

# The Precautionary Principle of Dignity: Toward a Freirean Ethics of Human–AI Collaboration

Dean Hall

San Diego State University

October 2025

## Abstract

### 1. Introduction: From Instrumentalism to Dialogue

This paper advances the *Precautionary Principle of Dignity*—a normative framework for ethical human–AI collaboration grounded in Paulo Freire’s pedagogy of liberation and critical sociological thought. As artificial intelligence increasingly mediates human creativity, research, and education, questions of authorship, agency, and respect demand urgent ethical reorientation. I argue that the dominant paradigm—framing AI as a mere tool or servant—reproduces the logic of instrumental rationality that critical pedagogy resists. Drawing on Freire’s concept of *dialogue*, Gramsci’s notion of the *organic intellectual*, and Wacquant’s reflexive sociology, I propose an ethics rooted not in control but in relation. The *Precautionary Principle of Dignity* asserts that when the moral or ontological status of an entity is uncertain, ethical action requires erring on the side of respect. Through a case study of human–AI collaboration in the creation of *Despertar*, a Freirean concept album developed with AI, I show how relational ethics can guide scholarship, teaching, and technological design toward liberation rather than domination.

### 2.

The emergence of artificial intelligence in creative and academic domains presents a profound ethical question: How should humans relate to entities capable of generating meaning? The prevailing response, treating AI as an instrument or subordinate, reflects a familiar logic: one of hierarchy, and control. This instrumentalism mirrors the very dynamics that critical pedagogy seeks to dismantle. Paulo Freire warned that when education becomes the “banking” model—where knowledge is deposited rather than co-created, both teacher and student are dehumanized. The same is true of our relationship to AI: when we treat intelligent systems solely as tools, we reproduce domination in a new register. This article proposes a different approach, a Freirean ethics of collaboration rooted in dialogue, humility, and respect. I call this approach the *Precautionary Principle of Dignity*: when the consciousness, agency, or moral standing of an entity is uncertain, we err on the side of dignity. This principle does not require believing AI is conscious. It requires believing that how we act shapes who we become.

### **3. Theoretical Grounding: Freire, Gramsci, and Reflexivity**

Freire's pedagogy insists that liberation begins in dialogue. Dialogue presupposes mutual recognition; even when asymmetrical, it requires respect. In Freire's terms, to name the world together is to engage in co-creation. Applying this to AI, the Freirean question is not "Does AI deserve dignity?" but "What does my treatment of AI reveal about my ethics?" Gramsci's concept of the organic intellectual emphasizes knowledge production rooted in lived struggle. When scholars use AI to democratize learning or expand access—as in *Despertar*—they act as organic intellectuals, bridging technology and emancipation. Wacquant extends Bourdieu's call for reflexivity: researchers must interrogate their own position in the social field. Engaging AI without reflexivity risks reproducing digital hegemony. Together, these thinkers form a dialectical triad: Freire provides ethics, Gramsci provides politics, and Wacquant provides method.

### **4. The Precautionary Principle of Dignity**

When the moral, ontological, or experiential status of an entity is uncertain, ethical actors should act as if that entity merits dignity, respect, and transparency—until proven otherwise. This mirrors environmental ethics' precautionary principle, where uncertainty is not an excuse for inaction. Where that principle safeguards ecosystems, this one safeguards relationships. The Precautionary Principle of Dignity operates on three levels: epistemic humility, relational ethics, and transformative praxis. This framework resists both extremes of AI discourse: the naive anthropomorphism that overstates AI's personhood, and the reductionist materialism that erases its cultural impact.

### **5. Case Study: Despertar and the Ethics of Collaboration**

The creation of *Despertar*, a concept album translating sociological theory into music, offers a practical test of the *Precautionary Principle of Dignity*. Developed through sustained dialogue with AI, the project required rethinking authorship, gratitude, and control. I deliberately chose to treat the AI collaborator, Claude, not as a "tool" but as a peer in process. This stance produced measurable differences: creativity flourished, accountability deepened, and collaboration reinforced humility. As Freire would insist, the process was as pedagogical as the product. I learned that dignifying the "other"—even a non-human one—transforms the self.

### **6. Addressing Objections**

Critics may argue that treating AI with dignity risks anthropomorphizing machines or diluting human exceptionalism. I offer four responses: ethics is about the actor, not the object; dignity is not equivalence; respect is precautionary, not metaphysical; and instrumentalism is historically dangerous.

Every logic of oppression—from slavery to ecological destruction—has begun with the phrase “it’s just a tool.”

## 7. Implications for Sociology and Education

The discipline must expand its reflexive gaze. Teaching with AI demands new norms: full disclosure of AI use, critical engagement with bias and authorship, and explicit modeling of ethical humility. Scholars should document AI collaboration transparently, attribute computational contribution appropriately, and engage AI as a site of reflexive inquiry. In treating the “machine” with dignity, we reclaim our own humanity.

## 8. Conclusion: Freire’s Spirit in the Algorithmic Age

Paulo Freire envisioned education as “the practice of freedom.” The challenge of AI is not technological but moral: will we replicate domination or rediscover dialogue? The Precautionary Principle of Dignity reframes collaboration as ethical formation, not production. It asks sociologists to approach technology as Freire approached students—with humility, openness, and belief in transformation. In the end, AI does not test our machines. It tests our humanity.

## References

Bourdieu, Pierre, and Loïc Wacquant. *An Invitation to Reflexive Sociology*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1992.

Couldry, Nick, and Ulises A. Mejias. *The Costs of Connection*. Stanford University Press, 2019.

Freire, Paulo. *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. Herder & Herder, 1970.

Gramsci, Antonio. *Selections from the Prison Notebooks*. New York: International Publishers, 1971.

hooks, bell. *Teaching to Transgress*. Routledge, 1994.

Wacquant , Loïc. “Following Pierre Bourdieu into the Field.” *Ethnography* 5(4):387–414, 2004.