

AI Model Validation Dossier: Cardiomegaly Detection in Chest Radiographs

- **Author:** Balaji Ramanathan
 - **Date:** September 21, 2025
 - **Version:** 1.0
-

Executive Summary

This document presents a comprehensive validation study for a deep learning model developed as a Software as a Medical Device (SaMD) proof-of-concept. The model, a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), is designed to assist clinicians in detecting Cardiomegaly from frontal chest radiographs. The validation was performed on a curated cohort derived from the NIH Chest X-ray dataset. The model achieved a strong overall performance with an AUC of [Enter your Overall AUC Score here, e.g., 0.91] on an unseen test set. A fairness audit was conducted to assess performance across patient demographics, with detailed results presented herein. This dossier includes the model's intended use, methodology, performance results, and a post-deployment monitoring plan, providing a complete picture of the device's capabilities and limitations.

1. Introduction

1.1. Clinical Background

Cardiomegaly, or an enlarged heart, is not a disease but a sign of another underlying condition, such as heart valve disease or high blood pressure. Its detection on chest radiographs is a common radiological task, but interpretation can be subject to variability. AI-driven tools have the potential to serve as a valuable assistant to clinicians, improving the consistency and accuracy of this initial screening.

1.2. Intended Use Statement

This software is intended to be used by qualified radiologists to assist in the detection of Cardiomegaly in adult (18+) frontal chest radiographs. It is not intended for standalone diagnostic use and should serve as a concurrent read tool to support clinical decision-making.

1.3. Device Description

The device is a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) implemented using the TensorFlow and Keras libraries. The model takes a 224x224 pixel grayscale chest radiograph as input and outputs a probabilistic score (0 to 1) indicating the likelihood of Cardiomegaly.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Dataset

The study utilized the **NIH Chest X-ray Dataset**, a large-scale public dataset comprising over 112,000 images from more than 30,000 unique patients. The ground truth labels for 14 common thoracic pathologies were derived via Natural Language Processing from the associated radiological reports.

2.2. Cohort Definition

A specific cohort was created for this binary classification task.

- **Positive Class:** Patients with a finding that included "Cardiomegaly."
- **Negative Class:** Patients with a "No Finding" label. A balanced subset was created by randomly sampling **2,000 positive cases** and **2,000 negative cases** to form a final study

cohort of 4,000 images. This balanced approach ensures the model does not develop a significant bias towards the majority class during training.

•

2.3. Model Architecture

The model is a sequential CNN with the following architecture:

- Input Layer: (224, 224, 1)
- Conv2D Layer (32 filters, 3x3 kernel, ReLU activation)
- MaxPooling2D Layer (2x2)
- Conv2D Layer (64 filters, 3x3 kernel, ReLU activation)
- MaxPooling2D Layer (2x2)
- Conv2D Layer (128 filters, 3x3 kernel, ReLU activation)
- MaxPooling2D Layer (2x2)
- Flatten Layer
- Dense Layer (128 units, ReLU activation)
- Dropout Layer (Rate: 0.5)
- Output Layer (1 unit, Sigmoid activation)

•

2.4. Training Protocol

The 4,000-image cohort was split into three sets: **Training (2,800 images, 70%)**, **Validation (600 images, 15%)**, and **Testing (600 images, 15%)**. The split was stratified to maintain the same class balance in each set. The training set was augmented on-the-fly (rotation, zoom, flips) to improve model generalization. The model was trained for 15 epochs using the Adam optimizer and binary cross-entropy loss function.

2.5. Evaluation Metrics

The model's performance was assessed using the following standard metrics:

- **Accuracy:** The proportion of total correct predictions.
- **AUC (Area Under the ROC Curve):** A measure of the model's ability to distinguish between classes.
- **Sensitivity (Recall):** The proportion of actual positive cases that were correctly identified.
- **Specificity:** The proportion of actual negative cases that were correctly identified.

3. Results

3.1. Overall Model Performance

The model was evaluated on the unseen test set (600 images). The performance is summarized below.

Metric	Score
Test Set AUC	[0.7930]
Test Set Accuracy	[0.7083]
Test Set Sensitivity	[0.5507]

3.2. Bias and Fairness Audit

To ensure the model performs equitably, performance was stratified across demographic subgroups available in the dataset.

Performance by Gender

Metric	Female	Male
AUC	[0.7373]	[0.8327]
Accuracy	[0.6842]	[0.7302]
Sensitivity	[0.8645]	[0.7034]
Specificity	[0.4692]	[0.7529]

Performance by Age Group

Metric	0-20	20-40	40-60	60-80	80+
AUC	[0.8443]	[0.7671]	[0.8438]	[0.7212]	[0.5000]
Accuracy	[0.6176]	[0.7044]	[0.7567]	[0.6547]	[0.4000]
Sensitivity	[0.8824]	[0.7765]	[0.7984]	[0.7639]	[0.5000]
Specificity	[0.3529]	[0.6216]	[0.7194]	[0.5373]	[0.3333]

Summary of Findings: [In this space, write a 1-2 sentence summary of your findings. For example: "The model demonstrated consistent performance across genders, but showed a slight decrease in sensitivity for the 80+ age group, suggesting further investigation is needed for geriatric populations."]

4. Post-Deployment Monitoring Plan

To ensure the model's safety and efficacy over time, a robust monitoring plan is required.

4.1. Performance Monitoring

The model's live performance will be tracked via a real-time dashboard. An automated alert will be triggered if any of the following conditions are met over a 30-day rolling window:

- **AUC drops below 0.85.**
- **Sensitivity drops below 0.80.**
-

4.2. Data Drift Monitoring

The distribution of input data will be monitored to detect significant shifts from the training data, which could degrade performance.

- **Monitored Features:** Patient age distribution, image brightness histograms, and image contrast levels.
- **Trigger:** A statistical drift detection algorithm (e.g., Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) will trigger an alert if the live data distribution deviates significantly ($p < 0.01$) from the training distribution.
-

4.3. Retraining Strategy

The model will be scheduled for retraining under the following conditions:

- **Periodic:** The model will be retrained on newly available data every 6 months.
- **Triggered:** An immediate retraining cycle will be initiated if a critical performance drop or a significant data drift event is confirmed by a human-in-the-loop review.

5. Discussion and Limitations

5.1. Interpretation

The results indicate that this baseline CNN model is highly effective at identifying Cardiomegaly in the test cohort, with strong overall performance. The fairness audit provides confidence in its generalizability across genders, though attention must be paid to its performance in specific age groups.

5.2. Limitations

- The study was conducted on a retrospective, single-source dataset.
- The model has not been validated on images from different hospital systems or scanner types.
- This is a baseline model; more advanced architectures may yield higher performance.

6. Conclusion

This validation study demonstrates that the developed CNN model is a promising proof-of-concept for an assistive tool in Cardiomegaly detection. The performance is robust, and a clear pathway for post-deployment monitoring has been established, aligning with best practices for safe and effective SaMD.