been proposed. In this STAR report, you need to conduct a survey on important medical visualization applications that cover the topic of cardiovascular visualization, to propose a taxonomy of the existing applications, and to provide an overview of yet-unaddressed or challenging topics.

Categories and Subject Descriptors (according to ACM CCS): 1.3.7 [Computer Graphics]: Three-dimensional Graphics and Realism—Color, shading, shadowing and texture; 1.3.5 [Computer Graphics]: Computational Geometry and Object Modeling—;

Keywords: Cerebral arterial system, geometric modeling, vascular system modeling, vessel visualization, convolution surfaces, implicit modeling, vessel reconstruction method, Level Sets, Quad dominant meshes

1. Introduction

For diagnosis as well as for therapy planning it is crucial to understand the branching pattern and morphology of tree-like anatomical structures [PB13]. Depending on the capturing technique we are confronted with 3D data that could have very high spacial or temporal resolution. The visual analysis of this static or dynamic data is a challenging task.



Figure 1: Medical visualization pipeline.

The medical visualization pipeline shown in figure 1 serves as orientation how vascular structures are visualized. We are actually focusing on 3D image processing and visualization. The interested reader will find a complete survey of the whole pipeline for instance in [PB13].

Our goal here is to describe methods that visualize vascular structures. Our initial interest is how to separate this structures from background data. Direct volume rendering techniques can be used to visualize this enhanced and visually separated data. If a more concrete separation is necessary we have to switch to surface visualization techniques. There we first have to do segmentation and centerline extraction at the 3D image processing stage. Further the

possible visualization techniques spilt into *model-based* and *model-free* approaches. Model-based mesh generation follows some more restrictive assumption about the vascular structure whereas model-free mesh generation tries to capture as much original information as possible.

2. Direct Visualization

...

3. Skeletonization

Skeletonization can be seen either as integral part of surface visualization techniques or as an separate preprocessing step. Actually both is true according to the observed methods as some surface visualization techniques compute the skeleton in an internal stage of the process. Here we focus on the preprocessing step. Skeletonization here is actually a reduction of dimension on the segmentation data. We actually reduce the volumetric segmentation data or two dimensional surface data to a one dimensional graph structure. Nevertheless the graph itself is embedded in a 3D space. The skeleton itself is a compact representation of 2D or 3D shapes that preserves many of the topological and size characteristics [A*02]. Bium et al. [Biu64] provides a common definition of the skeleton as the locus of centers of maximal discs (or spheres) contained in the original object.

There are actually three ways of generating skeletons and medial axes. The first one is *morphological thinning* there the boundary of an object is peeled off layer by layer till those points remain which removal would cause topological change [A*02]. As this is based on heuristics and is not based on the maximal discs approach this is the weakest of the skeletonization techniques, though the easiest

one. The second is based on *Voronoi diagrams* where the diagram represent the boundary's medial axis [A*02]. While the most accurate one, this technique is also the most complex and expensive one. The third way is based on *distance transforms* of object's boundaries [A*02]. Here Sethian et al. [Set96] proposed the *Fast Marching Method* (FFM) for evolution of boundaries in normal direction where the skeleton lies along the singularities. However the detection of those singularities is difficult and possibly unstable.




Figure 2: Centerline computation pipeline.

[A*02]

Telea et al. [A*02] augment the Fast Marching Method by computing the parametrized boundary location every pixel came from. The resulting parameter field is thresholded to produce the skeleton branches. As his initial attempt focus on 2D problems he did an extension on 3D that utilize three distance transforms on the corresponding axis-parallel planes and intersect the resulting volumes to get a 3D centerline on the object as shown in figure 2.




Figure 3: Examples of 3D centerlines.

[A*02]

As this approach do only respect the maximum distance from the boundary in the three orthogonal slice planes it is only an approximation. The real centerline would be at the location where centers

of boundary-touching spheres are positioned. But for real world data the difference do not seem to be of big impact as shown in figure 3.




Figure 4: Tiling and skeleton of a leaf.

[ST04]

Strzodka et al. [ST04] further accelerates the generation of the 2D skeleton using graphics hardware. Based on the concept of footprint splatting the combination of different splats produces a weighted distance transform in real time. *Distance splatting* uses OpenGL fragments to propagate signals in the *feature distance transform* (FDT). The FDT follows the previously described concept that skeletons are defined as the set of centers of maximal balls contained in the boundary of the observed object. Tiling of the original object further speeds up the process. Results are pixel-level correct skeletons for all boundaries and thresholds, as shown in figure 4.

4. Surface visualization techniques

In this section we will focus on different surface visualization techniques. Roughly we can divide surface mesh generation into *model-based* and *model-free*.

The model-based mesh generation makes some assumptions about the model, in our case about the vascular system. In peculiar it makes assumptions about the topological structure, the observed junctions and the profiles of vessels. The topological structure is mostly represented by a graph and limited to a tree like representation. Also the observed junctions often are limited to certain kinds of junctions like bifurcations. Finally the profiles of the vessels are simplified to circular or elliptical structures. All this simplifications and assumptions can help to speed up the process of surface generation, to make it more reliable or more visually pleasing or even transform the underlying data into more usable data for further processing. The downside is that model-based meshes do not resemble the underlying data as accurate as it could be done and also sometimes simplify the underlying data too much such that essential features are lost. Especially when diagnoses of vascular degenerations or similar detail based inspections need to be done model-based approaches may not provide enough vivid information of the underlying data.

Here model-free mesh generation comes into play. The goal here is to retain as much of the underlying data as possible. But without a underlying model the extraction and creation of the mesh is more

time consuming and cumbersome. Moreover unwanted results can arise if the underlying data suffers from noise or other failures in capturing. So care must be taken at the decision what for data is extracted and finally represented in the resulting mesh.

From the literature observed we determined that most of the methods require at some points a skeletonization of the underlying data. For now we assume that the underlying data consists of a voxel model that represents a density field of captured values. As model-based methods require a model, all of the observed methods require an extraction of a skeleton prior to the actual mesh generation. In regards of skeletonization the interested reader is referred to Ebert et al. [EBN02] or Strzodka et al. [ST04].

As seen model-based mesh generation is based more or less on geometrical assumptions and reasoning on the model. Volkau et al. [VZB*05] for instance models a cerebral arterial model from segmented and skeletonized angiographic data. He constructs a vascular structure consisting of tube segments and bifurcations, so this model-based approach limits the domain of representable data quite hard in regards of branching possibilities. The centerline is smoothed with a sliding average filter to prevent problems with outliers and the two kinds of topologies, namely tubular and B-Subdivision based ones, are combined to form the complete vessel structure.

A connected graph is established that captures also the blood flow direction. This is done by splitting it up into two connected tree structures where one is designated as inflow structure. The model shapes the tube segments with decreasing radius with respect to the bloodflow as well as it captures radii change at bifurcations. This is based on statistical data. Radii at bifurcations are approximated with an exponential function whereas radii evolution at tubular parts are done via linear regression. At connection points C1 continuity is established via further constraints. So no abnormal cross section shape or diameter will show up easily in the final result.




Figure 5: Example of refinement with B-subdivision.

[VZB*05]

The bifurcations themselves are constructed based on B-subdivision. The generation of quadrangles of a bifurcation till the overlapping internal part is shown in figure 5. Internal parts are subdivided to fit the outer subdivision. Problems with this scheme arise when all three vessels at the bifurcation form a close to orthogonal connection, where the inner face will be undefined. Also the B-subdivision of tubular segments show problems, namely self intersections when the radius of curvature is smaller than the radius of the tube, shown in figure 6. Further problems mentioned are 'oversampling' or unrealistic sampling of bifurcations according to a too coarse sample pattern or problems with centerline smoothing in very jaggy areas as an acceptable smoothing also will remove essential features of the underlying vascular structure.




Figure 6: Fold of vessel when curvature is higher than tube radius.

[VZB*05]




Figure 7: Estimation of thickness of graph edges.

[SEEK12]

Another geometry based modelling approach is provided by Sibbing et al. [SEEK12]. His work is focusing on quad meshes as they are visually more pleasant, require fewer elements and can produce more stable results in further processing, like FEM. Here the topologically correct extraction of the branching structure is done from a post-processed triangle mesh that is generated from an adapted *Marching Cubes* algorithm based on the interior voxels which are identified via a variant of the *Level Set* method. The extracted mesh is shrunk to a close to one dimensional structure that serves as basis for a tree based graph as well as for radius estimation along its edges, see figure 7. Further the graph is used to subdivide the triangle mesh into tubular parts and parts with one or more furcations with appropriate placed cutting planes. The so called *interfaces* are 2D polygons between adjacent segments. They are defined by the intersection of cutting planes with the triangular mesh.

Quad meshes for the junctions are created with the *Mixed Integer Quadrangulation* by Bommers et al. [BZK09]. The quads are just required to align with the defined boundary to generate radially arranged quads.

Tubes now only have to provide a smooth transition between two interfaces with a possibly different number of vertices. The rings of the tubes are generated out of equidistant iso-contours on the harmonic scalar field on the tube. Triangles are introduced between so called transition rings to compensate for the difference in vertices. The distribution of the transition rings as well as the distribution of the triangles around the tube in a transition case is done via adaption of the Bresenham line rasterization algorithm. Stretching of quads along the tube axis as well as rotations of the rings to get configurations with almost 90 degree angles in the quads, yields close to optimal quad dominant meshes. The advantage of this reconstruction is the smoothing of unwanted artifacts on the original triangle mesh as well as the already shown properties of quad meshes, see figure 8.




Figure 8: (a) The input to our remeshing stage is a smoothed and resampled version of the mesh extracted using the Marching Cubes Algorithm. (b) The mesh is partitioned into junction and tube components. The cuts insert additional vertices at the respective interfaces. (c) We remesh the junctions yielding a fair quad layout. (d) Tubes are remeshed, such that the final mesh is a quad dominant mesh.

[SEEK12]



Figure 9: Transition at branching.

[OP05]

A technique that uses the vessel skeleton as input data but only respects the diameter information at the provided graph is called *convolution surfaces*. The main idea is that *implicit surfaces* describe the original surface by equations to model smooth, deformable objects. Oeltze et al. [OP05] used a combination of so called 'blobs' to model an *iso-surface* out of this scalar field function. The resulting convolution surface is a surface of an object around its skeleton. The convolution itself is done on an integral of 'blob-functions' along the skeleton. It is actually the modification of a signal by a filter, in this case a Gaussian filter. To speed up the process filter functions with finite support are used and the scalar field is only computed within certain bounding volumes around the line segments. Unwanted blending at branchings is avoided by more narrow filter kernels, see figure 9. Blending between segments that are close but not connected is avoided by restricted support on the skeleton, see figure 10.



Figure 10: Unwanted blending.

[OP05]

Still the problems of convolution surfaces are that they only sup-

port a circular base shape at tubes, that unwanted bulging can be suppressed but not completely removed and that unwanted blending of close parts is still possible. Finally the end segments have always the shape of a half sphere which neither represents the normally open ends of vascular trees nor has low deviation from the original surface in that areas.

In contrast model-free mesh generation mostly rely on some implicit functions that should resemble the input data instead of explicit constructive reasoning in geometric elements like tubes and junctions. Also here there are different techniques that respect the original shape more or less. Convolution surfaces therefore form a bridge between model-based and model-free mesh generation as the technique already use an implicit function but limit the shape in a model-based fashion.




Figure 11: Reconstruction of an arterial tree from free-form contours.

[KBT*12]




Figure 12: Mapping of neighboring free-form contour pairs to normalized shapes.

[KBT*12]

To capture vascular profiles and open endings of vascular structures Kretschmer et al. [KBT*12] uses an adaptive modelling with

non circular cross sections. He generates intersection-free surfaces from centerlines with complex outlines, see figure 11. He decomposes the centerline description into segments which are locally described by implicit functions and combines them using boolean operations. In this fashion all intersection- and furcation-related issues are solved in similar fashion to convolution surfaces with the difference that the capturing of the vascular outline is not so limited. A watertight scale-adaptive mesh is generated out of an adaptive octree in the end. The key difference to CS is that the so called *admissible distance functions* (ADFs) just form a class of functions with the property to detect valid iso-surfaces. To find a topology-preserving mapping that forms an intersection free surface part between two adjacent contours on the vascular structure found by the ADFs they follow the idea of *thin plate splines*. The assignment of contour pairs to a limited set of target shapes guarantee a valid surface topology when the contours do not touch, touch or penetrate each other as shown in figure 12.




Figure 13: Improved root-finding of the iso-surface using bisection method.

[KBT*12]

The octree that should capture also the smallest vessels is recursively subdivided at surface intersections with the grid. To speed up queries on the octree a localized approximation of the implicit function with a certain threshold to safely prune cells is used. To improve the quality of the extracted mesh a bisection method is used to interpolate between values when marching cubes is applied, advantages of this scheme is shown in figure 13.




Figure 14: Pipeline of geometric modeling of vascular tree structures.

[WWL*10]

Finally Wu et al. [WWL*10] also generates scale-adaptive surfaces from vascular structures with similar properties. He extracts the vascular boundary voxels from the segmentation and use them to build a 3D point cloud where normals are estimated via covariance analysis. Then a 3D implicit indicator function is computed from the point cloud by solving a Poisson equation. Finally

the surface is generated by adaptive polygonization. The surface is a smooth, morphologically topology correct two-manifold that is scale-adaptive to the local curvature and have fewer and better shaped triangles compared to earlier approaches. The pipeline used is shown in figure 14.

To reconstruct very thin vessel structures an adaptive point extraction is used that relies on the constellation of adjacent object voxels. Normals are estimated on k-nearest neighbours of a point in the point cloud and the smallest eigenvalue of their covariance matrix. The implicit function that approximates the surface of the point samples is a Poisson surface reconstruction. It utilizes the vector field and generates a function gradient best approximates the normal field of the point cloud.




Figure 15: Polygonization of a trifurcate model. A long gap is produced upon the termination of mesh expanding stage (left), and is sewed in the subsequent gap-stitching stage (right).

[WWL*10]




Figure 16: Comparison of triangle quality for an aorta tree. Surface model generated by the marching cubes (a), multi-level partition unity based method (b), subdivision surface based method (c) and scale-adaptive surface method (d). The bottom row is a zoomed region corresponding to the rectangle region of the top row.

[WWL*10]

The polygonization is done by an advancing front algorithm utilizing Newton step method. Care has been taken to ensure that the minimal angle of triangles is maximized in the triangulation step. The resulting gap on the surface is then closed via a stitching operation, see figure 15. Also here the patching triangles are subdivided to a similar size than the surrounding ones. To further refine and smooth the mesh a Loop based subdivision is performed. A comparison of several methods is shown in figure 16. It can be seen that the scale-adaptive nature of the reconstructed mesh together with the smooth and but still good approximation of the underlying shape yields very good results on vascular structures.

4.1. Conclusions

We saw now different techniques of directly and indirectly visualize vascular structures.

...

In regards of the indirect surface visualization techniques we saw that the chosen technology depends heavily upon the further use of the data. For educational visualization sometimes more easy model-based visualizations are preferred as they remove unnecessary or abnormal data more easily and focus on the model that actually should be shown and understood. In clinical diagnosis however every abnormal detail of a blood vessel is maybe of highly interest and so maybe model-free approaches could provide better results as they are closer to the original data. Also the further usage of the generated data may influence the selected methodology. For instance it could be advisable for fluid simulations to have quad based patches instead of triangular ones.

We have to conclude that actually the application leads to the proper selection of the chosen visualization. As the field is an ever growing area we are sure that the techniques presented either will be extended in already suggested or completely new ways along with the evolution of possibly totally new ones.

References

- [A*02] ALEXANDRU T., ET AL.: An augmented fast marching method for computing skeletons and centerlines. 1, 2
- [Biu64] BIUM H.: A transformation for extracting new descriptions of shape. In *Symposium on Models for the Perception of Speech and Visual Form* (1964). 1
- [BZK09] BOMMES D., ZIMMER H., KOBELT L.: Mixed-integer quadrangulation. *ACM Transactions On Graphics (TOG)* 28, 3 (2009), 77. 3
- [EBN02] EBERT D., BRUNET P., NAVAJO I.: An augmented fast marching method for computing skeletons and centerlines. 3
- [KBT*12] KRETSCHMER J., BECK T., TIETJEN C., PREIM B., STAMMINGER M.: Reliable adaptive modelling of vascular structures with non-circular cross-sections. In *Computer Graphics Forum* (2012), vol. 31, Wiley Online Library, pp. 1055–1064. 4, 5
- [OP05] OELTZE S., PREIM B.: Visualization of vasculature with convolution surfaces: Method, validation and evaluation. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging* 24, 4 (2005), 540–548. 4
- [PB13] PREIM B., BOTHA C. P.: *Visual computing for medicine: theory, algorithms, and applications*. Newnes, 2013. 1
- [SEEK12] SIBBING D., EBKE H.-C., ESSER K. I., KOBELT L.: Topology aware quad dominant meshing for vascular structures. In *Workshop on Mesh Processing in Medical Image Analysis* (2012), Springer, pp. 147–158. 3, 4
- [Set96] SETHIAN J. A.: A fast marching level set method for monotonically advancing fronts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 93, 4 (1996), 1591–1595. 2
- [ST04] STRZODKA R., TELEA A.: Generalized distance transforms and skeletons in graphics hardware. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Joint Eurographics-IEEE TCVG conference on Visualization* (2004), Eurographics Association, pp. 221–230. 2, 3
- [VZB*05] VOLKAU I., ZHENG W., BAIMOURATOV R., AZIZ A., NOWINSKI W. L.: Geometric modeling of the human normal cerebral arterial system. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging* 24, 4 (2005), 529–539. 3
- [WWL*10] WU J., WEI M., LI Y., MA X., JIA F., HU Q.: Scale-adaptive surface modeling of vascular structures. *Biomedical engineering online* 9, 1 (2010), 75. 5