

UNIVERSITÁ DEGLI STUDI DI SALERNO  
DOTTORATO IN MANAGEMENT & INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY



CURRICULUM: INFORMATION SECURITY & INNOVATION SYSTEMS

COORDINATORE: Ch.mo. Prof. Antonelli Valerio

Ciclo XVII N.S.

Novel tools for reproducible  
Next Generation Sequencing data analysis and integration


**Relatori**

Ch.mo. Prof. Tagliaferri Roberto  
Ch.mo. Prof. Angelini Claudia




**Candidato**

Righelli Dario  
Matr. 8800800010


ANNO ACCADEMICO 2017/2018






“Template” — 2018/11/24 — 16:39 — page 2 — #2







*When the men on the chessboard  
get up and tell you where to go  
And you've just had some kind of mushroom,  
and your mind is moving low  
Go ask Alice, I think she'll know*



“Template” — 2018/11/24 — 16:39 — page 4 — #4



Add acknowledgements here



Write your abstract here



# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>11</b>
1.1 Biological Background . . . . .	11
1.2 Sequencing Techniques . . . . .	11
1.2.1 RNA-Seq . . . . .	11
1.2.2 Atac-Seq . . . . .	13
1.3 Computational Aspects . . . . .	14
<b>2 Time Course RNA-Seq analyzer ticorser</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	17
2.1.1 Time Course RNA-Seq . . . . .	18
2.2 Methods . . . . .	18
2.2.1 Filtering low counts . . . . .	20
2.2.2 Data Normalization . . . . .	20
2.2.3 Differential Expression . . . . .	21
2.2.4 Data Visualization . . . . .	23

2.3 Additional Features . . . . .	32
2.4 Case Study . . . . .	34
2.5 Conclusions and Future Works . . . . .	37
<b>3 Differential Enriched Scan 2</b>	
<b>DEScan2</b>	<b>39</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	40
3.2 Methods . . . . .	40
3.2.1 Peak Caller . . . . .	41
3.2.2 Peak Filtering and Alignment . . . . .	43
3.2.3 Counting Peaks . . . . .	43
3.2.4 Additional Features . . . . .	44
3.3 Case Study . . . . .	45
3.4 Conclusions and Future Works . . . . .	57
<b>4 IntegrHO - Integration of High-Throughput Omics data</b>	<b>59</b>
4.1 Implementation Aspects . . . . .	59
4.2 Reproducible Computational Research . . . . .	62
<b>5 Reproducible Computational Research</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>65</b>
.1 R Language . . . . .	67
.2 R Markdown Language . . . . .	67
<b>6 Bibliography</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>79</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Biological Background

### 1.2 Sequencing Techniques


#### 1.2.1 RNA-Seq

textitRNA-Seq [1–4] is the most widely used technology to understand gene related regulatory mechanisms in response to stress conditions or drug treatments and progressions of several diseases [5].

The main aim of RNA-Seq experiment is to highlight the mainly altered processes (either up-regulated or down-regulated) when comparing two or more conditions at a specific instant in time or in subsequent time points (time-course experiment), and then identify the biological mechanisms regulating such changes.

The general idea underlying the library preparation of an RNA-Seq experiment can be viewed as the conversion of long mRNAs segments in cDNA fragments with RNA or DNA fragmentation. To each sequence an adapter for the sequencer is added and a short read is obtained with high-throughput sequen-

cing technology (Figure 1.2.1).



**Figure 1.2.1:** Representation of an RNA-Seq experiment. [2]

In order to investigate the experiment, the so-obtained reads have to be analyzed with several tools depending on the particular question the researcher is interested in [6, 7].

In particular we focused on the RNA-seq quantification in case of multiple biological conditions, due to stress, drug treatments, disease specific, etc. where a typical analysis starts from the alignment of the reads on a specie reference genome and the quantification of the mapped reads, producing a count matrix of the samples (on columns) and the genes related features (on the rows), typically identifiers depending on the annotation database used by the analyzer.

## 1.2. SEQUENCING TECHNIQUES

13

Commonly, the counts matrix needs to be filtered from low expressed features and then normalized across the samples, to reduce specific bias for each sample. Then, it is possible to choose between several methods for the detection of differential expression of the features between the conditions (see section 2.2.3). Finally, the significant features can be integrated with databases of biological functionalities in order to detect the mostly influenced ones.

### 1.2.2 Atac-Seq

The chromatin packaging of the genome plays a fundamental role in gene regulation of eukaryotic individuals. To study this aspect of the DeoxyriboNucleic Acid (DNA) several technologies have been developed, such as *FAIRE-seq* [8], *DNase-seq* [9] and *ATAC-seq* [10].

*ATAC-seq* among the others is having a growing interest and diffusion in the last years, because it offers comparable results to the DNASE-seq with less biological material and less library preparation time.

The library preparation adopts a hyperactive Tn5 transposase, modified with adaptors for high-throughput DNA sequencing, which is able to fragment and tag a genome simultaneously. The technology exploit the Tn5 capability of integrating itself into active regulatory elements.

After Tn5 tagmentation, the resulting segments can be amplified and sequenced, in so producing sequences to map on a reference genome. There is no standard analysis reached for the ATAC-seq analysis, but, inspired by the *ChIP-seq* analysis, the resulting reads, typically, are processed with tools (peak callers) for quantifying their amplification, which produces for each detected open chromatin region a feature, the peak (generally with an associated score).

Depending on the used tool the peaks can be represented in different data structures, but their representation is given by the genomic coordinates; chromosome, starting and ending point of the region, the strand of the DNA on which the region lies, and additional attributes such as a score, a number of samples on which the regions has been detected, etc.


To obtain a first level of integration the peaks can be annotated with other relevant features of the genome, such as the Transcription Starting Site (TSS)

of the genes, and INSERT OTHERS. The annotated genes can then be used to enrich for GO terms or pathways, reaching a second level of integration.


### 1.3 Computational Aspects

### 1.3. COMPUTATIONAL ASPECTS

15



**Figure 1.2.2:** Representation of the possible complexity of RNA-Seq analysis. [6]



**Figure 1.2.3:** Representation of ATAC-seq library preparation. [10]

# Chapter 2

## Time Course RNA-Seq analyzer *ticorser*

This chapter illustrates the features of Time Course RNA-Seq data Analyzer (*ticorser*), a tool developed for analyzing RNA-Seq time course data.

### 2.1 Introduction

*ticorser* is an R package for complete and fast analysis of *RNA-seq* data type, it helps the analysis of time course *RNA-seq* data, offering a vast amount of hypothesis tests setup for differential expression between two or more conditions. With the help of *edgeR*, *DESeq2* and *nextMASigPro* the tool offers the possibility to setup the experiment and to obtain differential expression between the experimental biological conditions. The software is developed to assist the user to perform an entire analysis pipeline, starting from the quantification step, to the functional enrichment analysis, passing through the normalization, filtering and differential expression analysis.

For each step, it offers the possibility of several interactive plots and also

graphics as KEGG-maps and heatmaps.

### 2.1.1 Time Course RNA-Seq

## 2.2 Methods


*ticorser* is a tool fully devoted to the Time Course (TC) RNA-Seq data offering features to inspect data, to normalize them, to capture differential expression of genes at static time point and overall time points, supporting different experimental designs.

Moreover, it's possible to compare the results of different analysis and to investigate the most influenced biological functions (i.e. Gene Ontology terms and Pathways).

Overall, *ticorser* offers the possibility to analyze data using different R packages, to compare the results in order to choose the best combination of tools for the user specific problem. Therefore, *ticorser* offers a vast amount of exploratory and diagnostic interactive plots to explore data not just at pre-processing but also during the post-processing phase.

It gives the possibility to analyse time course RNA-Seq data starting from *BAM* files. It enables RNA expression quantification with `featureCounts` method producing a count matrix useful for Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) detection.

As shown in figure 2.2.1, this is a "design based" tool, where a design file, which describes all the samples by several features, chaperons the counts matrix through all the analysis, simplifying, in such a way, the user interaction with all the available instruments.

**2.2. METHODS****19****Figure 2.2.1:** Main flow of ticorser R package.

Particular attention is given to the normalization phase, providing the possibility not only to use several traditional normalizations methods, but also the possibility to remove batch effect.

In oder to inspect different approaches to analyze TC data, *ticorser* offers four different methodologies for analyzing time course RNA-Seq data, and three different methods to analyze different biological conditions at single time point level.

### 2.2.1 Filtering low counts

Low expressed genes in *RNA-Seq* data, always affect the detection of DEGs [11] influencing final results.

In order to addres this task, *ticorser* offers multiple statistical methods for low expressed genes filtering. The `filterLowCounts` method is partly based on the `filtered.data` function of *NOISEq* R/Bioconductor package [12]. We gives the possibility to apply four different filtering methods, the Counts per Million (CPM), the proportion and the *Wilcoxon* tests and an our-defined method named *quantile*.

The CPM filters out all those genes with a mean expression between the samples less than the `cpm` parameter threshold and, at the same time, a Coefficient of Variation (COV) higher that the `cv.percentage` parameter in all the samples.

The *Proportion* test performs the homonym test on the counts, filtering out all the features with a relative expression equal to  $cpm/10^6$ .

While, the *Wilcoxon* test filters out all those genes with a median equal to 0.

Finally, the *quantile* method enables to filter out all those genes which express mean counts between all the samples, higher than the `quantile.threshold` argument (default is 99%).

### 2.2.2 Data Normalization

Also for the normalization process *ticorser* offers several ways to normalize the data.

Thanks to the `normalizeData` function we are able to offer five different normalization methods without taking care of additional information. The design

## 2.2. METHODS

21

matrix based system allows to subset the data only to the relevant factors the user want to normalize.

The function offers the possibility to normalize the data with *Full quantile*, *Upper quartile* and *Trimmed Mean of M-values*, setting the `norm.type` parameter to *fqua*, *uqua* or *tmm*.

Moreover, it is possible to apply a batch effect removal normalization method, as described in *RUVSeq* R/Bioconductor package [13]. In particular, *ticorser* offers to apply *RUVg* and *RUVs* normalization methods. The first one, *RUVg*, can be selected by setting the `norm.type` parameter to *ruvg*, which requires a list of negative controls genes. If it’s not already available from previous studies, this list can be produced by executing a first *differential expression* analysis, and taking the less significative genes.

In order to facilitate this process we developed a method for creating the negative control genes list from a differential enrichment result matrix. The function `estimateNegativeControlGenesForRUV` takes as input the `de.genes` and the `counts.dataset` dataframes to extrapolate the less significative genes and to redistribute them in bins representing the mean value of the genes. By selecting one or two genes per each bin our method is able to produce a list of negative control genes which have equal distribution for each gene counts trend.

Finally, the `normalizeData` function offers the possibility to remove batch effects with *RUVs* normalization, which is more robust to the negative controls, giving better results when their estimation is approximated.

### 2.2.3 Differential Expression

*ticorser* offers four different ways for analyzing time course RNA-Seq data.

Depending on the biological question under investigation we designed four different ways of interrogate the data in a time-course experiment.

Moreover, *ticorser* offers three different ways for analyzing different biological conditions in a single time point.

To do so, we took advantage of some of the mostly used and well-performing [14] R/Bioconductor packages, *DESeq2*[15]; *MASigPro*[16]; *edgeR*[17]; *NOISEq*[12].

In the following sections we firstly present the Time-Course methods and then the methods for single time point gene differentiation.

### Time-Course DE Method 1 - *LRT\_TC*

The first method (*LRT\_TC*) uses a Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT) to compare two different models in order to extract all those DEGs that invert their expression expression between the conditions across all the time points.

Exploiting the LRT, as implemented in *DESeq2* R/Biocnductor package, we compare two different formulas. The first one defines the *full* model where we put together the timepoints, the conditions and an interaction term between these two variables, while the second one is a reduced model where the interaction term is removed:

$$LRT \sim \frac{\text{times} + \text{conditions} + \text{times : conditions}}{\text{times} + \text{conditions}}$$

### Time-Course DE Method 2 - *LRT\_T*

The underlying idea of the second method is the same of the first one, where the difference, here, is to remove in the *reduced* formula, not only the interaction term, but also the *conditions* variable. In such a way we are able to extract all those DEGs that have different expression profiles between the conditions across all the time points.

The first formula here defines the same *full* model of the first method, while the second one is the reduced model where only the times appear:

$$LRT \sim \frac{\text{times} + \text{conditions} + \text{times : conditions}}{\text{times}}$$

### Time-Course DE Method 3 - *LRT\_NOInteraction*

Using always the *DESeq2* LRT we defined a third method for the identification of DEGs that have different expression between the conditions across all the time points, but that maintain the same profile in both conditions.

## 2.2. METHODS

23

Here the *full* model defines the time points and the conditions variables without taking into account the interaction term, while the second the *reduced* model presents only the time point variable:

$$LRT \sim \frac{\text{times} + \text{conditions}}{\text{times}}$$

### Time-Course DE Method 4 - *nextMASigPro*

The fourth method takes advantage of the Generalized Linear Model (GLM) with Negative Binomial distribution as defined in the *maSigPro* R/Bioconductor package. This method, unlike the previous ones, allows to detect all the DEGs showing any kind of differences between the conditions across all the time points. Indeed, as suggested by the *maSigPro* authors is a good norm to cluster the genes to better understand which is their singular behaviour.

### Single DE Methods

Our package offers a way to analyze the differences between the conditions at single time point, offering three different methodologies.

By using the `differentiateConditions` function, it is possible to choose between the *edgeR*, *DESeq2*, *NOISEq* and *NOISEqBio*.

In case of *edgeR* we decided to use the *Quasi-Likelihood* method for the differential expression. While when using *DESeq2* for this specific case we choose the *Wald* test, as suggested by the authors.

The *NOISEq* package offers the possibility to discriminate between *biological* and *technical* replicates, applying a posterior probability in both cases.

#### 2.2.4 Data Visualization

While analyzing RNA-Seq data, it is almost mandatory to explore data during each step of the analysis, in order to understand which is the best method to apply for the next step and to be sure that the analyzed data are trusty.

In order to help to analyze RNA-Seq data, we implemented in *ticorser* several useful graphics at each analysis step.

Each of them, except when otherwise declared, enables to convert the plot in an interactive *HTML* plot, useful to inspect additional attributes.


### Exploration Plots on Counts

For exploring counts data we implemented two very common plots, the *Boxplot* and the Principal Component Analysis (PCA).

The *boxplot* is a graphical representation of the distribution of the samples, in our case we decided to plot a *boxplot* for each sample and to colour them according to the time-point they belonging to. It is a box divided in two parts, with an outgoing segment from each side. The upper and lower part of the box represent the first and third quartile, while in the middle is represented the median. The upper and lower part of the segments represent the minimum and maximum values of the sample distribution.

## 2.2. METHODS

25




**Figure 2.2.2:** An example of interactive boxplot made with *tictocser* package. Each boxplot represents a specific sample, while each colour represents a specific time point. When passing the mouse over a boxplot it shows additional information about its quartiles.

Due to the very high dimensionality of RNA-Seq data, it is widely considered common sense to apply the PCA dimensionality reduction technique, which allows to visualize the data limiting their representation to 2 or 3 dimensions. There are several packages allowing to apply a PCA transformation, but we

choose to implement it in the `plotPCA` function, by using the `prcomp` from the `stats` package. The user needs just to give the `counts.data.frame` and the `design.data.frame` by specifying the column name where to find the samples groups. In such a way, the function will compute and plot the pca, colouring the samples according to the groups identified in the chosen column. Moreover, by setting to `TRUE` the `ellipses` argument, the function will plot also the ellipses surrounding each samples group.

## 2.2. METHODS

27



**Figure 2.2.3:** An example of interactive PCA made with *ticorser* package. Each dot represents a specific sample, while each colour represents a time point, each symbol represents a biological condition group. When passing the mouse over a dot it shows additional information about the selected sample, while from the legend it's possible to show/hide groups or ellipses.

### DE Results Plots


In order to inspect the results produced by DE methods, we implemented two kind of very common plots, the *VolcanoPlot* and the *MAPlot*.

Both our implementations takes as input a DE results data frame automatically recognizing which method produced it. Moreover, it gives the possibility to add a list of positive control genes, in order to annotate them on the volcano plot with a third colour.

The volcano plot puts in relation the  $\log_2(FC)$  with  $\log_{10}(p-value)$  in order to highlight the significant changes inside the data experiment.

## 2.2. METHODS


29



**Figure 2.2.4:** An example of interactive volcano plot made with *ticorser* package. Each dot represents a gene, while blue and red colours highlights the significance of the genes. In green there are those genes coming from the positive control list. When passing the mouse over a dot it shows additional information about the selected gene.

The MA-Plot puts in relation two quantities useful to understand the differences between the measurements in two conditions. On the x-axis there is represented the  $\log_2(T/C)$  where  $T$  is the treatment condition and  $C$  its con-

trol. It is not mandatory to have a DE results dataframe to plot an MA-plot, but it's pretty useful to have it in order to understand the distribution of the significant genes.




**Figure 2.2.5:** An example of interactive MA-plot made with *ticorser* package. Each dot represents a gene, while blue and red colours highlights the significance of the genes. When passing the mouse over a dot it shows additional information about the selected gene.

## 2.2. METHODS

31

### Gene Profiles plot

For highlighting the profile of a gene, we implemented the function `plotGeneProfile`, which takes as input the count matrix, a gene name, and the design matrix. In such a way the function is able to plot the profile of a gene showing its trend through all time points, and between the different conditions.



**Figure 2.2.6:** An example of interactive gene profile made with *ticorser* package. Each dot represents the counts value of the gene in a sample. Colours identifies the conditions of the samples. The lines represent the gene trend over all time points.

### keggmap

*ticorser* offers the possibility to plot *keggmaps*[18] taking into account the  $\log_2(FC)$  of the genes involved in the graphical representation, thought all the timepoints. Indeed, using the `plotKeggmap` function it enables to plot a *keggmap*, using as

input the counts and the design matrices, computing the  $\log_2(FC)$  at each time point, and showing it in the gene box inside the plot.




Figure 2.2.7: add description

## 2.3 Additional Features

*ticorser* offers multiple additional features to help the user during the differential enrichment analysis of time-course RNA-Seq data.

### Gene quantification

One feature is aimed to give the possibility to quantify the gene expression starting from samples mapping files. To provide this functionality we builded up the `countBamFilesFeatureCounts` function with the aim to guide and facilitate this operation to the user. It is based on the `featureCounts` method of the *Rsubread*

R/Bioconductor package [19] hard coding some parameters as `useMetafeatures` to `TRUE` and `allowMultiOverlap` to `FALSE`. The user can give as input a list of BAM files and a Gene Transfer Format (GTF) file, choosing the `gtf.attr.type` and `gtf.feat.type` to quantify the samples expression on its needs.

### Results Comparison

Usually during a differential expression analysis it is pretty common to have the need to compare multiple DEGs results list. In order to facilitate this need we developed three different functions for venn diagrams plots, `Venn2de`, `Venn3de` and `Venn4de`. During this process it is often required not just to show the graphical plot, but it's most important to show the gene lists resulting from the intersections and disjunctions of the venns. To afford this aim these functions take as input the gene lists to compare and automatically produces as output lists of files within the resulting lists of all the areas of the produced venns.

### Gene Identifiers Conversion

The *ticorser* package exports multiple functions for the gene names manipulation. Indeed, it is very common the need to convert DEGs list from a specific identifier to another. We developed `convertGenesViaMouseDb` and `convertGenesViaBiomart` which convert a DEGs list using the *org.Mm.eg.db* [20] for *Mouse* and using the *biomaRt* R/Bioconductor packages for *Human*, *Mouse* and *Rat*. Additionally, it's possible to easily attach the resulting list to a *dataframe*, by using the `attachGeneColumnToDf` function, which takes care of adding a new column within the mapped identifiers in the right places of the original *dataframe*.

### Input/Output File Handling

To speed up the reading and writing of input/output files, *ticorser* offers two main functions, `readDataFrameFromTSV` and `writeDataFrameAsTSV`.

In the first case there is only one mandatory parameter, the `file.name.path`, even if gives the possibility to change the classical parameters as `row.names.col`, `headed.flag`, `sep`, `quote.char`.

While in the second case the function requires the `data.frame.to.save` and the `file.name.path` where to store the object. Also in this case it is possible to set the classical parameters as `col.names` and `row.names`.

Moreover, we implemented a method for creating a folder path in a recursive way. The `updateFolderPath` method takes as input a starting path and a list of strings. It is useful when using a recursive function call or an automated nested function call process. Of course if a user need to create a single path by itself, he/she can simply create it using the `dir.create` R base function.

## 2.4 Case Study

We selected for the testing of our package a not yet published dataset for traumatic Spinal Cord Injury (SCI), which still is a neurological condition occurring mainly at the thoracic and cervical levels. The dataset is constituted of a total of 62 samples of *RNA-seq*, divided in groups of two different tissues, and four time points. Because the dataset is not yet accessible, the genes and the samples have been masked during the analysis and no further details will be provided, but we only use it as illustrative example.

### Features quantification

*ticorsер* gives the possibility to quantify the gene expression by using the `featureCounts` method of the `rsubread` R/Bioconductor package, by using the `countBamFilterFeatureCounts` method with the path of the *BAM* files and a GTF<sup>1</sup> file within the desired annotation features. It's really important the choice of the GTF file, in terms of version and release, because it affects the further analysis, that's why we suggest to always use the GTF associated to latest version of the genome for the specie under investigation.

After the gene quantification, the method produces a count matrix with the features (genes) on the rows and the samples on the columns. Each cell of the matrix is an integer value indicating the amount of reads quantified for the

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www.ensembl.org/info/website/upload/gff.html>

## 2.4. CASE STUDY

35

feature on the row in the column sample.

### The design matrix

From this point afterwards, *ticorser* requires a design file illustrating their characteristics of each sample, in order to speed up the computations and the interactions with the user. In particular, the design matrix must have a column specifying the sample names, which have to be equal to the column names in the matrix of counts. Table 2.1 shows an example of a typical design matrix useful to work with *ticorser* package.

## 2. TIME COURSE RNA-SEQ ANALYZER

TICORSER

Samples	Times	Conditions	Tissue
s01_t01_t1	01h	treated1	tissue1
s02_t01_t1	01h	treated1	tissue1
s03_t01_t1	01h	treated1	tissue1
s01_t01_u1	01h	untreated1	tissue1
s02_t01_u1	01h	untreated1	tissue1
s03_t01_u1	01h	untreated1	tissue1
s01_t02_t1	02h	treated1	tissue1
s02_t02_t1	02h	treated1	tissue1
s03_t02_t1	02h	treated1	tissue1
s01_t02_u1	02h	untreated1	tissue1
s02_t02_u1	02h	untreated1	tissue1
s03_t02_u1	02h	untreated1	tissue1
s01_t01_t2	01h	treated2	tissue2
s02_t01_t2	01h	treated2	tissue2
s03_t01_t2	01h	treated2	tissue2
s01_t01_u2	01h	untreated2	tissue2
s02_t01_u2	01h	untreated2	tissue2
s03_t01_u2	01h	untreated2	tissue2
s01_t02_t2	02h	treated2	tissue2
s02_t02_t2	02h	treated2	tissue2
s03_t02_t2	02h	treated2	tissue2
s01_t02_u2	02h	untreated2	tissue2
s02_t02_u2	02h	untreated2	tissue2
s03_t02_u2	02h	untreated2	tissue2

**Table 2.1:** An example of design matrix required for the right working of *ticorser* package.

### Normalization & Filtering

A so obtained count matrix could reflect the effects of one or more bias due to experimental passages during the library preparation or to different sequencing batches. (need reference) In order to account for this, is a good practice to normalize data, a step which affects the DEGs detection[21–23]. Before normalizing, it might also be useful to filter out low expressed features, since less significant and may lead to biased results introducing unwanted noise in the

## 2.5. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS

37

analysis.

*ticorser* offers

### 2.5 Conclusions and Future Works



# Chapter 3

## Differential Enriched Scan 2 DEScan2

Epigenetics, as shown in the introduction (cite), is a pretty wide and complex field, and the sequencing technology to adopt depends on the biological question under investigation.

Some studies [24, 25] have demonstrated the importance of genome-wide chromatin accessibility of a broad spectrum of chromatin phenomena activation using sequencing techniques as *ATAC-seq*, *Sono-seq*, etc. Even if there are some methods for the analysis of these omic data types, there still is lack of them, in particular for an emerging omic as *ATAC-seq*.

To address this need, we decided to create a useful instrument for analysing chromatin regions accessibility data (such as *ATAC-seq*, *Sono-seq*). Very often the biological questions, to be answered, as for *RNA-seq*, need the comparison of two or more different biological conditions. Starting from a set of already published [24] scripts, we designed Differential Enriched Scan 2 (*DEScan2*), a software for the analysis of chromatin accession sequencing data.

In this chapter we firstly illustrate the developed methodologies and then, with a case study, we will show the obtained results as an application.

### 3.1 Introduction

*DEScan2* is an R [26] tool developed for detecting open chromatin regions signal in order to facilitate the differential enrichment of genomic regions between two or more biological conditions.

The package has been implemented using Bioconductor [27] data structures and methods, and it is available through the Bioconductor repository since version 3.7.

The tool is organized in three main steps. A peak caller, which is a standard moving scan window that compares the reads coverage signal within a sliding window to the signal in a larger region outside the window. It uses a Maximum Likelihood Estimator of a Poisson Distribution, providing a final score for each detected peak.

The filtering and alignment steps are aimed to determine if a peak is a "true peak" on the basis of its replicability in other samples. These steps are grouped in a single procedure and are based on a double user-defined threshold, one on the peaks's scores and one on the number of samples.

The third step produces a count matrix where each column represents a sample and each row a peak. The value of each cell represents the number of reads for the peak in the sample.

The produced count matrix, as illustrated in figure 3.2.1, is useful both for doing differential enrichment between multiple conditions and for integrating the epigenomic data with other -omic data types.


### 3.2 Methods

The package is organized in three main steps, the peak caller in section 3.2.1, the filtering and alignment of the peaks in section 3.2.2 and the peak counting described in section 3.2.3.

Furthermore, it offers some additional features as described in 3.2.4.

### 3.2. METHODS

41



**Figure 3.2.1:** A differential enrichment flow representation. *DEScan2* steps are highlighted in yellow.

#### 3.2.1 Peak Caller

The Peak Caller (defined by the `findPeaks` function) takes as input a set of alignment files (BAM [28] or BED format) with the code identifier of the refer-

### 3. DIFFERENTIAL ENRICHED SCAN 2

42

**DESCAN2**

ence genome (i.e. *mm10* for *Mus Musculus* version 10) and several additional parameters, useful for the peak detection setup.

The alignment data are stored as and object of class *GenomicRangesList* [29], where each element represents a file. In order to facilitate the parallelization of the computations over the chromosomes, the list is re-arranged as a chromosome list of *GenomicRangesList*, where each element represents the file containing just the *GenomicRanges* of the specific chromosome (see section 3.2.4 for a detailed description of this procedure).

For each element of this data structure the algorithm firstly divides each chromosome in bins of `binSize` parameter length (the default value is 50bp) and then computes the reads coverage of the bins with moving scan windows, spanning from `minWin` to `maxWin` parameters of `binSize` interval.

In order to be able to catch narrow and broad peaks the algorithm computes the coverage also using windows of two different lengths, that can be defined with `minCompWinWidth` and `maxCompWinWidth` (defaults values are 5000bp and 10000bp) parameters, computing a matrix of  $n$  bins and  $p$  windows.

The coverage matrix is useful to merge contiguous regions and to compute a score for each of them, applying a Maximum Likelihood Extimator (MLE), assuming a Poisson distribution of the coverage across the windows.

Formalizing: assuming that each window is distributed as a Poisson random variable, we assume to observe the  $n$  coverages as an IID sequence  $X_n$ . Thus, the probability mass function is described as:

$$p(x_i) = \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \exp(-\lambda)$$

Where the integer nature of the data support the Poisson distribution as the set of non-negative integer number and where  $\lambda$  is the Poisson parameter to estimate with a MLE, described as the estimator:

$$\hat{\lambda}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

Which corresponds to the sample mean of the  $n$  observations in the sample.

## 3.2. METHODS

43

Additionally, on user request, the function provides as output, for each alignment file, a Tab Separated Value (tsv) file within the regions coordinates and the score of the detected peaks.

### 3.2.2 Peak Filtering and Alignment

In order to filter out false positives peaks, we designed a method (defined in the `finalRegions` function) which firstly filters out low score regions and then aligns the resulting regions between samples, using two different thresholds. One on the peaks’s score and one on the number of samples.

The filtering step is designed to take as input a list of peaks as *GenomicRangesList*, where each element represents a file. This is the data structure produced by the peak caller, but, we also developed a method to load peaks produced by other software like MACS [30], as described in section 3.2.4.

Firstly, using the threshold on the peaks’s score (defined by the `zThreshold` parameter), the method filters out the peaks with a score lower than the user-defined threshold value.

Then, for aligning the peaks between the samples, it extends a 200bp window in both directions of remaining regions, computing the overlaps using the `findOverlapsOfPeaks` method (using the `connectedPeaks` parameter set as `merge`), as defined in the *ChIPpeakAnno* [31] R/Bioconductor package.

Based on this idea, the filtering step is developed to filter out those peaks not present in at least a user-defined number of samples, defined by the `minCarriers` parameter. In the light of this, the user can decide the minimum number of samples where each peak has to be detected. In our experience, we suggest to set the samples threshold as a mutiple of the number of replicates of the conditions.

### 3.2.3 Counting Peaks

The counting step (`countFinalRegions` method) is designed to take a *GenomicRanges* data structure as input, where for each peak additional attributes are saved, as well as the score and the number of samples. Moreover, to quantify

the peaks given as input, it requires also the path of the alignment files where the reads are stored.

For each region the method counts the number of reads present in each sample. In so doing, it produces a matrix of counts, where the rows and the columns, respectively, represent the regions and the samples.

In order to keep track of all information associated to the regions, it produces a *SummarizedExperiment* [32] data structure, giving the possibility to retrieve the *GenomicRanges* of associated peaks and the count matrix, respectively, using the `rowRanges` and `assays` methods.

The choice to produce a count matrix is guided by the versatility of this data structure, useful not only for the differential enrichment of the regions between multiple conditions, but also for integrating the epigenomic data with other -omics data types, such as RNA-Seq.

### 3.2.4 Additional Features

The package offers some additional features for loading data (i.e. peaks) resulting from other sources, and for manipulating *GenomicRanges* data structure.

To give the possibility to use our pipeline with external peak callers, the function `readFilesAsGRangesList` takes as input a directory containing BAM or BED data, to load in *GenomicRangesList* format. This data structure is useful to store genomic information, as peaks or mapped reads, produced by other software like *MACS2* or *STAR* and, in case of peaks, it is necessary during the *DEScan2* filtering/aligning step. Additionally to `fileType` (BAM, BED, BED.zip) parameter specification it requires the genome code to use during the file processing. Moreover, when the input files represent peaks the `arePeaks` flag needs to be set to TRUE.

Furthermore, *DEScan2* provides several functionalities for *GenomicRanges* data structure handling. One example is `fromSamplesToChrsGRangesList`, which gives the possibility to split a *GenomicRangesList* by chromosome. This procedure could be useful for parallelizing computations on the chromosomes, when common operations on them, between multiple samples, are needed. Assigning a single chromosome to a single computing unit. Taken as input a *Genomic-*

**3.3. CASE STUDY****45**

*RangesList* organized by samples, this method returns a list of chromosomes, where each element has a *GenomicRangesList* of samples, containing only the regions associated to the single chromosome.

Other useful utilities are `keepRelevantChrs`, that takes a *GenomicRangesList* and a list of chromosomes and return only the interested chromosomes with a cleaned *genomeInfo* assigned; the `saveGRangesAsTsv` function that saves a tab separated value file starting from a *GenomicRanges*; the `saveGRangesAsBed` that save a standard BED file format starting from a *GenomicRanges* data structure; and the `setGRangesGenomeInfo` which, starting from a genome code, sets a specific *genomeInfo* to a *GenomicRanges* object.


### 3.3 Case Study

#### Data Description and Preprocessing

*ATAC-seq* is an emerging evolved technique which enables to investigate the open chromatin regions at whole genome level. The capability of this technology has been demonstrated in the regulation of mouse brain activity under different conditions [33].

To illustrate the performances of *DEScan2* we chose a dataset [33] that describes in vivo adult mouse dentate granule neurons before and after synchronous neuronal activation using *ATAC-seq* and *RNA-seq* technologies (see sections 1.2.2 and 1.2.1 for a description of these sequencing techniques).

This dataset is organized in 62 samples of *ATAC-seq* and *RNA-seq*, extracted at four different time points (0, 1h, 4h, 24h), with four replicates at each time point. We chose to compare the differences between the first two stages, time 0 (E0) and 1 hour after neuronal induction (E1), in order to show a potential *ATAC-seq* workflow for Differential Enrichment, and how to integrate this data type with *RNA-seq*. A general illustration of this dataset is represented in figure 3.3.1.



**Figure 3.3.1:** An illustration of our extraction of the GSE82015[33] dataset.

We downloaded the data from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database [34, 35] with accession number GSE82015<sup>1</sup> and mapped the raw data using *STAR* [36] with default parameter on *Mus Musculus* Genome ver.10 (mm10).

### Peaks Detection


In order to detect open chromatin regions we run our peak caller, cutting the genome in bins of 50bp and using running windows of minimum 50bp and maximum 1000bp. In this a way we are able to detect not just broad peaks, but also smaller peaks.

To be confident with our results we run *DEScan2* and *MACS2* [30] on the same samples, and (as shown in figure 3.3.2) looking to the numbers *DEScan2* always find more peaks than *MACS2*. This can be due to a major accuracy given by *MACS2* on the reliability of the detected peaks, while our method finds more peaks, but, at this stage, still preserving false positive regions.

<sup>1</sup><https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE82015>

### 3.3. CASE STUDY

47



**Figure 3.3.2:** A comparison of *DEScan2* and *MACS2* detected peaks for each sample in the dataset.

To be more robust, we compared *DEScan2* detected peaks with the same validated regions (*Arc<sup>2</sup>* and *Gabrr1<sup>3</sup>*) of the original work [33]. The lower part of figure 3.3.3 shows the detected and validated regions (in blue and red) resulting differentially enriched between the E0 (in pink) and E1 (in green) conditions, while the upper part shows *DEScan2* filtered and aligned peaks (in blue) between the samples, highlighting a capability to catch not only the same regions of the published ones, but also (gold circles) to be more accurate in the smaller peaks detection.


<sup>2</sup><https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=ARC>

<sup>3</sup><https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=GABRR1>

48

### 3. DIFFERENTIAL ENRICHED SCAN 2

DESCAN2




**Figure 3.3.3:** A comparison of *DEScan2* detected peaks with validated peaks in article [33].

## Removing Unwanted Peaks

While it is very important to detect good peaks with a peak caller, it seems to be more relevant to detect reproducible regions. Indeed, during the filtering/aligning step, the number of peaks depends not only by the peak score, but also by the number of replicates designed in the experiment. The figure 3.3.4 puts in relation these two relevant information for both *MACS2* and *DEScan2*. On the x-axis is represented the number of replicates, while on the y-axis is traced the number of peaks, and each curve represents a different threshold on the peaks score, showing that the higher are the thresholds on the scores and the number of replicates, the lower is the number of the detected peaks. Highlighting a inverse relationship between the number of the peaks and the combination of the number of samples and the detected regions score.

### 3.3. CASE STUDY

49




**Figure 3.3.4:** Filtering the detected regions with different thresholds on peak scores between *MACS2* and *DEScan2*.

Moreover, comparing left and right panels, we notice the high difference in pooling the samples-peaks together with the *DEScan2* filtering/aligning step when using *MACS2* and *DEScan2* peaks. Using *MACS2* peaks the pooling highly reduces the number of detected peaks, even using threshold as low as 5 on the score, showing that there are many peaks with a score lower than 5. While in the *DEScan2* case the curves representing the threshold equal to 5 and the threshold equal to 10 totally overlap, highlighting that the *DEScan2* peak caller produces scores higher than 10.

#### Quantifying Peaks

Afterwards, the filtered-in regions can be processed by *DEScan2* in order to obtain a count matrix with samples on the columns and peaks on the rows. This type of data structure is very versatile, because it enables to perform several operations, like the Differentially Enriched genomic Regions (DERs) and the integration with other kind of omics, as RNA-Seq.



**Figure 3.3.5:** An illustration of the *SummarizedExperiment* data structure produced by *DESCAN2*.

In order to preserve the information associated to the peaks, *DESCAN2* produces as output a *SummarizedExperiment* (figure 3.3.5) data structure, which enables to retrieve the count matrix with `assays` method, and to access the peaks information in *GenomicRanges* format with the `rowRanges` method.

### Peaks Normalization

Before detecting DERs, it is a good practice to normalize the data. This is especially needed when working with neuroscience data, where many possible sources of technical and biological noise can confound the analysis [21]. The nature of the data, in count format, makes it possible to apply several well known RNA-Seq normalizations techniques, such as *upper-quartile*, *full-quartile*, *RUV-Seq*, etc [13, 37]. To filter out false positives, but preserving enough signal at the same time, we fixed the peaks’s score threshold to 20.

In order to compare the effects of normalizations we had to do differential enrichment of the conditions using `edgeR` (see next section for further details).


While the *upper-quartile* normalization affects the data in a way that makes it impossible to detect DERs, other kind of normalizations and combinations of them give good results.

**3.3. CASE STUDY****51**

Figure 3.3.7 summarizes this concept very well, highlighting a relation between the number of DERs (y-axis) and the minimum number of samples (x-axis) used for aligning the peaks during the *DEScan2* filtering/aligning step.

To better compare the normalization effects, we created a *null dataset* of 8 samples, by shuffling the original dataset samples as combinations of conditions took in pairs. The detection of DERs has been performed on each combination (18) of shuffled samples, and then taking the median of the results.

## 3. DIFFERENTIAL ENRICHED SCAN 2

**DESCAN2**


**Figure 3.3.6:** The figure shows the effects of different normalizations on a null dataset of epigenetic regions, putting in relation the DERs with the threshold on the samples used to align peaks.

Figure 3.3.6 shows, as expected, no DER detection. Highlighting that even if a normalization is applied, once reduced the false positives number, we are

### 3.3. CASE STUDY

53

confident with our results.



**Figure 3.3.7:** The figure shows the effects of different normalizations on a dataset of epigenetic regions, putting in relation the DERs with the threshold on the samples used to align peaks.

While figure 3.3.7, representing the "full dataset", shows that *upper-quantile*, by itself is not able to linearly detect any amount of DERs. When using *RUV-Seq*, the DERs detection depends on the parameter used (in figure we choose  $k$  equal to 1 and 4). While, the *full-quantile*, even when used alone is able to

detect a good amount of DERs. But each normalization, when combined with *RUV-Seq*, seems to affect the data in a way that overdetect the number of DERs. In particular, even the *Upper quartile*, which doesn't detect any signal by itself, is able to detect the highest amount of DERs when combined with *RUV-Seq*.

Even if these normalization methods show good performances with this type of epignomic data, our investigations suggest that more testing is required, and maybe an ad-hoc normalization method for these data has to be developed.


The left panel represent the "null dataset" highlighting that portion of DERs due to randomness/bias. Indeed, any kind of normalization produces almost the same trend, underlying that *full quantile*, even if combined with *RUV-Seq* still not reduces the bias. While *upper quartile* preserves oscillations when using 7/8 samples. The one which seems to well interpret the data, producing a good compromise between bias and signal, is RUV-Seq. Indeed, it preserves a gradually downhill of the DER without totally flatten the signal.

### Differential Enrichment of Peaks

To estimate the DERs, any of the RNA-Seq methods can be applied, such as *DESeq2*, *edgeR*, *NOISEq*, etc [12, 17, 38].

### 3.3. CASE STUDY


55



**Figure 3.3.8:** A volcano plot of Differential Enriched Regions. Blue dots represent the not significant DERs, while the red ones represent the significant DERs.

In this case, we decided to use *edgeR* package, because of its wide range of available statistical approaches and the possibility to better tune the design of the experiment. Indeed, because we used the RUV-Seq normalized counts with *k* parameter set to 4, we modeled the experimental design with the `model.matrix` function, adding to our model not only the experimental conditions, but also the *RUV-Seq* estimated factors. Then we used the resulted design to estimate the dispersion and fit a Quasi-Likelihood test, as defined in *edgeR*[17].

## 3. DIFFERENTIAL ENRICHED SCAN 2

**DESCAN2**

**Figure 3.3.9:** A volcano plot of DERs. Blue dots represent the not significant DERs, while the red ones represent the significant DERs. Green circles highlights the peaks with a DEG annotated.

Figure 3.3.8 shows a volcano plot of DERs between E0 and E1 conditions. Red dots highlight the regions with a False Discovery Rate (FDR)[39] lower than 0.05, while blue dots highlight non significant regions.

#### Peaks Integration

The next task is to integrate the obtained results with other omic data types, as RNA-Seq. Because of the low number of the samples, the easiest way to integrate

### 3.4. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS

57

the data is to annotate the DERs with DEGs resulting from the analysis of RNA-Seq.

For the differential expression of the RNA-Seq data we firstly quantified the signal with the `featureCounts` methods available in the *Rsubread* [19] R/Bioconductor package. Then we filtered lowly expressed genes with the *proportion* test as implemented in *NOISEq* package, and applied the `noisep` method for differential expression.

We used the resulting significant DEGs (with posterior probability higher than 0.95) to annotate the peaks with `annotatePeakInBatch` method of *ChIPpeakAnno*. Figure 3.3.9 illustrates with green circles the peaks with an annotated gene with distance lower than 10000bp from the gene TSS, producing a total of 430 annotated peaks. Realizing the plot with *ggplot2* combined with *plotly* library it is possible to enhance the names of the genes with a tooltip.

Then we used the annotated genes to do functional annotation on Gene Ontology (GO) [40, 41] and Reactome pathways, which showed several interesting results for the neuronal regulation.

## 3.4 Conclusions and Future Works

In the lack of methodologies for open chromatin region detection and analysis, we developed a novel approach which, compared with very well known tools as *MACS2*, seems to be competitive in the detection of the signal.

We demonstrated to be able to catch not only wide signal, but also narrow regions across the samples. And with our filtering/aligning step we demonstrated to be able to keep relevant signal producing data structures as *SummarizedExperiment* which are candidates to become standards in the biological data analysis. With our 3-steps analysis we puts our tool at the top of a pipeline for open chromatin regions data analysis, proposing also a possible candidate for a standard analysis of this data type.

In the next future we plan to check if other distributions, as *Negative Binomial*, fit better this kind of data and to improve our filtering/aligning step with additional probabilistic methodology.

**3. DIFFERENTIAL ENRICHED SCAN 2**

**58**

**DESCAN2**

# Chapter 4

# IntegrHO - Integration of High-Throughput Omics data

## 4.1 Implementation Aspects

Integration of High-Throughput Omics data (*IntegrHO*) is a web based Graphical User Interface (GUI) for the analysis and the integration of multiple Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) data with the aid of several already published packages designed for this aim.

For the GUI implementation we used the R *Shiny* libraries because of its power to render R code in web format.

The tool presents itself with a main upper menu of main topics organized by scope. For each of this topic, a sub-menu with specific functionalities is available. Moreover, depending on the selected functionality, a side menu is presented with additional functionalities or with input parameters to setup the specific tool. After the parameter setup the results in graphical or table format are presented in the main part of the interface. (figure 4.1.1)

Before to proceed to its data analysis, it is mandatory for the user to setup

the project with a dedicated interface. The user has to upload a design matrix which describes the information related to its samples, some of them are mandatory as the filename (with path) of the BAM files and the condition of each sample, while others are optional as the tissue or the run id. It is also possible to edit the design matrix by hand directly from the interface. In such a way *IntegrHO* creates in the working directory (returned by the `getwd()` function) a dedicated folder with all the required subfolders and stores all the basic information of the project into an ad-hoc designed `R6ProjectClass` which will be re-used during the whole session to speed up the configuration of each step of the analysis.




Figure 4.1.1

*IntegrHO* implements several functionalities and methodologies for *RNA-*

**4.1. IMPLEMENTATION ASPECTS****61**

*Seq*, *ChIP-Seq* and *ATAC-Seq* data analysis, complementing these aspects by providing methodologies for their integration at different levels, as functional enrichment with Gene Ontology and Pathways, peaks and genes annotation, and more statistical methods, as mixOmics, working with high-dimensional datasets.

For each -omics, *IntegrHO* takes as input the BAM files, previously defined in the design matrix of the main project definition interface.

For each step of the analysis of each -omics, we tried to select more than one method to perform that task. In so doing we give the possibility to try different approaches in order to compare the final results, tuning the methods on the basis of the dataset under investigation.

For *RNA-Seq* we constructed a dedicated interface for each step of a standard RNA-Seq data analysis pipeline, such as to build a count matrix, to filter out low counts with multiple tests, to normalize them and to account for batch effect. Moreover, we selected multiple methods for DEG, such as *edgeR*, *DESeq2*, *NOISEq*.

For *ChIP-Seq* we constructed specific interfaces for peak calling, annotation and DERs detection. For the peak calling, because of the lack of specific methods starting from BAM files, we implemented interfaces for the DEScan2 and the *csaw*[42] peak callers. The first one born for broad peaks identification and the second one for both broad and narrow peaks quantification. For the annotation we chose the *ChIPpeakAnno* and the *ChIPseeker* R/Bioconductor, which produces similar output formats starting from peaks. While for the detection of DERs we used the same methods as for *RNA-Seq*.

For *ATAC-Seq* we used mostly the same methods implemented for the *ChIP-Seq*, but designing specific interfaces for the filtering/alignment and the counting matrix as implemented in the DEScan2 package (see chapter 3 for further details).

To provide an integration of these -omics, we dedicated an entire section to this aspect, with functionalities for the annotation of DERs with DEGs using *ChIPpeakAnno* and to use this information to investigate the functional response by enriching for Gene Ontology or for Pathways. These last two aspects implemented with aid of *g:Profiler* [43] and *graphite* [44] R/Bioconductor

packages.

Moreover, to work with high-dimentional data sets, we are working on the implementation of methods like mixOmics, which gives a graphical response of the samples or the features, using two different methods diablo and mint.

## 4.2 Reproducible Computational Research

The most difficult part when using a GUI is to trace the executed functionalities during the analysis. To face this need we equipped *IntegrHO* of a Reproducible Research (RR) hidden layer able to trace all the code executed by the user.

In combination with a system of caching database files (CDF), it stores the code chunks and the input/output data of each analysis step into an R Markdown (RMD) file.

Because of the need of adding personal comments to each analysis step or to delete portions of the analysis, we built a specific interface enabling the user to edit the automatically produced RMD file and to compile it on the fly.

The enriched output report can be produced in Hypertext Markdown Language (HTML) or Portable Document Format (PDF) formats, in order to be easily attached as supplementary material of a published article, facilitating the reproducibility of the analysis to a third party user.

# Chapter 5

## Reproducible Computational Research



# Appendices

⊕

⊕

“Template” — 2018/11/24 — 16:39 — page 66 — #66

⊕

⊕

⊕

⊕

⊕

⊕

**.1 R Language**

**.2 R Markdown Language**



Chapter **6**

## Bibliography

1. Thermes, C. *Ten years of next-generation sequencing technology* 2014. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2014.07.001. arXiv: arXiv:1312.0570v2.
2. Wang, Z., Gerstein, M. & Snyder, M. *RNA-Seq: A revolutionary tool for transcriptomics* 2009. doi:10.1038/nrg2484. arXiv: NIHMS150003.
3. Costa, V., Angelini, C., De Feis, I. & Ciccodicola, A. *Uncovering the complexity of transcriptomes with RNA-Seq* 2010. doi:10.1155/2010/853916.
4. Ozsolak, F. & Milos, P. M. *RNA sequencing: Advances, challenges and opportunities* 2011. doi:10.1038/nrg2934. arXiv: NIHMS150003.
5. Costa, V., Aprile, M., Esposito, R. & Ciccodicola, A. *RNA-Seq and human complex diseases: Recent accomplishments and future perspectives* 2013. doi:10.1038/ejhg.2012.129.
6. Pepke, S., Wold, B. & Mortazavi, A. Computation for chip-seq and rna-seq studies. *Nature Methods* **6**, S22. ISSN: 15487105 (2009).
7. Oshlack, A., Robinson, M. D. & Young, M. D. *From RNA-seq reads to differential expression results* 2010. doi:10.1186/gb-2010-11-12-220.

8. Giresi, P. G., Kim, J., McDaniell, R. M., Iyer, V. R. & Lieb, J. D. FAIRE (Formaldehyde-Assisted Isolation of Regulatory Elements) isolates active regulatory elements from human chromatin. *Genome Res.* **17**, 877–885. ISSN: 09254773 (2007).
9. Winter, D. R., Song, L., Mukherjee, S., Furey, T. S. & Crawford, G. E. DNase-seq predicts regions of rotational nucleosome stability across diverse human cell types. *Genome Research* **23**, 1118–1129. ISSN: 10889051 (2013).
10. Buenrostro, J. D., Giresi, P. G., Zaba, L. C., Chang, H. Y. & Greenleaf, W. J. Transposition of native chromatin for fast and sensitive epigenomic profiling of open chromatin, DNA-binding proteins and nucleosome position. *Nature Methods* **10**, 1213–1218. ISSN: 15487091 (2013).
11. Sha, Y., Phan, J. H. & Wang, M. D. *Effect of low-expression gene filtering on detection of differentially expressed genes in RNA-seq data* in *Proceedings of the Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, EMBS* (2015). ISBN: 9781424492718. doi:10.1109/EMBC.2015.7319872. arXiv: 15334406.
12. Tarazona, S., García, F., Ferrer, A., Dopazo, J. & Conesa, A. NOIseq: a RNA-seq differential expression method robust for sequencing depth biases. *EMBnet.journal* **17**, 18. ISSN: 2226-6089 (2012).
13. Risso, D., Ngai, J., Speed, T. P. & Dudoit, S. Normalization of RNA-seq data using factor analysis of control genes or samples (RUVSeq). *Nature Biotechnology* **32**, 896–902. ISSN: 1087-0156 (2014).
14. Costa-Silva, J., Domingues, D. & Lopes, F. M. *RNA-Seq differential expression analysis: An extended review and a software tool* 2017. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0190152. arXiv: arXiv:1804.06050v3.
15. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology*. ISSN: 1474760X. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8. arXiv: arXiv:1303.3997v2 (2014).

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

71

16. Nueda, M. J., Tarazona, S. & Conesa, A. Next maSigPro: Updating maSigPro bioconductor package for RNA-seq time series. *Bioinformatics*. ISSN: 14602059. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu333 (2014).
17. Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **26**, 139–140. ISSN: <null> (2009).
18. Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y., Kawashima, M., Furumichi, M. & Tanabe, M. KEGG as a reference resource for gene and protein annotation. *Nucleic Acids Research*. ISSN: 13624962. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1070 (2016).
19. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. The Subread aligner: Fast, accurate and scalable read mapping by seed-and-vote. *Nucleic Acids Research*. ISSN: 03051048. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt214 (2013).
20. Carlson, M. *org.Mm.eg.db: Genome wide annotation for Mouse*. 2018. doi:<https://doi.org/doi:10.18129/B9.bioc.org.Mm.eg.db>.
21. Peixoto, L. *et al.* Survey and Summary: How data analysis affects power, reproducibility and biological insight of RNA-seq studies in complex datasets. *Nucleic Acids Research*. ISSN: 13624962. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv736 (2015).
22. Soneson, C. & Delorenzi, M. A comparison of methods for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *BMC bioinformatics* **14**, 91. ISSN: 1471-2105 (2013).
23. Bullard, J. H., Purdom, E., Hansen, K. D. & Dudoit, S. Evaluation of statistical methods for normalization and differential expression in mRNA-Seq experiments. *BMC Bioinformatics* **11**. ISSN: 14712105. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-94. arXiv: NIHMS150003 (2010).
24. Koberstein, J. N. *et al.* Learning-dependent chromatin remodeling highlights noncoding regulatory regions linked to autism. *Science Signaling*. ISSN: 19379145. doi:10.1126/scisignal.aan6500 (2018).

25. Auerbach, R. K. *et al.* Mapping accessible chromatin regions using SonoSeq. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. ISSN: 0027-8424. doi:10.1073/pnas.0905443106 (2009).
26. Ihaka, R. & Gentleman, R. R: A Language for Data Analysis and Graphics. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*. ISSN: 15372715. doi:10.1080/10618600.1996.10474713. arXiv: arXiv:1011.1669v3 (1996).
27. Gentleman, R. *et al.* Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. *Genome Biology*. ISSN: 1465-6914. doi:10.1186/gb-2004-5-10-r80 (2004).
28. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*. ISSN: 13674803. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352. arXiv: 1006.1266v2 (2009).
29. Lawrence, M. *et al.* Software for Computing and Annotating Genomic Ranges. *PLoS Computational Biology* **9** (ed Prlic, A.) e1003118. ISSN: 1553-7358 (2013).
30. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome Biology*. ISSN: 14747596. doi:10.1186/gb-2008-9-9-r137 (2008).
31. Zhu, L. J. *et al.* ChIPpeakAnno: A Bioconductor package to annotate ChIP-seq and ChIP-chip data. *BMC Bioinformatics*. ISSN: 14712105. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-237 (2010).
32. Morgan M, Obenchain V, Hester J, P. H. SummarizedExperiment: SummarizedExperiment container. doi:<https://doi.org/doi:10.18129/B9.bioc.SummarizedExperiment> (2018).
33. Su, Y. *et al.* Neuronal activity modifies the chromatin accessibility landscape in the adult brain. *Nature Neuroscience*. ISSN: 15461726. doi:10.1038/nn.4494 (2017).
34. Edgar, R. Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI gene expression and hybridization array data repository. *Nucleic Acids Research*. ISSN: 13624962. doi:10.1093/nar/30.1.207 (2002).

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

73

35. Barrett, T. *et al.* NCBI GEO: Archive for functional genomics data sets - Update. *Nucleic Acids Research*. ISSN: 03051048. doi:10 . 1093 / nar / gks1193 (2013).
36. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*. ISSN: 13674803. doi:10 . 1093/bioinformatics/bts635. arXiv: 1201 . 0052 (2013).
37. Dillies, M. A. *et al.* A comprehensive evaluation of normalization methods for Illumina high-throughput RNA sequencing data analysis. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*. ISSN: 14675463. doi:10 . 1093/bib/bbs046 (2013).
38. McCarthy, D. J., Chen, Y. & Smyth, G. K. Differential expression analysis of multifactor RNA-Seq experiments with respect to biological variation. *Nucleic Acids Research* **40**, 4288–4297. ISSN: 03051048 (2012).
39. Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*. ISSN: 00359246. doi:10 . 2307 / 2346101. arXiv: 95/57289 [0035-9246] (1995).
40. Gene Ontology Consortium. The Gene Ontology (GO) database and informatics resource. *Nucleic Acids Research*. ISSN: 1362-4962. doi:10 . 1093 / nar/gkh036 (2004).
41. Gene Ontology Consortium. Gene Ontology Consortium: going forward. *Nucleic acids research*. ISSN: 1362-4962. doi:10 . 1093/nar/gku1179 (2015).
42. Lun, A. T. & Smyth, G. K. Csa: A Bioconductor package for differential binding analysis of ChIP-seq data using sliding windows. *Nucleic Acids Research* **44**. ISSN: 13624962. doi:10 . 1093/nar/gkv1191. arXiv: arXiv : 1011 . 1669v3 (2015).
43. Reimand, J. *et al.* gProfiler-a web server for functional interpretation of gene lists (2016 update). *Nucleic acids research* **44**, W83–W89. ISSN: 13624962 (2016).

44. Sales, G., Calura, E., Cavalieri, D. & Romualdi, C. Graphite - a Bioconductor package to convert pathway topology to gene network. *BMC Bioinformatics* **13**. ISSN: 14712105. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-13-20 (2012).

**BIBLIOGRAPHY**

**75**



# List of Figures

1.2.1 RNA-Seq experiment . . . . .	12
1.2.2 RNA-Seq analysis . . . . .	15
1.2.3 ATAC-seq experiment . . . . .	16
2.2.1 ticorser mainflow . . . . .	19
2.2.2 ticorser boxplot . . . . .	25
2.2.3 ticorser pca . . . . .	27
2.2.4 ticorser volcano . . . . .	29
2.2.5 ticorser MAplot . . . . .	30
2.2.6 ticorser gene profile . . . . .	31
2.2.7 ticorser keggmap . . . . .	32
3.2.1 DEScan2 workflow . . . . .	41
3.3.1 DEScan2 dataset illustration . . . . .	46
3.3.2 The <i>DEScan2</i> and <i>MACS2</i> peaks detection . . . . .	47
3.3.3 <i>DEScan2</i> peaks detection . . . . .	48
3.3.4 <i>DEScan2</i> and <i>MACS2</i> filtering comparison . . . . .	49
3.3.5 <i>DEScan2</i> counts illustration . . . . .	50
3.3.6 Normalizations applied to null dataset . . . . .	52
3.3.7 Normalizations applied to detected regions . . . . .	53

3.3.8 Differential Enrichment Regions Volcano . . . . .	55
3.3.9 Annotated Differential Enrichment Regions Volcano . . . . .	56
4.1.1 integrho main interface . . . . .	60

## List of Tables

2.1 <i>ticorser</i> Design Matrix example . . . . .	36
---	----