

# Spatially explicit model of the lymphocyte diaspora in influenza-infected lung reveals thresholds on chemokine directed migration (SUPPLEMENT)

Drew Levin<sup>1,\*</sup>, Stephanie Forrest<sup>1</sup>, Soumya Banerjee<sup>1</sup>, Candice Clay<sup>2</sup>, Melanie Moses<sup>1</sup>, Frederick Koster<sup>1,2</sup>

**1** Department of Computer Science, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA

**2** Lovelace Respiratory Research Institute, Albuquerque, NM, USA

\* E-mail: Corresponding drew@cs.unm.edu

## 1 Models

### 1.1 Estimating chemokine production rates

We estimate chemokine production rates, ‘r’, by adapting the delay differential equation model of influenza infection described in [1] Eq. 1 by adding one new equation ( $\dot{C} = rI_{1\tau_3} - dC$ ) to model chemokine production. Initial population sizes and parameter values are taken from the previous study.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{T} &= -\beta TV \\
 \dot{I}_1 &= \beta TV - \beta T_{\tau_1} V_{\tau_1} \\
 \dot{I}_2 &= \beta T_{\tau_1} V_{\tau_1} - \delta I_2 \\
 \dot{V} &= \frac{p}{1 + eF} I_2 - \beta TV \\
 \dot{F} &= I_{1\tau_2} \\
 \dot{C} &= rI_{1\tau_3} - dC
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$\tau$  subscript variables denote delay terms, signifying the value is the population quantity in existence at time  $t - \tau$ . Table 1 summarizes population and parameter values and descriptions. Strain-specific values for  $r$  were found by fitting the equations to experimental data (see Results and Table 3).

### 1.2 T cell production rate

We calculate the rate of production ( $\sigma$ ) of CD8 T cells using a differential equation model from [2]. The equations model T cell production and subsequent search over an area of infected lung tissue.

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{N}_c &= \sigma - \frac{r'^2 \cdot N_c}{R^2 \cdot t_{rc}} & \pi r'^2 &= a\sqrt{I} + b \\
\dot{N}'_f &= \frac{(r'^2 - r^2) \cdot N_c}{R^2 \cdot t_{rc}} - \frac{v_{tcell}}{(r' - r)/2} & \pi r^2 &= \pi r_{cell}^2 \cdot I \\
\dot{N}_f &= \frac{r^2 \cdot N_c}{R^2 \cdot t_{rc}} + \frac{v_{tcell}}{(r' - r)/2} \\
\dot{T} &= \rho T - \beta TV & & (S1) \\
\dot{I} &= \beta TV - \delta I - k_e N_f I \\
\dot{V} &= pI - \beta TV - \gamma(t)V \\
\gamma(t) &= \begin{cases} 1/\text{day} & , \quad t < 5 \\ 3/\text{day} & , \quad t \geq 5 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

$N_c$  is the number of circulating activated antigen-specific CD8 T cells,  $N'_f$  is the number of circulating T cells that have found and exit into a region of lung tissue expressing chemokines,  $N_f$  is the number of circulating T cells that have found an infected region.  $T$  is the number of uninfected *target* cells,  $I$  is the number of productively infected cells, and  $V$  is the viral titer in serum. The infected region is assumed to be of radius  $r$  and is within a region expressing chemokines of radius  $r'$  ( $r < r'$ ). The lung is modeled as a circular region with radius  $R$ . The area of the infected region is equal to the area of an infected cell (of radius  $r_{cell}$ ) multiplied by the number of infected cells. See Fig. 1 for more details about the model. The area of the region expressing chemokine was found to be related non-linearly to the number of infected cells ( $\pi r'^2 = a\sqrt{I} + b$ ) where  $a$  and  $b$  are constants that depend on the viral strain.  $a$  and  $b$  were fit using 12 experimental runs of a spatial model implemented in CyCells.

Circulating CD8 T cells ( $N_c$ ) are assumed to be released from lymph nodes at a constant rate  $\sigma$  and circulate to the  $N'_f$  population over a time defined by  $t_{rc}$ . We assume these circulating cells transition into  $N'_f$  and  $N_f$  at rates proportional to the areas of the chemokine expressing and infected regions relative to the whole lung area.

The average time an exiting CD8 T cell takes to migrate to the infected region is equal to the difference in the radii between the two regions ( $r' - r$ ) times the T cell speed,  $v_{tcell}$ . Circulating cells that are in the chemokine expressing region ( $N'_f$ ) move into the infected region after performing chemotaxis for that time. Target cells ( $T$ ) become infected by virus at rate  $\beta TV$ , where  $\beta$  is the rate constant characterizing infection. Infected cells ( $I$ ) die at rate  $\delta$  in addition to being lysed by T cells ( $N_f$ ) at a rate  $k_e$ . Finally the viral titers ( $V$ ) increase due to production of virus at rate  $p$  by infected cells. Virus is also cleared

due to uptake by infected cells (at a rate  $-\beta TV$ ) and due to antibody (at a rate  $\gamma(t)$  that changes after 5 days post infection). The initial viral titer was initialized to 10,000 PFUs and the initial number of target cells was one million. The initial number of infected cells is assumed to be zero. Parameter values are listed in Table S1 in Text S1. This ODE was fit to data taken from [2] using Matlab’s `nlinfit` function in order to obtain a value for  $\sigma$ . The final value was found to be 1,257 per hour.

### 1.3 CyCells implementation details

The CyCells modeling environment splits its populations into two types: cells and molecules. Cells are considered to be unique agents and are modeled individually. Conversely, molecules are represented as compartmentalized concentrations. These compartments are arranged as a grid that covers the environment. Each square in the grid contains a unique concentration of the given molecule. Each type of molecule is represented in a unique grid.

Molecular behavior is limited to diffusion and decay. At each time step, CyCells decreases the concentration of each square in the grid according to the decay rate specified for the given molecule. CyCells then diffuses the molecules by applying a discrete diffusion equation to each grid square, taking into account the specified diffusion constant and the concentrations in neighboring squares.

New molecules can be secreted by cell objects, such as an infected cell secreting new virus. In this scenario CyCells calculates the amount of virus secreted per time step, based upon the cell’s defined production rate, and then adds this quantity to the grid square that overlaps the secreting cell. If a cell ingests a molecule, it will subtract the appropriate concentration from the grid square it overlaps. If the concentration at that square is not enough to represent a full molecule, concentration will be removed from neighboring squares in an ever expanding diamond until the total concentration is equal to a single molecule.

Cells will measure the local concentration at their position by calculating a linearly interpolated combination of the concentrations in the grid squares surrounding the cell in instances where cell behavior depends on the local molecular concentration.

### 1.4 Model implementation details

An overview of the model implementation is shown in Fig. 2. The model is initialized with one virus-secreting cell placed in the middle of a 2-dimensional sheet of hexagonally-tiled epithelial cells (288,212

total cells). The sheet measures  $5mm$  per side, which is large enough to contain the infection (Fig. 5). The molecular grid (Text S1 1.3) is initialized with a resolution of  $20\mu m$ . Each time step of the model represents 6 seconds.

Healthy cells transition to virus-incubating based on a probability that scales linearly with the local virus concentration. When a cell ‘becomes infected’ it removes the amount of viral concentration equal to a single virion.

Virus-incubating cells idle for 10 hours (with standard deviation  $\sigma = 1$  hour) and then transition to virus-secreting cells. Virus-incubating cells also begin to secrete chemokine after 8 hours (except for aH5N1 which only secretes RANTES after a 16 hour delay).

Virus-secreting cells secrete both virus and chemokine (the RANTES portion of the chemokine production is added in 16 hours after the initial infection) and die after 1,000 minutes of production (16.7 hours). Virus-secreting cells will also probabilistically transition to apoptizing cells in the presence of T cells (defined by the existence of a T cell within  $2\mu m$ ). The number of T cells in the vicinity of the virus-secreting cell has no effect on the rate that apoptosis is induced in the model.

Apoptizing cells secrete virus and chemokine for one hour and then die.

T cells are added to the model at a constant rate of 1,257 per hour (Text S1 1.1). Because the model environment is only a small portion of the entire lung (0.25%), most of the T cells miss the model window and are not visually represented. The T cells that miss recirculate and enter the lung at a new random location after a delay of six seconds.

The cells that enter the model window are placed at a random position and immediately check the local chemokine concentration. If the concentration is above the sensitivity threshold, the T cells immediately transition to the chemotaxing state. If not, the T cells recirculate and reenter the lung in a new location after a six second delay. Recirculating T cells have a probabilistic decay rate that corresponds to a 3 day lifespan. Recirculating T cells are not visually represented.

Chemotaxing T cells move directly up the chemokine gradient until they find a local concentration maximum. T cells probabilistically decay at a rate corresponding to a 2 hour lifespan. Chemotaxing T cells have no effect other than inducing apoptosis in virus-secreting cells by proximity.

## 1.5 Modeling Decisions

### 1.5.1 Two dimensional lung

We model the lung as a two-dimensional system for several reasons. Unlike the lymph node where dendritic cells and T cells navigate a three-dimensional volume, lung infection dynamics are confined to a thin tissue between capillary endothelial cells and alveolar epithelial cells. Although these alveoli are segregated by the lung’s acinar structure on a small scale, we do not represent this in the model because the spreading of influenza eventually ignores the boundaries between acinii. Therefore our model does not incorporate this small-scale level of segregation.

### 1.5.2 Uniform blood flow

Our model assumes that blood flows uniformly through the lung vascular network. It is possible that blood flow is increased in the direction of an infected region of the lung by local inflammation. Thus our model may underestimate the recruitment efficiency of local inflammation and hyperemia. If this is indeed the case, our model underestimates the effect of the immune response.

## 2 Results

### T cell sensitivity to chemokine

The model simulates a chemokine gradient surrounding the infected focus (Fig. 5), based on the calculated per-infected cell secretion rate (Table 3) and known chemical parameters for a 10 kDa protein (Table 2). T cell sensitivity depends on receptor density [3] and this was assumed to be constant.

Because this parameter is unknown, we simulated T cell sensitivity levels ranging over 10 orders of magnitude. Concentration-dependent behavior of cells responding to chemokine was the same over a wide range of concentrations from 0.01 pg/mL to 100 ng/mL (model variance is discussed in Text S1 2.1.). Decreased recruitment was predicted only by supra-natural concentration sensitivity levels at and above 1  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ . We then set the sensitivity to 100  $\text{ng/mL}$  for all future runs (10  $\text{nM}$  concentration assuming a chemokine molecular weight of 10  $\text{kDa}$ ) [4].

## 2.1 Chemokine combinations

Because aH5N1 has been shown to suppress the production of interferon [1], we hypothesize that it renders IP-10 ineffectual. We hypothesize that this leads to the elevated RANTES secretion rates measured in aH5N1 compared to the other two strains (Table 3). Because of this behavior IP-10 was not included in the aH5N1 model runs.

T cell sensitivity depends on receptor density [3] and this was assumed to be constant. Thus, the chemokines in combination work additively in our model.

Four models runs (both, IP-10, RANTES, and none) were performed for sH1N1 and pH1N1 strains and two runs (RANTES and none) for aH5N1. The runs show how the presence and/or absence of specific chemokines affect the simulated immune response (Fig. S2). The lack of both chemokines leads to runaway infections in all three strains. The presence of only RANTES is enough to contain the aH5N1 infection, but is weaker than IP-10 in both H1N1 strains. In sH1N1 and pH1N1 simulations, IP-10 alone proves to be as effective as the combination of IP-10 and RANTES. This suggests that RANTES does not play a significant role in infections that stimulate an IP-10 response due to the higher production rates of IP-10.

## References

1. Mitchell H, Levin D, Forrest S, Beauchemin Caa, Tipper J, et al. (2011) Higher level of replication efficiency of 2009 (H1N1) pandemic influenza virus than those of seasonal and avian strains: kinetics from epithelial cell culture and computational modeling. *Journal of virology* 85: 1125–35.
2. Miao H, Hollenbaugh J, Zand M, Holden-Wiltse J, Mosmann T, et al. (2010) Quantifying the early immune response and adaptive immune response kinetics in mice infected with influenza A virus. *Journal of virology* 84: 6687–6698.
3. Desmetz C, Lin YL, Mettling C, Portalès P, Rabesandratana H, et al. (2006) The strength of the chemotactic response to a CCR5 binding chemokine is determined by the level of cell surface CCR5 density. *Immunology* 119: 551–61.

KEY: Desmetz2006

ANNOTATION:  $-i$  the important factor seems to be the fluctuations of CCR5 (receptor)

expression on T cells and less the fluctuations of CCL5 (chemokine) concentration on T cell chemotactic activity. - CCL5 concentration dependent T cell migration (in vitro) – CCL5 T cell sensitivity ?

4. Gao P, Zhou Xy, Yashiro-ohsaki Y, Yang Yf, Sugimoto N, et al. (2003) The unique target specificity of a nonpeptide chemokine receptor antagonist : selective blockade of two Th1 chemokine receptors CCR5 and CXCR3 cated in their migration to sites of inflammation . Journal of Leukocyte Biology 73: 273–280.

KEY: Gao2003

ANNOTATION: CCL5 (RANTES) and CXCL10 (IP-10) concentrations inducing T cell chemotaxis (adhesion)

5. Peters RH (1983) The ecological implications of body size, volume Cambridge. Cambridge University Press, 329 pp. URL <http://books.google.com/books?id=0YVxiZgTXWsC>.

## Figure Legends

**Figure S1. Varying T cell sensitivity to chemokine.** H5N1 model results use RANTES only, and sH1N1 and pH1N1 use both IP-10 and RANTES. Total number of incubating, secreting and apoptotic cells are plotted for each infection. The sensitivity value specifies the minimum level of chemokine concentration required for T cells to detect it.

**Figure S2. Effects of different chemokine combinations.** A) aH5N1 does not stimulate an IP-10 response. B-C) sH1N1 and pH1N1 show no significant difference between IP-10 alone versus IP-10 and RANTES combined.

**Video S1.** The first of three overlaid videos of a representative seasonal H1N1 infection. This video spans the 10 day infection and shows the cells as they transition from healthy to infected to dead. T cells show half way through the simulation. Healthy cells are gray, virus-incubating cells are yellow, virus-secreting cells are orange, apoptotic cells are red, and T cells are green.

**Video S2.** The second of three overlaid videos of a representative seasonal H1N1 infection. This video spans the 10 day infection and shows the virus concentration. Notice the volatility when T cells arrive halfway through the simulation. Virus concentration ranges from  $1e-13$  mols/mL (white) to  $1e-27$  mols/mL (black). Refer to Figure 5 for the detailed legend.

**Video S3.** The third of three overlaid videos of a representative seasonal H1N1 infection. This video spans the 10 day infection and shows the chemokine concentration. Notice the volatility when T cells arrive halfway through the simulation. Chemokine concentration ranges from  $1e8$  ng/mL (white) to  $1e-6$  ng/mL (black). Refer to Figure 5 for the detailed legend.

**Video S4.** A closer look at the 2009 pandemic simulation. This video shows the infection from day 6 to day 7 with each frame spanning 1 simulated minute. Healthy cells are gray, virus-incubating cells are yellow, virus-secreting cells are orange, apoptotic cells are red, and T cells are green. Note the high proportion of virus-secreting cells (orange) early on. As time passes, secreting cells are gradually contained to the point where they become very sparse. T cell clumping often prevents the T cells from quick discovery of new secreting cells.



## Tables

Paramter	Value	Source
$T(0)$	$1e6$	[1]
$V(0)$	$1e4$	[1]
$r_{cell}$	$5\mu m$	[2]
$t_{rc}$	$6s$	[5]
$k_e$	$6.4e-5/cell/day$	[2]
$\beta$	$4.8e-7/cell/PFU$	[1]
$p$	$0.18PFU/h$	[1]
$\delta$	$16.7hours$	[1]
$a$	$67.7$	ABM fits
$b$	$433.5$	ABM fits

**Table S1.** Supplemental model parameters. Most values are chosen to match the equations borrowed from both [1] and [2]. Values  $a$  and  $b$  were fit to multiple runs of a simplified CyCells ABM.