

Chapter 4 - Psychological Foundations in Human Motor Control

Ovande Furtado Jr
California State University, Northridge
ovandef@csun.edu

2025-02-24

Introduction

- Why study the psychological underpinnings of motor control?
- Overview of key theories and historical perspectives.
- How this knowledge informs research, practice, and everyday skills.



This lecture explores the ways our thoughts, motivations, and learning processes shape how we move. We'll look at how psychology and physiology intersect to produce the coordinated actions we take for granted—like speaking, playing an instrument, or competing in sports. By reviewing historical theories and more recent models, we'll see how our understanding of motor control has evolved. Ultimately, these insights aren't just academic; they can inform everything from injury rehabilitation to peak athletic performance. Let's begin by setting the stage for what's to come and why it matters to anyone interested in human movement.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

1. Psychology vs Physiology

- Psychology

- No reference to structuring of the central nervous system
- Brain, musculoskeletal system, etc. is a “black box”
- Physiology
 - Neurophysiology: how the central nervous system and musculoskeletal system work together to produce movement
 - CNS is included in stimulus-response loop



Here, we have a classic comparison between two fields—psychology and physiology—in the context of human motor control. Psychology tends to treat the ‘internal workings’ of the brain and body as a black box, focusing more on observable behaviors and mental processes without always detailing the precise biological structures involved. Physiology, by contrast, goes under the hood to explore how the nervous system, muscles, and other bodily structures interact to generate

movement. One way to visualize this difference is to think of psychology as trying to understand why people move in certain ways—how they perceive, think, learn, or remember tasks—while physiology is more about the mechanics of how those movements are carried out at the cellular or anatomical level. In rehabilitation or kinesiology settings, understanding both sides is crucial. For example, if you're helping a patient recover from a stroke, you need the physiological background to know which parts of the brain and which muscle groups are affected. But you also need psychological insights—like how motivation, attention, and feedback loops support or hinder the patient's progress. A practical example is when we help an athlete improve their skill. Physiology tells us how their muscles fire, how neural impulses travel from the brain to the body, and how fast they can contract. Psychology helps us explore how focus, mental imagery, and feedback enhance learning new techniques. Bridging these two fields gives us a more holistic picture of motor control. We can devise better intervention strategies—whether in physical therapy sessions or performance training in sports.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

2. The Sequencing and Timing Problem

1. A core challenge in motor control is understanding how we order and time our actions flawlessly.
2. Consider the intricate coordination needed to speak a simple phrase, play a musical piece, or execute a sports skill.
3. This puzzle of precise sequencing is also known as the serial order problem.



One of the central mysteries in motor control is how we manage to perform sequences of movements so smoothly and in the right order. For instance, think about saying a short greeting like ‘Hello there!’—it feels automatic, but under the surface, your brain is orchestrating a precise sequence of muscle activations to produce each sound in the correct order. This ability applies just as much to playing a piano piece or executing a gymnastics routine. Researchers call this the ‘serial order problem,’ which refers to combining multiple elements—syllables, notes, or physical maneuvers—into a coherent and correctly timed whole. Studying this helps us understand issues like speech disorders, where timing or order can go wrong, and it informs rehabilitation strategies in physical therapy, where patients might need to relearn how to put movements in sequence. Unraveling the serial order problem gives us insight into both the cognitive and physiological sides of motor control—how we plan an action and how our muscles and nerves carry it out in near-perfect coordination.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

3. Response Chaining: An Old Theory

1. This classic idea suggests that movements are linked together like a chain.
2. Each completed movement acts as a signal, triggering the next in a smooth, automatic sequence.
3. An influential early psychologist, William James, believed that practice strengthens these connections, making actions faster and more effortless.



Response chaining is one of the earliest attempts to explain how our actions unfold smoothly, step-by-step. Think of each movement as a domino in a chain; when one domino falls, it triggers the next. William James popularized the notion that these links become so strong and quick with

practice that movements feel almost automatic. Although this idea paved the way for some fascinating discussions, it's important to note that many researchers eventually found limitations in the theory. One big critique is that it can't fully account for how people can still perform rapid sequences when there isn't enough feedback from one movement to initiate the next. Nevertheless, response chaining remains historically significant because it sparked debate and further studies, shaping our understanding of how complex sequences—like playing a fast piano passage or executing a multi-step athletic skill—might be controlled by the brain.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

3.1 Response Chaining: The Problems

1. Movements often take time for each one to be triggered solely by feedback from the last. This means our reactions would lag behind if we relied only on this slow chain reaction.
2. The same action can lead to different results depending on the situation. Think of hitting a baseball—sometimes it's a home run, sometimes an out. This challenges the idea of one movement automatically triggering a specific next move.
3. Even if you interrupt feedback during a movement sequence, the action sometimes continues. This suggests there's more going on than just a simple response chain.
4. Despite attempts to defend or modify Response Chaining, the biggest challenge is that our actions are guided by rules and goals, not just simple reactions.



In theory, if each movement had to wait for feedback from the previous one, we'd be far too slow for everyday activities like fast typing or playing a musical instrument. Our real-world experiences demand rapid, overlapping actions that leave little time for a strict, one-step-after-another chain. Moreover, a single movement can lead to drastically different outcomes depending on the context—imagine swinging at a baseball pitch. Sometimes, you hit it out of the park; other times, you strike out, even though the basic movement might feel similar. A rigid link between steps can't explain that level of flexibility. Another major critique is that the movement often continues if we cut off feedback partway through an action. It's as if our brain has already planned the sequence instead of reacting in the moment. That's a strong sign that we operate with higher-level planning and goals rather than simple chained reactions. Ultimately, these problems highlight why, while historically important, Response Chaining doesn't fully capture the complexity

of human motor control. We plan ahead, adapt to changes, and integrate rules and goals into our actions—far beyond what a straightforward chain reaction can explain.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

4. Element-to-Position Association Theory

- This theory suggests movements are linked to mental markers representing their position within a sequence (like first, second, third, etc.).
- If these markers also relate to timing, it could partially explain how we time our actions accurately.
- Limitation: The theory needs to work on explaining how we learn and follow complex rules that govern sequences. It needs to be more complex for the real-world flexibility of human action and skill.

Element-to-Position Association Theory proposes that each component of a movement sequence is tied to a specific slot, like “position one” or “position two.” On the surface, this seems helpful for understanding how we keep track of the order of actions—imagine labeling each movement so you know exactly where it fits. If these positional tags also have timing cues, they could help explain why we rarely scramble the order when performing well-practiced routines (like choreographed dance steps or playing the correct notes on a piano). However, the theory runs into problems when we examine complex tasks involving rules or flexible choices. For instance, if you’re playing a sport and need to adapt your sequence of movements based on an opponent’s actions, simply having “position one, position two, position three” won’t cut it. You might need to skip “position two” or jump to “position four” if the game situation calls for it. Real-world skill often requires branching possibilities rather than a single linear progression. Therefore, while Element-to-Position Association Theory highlights an important aspect of sequencing, it doesn’t fully capture how we learn, adapt, and apply more abstract rules or strategies in dynamic contexts. It’s a stepping stone to more comprehensive theories that address the fixed elements of sequencing and the need for on-the-fly decision-making.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

5. Inter-Element Inhibition Theory

- Suggests that elements within a sequence try to suppress each other.
- The element receiving the most inhibition occurs later in the sequence.
- Problems:
 1. Difficult to model or program due to the complexity of real-world neural processes. It oversimplifies how our brains control movement.
 2. Doesn’t explain how we learn and follow complex rules governing the order of actions. It leaves out the importance of deliberate planning and goal-oriented behavior.

Inter-Element Inhibition Theory proposes that each part of a sequence is simultaneously active, but some parts are suppressed until it’s their turn to ‘take the stage.’ While this idea acknowledges that multiple actions can play simultaneously, it still treats movement control as an internal wrestling match—whoever is ‘least inhibited’ gets to go next. The main issue is that this model

underestimates just how complex and adaptable our motor planning can be. In real-life scenarios, like cooking a meal or performing an athletic maneuver, we aren't just passively waiting for one action to 'stop inhibiting' the next. We actively plan ahead, follow rules or strategies, and respond to changing circumstances. Our behavior is guided by overarching goals and feedback from the environment, not just internal suppression between movement elements. Because it doesn't account for higher-level planning and the flexible use of rules, Inter-Element Inhibition Theory struggles to explain how we seamlessly reorder or skip actions when the situation demands it. Nevertheless, it remains historically important to highlight that multiple actions can be represented in the brain simultaneously—an idea that paved the way for more advanced, hierarchical models of motor control.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

6. Hierarchies: The Power of Organization

1. This theory suggests that movement sequences are organized into smaller groups, like building blocks. These groups, or “chunks,” can then be nested within each other to create complex sequences.
2. Imagine each chunk having its own internal control, reducing the processing load for the brain.
3. By organizing our actions into hierarchies, we can achieve both smooth execution and flexibility when adjusting plans.

Hierarchical models propose that instead of controlling every individual action in a flat, step-by-step way, our brains group related actions into larger “chunks.” Think of playing the piano: you might combine several notes into a small musical phrase rather than treat each note in total isolation. These nested groups allow you to focus on the overall structure of the piece while each smaller chunk runs on its own internal plan. This approach helps explain why people can rapidly learn and execute intricate skills without getting overwhelmed. The higher-level units govern broad goals—like completing a musical phrase—while lower-level units handle the fine details, such as individual finger movements. It's also easier to adapt in the middle of a sequence because you can switch or modify entire chunks based on changing circumstances rather than reworking every movement in real-time. Therefore, hierarchies provide a more flexible, robust way to understand motor control than theories focusing solely on linear or one-step-at-a-time processes. They show how complex skills—like a gymnastic routine or a choreographed dance—can be learned and performed smoothly, with enough adaptability to accommodate errors or unexpected changes.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

7. Adams' Closed-Loop Theory

1. This theory focuses on how we refine our movements through practice.
2. Key Idea: We learn by developing “perceptual goals”—an internal sense of what a successful action feels like.
3. We then adjust our movements to try and match that internal goal.

Adams' Closed-Loop Theory emphasizes that we use ongoing feedback to gradually fine-tune our movements. Imagine learning a gymnastics move: each time you practice, you build an internal

“blueprint” of how the move should feel—its balance, timing, and muscle sensations. Repeating the move, you compare your actions to that internal target and make subtle corrections. This approach is powerful because it highlights the role of sensory feedback (like feeling off-balance or noticing you’re too late in a rotation). Over time, your perceptual goal becomes more precise, and your movements become more accurate. However, one limitation of this theory is that it sometimes struggles to explain rapid movements or those performed without continuous feedback. Even so, Adams’ Closed-Loop Theory set an important foundation for understanding how we learn new skills through iterative practice and feedback.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

8. Hierarchical Learning: Building Skills Block by Block

1. This theory suggests skill development happens in layers, with lower-level skills forming the foundation for more complex ones.
2. Think of building a structure: you start with strong basic blocks, which then support more elaborate constructions on top.

Hierarchical learning explains how mastering simple components first creates a sturdy platform for more advanced skills. For example, when learning guitar, you begin with finger placement and basic chords. As those become second nature, you can combine them into chord progressions, then full songs, and eventually improvise. A key benefit of this layered approach is that once a lower-level action becomes automatic—like seamlessly switching chords—you free up mental resources to handle higher-level tasks, such as adding stylistic flourishes or paying attention to rhythm and dynamics. This principle holds true across many domains, from sports to language acquisition. In each case, forming reliable “building blocks” paves the way for more complex performance. It also explains why skill mastery can take time: each layer needs a solid foundation. If the basics aren’t stable, trying to stack advanced maneuvers on top can lead to errors or inefficiency. By contrast, a well-organized hierarchy allows you to refine details at one level without constantly re-learning the rest of the skill. This perspective ties neatly to “chunking,” where your brain treats related actions as a single unit, ultimately making complex sequences more manageable and adaptable.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

9. Fitts’ Stage Theory: A Roadmap for Learning

This theory breaks down skill acquisition into three main phases:

1. **Cognitive Stage:** The ‘thinking’ phase where you grasp the basics and figure out what needs to be done.
2. **Associative Stage:** The ‘experimenting’ phase. You refine your technique, linking actions to outcomes.
3. **Autonomous Stage:** The ‘mastery’ phase. The skill becomes more natural, requiring less conscious effort.

Fitts’ Stage Theory offers a clear framework for understanding how skills evolve from rough attempts to polished expertise. At the Cognitive Stage, you’re fully engaged with the basics—like a beginner juggler concentrating on each toss and catch, or a new driver double-checking

every move. Mistakes are common, but this stage is all about grasping fundamental actions and rules. Next comes the Associative Stage, where you start to see patterns and correct errors more smoothly. In juggling, you realize that throwing too high or too far forward disrupts your rhythm, and you adjust accordingly. This phase is marked by trial and error: you're connecting cause and effect in your movements, gradually refining what you do. Finally, the Autonomous Stage arrives when the skill feels almost effortless. You can juggle multiple objects while chatting with a friend or add fancy moves to impress an audience. In other words, the action becomes ingrained enough that your mind is freed to focus on creativity, strategy, or even multitasking. Whether it's juggling, playing an instrument, or driving, Fitts' stages remind us that mastery unfolds in distinct steps—from deliberate focus to smooth, almost subconscious execution.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

9.1 What Changes Across Stages

- **Attention:** Early on, you need high mental focus. Skill mastery allows that mental space to be used for other things (strategic thinking, adjusting on the fly).
- **Control:** Movements shift from deliberate and step-by-step to smooth and increasingly automatic.
- **Feedback:** Initially, you rely heavily on feedback to correct mistakes. Later, less feedback is needed for maintaining skilled performance.

As you progress from a beginner to a more advanced performer, your attention moves from being laser-focused on each component of the skill to being more free for strategic decisions or creative flourishes. In the early stages, your mind is consumed by basic execution—imagine a novice golfer trying to remember grip, stance, and swing all at once. Later, once those motions become automatic, you can think about shot selection or environmental factors, like wind speed. Similarly, control evolves from conscious, step-by-step actions to fluid, coordinated movements. If you've ever watched an experienced violinist or a professional soccer player, their actions appear effortless and seamless—no sign of the painstaking details they had to master at the start. Finally, feedback remains crucial but changes in nature. In the beginning, you need detailed corrections—like a dance instructor pointing out every misstep. As your skill grows, you still benefit from feedback, but it's more about fine-tuning nuances rather than fixing fundamental errors. This shift underscores the idea that expertise isn't just about doing things without mistakes; it's about quickly recognizing and adjusting small deviations for consistently high performance.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

10. Skill Acquisition: Not Just Mental

1. Learning isn't just about your brain! Skills change how your body moves:
 - **Faster & More Precise:** The obvious improvement we all aim for.
 - **Smoothness & Efficiency:** Movements start to feel effortless, with less wasted energy.
2. **Degrees of Freedom:** This is about unlocking new movement possibilities within your joints and muscles. Practice allows for more complex coordination.

Skill development involves more than just mental strategies and feedback loops; it fundamentally reshapes how our bodies move. Early on, you might notice improvements in speed or precision—like a novice runner shaving seconds off their time. But an equally important change is the smoothness of movement. Experienced athletes or dancers don't just move faster; they also waste less energy because their joints, muscles, and nervous system are working in harmony. A key concept here is degrees of freedom. Initially, we tend to lock or limit how many joints we use to control a movement, which can make us look stiff or robotic. With practice, we “unlock” these degrees of freedom, allowing multiple parts of the body to coordinate smoothly. Think of a seasoned swimmer whose entire body moves in a fluid wave versus a beginner who might overuse their arms and barely kick. As we gain expertise, the interplay among muscles and joints becomes more dynamic, giving us a broader range of ways to perform the same action. This adaptability not only makes movements more efficient but also helps us adjust quickly to unexpected changes, like a sudden obstacle on a running path or a tricky spin in a dance routine.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

10.1 How Does This Happen? (Theories)

1. **Hierarchical Learning:** Skills develop in layers. Lower-level coordination becomes automatic, freeing up your attention for more complex movement patterns.
2. **Uncontrolled Manifold Hypothesis:** Our brain learns to separate ‘essential’ movements for success from those less crucial to the task, allowing for flexibility without hindering accuracy.

Hierarchical Learning shows how simpler skills become automatic building blocks for more advanced actions. For instance, when you learn to juggle, you start with a single ball toss, gradually adding more complexity. Once those lower-level actions are well-practiced, your brain can focus on higher-level strategies—like keeping rhythm or anticipating the ball's trajectory. Meanwhile, the Uncontrolled Manifold Hypothesis explains how subtle adjustments in one part of the body don't derail the entire movement. Think of a juggler slightly altering wrist position without ruining the overall toss. The theory suggests that certain ‘critical’ aspects of a movement—like the ball's release angle—must stay consistent, but other elements can vary without causing errors. This built-in flexibility allows us to adapt to small changes or mistakes in real-time, making our performance both robust and graceful. Learning a skill is thus an intricate dance between perfecting the key components and allowing small, controlled variations. This process involves changes in brain structure and function, muscle coordination, and force control, highlighting just how multifaceted skill acquisition really is.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

11. Major Takeaways

1. Motor control involves both cognitive and physiological processes working together.
2. Early theories (like Response Chaining) paved the way for more nuanced, hierarchical, and feedback-based models.
3. Skill acquisition is a layered process: initial conscious control gives way to automaticity and adaptability.

Over the course of this presentation, we've seen that movement is far more complex than a simple chain reaction. Early ideas offered valuable insights but had limitations—especially when explaining rapid, adaptable skills. Modern theories emphasize hierarchies, flexible feedback loops, and the interplay between brain and body. We also explored how practice refines both mental representations and physical coordination, unlocking new degrees of freedom. Ultimately, the big picture is that psychological and physiological processes are deeply intertwined, guiding how we learn, perfect, and perform all sorts of motor tasks.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

12. Practical Applications

1. **Rehabilitation:** Applying hierarchical and feedback-based models to design better therapy programs for stroke or injury recovery.
2. **Sports Training:** Using goal-oriented feedback and chunking strategies to help athletes master complex skills faster.
3. **Music & Performance:** Employing stage theories to progress from basic techniques to effortless, expressive execution.

From clinical settings to sports arenas, understanding the psychological foundations of motor control can have a real impact. In rehabilitation, therapists can use feedback-driven practice and task segmentation to help patients regain lost abilities. In sports, coaches can break down complex maneuvers into manageable chunks and provide targeted feedback to refine performance. Musicians, dancers, and other performers similarly benefit from structured practice methods aligned with how the brain learns best. The common thread is leveraging these theories to make training more efficient, engaging, and successful, whether the goal is to relearn basic movements or to achieve elite performance levels. This concludes this lecture. I encourage you to complete the low-stake activity and reflect on how you could apply these concepts to your own practice. I will catch you on the next lecture.

Your browser does not support the audio element.

Check Your Understanding

- Click the timer (bottom left) to stop the lecture.
- Answer a series of questions about the lecture content.
- Each question has four answer choices; select the best one.
- Click “Check Answer” to verify.
- You have 60 seconds per question.
- Ready?
- Click the timer again to start the lecture and quiz.

1/10 Performance vs Learning

- Performance and learning are the same thing
- Learning is directly observable while performance is inferred
- Learning is inferred from relatively permanent changes in performance
- Performance is always a reliable indicator of learning

2/10 Serial Order Problem

- It refers to memorizing a sequence of numbers
- It refers to how movements are sequenced and timed in the correct order
- It is the ability to chain words together in a sentence
- It explains only slow, deliberate movements

3/10 Response Chaining Theory

- Suggests each movement triggers the next in a chain-like fashion
- Proposes all movements occur at the same time
- Explains how we skip steps in a sequence when needed
- Argues that feedback plays no role in movement

4/10 Hierarchical Models of Motor Control

- Propose that smaller “chunks” of action are nested within larger units
- Are identical to response chaining theories
- Ignore the role of cognitive processes in learning
- Only apply to simple motor tasks

5/10 Fitts’ Stage Theory: Cognitive Stage

- Learners focus heavily on what needs to be done and make frequent errors
- Movements are fully automated and require little conscious thought
- Learners rely solely on muscle memory without feedback
- Movements are performed perfectly from the outset

6/10 Adams’ Closed-Loop Theory

- Argues that feedback is irrelevant to skill development
- Suggests learners form a perceptual reference to guide movement corrections
- States that rapid movements can never be performed accurately
- Eliminates the concept of internal goals or standards

7/10 Degrees of Freedom in Motor Learning

- Refers to having unlimited time to practice a skill
- Is about how many times you can perform a skill before fatigue
- Describes the number of ways joints and muscles can vary to produce movement
- Applies only to elite athletes

8/10 Associative Stage of Learning

- The learner is unaware of how to correct mistakes
- Errors are detected more easily, and performance becomes more consistent
- Performance is completely automatic, requiring no attention
- This stage is irrelevant in real-world skill acquisition

9/10 Uncontrolled Manifold Hypothesis

- Suggests all movements must be rigidly fixed in advance
- Proposes that certain critical elements of movement remain stable while others can vary

- Implies that movement variation is always detrimental to performance
- Argues that skill can be acquired without any practice

10/10 Practical Application of Motor Control Theories

- They can inform rehabilitation, sports training, and skill-based instruction
- They are purely theoretical and lack real-world impact
- They only apply to language acquisition, not physical movement
- They discourage using feedback in practice