# Linear spaces

Lecture 5

# Mathematics - 1st year, English

Faculty of Computer Science Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi

e-mail: corina.forascu@gmail.com

facebook: Corina Forăscu

October 30, 2018

# Outline of the lecture

- Definition. Properties
- Linear combinations
  - Linear dependence
  - Algebraic bases
  - Dimension of linear spaces
- Change of coordinates
- Scalar products. Norms

# Linear spaces

A vector space (also called a linear space) is a collection of objects called vectors, which may be added together and multiplied ("scaled") by numbers, called scalars. Euclidian spaces: the real line, the real plane, the real space and the real hyperspace (a n-dimensional space with  $n \ge 4$ ).

#### Definition

Let  $V \neq \emptyset$ ,  $+: V \times V \to V$  (operation) and  $\cdot: \mathbb{R} \times V \to V$  (external operation). We say that  $(V, +, \cdot)$  is a *linear space* or a *vectorial space* if:

- $\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}) = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{z}, \ \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in V;$
- $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}, \ \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V;$
- $\exists 0 \in V$ ,  $\forall x \in V : x + 0 = 0 + x = x$ ;
- $\forall x \in V$ ,  $\exists (-x) \in V : x + (-x) = (-x) + x = 0$ ;
- $\alpha \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \alpha \cdot \mathbf{x} + \alpha \cdot \mathbf{y}, \ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V;$
- $(\alpha + \beta) \cdot \mathbf{x} = \alpha \cdot \mathbf{x} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{x}, \ \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in V;$
- $\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot \mathbf{x}) = (\alpha \beta) \cdot \mathbf{x}, \ \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in V;$
- $1 \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in V$ .
- The elements of V are usually called *vectors*;
- the elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  are called *scalars*;
- the operation + is called the addition of vectors;
- the external operation · is called the *multiplication with scalars*;
- the element **0** is called the *null-vector*;
- ullet the vector  $-\mathbf{x}$  is called the *opposite* of the vector  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ .

# The Euclidean space

#### **Theorem**

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n := \underbrace{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}}_{n \text{ times}}$ . We define the operations  $+ : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\cdot : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  by:  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) := (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n);$   $\alpha \cdot (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := (\alpha x_1, \alpha x_2, \dots, \alpha x_n).$  Then  $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \cdot)$  is a linear space, with  $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0).$ 

- ullet The above two operations are named the *canonical operations* on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- If  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we will call the numbers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  the coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}$ .

# Examples

- **1.** Let, for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$  be the set of all real  $m \times n$ -matrices.
  - + is the usual addition between matrices;
  - · is the multiplication of matrices with reals.

Then  $(\mathcal{M}_{m,n},+,\cdot)$  is a linear space.

- **2.** Let  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  be the set of all *polynomials* with real coefficients.
  - + is the usual addition between polynomials;
  - · is the multiplication of polynomials with reals.
- Then  $(\mathbb{R}[X], +, \cdot)$  is a linear space.
- **3.** Let E be a set,  $(V, +, \cdot)$  a linear space and  $\mathscr{F}(E; V)$  the collection of all functions  $f: E \to V$ . The operations

$$+$$
 :  $\mathscr{F}(E;V)\times\mathscr{F}(E;V)\to\mathscr{F}(E;V);$ 

$$\cdot$$
 :  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathscr{F}(E; V) \to \mathscr{F}(E; V)$ 

are defined by

- $(f+g)(x) := f(x) + g(x), f, g \in \mathcal{F}(E; V), x \in E;$
- $(\alpha \cdot f)(x) := \alpha \cdot f(x), \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \ f \in \mathcal{F}(E; V), \ x \in E.$

Then  $(\mathscr{F}(E;V),+,\cdot)$  is a linear space.

Particularizing E and  $(V, +, \cdot)$  we get other or already known examples.

- For instance, if we take  $E := \{1, \ldots, m\} \times \{1, \ldots, n\}$  and  $V := \mathbb{R}$ , we obtain once again the linear space  $(\mathcal{M}_{m,n}, +, \cdot)$ , since  $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$  is precisely  $\mathscr{F}(\{1, \ldots, m\} \times \{1, \ldots, n\}; \mathbb{R})$ .
- If  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $V := \mathbb{R}^m$ , then  $(\mathscr{F}(E; \mathbb{R}^m), +, \cdot)$  is a vectorial space of functions of n variables with values in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .
- If  $E := \mathbb{N}$  and  $V := \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\mathscr{F}(E; V)$  is the space of real sequences  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

# **Properties**

#### **Theorem**

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a linear space. Then, for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  we have:

i) 
$$\alpha \cdot \mathbf{0} = 0 \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$
;

ii) 
$$(-\alpha) \cdot \mathbf{x} = \alpha \cdot (-\mathbf{x}) = -(\alpha \cdot \mathbf{x});$$

iii) 
$$(-\alpha) \cdot (-\mathbf{x}) = \alpha \cdot \mathbf{x}$$
;

iv) 
$$\alpha \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \alpha = 0$$
 or  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

# Linear subspaces

#### Definition

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a linear space and  $\emptyset \neq W \subseteq V$ . We say that W is a *linear subspace* of V if for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in W$  we have that  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in W$  and  $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{x} \in W$ .

### Examples.

**1.** If  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $m \le n$ , the set

$$W_m := \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$$

is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since we can identify  $W_m$  with  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , we often consider  $\mathbb{R}^m$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (as we consider  $\mathbb{R}$  a subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

**2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that not all  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  are 0 (*i.e.*,  $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \neq \mathbf{0}$ ). The set

$$H := \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n = 0\}$$

is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , called a *hyperplane*.

**3.** The set of *even* real functions,

$$\{f \in \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}) \mid f(-x) = f(x), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is a linear subspace of  $\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ .



### Proposition

Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be two linear subspaces of a linear space  $(V,+,\cdot)$ . Then  $W_1\cap W_2$  is again a linear subspace of V.

#### Proof.

Let 
$$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$
 and  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in W_1 \cap W_2$ . Then

$$\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in W_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in W_1$$
 and  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in W_2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in W_2$ 

so 
$$\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in W_1 \cap W_2$$
.

Also,

$$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$
,  $\mathbf{x} \in W_1 \Rightarrow \alpha \cdot \mathbf{x} \in W_1$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in W_2 \Rightarrow \alpha \cdot \mathbf{x} \in W_2$ ,

hence  $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{x} \in W_1 \cap W_2$ .

- In contrast to the intersection, the union of two linear subspaces of V is *not* a linear subspace of V, in general.
- The above result can be extended to an arbitrary number of intersections.

### Linear combinations

#### Definition

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a linear space. A *linear combination* of the vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in V$  is a vector  $\mathbf{y} \in V$  which can be written as

$$\mathbf{y} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{x}_n,$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark.** If W is a linear subspace of V, any linear combination of the vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n \in W$  is again an element of W.

#### Definition

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a linear space and U be a non-empty subset of V. The set of all linear combinations of elements of U,

$$\{\alpha_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{x}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}, \ \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in V\}$$

is called the *linear subspace generated* by U, denoted Lin(U).

- It is easy to prove that  $U \subseteq \text{Lin}(U)$  and Lin(U) is a linear subspace of V (hence the name).
- Moreover, it can be shown that Lin(U) is the smallest linear subspace of V which contains U.

**Example.** If  $V := \mathbb{R}^3$ , the linear subspace generated by  $U := \{(1,3,2)\}$  is the line  $\{(\alpha,3\alpha,2\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

# Linear dependence

#### Definition

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a linear space.

• For  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in V$  are called *linearly dependent* if there exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , not all 0, such that

$$\alpha_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{x}_n = 0.$$

Otherwise,  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are called *linearly independent*.

• A subset U of V is called *linearly independent* if for any *distinct* vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in U, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  are *linearly independent*.

#### Remarks.

• By the above definition,  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in V$  are linearly independent if and only if the equation

$$\alpha_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{0}$$

has as unique solution  $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_n = 0$ .

- If  $\mathbf{0} \in \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$ , then clearly  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  are linearly dependent (we take all  $\alpha_k$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ , to be 0, except the  $\alpha_k$  corresponding to the  $\mathbf{x}_k$  which is  $\mathbf{0}$ ). Hence, if  $U \subseteq V$  is linearly independent,  $0 \notin U$ .
- The necessary and sufficient condition for the vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in V$  to be linearly dependent is that we can write a vector among  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  as a linear combination of the others. Indeed, if

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \alpha_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1} \mathbf{x}_{k-1} + \alpha_{k+1} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{x}_n$$

then

$$\alpha_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \mathbf{x}_{k-1} + \alpha_k \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_{k+1} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{0},$$

where  $\alpha_k = -1 \neq 0$ . Conversely, if

$$\alpha_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{0}$$

for some  $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n\in\mathbb{R}$ , not all 0, let  $k\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$  such that  $\alpha_k\neq 0$ . Then

$$\mathbf{x}_{k} = \left(-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{k}}\right)\mathbf{x}_{1} + \cdots + \left(-\frac{\alpha_{k-1}}{\alpha_{k}}\right)\mathbf{x}_{k-1} + \left(-\frac{\alpha_{k+1}}{\alpha_{k}}\right)\mathbf{x}_{k+1} + \cdots + \left(-\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\alpha_{k}}\right)\mathbf{x}_{n}.$$

# Algebraic bases

#### Definition

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a linear space. A subset  $B \subseteq V$  is called an *algebraic basis* or *Hamel basis* (or simply, a *basis*) of V if B is linearly independent and Lin(B) = V.

#### **Theorem**

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then the set  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , where

$$\mathbf{e}_k := (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k-1 \text{ times}}, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \ 1 \le k \le n,$$

is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , called the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

# **Dimension**

#### Definition

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a linear space. We say that V is *finite-dimensional* if there exists a finite basis of V. Otherwise, V is called *infinite-dimensional*.

#### Theorem

Let  $(V,+,\cdot)$  be a finite-dimensional linear space,  $n\in\mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\{\mathbf{b}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{b}_n\}$  a basis of V. Let  $X=(\alpha_{i,j})_{\substack{1\leq i\leq n\\1\leq j\leq m}}$  be a matrix in  $\mathscr{M}_{n,m}$ . Then the m vectors

$$\mathbf{x}_k := \alpha_{1,k} \mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n,k} \mathbf{b}_n, \ 1 \le k \le m$$

are linearly independent if and only if the rank of the matrix X is m.

### Proof.

The vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$  are linearly independent if and only if the equation

$$\xi_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \xi_m \mathbf{x}_m = \mathbf{0}$$

has only the trivial solution  $\xi_1 = \cdots = \xi_m = 0$ . Writting down the expression for each  $\mathbf{x}_k$ ,  $1 \le k \le m$ , we get that (\*) is equivalent to

$$\xi_1(\alpha_{1,1}\mathbf{b}_1+\cdots+\alpha_{n,1}\mathbf{b}_n)+\cdots+\xi_m(\alpha_{1,m}\mathbf{b}_1+\cdots+\alpha_{n,m}\mathbf{b}_n)=\mathbf{0}, \text{ i.e. }$$

$$(\alpha_{1,1}\xi_1+\cdots+\alpha_{1,m}\xi_m)\mathbf{b}_1+\cdots+(\alpha_{n,1}\xi_1+\cdots+\alpha_{n,m}\xi_m)\mathbf{b}_n=\mathbf{0}.$$

Therefore,  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$  are linearly independent if and only if the homogeneous system with n equations and m unknowns

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{1,1}\xi_1 + \dots + \alpha_{1,m}\xi_m &= 0 \\ \dots &\vdots \\ \alpha_{n,1}\xi_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n,m}\xi_m &= 0 \end{cases}$$

has only the trivial solution. By the theory of linear systems in  $\mathbb{R}$ , this is equivalent to the fact that the matrix X has the rank m.

### Corollary

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a finite-dimensional linear space. If B is a basis of V with n elements and  $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in V$  are linearly independent vectors, then  $m \leq n$ .

#### **Theorem**

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a finite-dimensional linear space. Then there exists a unique  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , called the dimension of V and denoted dim V, such that every basis of V has precisely n elements.

**Remark.** The linear space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is finite dimensional and has dimension n.

#### **Theorem**

Let W be a linear subspace of a finite-dimensional linear space  $(V, +, \cdot)$ . Then W is finite-dimensional and dim  $W \le \dim V$ .

### **Proposition**

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a finite-dimensional linear space and  $n := \dim V$ . If  $m \le n$  and  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m \in V$  are linearly independent vectors, then there exist vectors  $\mathbf{y}_{m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n \in V$  such that  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}_{m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n\}$  forms a basis of V.

# Coordinates

### Proposition

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a finite-dimensional linear space with dimension  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . If  $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$  is a basis of V, then for every  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  there exist and are unique  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{b}_n.$$

The scalars  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  are called the coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_n$ .

#### Remarks.

**1.** In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the coordinates of a vector  $\mathbf{x}=(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$  with respect to the elements of the canonical basis  $\{\mathbf{e}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{e}_n\}$  are precisely  $x_1,\ldots,x_n$  (*i.e.*, the coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}$ ).

**2.** Let  $B = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (not necessarily the canonical one),  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  the coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$ . Then the relation

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{b}_n$$

can be written in a matrix-way as

$$\mathbf{x}^T = \mathbf{B} \cdot X_B$$
,

where

$$\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{b}_1^T \dots \mathbf{b}_n^T] \in \mathscr{M}_n$$

is the matrix having on the k-th column the coordinates of  $\mathbf{b}_k$ , while

$$\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{n,1}$$

is the column-matrix of the coordinates of of  $\mathbf{x}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$ .

#### Definition

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a finite-dimensional linear space with dimension  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $B = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$  a basis of V and  $B' = \{\mathbf{b}_1', \dots, \mathbf{b}_n'\}$  a set of m vectors in V. We call the *transition matrix* from B to B' the matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} s_{1,1} & \dots & s_{1,m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ s_{n,1} & \dots & s_{n,m} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{n,m},$$

where, for  $1 \le k \le m$ ,  $s_{1,k}, \ldots, s_{n,k}$  are the coordinates of the vector  $\mathbf{b}'_k$  with respect to  $\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_n$ .

Formally, we can write

$$\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathcal{S}$$

where B and B' are the row-matrix formed with the elements of B and respectively B':

$$\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{b}_1 \dots \mathbf{b}_n], \mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{b}_1' \dots \mathbf{b}_m']$$

#### **Theorem**

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a finite-dimensional linear space with dimension  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . If  $B = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$ ,  $B' = \{\mathbf{b}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}'_n\}$  are two bases of V and S is the transition matrix from B to B', then the matrix S is non-singular and  $S^{-1}$  is the transition matrix from B' to B.

Moreover, if  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  and  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n, \alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_n$  are the coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_n$ , respectively  $\mathbf{b}'_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}'_n$ , then

$$X_{B'} = S^{-1} \cdot X_B,$$

where

$$\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{n,1}, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{B}}' = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1' \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n' \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{n,1}$$

#### Definition

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a finite-dimensional linear space with dimension  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Let  $B = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$ ,  $B' = \{\mathbf{b}_1', \dots, \mathbf{b}_n'\}$  be two bases of V and S the transition matrix from B to B'. We say that B and B' have the same orientation if  $\det S > 0$ .

# Scalar products

#### Definition

Let  $(V, +, \cdot)$  be a linear space. We say that an application  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  is a *scalar product* on V if:

- $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq 0$ ,  $\forall \mathbf{x} \in V$  (positive definitness);
- $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} = 0, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in V$ ;
- $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ ,  $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$  (symmetry);
- $\langle \alpha \cdot \mathbf{x} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = \alpha \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle + \beta \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \alpha \cdot \mathbf{y} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{z} \rangle = \alpha \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \beta \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle$ ,  $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in V$  (bilinearity).

In this case, the quadruple  $(V, +, \cdot, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is called a *prehilbertian space*.

### **Proposition**

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined as

$$\langle (x_1,\ldots,x_n),(y_1,\ldots,y_n)\rangle := x_1y_1+\cdots+x_ny_n.$$

Then  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a scalar product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , called the Euclidian scalar product.

# Orthogonality

#### **Definition**

Let  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a prehilbertian space.

- We say that two vectors  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in V$  are *orthogonal* and we denote  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{y}$  if  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$ .
- Let  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  and U a non-empty subset of V. We say that x is orthogonal on U and we denote  $\mathbf{x} \perp U$  if  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{y}$  for every  $\mathbf{y} \in U$ .
- If U is non-empty subset of V, we call U an *orthogonal system* if  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{y}$  for any distinct  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in U$ .
- Let  $U \subseteq V$ . The *orthogonal complement* of U is the set

$$U^{\perp} := \{ \mathbf{x} \in V \mid \mathbf{x} \perp U \} .$$

**Remark.** Let  $\emptyset \neq U \subseteq V$ .

- One can show that if  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ , then  $\mathbf{x} \perp U$  if and only if  $\mathbf{x} \perp \operatorname{Lin}(U)$ .
- Therefore,  $U^{\perp} = \operatorname{Lin}(U)^{\perp}$ .
- It is also easy to prove that  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$

<□ > <□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Angle between vectors

#### Definition

Let  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a prehilbertian space. For  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , we call the *angle* between  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  the number

$$\widehat{(\textbf{x},\textbf{y})} = \sphericalangle(\textbf{x},\textbf{y}) := \arccos \frac{\langle \textbf{x},\textbf{y} \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \textbf{x},\textbf{x} \rangle} \sqrt{\langle \textbf{y},\textbf{y} \rangle}}.$$

It is clear that  $\widehat{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})} = \widehat{(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x})} \in [0,\pi]$ ,  $\forall \mathbf{x},\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Moreover, if  $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ,  $\widehat{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})} = \pi/2$  if and only if  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{y}$ .

### **Norms**

#### Definition

Let  $(V,+,\cdot)$  be a linear space. We say that an application  $\|\cdot\|:V\to\mathbb{R}$  is a *norm* on V if:

- $\|\mathbf{x}\| \geq 0$ ,  $\forall \mathbf{x} \in V$ ;
- $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} = 0, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in V$ ;
- $\|\lambda \mathbf{x}\| = |\lambda| \|\mathbf{x}\|$ ,  $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall \mathbf{x} \in V$  (homogeneity);
- $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| \le \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|$ ,  $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$  (triangle property).

In this case, the quadruple  $(V, +, \cdot, ||\cdot||)$  is called a *normed space*.

### Proposition

Let  $(V,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$  be a prehilbertian space. Then the mapping  $\|\cdot\|:V\to\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| := \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}, \ \mathbf{x} \in V$$

is a norm on V, called the norm induced by the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

#### Definition

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . The norm induced by the Euclidean scalar product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called the *Euclidean norm* and is denoted  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

If 
$$(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$$
, then  $\|(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\|_2=\sqrt{x_1^2+\cdots+x_n^2}$ .

#### Definition

Let  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space. A vector  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  such that  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 1$  is called a *versor*.

#### Definition

Let  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a prehilbertian space.

- A non-empty subset  $U \subseteq V$  is called an *orthonormal system* if U is an orthogonal system and every element of U is a versor.
- If B is a basis of V and B is an orthogonal system, then B is called an orthogonal basis.
- If B is a basis of V and B is an orthonormal system, then B is called an orthonormal basis.

In other words, U is an orthonormal system if and only if for any  $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in U$  we have

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 0, & \mathbf{x} 
eq \mathbf{y}; \\ 1, & \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}. \end{array} \right.$$

Of course, the canonical basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is an orthonormal basis.

#### Definition

Let  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a finite-dimensional prehilbertian space with dimension  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $B = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$  a basis of V. We call the *Gram determinant* associated with the basis B the number  $\det G \in \mathbb{R}$ , where

$$G := \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_n \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{b}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{b}_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle \mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{b}_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{b}_n, \mathbf{b}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{b}_n, \mathbf{b}_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle \mathbf{b}_n, \mathbf{b}_n \rangle \end{bmatrix} \in \mathscr{M}_n$$

- G is a symmetric and non-singular matrix.
- The basis B is orthogonal or orthonormal if and only if G is a diagonal matrix, respectively  $G = I_n$ .
- If  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  are the coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}$ , respectively  $\mathbf{y}$ , with respect to  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$ , then

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \beta_{j} \langle \mathbf{b}_{i}, \mathbf{b}_{j} \rangle = X_{B}^{T} \cdot G \cdot Y_{B},$$

where  $X_B = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]^T \in \mathcal{M}_{n,1}$  and  $Y_B = [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n]^T \in \mathcal{M}_{n,1}$ .

### Theorem (Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization procedure)

Let  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a finite-dimensional prehilbertian space with dimension  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . If  $B = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$  a basis of V, there exists an orthonormal basis  $B' = \{\mathbf{b}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}'_n\}$  such that

$$\operatorname{Lin}(\{\mathbf{b}'_1,\ldots,\mathbf{b}'_k\}) = \operatorname{Lin}(\{\mathbf{b}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{b}_k\})$$

for every  $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

- One important aspect of this result is that every finite-dimensional prehilbertian space has an orthonormal basis.
- It is enough to prove that the basis B' should be only orthogonal (if  $\{\mathbf{b}'_1,\ldots,\mathbf{b}'_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis, then  $\left\{\frac{\mathbf{b}'_1}{\|\mathbf{b}'_1\|},\ldots,\frac{\mathbf{b}'_n}{\|\mathbf{b}'_n\|}\right\}$  is an orthonormal basis).

### Proof.

**Step 1.** We take  $\mathbf{b}_1' = \mathbf{b}_1$ .

**Step 2.** Suppose that, for k < n, we have already found  $\mathbf{b}'_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}'_k$  with  $\{\mathbf{b}'_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}'_k\}$  an orthogonal system such that

$$\operatorname{Lin}(\{\mathbf{b}'_1,\ldots,\mathbf{b}'_k\}) = \operatorname{Lin}(\{\mathbf{b}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{b}_k\}).$$

We determine  $\mathbf{b}'_{k+1} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{b}'_1 + \dots + \lambda_k \mathbf{b}'_k + \mathbf{b}_{k+1}$  such that  $\mathbf{b}'_{k+1} \perp \mathbf{b}'_j$ ,  $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . This means that

$$\lambda_j \|\mathbf{b}_j'\|^2 + \langle \mathbf{b}_{k+1}, \mathbf{b}_j' \rangle = 0, \ \forall j \in \{1, \dots, k\},$$

i.e.  $\lambda_j = -\frac{\langle \mathbf{b}_{k+1}, \mathbf{b}_j' \rangle}{\left\| \mathbf{b}_j' \right\|^2}$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . In conclusion, we have found

$$\mathbf{b}_{k+1}' = \mathbf{b}_{k+1} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{b}_{k+1}, \mathbf{b}_1' \rangle}{\left\| \mathbf{b}_1' \right\|^2} \mathbf{b}_1' - \dots - \frac{\left\langle \mathbf{b}_{k+1}, \mathbf{b}_k' \right\rangle}{\left\| \mathbf{b}_k' \right\|^2} \mathbf{b}_k'.$$

**Step 3.** We repeat **Step 2** until we arrive to k + 1 = n.

The above algorithm is called the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization procedure.

- V. Borcea, C. Davideanu, Corina Forăscu, *Probleme de Algebră Liniară*, Gh. Asachi Publishing House, Iasi, 2000.
- S. Corbu, Algebră liniară. Geometrie analitică (elemente de teorie și aplicații), Editura "Fair Partners", București, 2011.
- N. Cotfas, *Elemente de algebră liniară*, Editura Univ. București, 2009.
- M. Gorunescu, F. Gorunescu, A. Prodan, *Matematici superioare*, Biostatistică și Informatică, Editura Albastră, Cluj-Napoca, 2002.
- J. Hefferon, Linear Algebra, Saint Michael's College, 2014.
- lon D. Ion, R. Nicolae, *Algebră*, Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 1981.
- V. Postolică, *Eficiență prin matematica aplicată*, Editura Matrix Rom, București, 2006.
- 🔋 A. Precupanu, *Bazele analizei matematice*, Editura Polirom, Iași, 1998.
- R. Singh, M. Bhatt, *Linear Algebra and Vector Calculus*, Mc Graw Hill Ed., 2016.
- S. Treil, Linear Algebra Done Wrong, Brown University, 2013