

# Notes on Fluid Dynamics

[Drew Remmenga]

June 29, 2025

## Abstract

## Observations

If we plug our sink, turn on the faucet to a critical value and watch the fluid evolve away from a single body given by a manifold in the reservoir  $M_r$ . It evolves through the tap and dribbles into multiple manifolds  $M_1 \cdots M_i$  and into the sink where the submanifolds merge  $M_s$ . We turn off the sink and the fluid rests in the reservoir and in the sink.  $M_r \rightarrow M_r + M_1 + \cdots + M_n + M_s \cot M_r + M_s$ . As topology this looks like nonsense. We will try to identify a way to talk about this phenomenon.

## Existing Methods

### Navier-Stokes

Navier-Stokes equations blow up in finite time [4] and have lots of restrictions which I will not repeat but can be found here [4]. This blow up is not a bug but a feature, more on that later. I'm only going to make the problem worse with more restrictions. Let's denote the set of solutions to these equations on a manifold  $M$  as  $F(M)$ .

### Volume Preserving Diffeomorphism

The group of volume preserving diffeomorphism of smoothness  $k$  between homeotopic manifolds is denoted  $\text{Diff}_\omega^k(M)$ . As a group it is perfect and as a Lie Algebra it is infinite dimensional [1]. We tend to favor the infinitely smooth diffeomorphisms  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Additionally, instead of volume form  $\omega$  preserving diffeomorphisms in this case we want the mass preserving ones denoted with an  $m$ .  $m$  is defined the same as in the Navier-Stokes Equations. This group denoted by  $\text{Diff}_m^k(M)$  is probably isomorphic to  $\text{Diff}_\omega^k(M)$ .<sup>1</sup> We use mass because mass is conserved even in compressible fluids.

## Banach Tarski

The Banach-Tarski paradox states that as a consequence of the axiom of choice one can disassemble a unit ball into discrete subsets and reassemble these subsets into two new unit balls [3]. We know that simples - molecules - in a fluid can be interchanged. Fundamentally two water molecules are isomorphic, the water in our reservoir  $M_r$  can probably be considered well mixed. And we can select a molecule of water and move it into the new subsets in general. We denote the *split* of a manifold  $M$  using the Banach-Tarski result as  $M|_+^\wedge$  yielding two new manifolds  $M_1, M_2$ . We can impose an additional restriction on the manifolds such that mass<sup>2</sup> is conserved.  $(M_1, m_1)|_+^{wedge} = (M_2, m_2) \oplus (M_3, m_3)$ . Where  $m_1 = m_2 + m_3$ . In further notation we will drop the little  $m$ 's and take this result as part of the operation  $|_+^\wedge$ . As far as I am aware there is no set theory result to reverse this operation, but we will denote it  $|_-^\wedge$ . Which takes in two manifolds  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  and yields a third manifold  $M_3$  where mass is once again conserved. There may be something clever one can do with Ricci flow here to dissolve one of the two smaller manifolds as time evolves. [2] As a whole we refer to all these manifolds under these operations  $\mathfrak{M} = (M, |^\wedge)$

---

<sup>1</sup>I very badly want to put a citation here.

<sup>2</sup>Volume form may work just as well

## Set of Solutions

So if we want to bound  $F(M)$  we can take the intersections of  $\mathfrak{F}(M) = F(M) \cap \text{Diff}_m^\infty(M)$ . Furthermore we want our manifolds  $M$  to *split* and *merge*. We want to talk about the total space  $X$  with its own topology  $\mathcal{O}$  with the submanifolds embedded within that topology  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Then the totality of fluid solutions can be written  $(X, \mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{M}))$ . As solutions  $F(M)$  become unbounded at time  $t$  it may be possible to drop the intersection with  $\text{Diff}_m^\infty(M)$  and link the time  $t$  to a *split*.

## Further Research

Couple of open questions:

$$\text{Diff}_m^k(M) \cong \text{Diff}_\omega^k(M) \quad (1)$$

In set theory:

$$\exists |_-^\wedge \quad (2)$$

A critical point in time  $t$ :

$$\text{Ricci Flow}(t) \propto |_-^{wedge}(t) \quad (3)$$

$$\sup(|F(m)|)(t) \propto |_+^{wedge}(t) \quad (4)$$

Finally: is the total topology  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  well defined?

## References

- [1] Augustin Banyaga. *The Structure of Classical Diffeomorphism Groups*, volume 400 of *Mathematics and Its Applications*. Springer, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [2] Panagiotis Gianniotis. The ricci flow on manifolds with boundary, 2015.
- [3] Terence Tao. *An Introduction to Measure Theory*, volume 126 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [4] Terence Tao. Finite time blowup for an averaged three-dimensional navier-stokes equation, 2015.