Overview
Oracle to PostgreSQL
Informix to PostgreSQL
MySQL to PostgreSQL
MSSQL to PostgreSQL
Replication and/or High Availability
Discussion

Migration to PostgreSQL - preparation and methodology

Joe Conway, Michael Meskes

credativ Group

September 14, 2011





Joe Conway - Open Source

- PostgreSQL (and Linux) user since 1999
 - Community member since 2000
 - Contributor since 2001
 - Committer since 2003
- PostgreSQL Features
 - PL/R
 - Set-returning (a.k.a. table) functions feature
 - Improved bytea and array datatypes, index support
 - Polymorphic argument types
 - Multi-row VALUES list capability
 - Original privilege introspection functions
 - pg_settings VIEW and related functions
 - dblink, connectby(), crosstab()), generate_series()





Joe Conway - Business

- Currently President/CEO of credativ USA
- Previously IT Director of large company
- Wide variety of experience, closed and open source
- Full profile:
 - http://www.linkedin.com/in/josepheconway





Michael Meskes - Open Source

- Since 1993 Free Software
- Since 1994 Linux
- Since 1995 Debian GNU/Linux
- Since 1998 PostgreSQL, mostly ECPG





Michael Meskes - Business

- 1992 1996 Ph.D
- 1996 1998 Project Manager
- 1998 2000 Branch Manager
- since 2000 President of credativ Group





Why migrate?

Free and Open Source Software

- No licence cost
- Open standards
- High quality software and support
- White box testing
- Tailor-made standard software
- Independence
- Protection of investment





Intro to Migration

- Choose a capable manager
- Create a solid planning basis
- Design top-down, implement bottom-up
- Consider all processes and data traffic
- No interim, temporary or isolated solutions
- Essential parts have to be redundant
- Remember training, maintenance and support





Intro to Database Migration

- Porting projects are hard
- SQL Standard and compatibility layers are not a panacea
- You might be better off not migrating
- Success can pay off big

Disclaimers:

- Presentation written from perspective of PostgreSQL expert
- Almost anything is possible; we are looking for reasonable options
- 3 hours is not nearly enough time to cover this topic in depth





Best case

- Admins know PostgreSQL
- Middleware supports PostgeSQL
- Standard datatypes
- Standard SQL code
- \Rightarrow Only one hour of work
- ⇒ Instant Return of Investment!





Lots of licenses

- 600 Installations
- \$5,000 per database server
- \$150,000 up-front migration costs
- \$2,000 additional rollout costs
- 25 rollouts per month
- ⇒ Return of Investment: 2 months after begin of rollout!





Lot of Migration Work

- 1800 installations with 2 servers each
- \$2,000 per installation per year
- Migration costs \$1,000,000
- \$1,000 additional rollout costs
- 125 rollouts per month
- ⇒ Return of Investment: 8 months after begin of rollout!





Inventory Your Requirements

- What features of the incumbent database are in use by your application?
- Which of them are unique and likely need substitution?
- What PostgreSQL specific features would bring great benefits?
- What are your upcoming requirements?





Inventory Your Requirements

Requirements to consider

- Data Types
- Database Object Types
- SQL Syntax
- Stored Functions and/or Procedures
- Client libraries
- Encodings
- Replication and/or High Availability
- Extensions





Data types

PostgreSQL supported Data Types

- INTEGER, NUMERIC, DOUBLE PRECISION
- CHARACTER (CHAR), CHARACTER VARYING (VARCHAR), TEXT
- TIMESTAMP WITH[OUT] TIME ZONE, INTERVAL
- BYTEA, BOOLEAN, BIT

http://www.postgresql.org/docs/9.0/interactive/datatype.html





Data types

PostgreSQL supported Data Types

- large object
- spatial, geometric
- full text
- XML, UUID, network address
- composite, array, enumerated
- others . . .

http://www.postgresql.org/docs/9.0/interactive/datatype.html

http://www.postgis.org/documentation/manual-1.5/





Database Object Types

PostgreSQL supported Object Types

- DATABASE, SCHEMA
- USER, GROUP, ROLE
- TABLE, INDEX, SEQUENCE, VIEW, FOREIGN
- FUNCTION, AGGREGATE, TRIGGER, RULE, OPERATOR
- TYPE, DOMAIN, CAST, COLLATION, CONVERSION
- EXTENSION, LANGUAGE, TABLESPACE, TEXT SEARCH





SQL Syntax

- Identifiers
 - UPPER
 - lower
 - MiXeD_cAsE
- NULL value handling
- Sub-selects
 - targe list
 - FROM clause
 - WHERE clause
 - correlated
 - uncorrelated





SQL Syntax

- Outer joins
- WITH clause
- WINDOW clause
- UPSERT/MERGE





Stored Functions and/or Procedures

PostgreSQL supports Stored Functions

```
SELECT a, foo(b) FROM bar;
SELECT a, b FROM foo() AS t(a, b);
```

PostgreSQL does not support Stored Procedures

```
EXEC sp_foo(42);
CALL sp_bar('abc');
```





Stored Functions

- PL/pgSQL similar to PL/SQL
- Also distributed with PostgreSQL
 - C, SQL, Perl, Python, Tcl
- Other languages available:
 - Java, PHP, Ruby, R, Shell, others . . .





Client libraries

PostgreSQL supported Client Libraries

- Interface available in virtually every programming language
 - Check syntax and semantics
 - Use Database agnostic interface, e.g. Perl DBI
- ODBC, .Net, JDBC
- ECPG





Encodings

PostgreSQL supported Encodings

- Too many to list
- Pay attention to:
 - server vs. client-only encodings
 - compatible conversions and locale settings
- See:

 $\verb|http://www.postgresql.org/docs/9.0/interactive/multibyte.html|$





Replication and/or High Availability

PostgreSQL supported HA and Replication Options

Covered separately later in this presentation





Extensions

- Current "other" database extensions in use
 - ⇒ Check equivalent PostgreSQL extension availability
- Existing PostgreSQL extensions
 - \Rightarrow Leverage where it makes sense
- Missing PostgreSQL extensions
 - \Rightarrow Write your own!





Database Conversion

General Thoughts

- Practice, practice, practice, . . .
- Plan final conversion well in advance
- Convert
- Check
- Go live!





Practice

- Script your conversion
 - Figuratively: document the steps to be taken
 - Literally: automate the data processing and checking as much as possible
- Identify criterion to declare success
 - No unexpected errors
 - Time meets available window
 - One or more methods to check result for correctness
- Execute your conversion script, beginning to end
- Rinse and repeat until consistently flawless





Convert

Conversion - possible methodologies

- Hard cutover
 - Requires downtime
 - Provides cleanest result
- Continuous cutover
 - Use external replication or manual sync
 - Minimal downtime
 - Tricky to do
 - Very difficult to verify absolute correctness
- Dual entry/overlap system operation
 - No downtime
 - Laborious and error prone
 - Provides easy fallback





Check

- Logged ERRORs and WARNINGs
- Row counts
- Data sampling
- Data diffs
- A-B-A test
- Application regression testing





Data Types: General

- Both Oracle and PostgreSQL support plenty of SQL-conforming data types.
- But usually the nonconforming ones are in wider use.
- Thin compatibility layers can usually help, but that will make your PostgreSQL application unpretty.
- A big search-and-replace is usually in order.





Data Types: Specifics

- VARCHAR2 → VARCHAR or TEXT
- CLOB, LONG → VARCHAR or TEXT
- NCHAR, NVARCHAR2, NCLOB → VARCHAR or TEX)
- NUMBER → NUMERIC or BIGINT or INT or SMALLINT or DOUBLE PRECISION or REAL (bug potential)
- BINRAY_FLOAT/BINARY_DOUBLE → REAL/DOUBLE PRECISION
- BLOB, RAW, LONG RAW → BYTEA (additional porting required)
- DATE → DATE or TIMESTAMP





Null Values

- Infamous Oracle behaviour: NULL = ''
- Consequently, '' = '' is not true
- Completely weird and inconsistent
- Usually, your data will just disappear in PostgreSQL
- transform_null_equals does not help here
- If your application relies on any of this, you are in trouble.





Sequences: Creating

Sequences are somewhat compatible ...

- Change NOCACHE to CACHE 1 (or omit).

Don't rely on the caching behaviour.





Sequences: Using

- Oracle syntax: sequence_name.nextval
- PostgreSQL syntax: nextval('sequence_name')

Search-and-replace; but direct sequence calls are rare.





ROWNUM and ROWID

ROWNUM:

- Use generate_series, or
- Rewrite and apply LIMIT, or
- Just handle in the client

ROWID:

- Analogous to ctid
- Good code should usually not use this.
- That does not prevent some from trying.





Syntax

Identifiers Oracle case folds to upper case, PostgreSQL to lower case. Big trouble if you mix quoted and unquoted identifiers.

Column aliases SELECT foo [AS] bar — Most Oracle applications omit the AS, but PostgreSQL requires it. Fixed in PostgreSQL 8.4.

MINUS Change to EXCEPT.

SQL key words Usually not a big problem, but should be kept in mind.

"FROM dual" Easy to work around (or use orafce).





Outer Joins

- PostgreSQL only supports the SQL-standard outer join syntax.
- Oracle supports it since version 9.
- Most Oracle code uses the old, Oracle-specific syntax.
- Porting is usually straightforward, but requires manual work.
- Set up test queries to catch porting mistakes.





Functions: General

- Function compatibility is a bottomless pit.
- PostgreSQL (+ orafce) supports many Oracle compatibility functions.
- It's easy to write your own.
- Only the special syntax spells trouble.





Functions: Compatibility

For example, the following common functions are supported by PostgreSQL as well:

- substr
- to_char
- nvl, nullif (orafce)





Overview
Oracle to PostgreSQL
Informix to PostgreSQL
MySQL to PostgreSQL
MSSQL to PostgreSQL
Replication and/or High Availability

Data Types
Database Object Types
SQL Syntax
Stored Functions and/or Procedures
Client Libraries
Encodings
Tools
Moze work

Functions: Specifics

Manual work required here:

ullet sysdate o current_timestamp or localtimestamp





Functions: decode

```
DECODE(expr, search, expr, ... [, default])
```

becomes

CASE WHEN expr THEN search .. ELSE default END





Default Parameters

- PostgreSQL supports neither default values for parameters nor named parameters in function calls.
- Oracle applications make ample use of both.
- Approx. 97% of applications to be ported contain issues like this.
- Client code must be reworked.
- Adding this support in PostgreSQL would be a great feature.





Client Libraries

- OCI ⇒ rewrite with libpq
- ODBC √
- JDBC √
- Perl-DBI √
- Pro*C ⇒ use ECPG Lot of additions for compatibility.





Usage

```
ecpg prog1.pgc
# (creates prog1.c)

cc -c -I/usr/include/postgresql prog1.c
# (creates prog1.o)

cc -o prog prog1.o ... -lecpg
# (creates prog)
```





ECPG

- Mostly works out of the box
- Parser
- Runtime: Pro*C as blueprint





Parser

- Connect database syntax
- EXEC SQL VAR
- EXEC SQL TYPE
- EXEC SQL IFNDEF





Host variables

```
EXEC SQL BEGIN DECLARE SECTION; /* needed for ECPG */
int v1;
VARCHAR v2;
EXEC SQL END DECLARE SECTION;
. . .
EXEC SQL DECLARE foo CURSOR FOR SELECT a, b FROM test;
. . .
do {
    EXEC SQL FETCH NEXT FROM foo INTO :v1, :v2;
    . . .
} while (...);
```





Encodings

- Both Oracle and PostgreSQL support the same ideas.
- But everything is named differently.
- Might be a good time to review the encoding and locale choices.





orafce

http://orafce.projects.postgresql.org/

- Large set of Oracle compatibility functions
- "dual" table
- Debian and RPM packages available
- Invaluable





ora2pg

http://ora2pg.projects.postgresql.org/

- Converts Oracle schema definitions
- Extracts data from Oracle database for import into PostgreSQL
- Packages available
- Invaluable





TOra

http://tora.sourceforge.net/

- GUI for PostgreSQL and Oracle
- Contains exploration and debugging facilities for Oracle
- Packages available, but usually without Oracle support
- Generally a bit outdated, but good for this purpose





Things That Won't Work Directly

CONNECT BY Try contrib/tablefunc or WITH RECURSIVE.

Materialized views Write your own wrapper.

Snapshots Write your own wrapper.

Database links Use contrib/dblink plus views or FDW.

Autonomous transactions Try dblink.

Synonyms Try views or wrapper or schema path.

Partitioning Write your own system.





Coincidence?

If you need help:

Oracle Ask Tom: http://asktom.oracle.com/

PostgreSQL Ask Tom: tgl@sss.pgh.pa.us





Datatype Mapping - Numeric Types

Numeric Datatypes in Informix are mostly compatible with PostgreSQL datatypes

- SERIAL present in PostgreSQL with different syntax
- SMALLINT
- INTEGER
- FLOAT
- SMALLFLOAT \Rightarrow REAL or FLOAT4
- DECIMAL(p, s) \Rightarrow NUMERIC(p, s)





Character datatypes

- ullet CHAR(n), NCHAR(n) \Rightarrow CHAR(n), CHARACTER(n)
- VARCHAR(n,r), NVARCHAR(n,r), CHARACTER VARYING(n,r)
 ⇒ VARCHAR(n)
- Variables length types can be larger than 255 bytes in PostgreSQL
- No minimal length specifier r in PostgreSQL
- TEXT must be handled carefully: Informix allows arbitrary encoded literals in such columns ⇒ encoding issues
- ullet LVARCHAR \Rightarrow TEXT or VARCHAR





Binary datatypes

- BYTE, BLOB, CBLOB ⇒ BYTEA
- Handling different: PostgreSQL allows direct access to bytea columns
- Different output formats: bytea_output
- TEXT \Rightarrow BYTEA or TEXT





Binary datatypes - Hints

- Binary datatypes should be matched to BYTEA
- Textual datatypes like TEXT must be carefully evaluated: they might contain different encodings, which can't be used with PostgreSQL's TEXT datatype
- 4 Handling of BYTEA is much easier in PostgreSQL
- The old LOB interface in PostgreSQL should only be used when values larger than one GByte must be stored.





Data Types Stored Functions and/or Procedure Client Libraries

Complex datatypes

- SET ⇒ array type, issues remain (e.g. uniqueness of elements aren't checked in PostgreSQL arrays)
- Same with MULTISET, but it also allows duplicate entries in Informix
- LIST ⇒ ENUM or array type
- ROW ⇒ composite types in PostgreSQL (CREATE TYPE)
- No datatype inheritance in PostgreSQL (CREATE TYPE...UNDER())

Generally, migrating such types require deep investigation how they are used and implemented in the application.





User Defined Functions - SPL

SPL should be migrated to PL/PgSQL

- Named Parameters and default parameters are supported since PostgreSQL 9.0
- Syntax differences in declarations, conditional statements
- PROCEDURES with CALL have a different notion in PostgreSQL
- Parameter declaration DEFINE must be moved into DECLARE section.
- LET variable assignments are done with :=.
- Migrating cursor usage within a FOREACH statement





Client Libraries

- 4GL ⇒ Aubit (http://aubit4gl.sourceforge.net)
- ODBC √
- JDBC √
- ESQL/C ⇒ use ECPG
 Lot of additions for compatibility.





ECPG

- Mostly works out of the box
- Compatibility modes: INFORMIX, INFORMIX_SE
- Parser
- Runtime behaviour
- Compatibility library





Parser

- EXEC SQL ⇒ \$
- EXEC SQL IFDEF|IFNDEF|ELSE|ELIF|ENDIF
- EXEC SQL VAR
- EXEC SQL TYPE
- EXEC SQL CLOSE database





Runtime

- NULL handling: risnull(), rsetnull()
- SQLDA handling
- Data conversion
- Error codes
- Decimal type





Compatibility Library

- ESQL/C Function Library ⇒ PGTypeslib
- Decimal: decadd(), . . .
- Date: rdayofweek(), . . .
- Datetime: dtcurrent(), . . .
- Interval: intoasc(), . . .
- Misc: rupshift(), . . .





Resources

PostgreSQL Wiki:

http://wiki.postgresql.org/wiki/Converting_from_other_Databases_to_PostgreSQL#MySQL

mysqldump --compatible=postgresql
 Equivalent to PIPES_AS_CONCAT, ANSI_QUOTES, IGNORE_SPACE, NO_KEY_OPTIONS, NO_TABLE_OPTIONS, NO_FIELD_OPTIONS

http://dev.mysql.com/doc/refman/5.1/en/server-sql-mode.html

MySQL built-in-function equivalents

http://okbob.blogspot.com/2009/08/mysql-functions-for-postgresql.html





Cautions

Even when syntax matches, semantics can be different

- MySQL behavior of out-of-range/overflow/bad values with strict mode off
- Semantics of familiar operators, e.g.

```
SELECT 10°3; --> 9 : In MySQL

SELECT 10°3; --> 1000 : In Postgres

SELECT '1' || '0'; --> 1 : In MySQL

SELECT '1' || '0'; --> '10': In Postgres
```

Therefore – test, test, test, . . .





General

- Too many combinations/types to cover exhaustively
- Data type aliases make this worse





Integers

- MySQL: 1, 2, 3, 4, 8 byte signed/unsigned integers
 ⇒ TINYINT, SMALLINT, MEDIUMINT, INT, BIGINT
- MySQL: supports attributes display width and ZEROFILL
 ⇒ INT(4) ZEROFILL column would display 42 as 0042
- Postgres: 2, 4, 8 byte signed integers, 1 byte "char"
 ⇒ SMALLINT, INTEGER, BIGINT, "char"
- BIGINT UNSIGNED ⇒ NUMERIC or DOUBLE PRECISION
- INT UNSIGNED and BIGINT ⇒ BIGINT
- Everything else ⇒ INT
- 2 byte intergers and "char" **usually** don't save space (alignment)





Floating Point Numbers

- MySQL: 4, 8 byte, signed/unsigned floating point types
 ⇒ FLOAT, DOUBLE
- MySQL: supports attributes precision and scale
 ⇒ FLOAT(5,3) column would round 99.0009 as 99.001
- Postgres: 4 and 8 byte signed floating point types
 ⇒ REAL, DOUBLE PRECISION
- FLOAT ⇒ REAL
- DOUBLE ⇒ DOUBLE PRECISION
- MySQL UNSIGNED max value is same as signed





Arbitrary Precision Numbers

- MySQL: NUMERIC, DECIMAL
- MySQL: supports attributes precision and scale
 - \Rightarrow NUMERIC(5,3) column would round 99.0009 as 99.001
- Postgres: NUMERIC
- Postgres: supports attributes precision and scale
 ⇒ NUMERIC(5,3) column would round 99.0009 as 99.001
- NUMERIC, DECIMAL ⇒ NUMERIC
- PostgreSQL precision greater than MySQL so not out-of-range concern





Character

- MySQL: CHAR, VARCHAR, TINYTEXT, TEXT, MEDIUMTEXT, LONGTEXT
 - \Rightarrow each has different max length
- Postgres: CHAR, VARCHAR, TEXT
 ⇒ all have the same max length
- CHAR, VARCHAR, TEXT ⇒ CHAR, VARCHAR, TEXT
- LONGTEXT can exceed maximum length allowed in PostgreSQL
- MySQL TEXT types have index/sorting differences from Postgres





Date/Time

- MySQL: DATETIME, DATE, TIMESTAMP, TIME, YEAR
- Postgres: DATE, TIMESTAMP and TIME (WITH/WITHOUT TIME ZONE), INTERVAL
- DATETIME, TIMESTAMP ⇒ TIMESTAMP
- DATE ⇒ DATE
- TIME ⇒ TIME, INTERVAL
- YEAR ⇒ no direct match
- Generally Postgres types have more range
- strict mode off/ALLOW_INVALID_DATES, expect errors





DATABASE

- MySQL DATABASE similar to Postgres SCHEMA
- If joining data across databases, Postgres SCHEMA best choice
- But be careful security differences in multi-tenant situations





USER, GRANT

- MySQL USER similar to Postgres
- Postgres GROUP/ROLE provide additional capability
- Wildcard GRANTs \Rightarrow PL/pgSQL function





TABLE, VIEW, INDEX

- Basic syntax OK
- AUTO_INCREMENT ⇒ SERIAL
- Watch semantics of options
- Devil is in the details

```
http://dev.mysql.com/doc/refman/5.1/en/create-table.html
http://dev.mysql.com/doc/refman/5.1/en/create-view.html
http://dev.mysql.com/doc/refman/5.1/en/create-index.html
http://www.postgresql.org/docs/9.0/interactive/sql-createtable.html
http://www.postgresql.org/docs/9.0/interactive/sql-createview.html
http://www.postgresql.org/docs/9.0/interactive/sql-createview.html
```





EVENT

- No PostgreSQL equivalent
- Use cron





SERVER

- FDW support expanded with PostgreSQL 9.1
- MySQL and many others quickly becoming available http://wiki.postgresql.org/wiki/Foreign_data_wrappers
- Not sure about compatibility yet . . .





TRIGGER

- MySQL trigger contains executed SQL
- PostgreSQL trigger refers to function
- Otherwise basic syntax similar





General

- Comments: # \Rightarrow -- or /* */
- Literal Quoting: ' or " \Rightarrow ' or \$\$
- String Comparison: case-insensitive ⇒ case-sensitive
- Identifier Quoting: ' (backtick) \Rightarrow "
- Identifier Comparison: case-insensitive ⇒ case-sensitive

 $\verb|http://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Converting_MySQL_to_PostgreSQL| \\$





String Comparison

MySQL:

```
SELECT "a" = "A" AS t;

+---+

| t |

+---+

| 1 |

+---+

1 row in set (0.03 sec)
```

```
SELECT 'a' = 'A' AS f, lower('a') = lower('A') as t;
f | t
---+--
f | t
(1 row)
-- also consider citext
```





Identifier Comparison

MySQL:

```
CREATE TABLE Foo (id integer);
Query OK, O rows affected (0.13 sec)
CREATE TABLE foo (id integer);
Query OK, O rows affected (0.15 sec)
```

```
CREATE TABLE Foo (id integer);
CREATE TABLE

CREATE TABLE foo (id integer);
ERROR: relation "foo" already exists
```





Example: Tables with Triggers

MySQL:

```
CREATE TABLE test1(a1 INT);
CREATE TABLE test2(a2 INT);
CREATE TABLE test3(a3 INT NOT NULL AUTO_INCREMENT PRIMARY KEY);
CREATE TABLE test4(
    a4 INT NOT NULL AUTO_INCREMENT PRIMARY KEY,
    b4 INT DEFAULT 0
);
```

From MySQL manual: http://dev.mysql.com/doc/refman/5.1/en/create-trigger-html =





Example: Tables with Triggers (cont.)

MySQL:

```
delimiter |
CREATE TRIGGER testref BEFORE INSERT ON test1
FOR EACH ROW BEGIN
   INSERT INTO test2 SET a2 = NEW.a1;
   DELETE FROM test3 WHERE a3 = NEW.a1;
   UPDATE test4 SET b4 = b4 + 1 WHERE a4 = NEW.a1;
END; |
delimiter:
```

```
CREATE OR REPLACE FUNCTION testref_tgf() returns trigger as $$ BEGIN INSERT INTO test2(a2) VALUES (NEW.a1);
DELETE FROM test3 WHERE a3 = NEW.a1;
UPDATE test4 SET b4 = b4 + 1 WHERE a4 = NEW.a1;
RETURN NEW;
END; $$ language plpgsql;
CREATE TRIGGER testref BEFORE INSERT ON test1
FOR EACH ROW EXECUTE PROCEDURE testref_tgf();
```





Example: Tables with Triggers (cont.)

MySQL:

```
INSERT INTO test3 (a3) VALUES (NULL), (NULL), (NULL), (NULL), (NULL), (NULL), (NULL), (NULL); (NULL); (NULL); (NULL); INSERT INTO test4 (a4) VALUES (0), (0), (0), (0), (0), (0), (0), (0); INSERT INTO test1 VALUES (1), (3), (1), (7), (1), (8), (4), (4);
```

```
INSERT INTO test3 (a3) VALUES
(DEFAULT), (DEFAULT), (DEFAULT), (DEFAULT), (DEFAULT),
(DEFAULT), (DEFAULT), (DEFAULT), (DEFAULT);
INSERT INTO test4 (a4) VALUES
(DEFAULT), (DEFAULT), (DEFAULT), (DEFAULT),
(DEFAULT), (DEFAULT), (DEFAULT), (DEFAULT);
INSERT INTO test1 VALUES (1), (3), (1), (7), (1), (8), (4), (4);
```





Example: Tables with Triggers (cont.)

MySQL:

```
SELECT * FROM test1;
SELECT * FROM test2;
SELECT * FROM test3;
SELECT * FROM test4:
```

```
SELECT * FROM test1;

SELECT * FROM test2;

SELECT * FROM test3;

SELECT * FROM test4 order by 1;
```





REPLACE/UPSERT

- REPLACE: Replaces exisiting row on duplicate key
- ON DUPLICATE KEY UPDATE: updates exisiting row on duplicate key
- In PostgreSQL use PL/pgSQL function
- Be careful about race behavior in high concurrency environments

http://www.postgresql.org/docs/9.1/static/plpgsql-control-structures.html





LAST_INSERT_ID

- MySQL: use LAST_INSERT_ID() with AUTO_INCREMENT
- PostgreSQL: use INSERT INTO (...) RETURNING (...)





Stored Functions and/or Procedures

- PostgreSQL does not support procedures
 Use a function where possible, or external SQL script
- MySQL UDFs must be written in C or C++
 ⇒ Port to PostgreSQL C function
- Consider replacing with PL/pgSQL, SQL, or other PL functions
- Leverage significant flexibility of PostgreSQL functions





Client Libraries

- PostgreSQL has equivalent for virtually all MySQL
- Depending on library/language, some client conversion needed
 - JDBC, ODBC, DBI \Rightarrow probably minimal
 - Some (e.g. PHP) more extensive but straightforward
- Watch out for semantic differences





Encodings

- MySQL has somewhat more granular encoding and collation support
- PostgreSQL has no option for per table or per column encoding
- PostgreSQL does have option for per column collation





MSSQL: General Considerations

- Many considerations similar to Oracle and MySQL
- Simple database schemas should convert easily
- Semantic differences can still bite you, especially case-sensitivity
- Stored procedures likely to be significant issue

http://wiki.postgresql.org/wiki/Microsoft_SQL_Server_to_PostgreSQL_Migration_by_Ian_Harding





Numeric Types

- IDENTITY ⇒ SERIAL
- SMALLINT, INTEGER, BIGINT ⇒ SMALLINT, INTEGER, BIGINT
- TINYINT ⇒ possibly "char"
- FLOAT, REAL, DOUBLE PRECISION ⇒ REAL, DOUBLE PRECISION
- NUMERIC, DECIMAL ⇒ NUMERIC





Character datatypes

- CHAR, NCHAR ⇒ CHAR
- VARCHAR, NVARCHAR ⇒ VARCHAR
- TEXT, NTEXT ⇒ TEXT





General
Data Types
Stored Functions and/or Procedures

Date and Time datatypes

- DATE, TIME, DATETIME ⇒ DATE, TIME, TIMESTAMP
- DATETIMEOFFSET ⇒ TIMESTAMP WITH TIME ZONE





General

Data Types
Stored Functions and/or Procedures

Binary datatypes

■ BINARY, VARBINARY, IMAGE ⇒ BYTEA





Stored Functions and/or Procedures

- PostgreSQL does not support procedures
 - \Rightarrow Use a function where possible, or external SQL script
- MSSQL FUNCTION somewhat similar to PostgreSQL
 - \Rightarrow T-SQL port to PL/pgSQL function
 - \Rightarrow CLR port to C function or other PostgreSQL PL





What's In A Term?

- Replication
- Clustering
- High availability
- Failover
- Standby

Putting data on more than one computer





Solution Space

Narrowing the Range of Possibilities

- Goals
 - What do you want to achieve?
- Techniques
 - How to implement?
- Solutions
 - What software is available?





Possible Goals

- High availability
- Performance
 - Read
 - Write
- Wide-area networks
- Offline peers





Introduction Assess Goals Potential Techniques Available Solutions

Goal: High Availability

- Provisions for System Failures
 - Software Faults
 - Hardware Faults
 - External interference





Goal: Read Performance

- Applications with:
 - many readers (e.g. busy mostly read-only website)
 - resource intensive (e.g. data warehouse)
- Distribute the readers over more hardware
- Often one physical machine is sufficient





Goal: Write Performance

- Applications with:
 - many writers (e.g. busy social networking website)
- Distribute the writers over more hardware
 - constraint checking and conflict resolution are difficult
- Faster writing and replication contradict
 - Partition (shard), don't replicate
 - RAID 0 is not replication
 - RAID 10 is good idea, but does not solve the problem





Introduction Assess Goals Potential Techniques Available Solutions

Goal: Optimizing for Wide-Area Networks

- Faster access across WANs
- Reading
 - Local copies
- Writing
 - Synchronization





Goal: Offline Peers

- Synchronize data with laptops, handhelds, . . .
- Road warriors
- May be considered very-high-latency WANs





Introduction Assess Goals Potential Techniques Available Solutions

Techniques

- Replication
- Proxy
- Standby system





Techniques: Replication

- Synchronous vs. Asynchronous
- Multi-Master vs. Master/Slave
- Shared Storage vs. Shared Nothing
- Mechanism for detecting update
 - Triggers
 - Logs
 - 'Updated' Field
- Conflict Resolution
 - Master/Slave: unneeded
 - Synchronous Multi-Master: two-phase commit process
 - Asynchronous Multi-Master: rule based





Techniques: Proxy

- Connection pooling
- Load balancing
- Replication
- Sharding/Parallel Query





Introduction Assess Goals Potential Techniques Available Solutions

Techniques: Standby System

- File system level
- Log shipping





Solutions

- Replication
- Proxy
- Standby system





Solutions: Replication

Slony-I

http://www.slony.info/

Bucardo

http://bucardo.org/wiki/Bucardo

Londiste

http://pgfoundry.org/projects/skytools/





Solutions: Proxy

pgpool-II

http://pgpool.projects.postgresql.org/

PL/Proxy

https://developer.skype.com/SkypeGarage/DbProjects/PlProxy





Introduction Assess Goals Potential Technique Available Solutions

Solutions: Standby System

- DRDB
- Continuous Archiving
 - 'Out of the box'

 $\verb|http://www.postgresql.org/docs/9.0/interactive/continuous-archiving.html|$

pg_standby

http://www.postgresql.org/docs/9.0/interactive/pgstandby.html

OmniPITR

https://github.com/omniti-labs/omnipitr

WALMgr

https://developer.skype.com/SkypeGarage/DbProjects/SkyTools

repmgr

http://projects.2ndquadrant.com/repmgr



Questions

Questions?

Questions?



