

9. THOMAS J.W. Report cards: useful to whom and for what. *Jt Comm J Qual Improv* 1998; 54: 50.
10. CHASSIN M.R., HANNAN E.L., DEBUONO B.A. Benefits and hazards of reporting medical outcomes publicly. *N Engl J Med* 1996; 334: 394-8.
11. HAMMERMEISTER K.E. Participatory continuous improvement. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1994; 58: 1815-21.
12. MCKEE M., RAFFERTY A.M., AIKEN C. Measuring hospital performance: are we asking the right questions? *J R Soc Med* 1997; 90: 187-91.
13. CHEN J., RADFORD M.J., WANG Y., MARCINKA T.A., KRUMHOLTZ H.M. Do "America's best hospitals" perform better for acute myocardial infarction? *N Engl J Med* 1996; 340: 286-92.
14. GREEN J., PASSMAN L.J., WINFIELD N. Analyzing hospital mortality: The consequences of diversity in patient mix. *JAMA* 1991; 265: 1849-53.
15. LOCALIO A.R., HAMORY B.H., FISHER A.C., TENHAVE T.R. The public release of hospital and physician mortality data in Pennsylvania: a case study. *Med Care* 1997; 35: 272-86.
16. IEZZONI L.I. The risks of risk adjustment. *JAMA* 1997; 278: 1600.
17. MCKEE M., JAMES P. Using routine data to evaluate quality of care in British hospitals. *Med Care* 1997; 35: 102-11.
18. IEZZONI L.I., ASH A.S., SHWARTZ M., DALEY J., HUGHES J.S., MACKERNAN V.D. Predicting who dies depends on how severity is measured. *Ann Intern Med* 1995; 123: 763-70.
19. SILBER J.H., ROSENBAUM P.R., ROSS R.N. Comparing the contributions of groups of predictors: which outcomes vary with hospital rather than patient characteristics? *J Am Statistical Assoc* 1995; 90: 7.
20. ROCKALL T.A., LOGAN R.F., DEVILIN H.B., NORTHFIELD T.C. Variation in outcome after acute upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage. The national audit of acute upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage. *Lancet* 1995; 346: 346-50.
21. THOMAS J.W., HOFER T.P. Accuracy of risk-adjusted mortality rate as a measure of hospital quality of care. *Med Care* 1999; 37: 83-92.
22. HOFER T.P., HAYWARD R.A. Identifying poor-quality hospitals with mortality rates: can mortality rates detect quality problems for medical diagnoses? *Med Care* 1996; 34.
23. SILBER J.H., ROSENBAUM P.R. A spurious correlation between hospital mortality and complication rates. *Med Care* 1997; 35: 77-90.
24. BEST W.R., COWPER D.C. The ratio of observed to expected mortality as a quality of care indicator in non surgical VA patients. *Med Care* 1994; 32: 390-400.
25. GOLDSTEIN H., SPIEGELHALTER D.J. League tables and their limitations: statistical issues in comparisons of institutional performance. *J R Stat Soc A* 1996; 159: 385-443.
26. HOFER T.P., HAYWARD R.A. Identifying poor-quality hospitals with mortality rates: often there's more noise than signal. *Med Care* 1996; 34: 736-6.
27. THOMAS N., LONGFORD N.T., ROLPH J.E. Empirical Bayes methods for estimating hospital-specific mortality rates. *Stat Med* 1994; 13: 869.
28. ROSENTHAL G.E. Weak associations between hospital mortality rates for individual diagnoses: implications for profiling hospital quality. *Am J Public Health* 1997; 87: 429-33.
29. MCKEE M., HUNTER D. Mortality league tables: do they inform or mislead? *Qual Health Care* 1995; 4: 5-12.
30. SCHNEIDER E.C., EPSTEIN A.M. Influence of cardiac-surgery performance reports on referral practices and access to care: a survey of cardiovascular specialists. *N Engl J Med* 1996; 335: 251-6.
31. HANNAN E.L., STONE C.C., BIDDLE T.L., DEBUONO B.A. Public release of cardiac surgery outcomes data in New York: what do New York state cardiologists think of it? *Am Heart J* 1997; 134: 1120-8.
32. SCHNEIDER E.C., EPSTEIN A.M. Use of public performance reports: a survey of patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *JAMA* 1998; 279: 1638-42.
33. MENNEMEYER S.T., MORRISSEY M.A., HOWARD L.Z. Death and reputation: how consumers acted upon HCFA mortality information. *Inquiry* 1997; 34: 117-28.
34. DZUBAN S.W., MCCLUFF J.B., MILLER S.J., DAL COL R.H. How a New York cardiac surgery program uses outcomes data. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1994; 58: 1871-6.
35. COOLEY D.A. Building shelters: safeguards in public disclosure of outcomes data. *Circulation* 1996; 93: 1-3.
36. BENTLEY J.M., NASH D.B. How Pennsylvania hospitals have responded to publicly released reports on coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *J Qual Improv* 1998; 40-9.
37. DZUBAN S.W. Using information from databases to improve clinical practice: lessons learned under fire. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1997; 64: 64-80.
38. HANNAN E.L., SIU A.L., KUMAR D., KILBURN H.H.Jr, CHASSIN M.R. The decline in coronary artery bypass graft surgery mortality in New York state. *JAMA* 1995; 273: 209-13.
39. GHALI W.A., ASH A.S., HALL R.E., MOSKOWITZ M.A. Statewide quality improvement initiatives and mortality after cardiac surgery. *JAMA* 1997; 277: 379-82.
40. GREEN J., WINFIELD N. Report cards on cardiac surgeons: assessing New York State's approach. *N Engl J Med* 1995; 332: 1229.
41. HANNAN E.L., KUMAR D., BACZ M., SIU A., CHASSIN M. New York State's cardiac surgery reporting system: four years later. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1994; 58: 1852.
42. OMOIGUI N.A., MILLER D.P., KIMBERLY J., et al. Out migration for coronary bypass surgery in an era of public dissemination of clinical outcomes. *Circulation* 1996; 93: 27-33.
43. MANT J., HICKS N. Detecting differences in quality of care: the sensitivity of measures of process and outcome in treating acute myocardial infarction. *BMJ* 1995; 311: 793.
44. BROOK R.H., MCGLYNN E.A., CLEARY P.D. Quality of health care: measuring quality of care. *N Engl J Med* 1996; 335: 966-70.
45. DAVIES H.O., CROMBIE I.K. Assessing the quality of care: measuring well supported processes may be more enlightening than monitoring outcomes. *BMJ* 1995; 311: 766.
46. MCKEE M. Indicators of clinical performance: problematic, but poor standards of care must be tackled. *BMJ* 1997; 315: 142.
47. EPSTEIN A.M. Rolling down the runway: the challenges ahead for quality report cards. *JAMA* 1998; 279: 1091-6.
48. GREEN J., WINFIELD N., KRASNER M., WELLS C. In search of America's best hospitals: the promise and reality of quality assessment. *JAMA* 1997; 277: 1152-5.