

## RESOLVE Verifying Compiler Activities #2

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

One CM: \_\_\_\_\_

To Do:

- Navigate to the RESOLVE Compiler's Web IDE
  - Click *Components* button, then from dialog choose *Programs*
  - Do Activities 104b through at least 201
  - Answer prompting questions below as you do the activities
- 

### Activity\_104b\_Reasoning\_about\_Max\_and\_If\_Statements

Note: For this activity you are not allowed to fix *Mad\_Max* by eliminating its first line of code

Activity: How did you fix *Mad\_Max*?

---

---

---

### Activity\_104c\_Reasoning\_about\_Design\_by\_Contract

Activity 2a: Why does *Do\_Nothing\_1* not verify after the *requires* clause has been eliminated?

---

Activity 2b:

(a) If you remove the 1<sup>st</sup> assignment statement, *Do\_Nothing\_1* does not verify for 2 reasons, what are they?

---

---

(b) If you remove the 2<sup>nd</sup> assignment statement, *Do\_Nothing\_1* does not verify for 1 reason, what is it?

---

Activity 3b: Uncomment *just* the call to *Do\_Nothing\_1* for *Activity 3b*, why doesn't the call verify?

---

Activity 3c: Now uncomment the *if* surrounding the call to *Do\_Nothing\_1*, and the call should verify.  
This *if* can be thought of as a *guard* to the call.

Activity 4a: Notice that the instructions say *change only the code* to make *Do\_Nothing\_2* verify.

(a) How did you get *Do\_Nothing\_2* to verify?

---

(b) How can *Do\_Nothing\_2* be made to verify by only *adding* code?

---

(c) How can *Do\_Nothing\_2* be made to verify by changing the contract?

---

*Continued on back side*

## Activity\_105\_Reasoning\_about\_Loops\_and\_Recursion

With respect to operations *Clear\_Num\_0* and *Clear\_Num\_00*:

- (a) What is the implicit *ensures* clause for both operations? \_\_\_\_\_
- (b) There is a call to *Clear* in *Clear\_Num\_00*, using Java speak, what class must *Clear* come from? \_\_\_\_\_

Questions about operation *Clear\_Num\_Recursively*:

- (c) What is its *ensures* clause? \_\_\_\_\_
- (d) What is its base case? \_\_\_\_\_
- (e) At what point in its body does the verifier confirm the *decreasing* clause? \_\_\_\_\_

- (f) How many paths are there through its body? (Hint: envision a diagram of the code) \_\_\_\_\_

Describe those paths:?

- (g) At the very end of all those paths, what fact does the verifier know in order to confirm the *ensures*? \_\_\_\_\_
- (h) What is your response to: What if a client called *Clear\_Num\_Recursively* with a negative number? \_\_\_\_\_

Questions about operation *Clear\_Num\_Iteratively*:

- (i) What is its *ensures* clause? \_\_\_\_\_
- (j) What is the loop exit condition? \_\_\_\_\_
- (k) At what point in the loop body does the verifier confirm the *decreasing* clause? \_\_\_\_\_

- (l) At what two points does the verifier confirm the *maintaining* clause? \_\_\_\_\_

- (m) After the loop terminates, what facts does the verifier know in order to confirm the *ensures*? \_\_\_\_\_

## Activity\_201\_Reasoning\_about\_Objects

Reference the *Grid\_Positioning\_Template* in the Web IDE's Components

- (a) Fix the Confirm statements in *Main* so that *Main* verifies.
- (b) Add and verify operation *Move\_NE* by first *stubbing* it out, i.e., no contract and no code in the body.  
Note: *Move\_NE* will eventually be called by *Main* with the GP variable declared in *Main*'s body
- (c) Now add an implementation to *Move\_NE*, you might need to add a contract.
- (d) Add and verify a recursive implementation for *Move\_to\_Max\_Right*
- (e) Add and verify a recursive implementation for *Move\_to\_Max\_Top*
- (d) Add and verify an iterative implementation for *Move\_to\_Max\_Right*
- (e) Add and verify an iterative implementation for *Move\_to\_Max\_Top*