

Differences:

When calculating $f(x)$ for 2300, the float implementation overflows resulting in NAN. The double implementation is still capable of handling the large numbers required to do 2300^{10} and does not overflow resulting in an answer. Its interesting to note that after 10 iterations, the Taylor series expansion is still very far off for the values of the $\sin(2300)$ (resulting in answers on the order of e^{55}).

Representation in IEEE 754 Format

Float: s e_8 m_23
Float: s e_11 m_52

1:

Float:
- s: 0
- e: 127
- m: 0

Double:
- s: 0
- e: 1023
- m: 0

2300:

Float:
- s: 0
- e: 138
- m: 1032192 (0001111110...0) (rpt. 0 until 52 bits)

Double:
- s: 0
- e: 1034
- m: 554153860399104 (0001111110...0) (rpt. 0 until 52 bits)

-.45:

Float:
- s: 1
- e: -2 \rightarrow 127 + -2 = 125
- m: 1100...1100 = 6710886 (rpt. 1100 until 23 bits)

Double:

- s: 1
- e: -2 $\rightarrow 1023 + -2 = 1021$
- m: (3602879701896396) = 1100...1100 (rpt. 1100 until 52 bits)