

Super Induction

Good Proofs

- correct
- complete
- clear
- brief
- elegant (clever, work of art)
- well organized (using lemma)
- inorder (fact \rightarrow proof)

Proof techniques not to use

- Proof by example
- Proof by omission
- Proof by picture
- Too many notations

Axiomatic Proof

? Question

A	B	C		A	B	C
D	E	F	→	D	E	F
H	G			G	H	

No sequence of legal moves to invert G and H and return all other letters to their original position

Rules: no diagonal moves

! Lemma 1

(Lemma is true statement used in proving propositions)

A	B	C		A	B	C
D	E	F	=>	D	E	F
H	G			H		G

- A row move does not change the order of the items

Proof

In a row move, we move an item from cell i into an adjacent $i+1$ or $i-1$.
Nothing else moves, hence the order of the items is preserved

! Lemma 2

A	B	C		A	B	C
D	E		=>	D	E	G
F	H	G		F	H	

- A Column move changes the relative order of precisely 2 pairs of items

Proof

- In a column move, we move an item in cell i to a blank spot in cell $i-3$ or $i+3$.
- When an item moves 3 positions, it changes relative order with 2 other items

A	B	C		A	B	C
F	D	G	=>	D	E	F

E	H			G	H	
---	---	--	--	---	---	--

- A pair of letter L1 and L2 is an inversion
- (D, F), (E, F), (F, G) inversions.

Lemma 3

During a move, the number of inversions can only increase by 2 or decrease by 2 or stay the same.

Proof

- Row move: no changes (by lemma 1)
- Column move: 2 pairs change order (by lemma 2)

Case A: Both paires are inorder \Rightarrow +2 inversions

Case B: Both paires are inverted \Rightarrow -2 inversions

Case C: One pair is inverted \Rightarrow inversion stays the same

Corollary

(corollary is a proposition followed from a lemma)

- During a move the parity (fact of being even/odd) of the number of inversions does not change.

Proof

- adding or subtracting 2 does not change the parity.

Lemma 4

- In every state reachable from

A	B	C
D	E	F
H	G	

Proposition

$P(n)$: After any sequence of n moves from the start state, the parity of number of inversion is odd

- Base Case: $n = 0$ (no moves are made) (start)
- Inductive Step: $\forall n \geq 0$, Show $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$
Consider any sequence of $n + 1$ moves $M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots, M_n$
- Let the parity after moves M_1, \dots, M_n is odd
- By Corollary 1, we know parity of number of inversions does not change during M_{n+1}
- $\therefore P(n) \Rightarrow P(n + 1)$

Conclusion

- The parity of the number of inversions in desired state is even.
- By Lemma 4, the desired state cannot be reached from its start state.

A	B	C		A	B	C
D	E	F	\nrightarrow	D	E	F
H	G			G	H	


Strong Induction Axiom

Important

- Let $P(n)$ be any predicate, If $P(0)$ is true,
 $\forall n \Rightarrow P(0) \& P(1) \& P(2) \& \dots \& P(n) \Rightarrow P(n + 1)$ is true, then $\forall n, P(n)$ is true.
- Here it can be assumed that $P(0) \& P(1) \& P(2) \& \dots \& P(n)$ are all true, to prove $P(n + 1)$ is true

Question

All strategies for the n-block game produces the same score $S(n)$.



Solution

Proof by Strong induction

- By Inductive Hypothesis, $P(n)$: n blocks in a stack have the same score, i.e. $n(n+1)/2$
- Base Case: $P(1) = 0$
- Inductive Step: Assume $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(n)$ is true, to prove $P(n+1)$
 - $n+1$ is splitted into k and $n+1-k$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Score} &= k(n+1-k) + P(k) + P(n+1-k) \\
 &= k(n+1-k) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \frac{(n+1-k)(n-k)}{2} \\
 &= kn - k^2 + k + \frac{k^2 - k + n^2 + n - 2kn - k + k^2}{2} \\
 &\Rightarrow \text{Score} = \frac{n^2 + n}{2} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Success