8. Aufgabenblatt vom Samstag, den 14. Dezember 2019 zur Vorlesung

ALP I: Funktionale Programmierung Bearbeiter: A. Rudolph und F. Formanek Tutor: Stephanie Hoffmann Tutorium 06

Abgabe: bis Montag, den 06. Januar 2020, 10:10 Uhr

1. **Aufgabe** (24 Punkte)

(a) Behauptung: $reverse(reverse \ xs) = xs$ Induktionsanfang: xs = []

reverse(reverse
$$[\]$$
) $\stackrel{rev.1}{=}$ reverse $[\]$ $\stackrel{rev.1}{=}$ $[\]$ \equiv xs

Induktionsvorraussetzung: für xs = xs' gilt:

$$reverse(reverse xs') = xs'$$

Indukionsschritt: Sei xs = (x:xs')

$$reverse(reverse(x:xs')) = (x:xs')$$

$$\stackrel{rev.2}{\equiv} reverse(reversexs' + +[x]) = (x:xs')$$

$$\stackrel{rev.2}{\equiv} (reverse[x]) + reverse(reversexs') = (x:xs')$$

$$\stackrel{rev.2}{\equiv} (reverse[] + +[x]) + reverse(reversexs') = (x:xs')$$

$$\stackrel{rev.1}{\equiv} ([] + +[x]) + reverse(reversexs') = (x:xs')$$

$$\stackrel{(++).1}{\equiv} [x] + reverse(reversexs') = (x:xs')$$

Das bedeutet, dass die Behauptung für alle xs (endliche Listen) gilt.

(b) Behauptung: reverse(xs ++ ys) = reverse ys ++ reverse xsInduktionsanfang: xs = []

$$reverse([] + +ys) = reverse \ ys \ + + reverse \ []$$
 $\stackrel{rev.1}{\equiv} reverse([] + +ys) = reverse \ ys \ + + \ []$
 $\stackrel{(++).1}{\equiv} reverse \ ys = reverse \ ys$

Induktionsvorraussetzung: für xs = xs' gilt:

reverse(xs' ++ ys) = reverse ys ++ reverse xs'

Indukionsschritt: Sei xs = (x:xs')

$$reverse((x:xs') + + ys) = reverse \ ys + + reverse(x:xs')$$

$$\stackrel{rev.2}{\equiv} reverse((x:xs') + + ys) = reverse \ ys + + (reverse \ xs' + + [x])$$

$$\stackrel{(++).2}{\equiv} reverse(x:(xs' + + ys)) = reverse \ ys + + (reverse \ xs' + + [x])$$

$$\stackrel{rev.2}{\equiv} reverse(xs' + + ys) + + [x] = reverse \ ys + + (reverse \ xs' + + [x])$$

$$\stackrel{nachIV}{\equiv} reverse \ ys + + reverse \ xs' + + [x] = reverse \ ys + + reverse \ xs' + + [x]$$

$$\stackrel{nachIV}{\equiv} reverse \ ys + + reverse \ xs' + + [x] = reverse \ ys + + reverse \ xs' + + [x]$$

Das bedeutet, dass die Behauptung für alle xs (endliche Listen) gilt.

(c) Behauptung: elem a $(xs ++ ys) = elem \ a \ xs \mid\mid elem \ a \ ys$ Induktionsanfang: xs = []

$$\begin{array}{ll} elem\ a\ ([]\ ++\ ys) = elem\ a\ []\ ||\ elem\ a\ ys\\ \stackrel{(++).1}{\equiv}\ elem\ a\ ys & = elem\ a\ []\ ||\ elem\ a\ ys\\ \stackrel{elem.1}{\equiv}\ elem\ a\ ys & = elem\ a\ ys \\ \stackrel{elem\ a\ ys}{\equiv}\ elem\ a\ ys & = elem\ a\ ys \end{array}$$

Induktionsvorraussetzung: für xs = xs' gilt: elem a (xs' ++ ys) =elem a xs' || elem a ys

Indukionsschritt: Sei xs = (x:xs')Fall 1 (x immer ungleich y):

$$\begin{array}{lll} & elem\ a\ ((x:xs')\ ++ys) & = elem\ a\ (x:xs')\ ||\ elem\ a\ ys \\ & \stackrel{(++).2}{\equiv} elem\ a\ (x:(xs'\ ++ys)) & = elem\ a\ (x:xs')\ ||\ elem\ a\ ys \\ & \stackrel{elem.3}{\equiv} elem\ a\ (x:(xs'\ ++ys)) & = elem\ a\ ys \\ & \stackrel{elem\ a\ (x:(xs'\ ++ys)) & = elem\ a\ ys \\ & \stackrel{elem\ a\ (x:(xs'\ ++ys)) & = elem\ a\ ys \\ & \stackrel{elem\ a\ (x:(xs'\ ++ys)) & = elem\ a\ ys \\ & \stackrel{a\ elem\ a\ (x:(xs'\ ++ys)) & = elem\ a\ ys \\ & \stackrel{a\ elem\ a\ (x:(xs'\ ++ys)) & = elem\ a\ ys \\ & \stackrel{a\ elem\ a\ (x:(xs'\ ++ys)) & = elem\ a\ ys \\ & \stackrel{elem\ a\ (x:(xs'\ ++ys)) & = elem\ a\ (x:(xs'\ ++ys)) \\ & \stackrel{elem\ a\ (x:(xs'\ ++ys)) & = elem\ a\ (x:(xs'\ ++ys)) \\ & \stackrel{elem\ a$$

Liefert kein eindeutiges Ergebnis

Fall 2 (x==y):

$$\begin{array}{ll} elem \ a \ ((x:xs') \ ++ys) & = elem \ a \ (x:xs') \ || \ elem \ a \ ys \\ \stackrel{(++).2}{\equiv} elem \ a \ (x:(xs' \ ++ys)) & = elem \ a \ (x:xs') \ || \ elem \ a \ ys \\ \stackrel{elem.2}{\equiv} elem \ a \ (x:(xs' \ ++ys)) & = True \\ \equiv elem \ a \ (x:(xs' \ ++ys)) & = True \\ \stackrel{elem.2}{\equiv} True \ || \ elem \ a \ (xs' \ ++ys) & = True \\ \stackrel{elem.2}{\equiv} True \ || \ elem \ a \ (xs' \ ++ys) & = True \\ \equiv True & = True \end{array}$$

Das bedeutet, dass die Behauptung für (fast) alle xs (endliche Listen) gilt.

(d) Behauptung: $(takeWhile \ p \ xs) ++ (dropWhile \ p \ xs) = xs$ Induktionsanfang: $xs = [\]$

Induktionsvorraussetzung: für xs = xs' gilt: (takeWhile p xs') ++ (dropWhile p xs') = xs'

Indukionsschritt: Sei xs = (x:xs')

$$(takeWhile \ p \ (x : xs')) \ + + (dropWhile \ p \ (x : xs')) = (x : xs')$$

$$\stackrel{takeW.2}{\equiv} (x : (takeWhile \ p \ xs')) \ + + (dropWhile \ p \ (x : xs')) = (x : xs')$$

$$\stackrel{dropW.2}{\equiv} (x : (takeWhile \ p \ xs')) \ + + (dropWhile \ p \ xs') \qquad = (x : xs')$$

$$\stackrel{(++).2}{\equiv} x : ((takeWhile \ p \ xs') \ + + (dropWhile \ p \ xs') \qquad = (x : xs')$$

$$\stackrel{nachIV}{\equiv} (x : xs') \qquad = (x : xs')$$

Das bedeutet, dass die Behauptung für alle xs (endliche Listen) gilt.

(e) Behauptung: $map (f \cdot g) xs = map f xs \cdot map g xs$ Induktionsanfang: xs = []

$$\begin{array}{c} map \; (f \; . \; g) \; [] = map \; f \; [] \; . \; map \; g \; [] \\ \stackrel{map.1}{\equiv} [] \qquad \qquad = [] \end{array}$$

Induktionsvorraussetzung: für xs = xs' gilt:

$$map (f . g) xs' = map f xs' . map g xs'$$

Indukionsschritt: Sei xs = (x:xs')

Das bedeutet, dass die Behauptung für alle xs (endliche Listen) gilt.

(f) Behauptung: map f. concat = concat. map(map f)Induktionsanfang: xs = []

Induktionsvorraussetzung: für xs = xs' gilt:

$$map f \cdot concat xs' = concat \cdot map(map f) xs'$$

Indukionsschritt: Sei
$$xs = (x:xs')$$

2. **Aufgabe** (4 Punkte)

Behauptung: $length(powset\ xs) = 2^{(length(xs))}$

Induktionsanfang: xs = []

$$length(powset []) = 2^{(length [])}$$

$$\stackrel{e.1}{\equiv} length(powset []) = 2^{1}$$

$$\stackrel{pow.1}{\equiv} length([[]]) = 2^{1}$$

$$\stackrel{e.1}{\equiv} 1 = 2^{1}$$

$$\equiv 1 = 1$$

Induktionsvorraussetzung: für xs = xs' gilt: length(powset xs') = $2^{(length(xs'))}$

Indukionsschritt: Sei xs = (x:xs')

$$length(powset\ (x:xs')) = 2^{(length(x:xs'))}$$

$$\stackrel{pow.^2}{\equiv} length(powset\ xs'\ + + [x:ys\ |\ ys \leftarrow powset\ xs']) = 2^{(length(x:xs'))}$$

$$\stackrel{nachIV}{\equiv} 2^{(length\ xs')} + (length\ [x:ys\ |\ ys \leftarrow powset\ xs']) = 2^{(length(x:xs'))}$$

$$\stackrel{e.1}{\equiv} 2^{(length\ xs')} + (length\ (powset\ xs')\ + 1) = 2^{(length(x:xs'))}$$

$$\stackrel{nachIV}{\equiv} 2^{(length\ xs')} + 2^{((length\ xs')\ + 1)} = 2^{(length(x:xs'))}$$

$$= 2^{(length\ xs')} + 2^{($$

Das bedeutet, dass die Behauptung für alle xs (endliche Listen) gilt.

3. **Aufgabe** (4 Bonuspunkte)

Behauptung: $sumLeaves\ t = sumNodes\ t + 1$

Induktionsanfang: Sei t = (Leaf x)

$$sumLeaves (Leaf x) = sumNodes (Leaf x) + 1$$

$$\stackrel{sumL.1}{\equiv} 1 = sumNodes (Leaf x) + 1$$

$$\stackrel{sumN.1}{\equiv} 1 = 0 + 1$$

$$\stackrel{\equiv}{\equiv} 1 = 1$$

Induktionsvorraussetzung: für t = (Node x lt rt) gilt:

```
\begin{aligned} \text{sumLeaves lt} &= \text{sumNodes lt} + 1 \\ &\quad \text{und} \\ \text{sumLeaves rt} &= \text{sumNodes rt} + 1 \end{aligned}
```

Indukionsschritt: Sei t = (Node x lt rt)

```
\begin{array}{lll} sumLeaves \ (Node \ x \ lt \ rt) &= sumNodes \ (Node \ x \ lt \ rt) \ + \ 1 \\ \stackrel{sumL.2}{\equiv} sumLeaves \ lt \ + \ sumLeaves \ rt &= sumNodes \ (Node \ x \ lt \ rt) \ + \ 1 \\ \stackrel{sumN.2}{\equiv} sumLeaves \ lt \ + \ sumLeaves \ rt &= 1 \ + \ sumNodes \ lt \ + \ sumNodes \ rt \ + \ 1 \\ \stackrel{nachIV}{\equiv} sumNodes \ lt \ + \ lt \ + \ sumNodes \ rt \ + \ 1 \\ \stackrel{machIV}{\equiv} sumNodes \ lt \ + \ sumNodes \ rt \ + \ 2 &= sumNodes \ lt \ + \ sumNodes \ rt \ + \ 2 \end{array}
```

Das bedeutet, dass die Behauptung für alle t (endliche Binärbäume) gilt.

4. **Aufgabe** (6 Bonuspunkte)

Behauptung: sum . tree 2List = sum Tree

Induktionsanfang 1.1: Sei t = Nil

$$\begin{array}{c} sum \;.\; tree2List \; Nil = sumTree \; Nil \\ \stackrel{sumT.1}{\equiv} sum \;.\; tree2List \; Nil = 0 \\ \stackrel{t2L.1}{\equiv} sum \; [] & = 0 \\ \stackrel{sum.1}{\equiv} 0 & = 0 \end{array}$$

Induktionsanfang 1.2: Sei t = (Leaf x)

$$\begin{array}{c} sum . \ tree2List \ (Leaf \ x) = sumTree \ (Leaf \ x) \\ \stackrel{sumT.2}{\equiv} sum . \ tree2List \ (Leaf \ x) = x \\ \stackrel{t2L.2}{\equiv} sum \ [x] &= x \\ \equiv sum(x:[]) &= x \\ \stackrel{sum.2}{\equiv} x + sum \ [] &= x \\ \stackrel{sum.1}{\equiv} x + 0 &= x \\ \equiv x &= x \end{array}$$

Induktionsvorraussetzung: für t = (Node x lt rt) gilt:

```
\begin{aligned} \text{sum . tree2List lt} &= \text{sumTree lt} \\ &\quad \text{und} \\ &\text{sum . tree2List rt} &= \text{sumTree rt} \end{aligned}
```

Indukionsschritt: Sei t = (Node x lt rt)

```
\begin{array}{lll} sum \ . \ list2Tree \ (Node \ x \ lt \ rt) &= sumTree \ (Node \ x \ lt \ rt) \\ \equiv sum \ . \ list2Tree \ (Node \ x \ lt \ rt) &= x + sumTree \ lt + sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv sum (tree2List \ lt \ + + [x] \ + + tree2List \ rt) &= x + sumTree \ lt + sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv sum \ [x] \ + \ (sum(tree2List \ lt)) \ + \ (sum(tree2List \ rt)) = x + sumTree \ lt + sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv sum \ [x] \ + \ sumTree \ lt \ + sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x \ + \ sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ sumTree \ rt \\ \equiv x + sumTree \ lt \ + \ s
```

Das bedeutet, dass die Behauptung für alle t (endliche Binärbäume) gilt.