

# ps1

October 11, 2020

```
[25]: # importing libraries
import gurobipy as gpy
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
```

Dual problem presented in problem 1 :

minimize  $12\pi_1 + 8\pi_2$

subject to :

$x_1 : 4\pi_1 + \pi_2 \geq 1$

$x_2 : \pi_1 + 2\pi_2 \geq 2$

$x_3 : 3\pi_1 - 2\pi_2 \geq -8$

## 0.0.1 Feasible region plot

Figure 1

## 0.0.2 Feasible solutions

Figure 2

As we can see in Figure 2, all feasible solutions lie in the feasible region's edge  $x_2 : \pi_1 + 2\pi_2 = 2$ . Such line segment has vertex  $(0, 1)$ , which is optimal. It has parametric equations:

$$(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*) = (0, 1) + \lambda$$

**Optimality condition 1:**  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is primal feasible as it satisfies the inequalities in the primal problem

**Optimality condition 2:**  $\pi^*$  is dual feasible as it satisfies the inequalities in the dual problem

**Optimality condition 3:** Complementary slackness:

$x_3 : 3\pi_1 - 2\pi_2 \geq -8$  always holds

- therefore:  $x_3 = 0$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
4x_1 + x_2 &= 12 \\
x_1 + 2x_2 &= 8 \\
x_1 \geq 0, x_2 &\geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Solving for  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
x_2 &= 12 - 4x_1 \\
x_1 - 8x_1 + 24 &= 8 \\
-7x_1 &= -16
\end{aligned}$$

Which results in:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 &= \frac{16}{7} \\
x_2 &= \frac{20}{7}
\end{aligned}$$

- therefore  $\mathbf{x}^* = (\frac{16}{7}, \frac{20}{7}, 0)$

The reduced costs of the primal variables are computed as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{c}_1 &= 4\pi_1^* + \pi_2^* - 1 = 4\lambda + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} - 1 = \frac{7\lambda}{2} \\
\bar{c}_2 &= \pi_1^* + 2\pi_2^* - 2 = \lambda + 2 - \lambda - 2 = 0 \\
\bar{c}_3 &= 3\pi_1^* - 2\pi_2^* + 8 = 3\lambda - 2 - \lambda + 8 = 2\lambda + 6
\end{aligned}$$

Where  $\pi^*$  is a dual optimal solution - therefore, the reduced costs are not unique  
primal problem:

$$\text{maximize } x_1 + 2x_2 - 8x_3$$

subject to :

$$\begin{aligned}
4x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 &= 12 \\
x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_3 &= 8 \\
x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 &\geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Let's consider  $\pi^* = (0, 1)$  we have  $x_3 = 0$  and then:

$$\begin{aligned}
4x_1 + x_2 &= 12 + \Delta b_1 \\
x_1 + 2x_2 &= 8 + \Delta b_2
\end{aligned}$$

Solving we get:

$$\hat{x}^* = (\frac{1}{7}(2\Delta b_1 - \Delta b_2 + 16), \frac{1}{7}(-\Delta b_1 + 4\Delta b_2 + 20), 0)$$

Imposing primal feasibility returns:

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Delta b_1 - \Delta b_2 &\geq -16 \\
-\Delta b_1 + 4\Delta b_2 &\geq -20
\end{aligned}$$

As a result: if  $\Delta b_1 = 0$  then  $\Delta b_2 \in [-5, 16]$  and if  $\Delta b_2 = 0$  then  $\Delta b_1 \in [-8, 20]$

The primal problem only has one feasible solution, so the optimal solution  $\mathbf{x}^*$  remains optimal for the following modified problem:

$$\text{maximize } (1 + \Delta r_1)x_1 + (2 + \Delta r_2)x_2 - (8 + \Delta r_3)x_3$$

subject to :

$$\begin{aligned}
4x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 &= 12 \\
x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_3 &= 8 \\
x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 &\geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Following a standard analysis, we consider  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}^* = (\frac{16}{7}, \frac{20}{7}, 0)$

By complementary slackness we formulate the following 2 systems of linear equations:

system 1:

$$\begin{aligned}
4\pi_1 + \pi_2 &= 1 + \Delta r_1 \\
\pi_1 + 2\pi_2 &= 2 + \Delta r_2
\end{aligned}$$

resulting in:

$$\hat{\pi}^* = (\frac{1}{7}(2\Delta r_1 - \Delta r_2), \frac{1}{7}(7 - \Delta r_1 + 4\Delta r_2))$$

Dual feasibility means that:

$$3\hat{\pi}_1^* + 2\hat{\pi}_2^* + 8 - \Delta r_3 = \frac{1}{7}(42 + 8\Delta r_1 - 11\Delta r_2 - 7\Delta r_3)$$

and:

$$8\Delta r_1 - 11\Delta r_2 - 7\Delta r_3 \geq -42$$

So, if:

$$\Delta r_1 = 0, \Delta r_2 = 0, \text{ then } \Delta r_3 \leq 6$$

$$\Delta r_1 = 0, \Delta r_3 = 0, \text{ then } \Delta r_2 \leq \frac{42}{11}$$

$$\Delta r_2 = 0, \Delta r_3 = 0, \text{ then } \Delta r_1 \geq \frac{-21}{4}$$

system 2:

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1 + 2\pi_2 &= 2 + \Delta r_2 \\
3\pi_1 - 2\pi_2 &= -8 + \Delta r_3
\end{aligned}$$

resulting in:

$$\hat{\pi}^* = (\frac{1}{4}(-14 - 3\Delta r_2 + \Delta r_3), \frac{1}{4}(-20 - 2\frac{1}{2}\Delta r_2 + 2\frac{1}{2}\Delta r_3))$$

Dual feasibility means that:

$$4\hat{\pi}_1^* + \hat{\pi}_2^* - 1 - \Delta r_1 = \frac{1}{4}(-98 - 4\Delta r_1 - 11\Delta r_2 + 9\Delta r_3)$$

and:

$$-4\Delta r_1 - 11\Delta r_2 + 9\Delta r_3 \geq 98$$

So, if:

$$\Delta r_1 = 0, \Delta r_2 = 0, \text{ then } \Delta r_3 \geq \frac{98}{9}$$

$$\Delta r_1 = 0, \Delta r_3 = 0, \text{ then } \Delta r_2 \leq \frac{98}{11}$$

$$\Delta r_2 = 0, \Delta r_3 = 0, \text{ then } \Delta r_1 \leq \frac{-49}{2}$$

Combining both cases we obtain that  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is optimal for any  $\Delta r_j \in \mathbb{R}$

[ ]: